

# Magnetic Forces and Torques using Dipole Model

Dr. Axel Krieger, Transcribed by Naveed Riaziat

November 18th, 2021

## 1 Preliminaries

$$\begin{bmatrix} \omega \\ v \end{bmatrix} = AI \quad (1)$$

$$I = A^{-1} * \begin{bmatrix} \omega_{desired} \\ v_{desired} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

Goal: Control angular velocity and linear velocity as a function of coil current.

## 2 Single Electromagnet

$$m = \frac{1}{\mu_0} VR\hat{h} \quad (3)$$

where  $m$  is the magnetic moment of the permanent magnet robot,  $\hat{h}$  is the unit vector heading,  $\mu_0$  is the permeability of vacuum,  $V$  is the volume, and  $R$  is the magnetic remanence (Tesla).

$$B(m_c, r) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi||r||^3} (3(m_c \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r} - m_c) \quad (4)$$

where  $B$  is the magnetic field,  $m_c$  is the magnetic moment of the EM coil,

$$m_c = NSI\hat{n} \quad (5)$$

where  $N$  is the number of coils,  $S$  is the cross-sectional surface area of the coil,  $I$  is the coil current (amps), and  $\hat{n}$  is the unit vector normal to the loop.

Then, the unit magnetic field is as follows:

$$\tilde{B}(\tilde{m}_c, r) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi||r||^3} (3(\tilde{m}_c \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r} - \tilde{m}_c) \quad (6)$$

where the unit magnetic moment is

$$\tilde{m}_c = NS(1A)\hat{n} \quad (7)$$

As such,

$$B = \tilde{B} \cdot i \quad (8)$$

where  $i$  is the unit-less current ratio.

### 3 Multiple Electromagnets

Now, with our magnetic robot in the middle of 4 independent coils, each with their own unique magnetic moments and currents, we extend the calculation.

As shown before, for a single coil  $k$  with a distance  $r_k$  from the robot in this setup, we have:

$$B_k(r_k) = \tilde{B}_k(r_k) \cdot i \quad (9)$$

For multiple coils:

$$B(p) = \sum_{k=1}^n B_k(r_k) = \sum_{k=1}^n \tilde{B}_k(r_k) \cdot i_k \quad (10)$$

$$B(p) = [\tilde{B}_1(r_1) \tilde{B}_2(r_2) \dots \tilde{B}_n(r_n)] \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ \vdots \\ i_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (11)$$

The magnetic forces and torques are as follows:

$$T = m \times B(p) \quad (12)$$

$$F = \nabla(B(p) \cdot m) \quad (13)$$

$$F = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\delta B(p)}{\delta x} \\ \frac{\delta B(p)}{\delta y} \\ \frac{\delta B(p)}{\delta z} \end{bmatrix} \cdot m \quad (14)$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\delta B(p)}{\delta x} = \left[ \frac{\delta B_1(r_1)}{\delta x} \dots \frac{\delta B_n(r_n)}{\delta x} \right] \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ \vdots \\ i_n \end{bmatrix} = \tilde{B}_x(p) I \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ F \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m \times \tilde{B}(p) \\ m^T \tilde{B}_x(p) \\ m^T \tilde{B}_y(p) \\ m^T \tilde{B}_z(p) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ i_2 \\ \vdots \\ i_n \end{bmatrix} \quad (16)$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} T \\ F \end{bmatrix} = A I \quad (17)$$

if  $A$  is square and  $\det(A) \neq 0$ ,

$$I = A^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} T \\ F \end{bmatrix} \quad (18)$$

otherwise, if  $A$  is not square or  $A$  is singular,

$$I = A^+ \begin{bmatrix} T \\ F \end{bmatrix} \quad (19)$$

where  $A^+ = (A^T A)^{-1} A^T$

Given  $T$  and  $F$ , we can find the desired outputs:

$$T = C\omega \quad (20)$$

$$F = bv \quad (21)$$

Therefore,

$$I = A^+ \begin{bmatrix} C\omega \\ bv \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

## 4 Challenges and Implementation

1.  $m^T \tilde{B}_x(p)$  requires numerical solution
2. Magnetic robot aligns very quickly with B, so it is hard to control  $\Theta, \omega$  with  $I$

It is often easier to ignore the angular dynamics and align the robot in the direction of the magnetic field  $B(p)$ , like a compass.

$$\tilde{B}(\tilde{m}_c, r) = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi||r||^3}(3(\tilde{m}_c \cdot \hat{r})\hat{r} - \tilde{m}_c) \quad (23)$$

with

$$\tilde{F}(r, \tilde{m}_c, m_m) = \nabla(\tilde{B}(r) \cdot m_m) = \frac{3\mu_0}{4\pi||r||^5}[(\tilde{m}_c \cdot r)m_m + (m_m \cdot r)\tilde{m}_c + (\tilde{m}_c \cdot m_m)r - \frac{5(\tilde{m}_c \cdot r)(m_m \cdot r)}{||r||^2}r] \quad (24)$$

is the unit force from a single EM onto a robot with magnetic dipole moment  $m_m$ . Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \\ F \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{B}(p) \\ \tilde{F}_1(\tilde{m}_{c_1}, r_1, m) \dots \tilde{F}_m(\tilde{m}_{c_n}, r_n, m) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} i_1 \\ \vdots \\ i_n \end{bmatrix} = CI \quad (25)$$

We will implement this directly for control in lab 4. If C is square and non-singular,

$$I = C^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} B_{desired} \\ F_{desired} \end{bmatrix} = C^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \hat{h}_{des} \\ bv_{des} \end{bmatrix} \quad (26)$$

Otherwise,

$$I = C^+ \begin{bmatrix} B_{desired} \\ F_{desired} \end{bmatrix} = C^+ \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \hat{h}_{des} \\ bv_{des} \end{bmatrix} \quad (27)$$

This is paired with our simplified dynamics,  $F = b\dot{x}$  and  $\hat{h}||B$ . We have to tune  $\alpha$  so you do not saturate the maximum coil current for orientation. Experimentally, this should be approximately 0.2 Amps or 20% of the maximum coil current.

## 5 Control Strategies

1. **[Lab 3]** PID Direct Current Control Problems
  - (a) No orientation control
  - (b) Robot movement depends strongly on position.
2. **[Lab 4]** ...

## 6 PID Force Control with Orientation

The equations for the PID control are as follows.

$$e = p_{des} - p_m \quad (28)$$

$$F = Pe + D\dot{e} + I \int e dt \quad (29)$$

$$I = C(m, p)^{-1} * \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \hat{h}_{des} \\ F \end{bmatrix} \quad (30)$$

You will need to return P, I, D and  $\alpha$ .  $\alpha$  should be selected to not use very much current (10-20% of max). This will run open-loop orientation/heading control and result in position-independent performance.

A problem with this control is that the dipole model breaks down significantly near the coils. Additionally, estimating the robot as a single point can become a problematic assumption as well. To mitigate this, there are numerical FEA Biot-Savart law solvers. The implementation is of course slower, but much more accurate.

Additionally, there are points where the matrix  $C$  is ill-conditioned and can lead to "sticking points". This arises from attempting to control the heading and direction at once, where the coils cannot both produce the desired field direction and  $\nabla B$ . To mitigate this, we can add more EM coils or just avoid certain configurations.

## 7 Recap: Velocity Kinematics and Jacobians

Consider a robot with end effector position  $\vec{x}$  and joint angles  $\theta_1 \dots \theta_i$ . Given  $\vec{x} = f(\theta)$ , what is the velocity  $\dot{\vec{x}}$ ?

**Definition 1** *A function is smooth if it is continuous and all orders of its derivative are continuous.*

**Definition 2** *The Jacobian of  $f$  is the array of partial derivatives.*

$$J(\theta) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\delta x_1}{\delta \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\delta x_1}{\delta \theta_m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \frac{\delta x_m}{\delta \theta_1} & \dots & \frac{\delta x_m}{\delta \theta_m} \end{pmatrix} \quad (31)$$

$$\dot{\vec{x}} = J(\theta)\dot{\theta} \quad (32)$$

For manipulation,  $J$  relates cartesian linear and angular velocities to joint rates.

$$\begin{bmatrix} v \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = J_m \dot{\theta} \quad (33)$$

**Theorem 1**  $\dot{\theta} = J^{-1}(\theta)\dot{x}$  iff  $J$  is invertible.

Many robots have configurations at which the Jacobian is singular. Singularities represent configurations for which certain directions of motion are unattainable. This acts like a loss of DoF. At singularities, bounded end-effector velocities correspond to unbounded joint velocities.

Consider our magnetic robot with four electromagnets, from equation 25 we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} B \\ F \end{bmatrix} = C(m, p)I \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \hat{h} \\ bv \end{bmatrix} = C(m, p)I \quad (34)$$

If  $\det(C(m, p)) \neq 0$ ,

$$I = C^{-1}(m, p) \begin{bmatrix} \alpha \hat{h} \\ bv \end{bmatrix} \quad (35)$$

Otherwise, the robot is in a singularity and cannot be controlled with finite currents. As the  $C$  matrix becomes ill-conditioned, the coil currents will rapidly increase. Thus, we should always compute the determinant to ensure safe operation.

### 7.1 Example

Given a revolute-revolute manipulator,

$$v = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{bmatrix} = J(\theta) \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\theta}_1 \\ \dot{\theta}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad (36)$$

This robot has singularities at  $\theta_2 = 0, \pi$ .