Notes_6

Direct Proof of $P \Rightarrow Q$

- 1. Assume LHS is T
- 2. Argue RHS is T

```
p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p
```

Indirect Proof (By Contrapositive)

- 1. Assume RHS is F
- 2. Argue LHS is F

Therefore, both (p or q) \oplus (p \wedge q) is T \wedge ¬ p is T. Then, P is F. Therefore, p ^ q is F. Then p or q is T. Means q is T which means q is T since p is F. So the implication is valid.

Then p \wedge r is T. Therefore both p \wedge r are T. So, p or q is T (since p is T). (p or q) \oplus (p \wedge r) is F. So LHS is F. So the implication is valid.

Assume LHS is T

- 1. p or $q T \wedge (p \wedge r | F)$
- 2. p or $q \mid T \mid \land p \land r \mid F$

Both p or r is $T \land p \oplus q$ is T. Want to show $q \rightarrow r$ is T. So assume q is T. Since $p \oplus q$ is T, p is F. Since p or r is T, so r is T which is what we needed to show. So RHS is T.

Implication is Valid

Assume RHS is F. So $q \rightarrow r$ is F. means q is $T \wedge r$ is F.

- 1. p T: Since q is also T p ⊕ q is F then LHS is F
- 2. p F: Since r is also F p or is also F LHS is F