

---

## TUTORIAL 2

---

### 1 Alternative FFT algorithm

Let  $P$  be a polynomial of degree at most  $2^k - 1$ , and write  $P = P_h X^{2^{k-1}} + P_l$ . Let  $\omega$  be a primitive  $2^k$ -th root of 1.

1. Prove that  $P(\omega^{2i}) = P_h(\omega^{2i}) + P_l(\omega^{2i})$  and  $P(\omega^{2i+1}) = -P_h(\omega^{2i+1}) + P_l(\omega^{2i+1})$ .
2. Deduce an alternative FFT algorithm. You will need to introduce the polynomial

$$Q(X) = P_l(\omega X) - P_h(\omega X).$$

### 2 Is squaring easier than multiplying?

Show that computing the square of a  $n$ -digit number is not (asymptotically) easier than multiplying two  $n$ -digit numbers. We assume we work in a ring where we can divide by 2.

### 3 The “binary splitting” method computation of $n!$

We want to compute  $n!$  and assume that  $n$  is a “small” integer (i.e. it fits into one machine word). We denote with  $M(k)$  the cost (in terms of elementary operations) of the multiplication of two  $k$ -bit numbers, and we assume  $2M(k/2) \leq M(k)$  (we recall some typical values:  $M(k) = O(k^2)$  with naive multiplication,  $O(k^{\log(3)/\log(2)})$  with Karatsuba multiplication and  $O(k \log k \log \log k)$  with the FFT-in finite ring variant of the Schönhage & Strassen algorithm). Use the fact that  $\log n! \sim n \log n$ .

1. What is the cost of multiplying  $O(n)$ -digit integer by a  $O(1)$ -digit integer by the naive algorithm. Argue that it is essentially optimal.
2. We first consider the simplest approach:  $x_1 = 1$ ,  $x_2 = 2x_1$ ,  $x_3 = 3x_2$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $x_n = nx_{n-1}$ . Show that the cost of this approach is  $O(n^2(\log n)^2)$ .
3. We define

$$p(a, b) = (a+1)(a+2) \cdots (b-1)b = \frac{b!}{a!}.$$

Suggest a recursive method to compute  $n!$  with cost  $O(\log n M(n \log n))$ . Conclude on the complexity of your method under different values of  $M(k)$ .

## 4 Logarithm and exponential

For polynomials  $S, T \in \mathbb{K}[X]$  such that  $S(0) = 0$  and  $T(0) = 0$ , we define

$$\exp_n(S(X)) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{S(X)^k}{k!} \bmod X^n$$

$$\log_n(1 + T(X)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{T(X)^k}{k} \bmod X^n$$

1. Assume  $A(0) = 0$ , prove that  $(A(X) + 1)^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k A(X)^k \bmod X^n$
2. Recall that  $S(0) = 0$ . Let  $U_n(X) = S'(X)/(S(X) + 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} u_k X^k \bmod X^{n-1}$  (note that  $S(X) + 1$  is invertible modulo  $X^n$  because  $S(0) + 1 \neq 0$ ). Prove that

$$\log_n(1 + S(X)) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} u_{k-1} \frac{X^k}{k} \bmod X^n$$

3. Deduce a quasi-linear time (in the degree of  $S(X)$ ) algorithm to compute  $\log_n(S(X) + 1)$ .
4. Prove that if  $T(0) = 0$ , then  $\log_n(\exp_n(T(X))) = T(X)$  (remark that this is well defined because  $\exp_n(T(0)) = 1$ ). (Hint: take the derivative).
5. Let  $Y = \exp_N(T(X)) - 1 \bmod X^N$ . Using the above, we have that

$$f(Y) = \log_N(1 + Y) - T(X) = 0 \bmod X^N.$$

Using Hensel lifting, deduce an algorithm for computing  $Y = \exp_N(T(X)) - 1 \bmod X^N$  using  $O(M(N))$  operations in  $K$ . (Hint: remember that as  $M$  is super-linear, we have that  $M(N) + M(N/2) + \dots + M(N/n^k) + \dots \leq 2M(N)$ ).