

# MA571 Problem Set 2

Carlos Salinas

September 8, 2015



**Problem 2.1 (Munkres §17, p. 100, 2)**

Show that if  $A$  is closed in  $Y$  and  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ , then  $A$  is closed in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the closure of  $A$  in  $X$  then, by Theorem 17.4,  $A = \bar{A} = C \cap Y$  is the closure of  $A$  in  $Y$ . Thus,  $A$  is closed in  $X$  since it is the intersection of two closed subsets of  $X$ . ■

**Problem 2.2 (Munkres §17, p.100, 3)**

Show that if  $A$  is closed in  $X$  and  $B$  is closed in  $Y$ , then  $A \times B$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

*Proof.* Before proceeding we will prove the following set theoretic result (which was adapted from Exercises 2(n) and 2(o) from §1, p.14 of Munkres):

**Lemma 5.** *For sets  $A, B, C$  and  $D$  the following equalities hold:*

- (a)  $(A \times B) \cap (C \times D) = (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$ .
- (b)  $A \times (B \setminus C) = (A \times B) \setminus (A \times C)$ .
- (c)  $(A \setminus C) \times B = (A \times B) \setminus (C \times B)$ .

that is, the Cartesian product distributes over taking complements.

*Proof of Lemma 4.* (a) The equality follows (rather straightforwardly) from the definition of the Cartesian product and the complement of a set for  $x \times y \in (A \times B) \cap (C \times D)$  if and only if  $x \times y \in A \times B$  and  $x \times y \in C \times D$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $x \in C$  and  $y \in B$  and  $y \in D$  if and only if  $x \in A \cap C$  and  $y \in B \cap D$  if and only if  $x \times y \in (A \cap C) \times (B \cap D)$ .

(b) The point  $x \times y \in A \times (B \setminus C)$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B \setminus C$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$  and  $y \notin C$  if and only if  $x \times y \in A \times B$  and  $x \times y \notin A \times C$  if and only if  $x \times y \in (A \times B) \setminus (A \times C)$ .

(c) The very same argument as part (b) can be used, taking  $B$  to be a subset of  $A$  and replacing (where appropriate)  $A$  by  $A \setminus B$  and  $B \setminus C$  by  $C$ , to prove that

$$(A \setminus B) \times C = (A \times C) \setminus (B \times C). \quad \blacklozenge$$

Now, since  $A$  is closed in  $X$  and  $B$  is closed in  $Y$ , their complements,  $X \setminus A$  and  $Y \setminus B$  are, by definition, open in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Then, the sets

$$(X \setminus A) \times Y \quad \text{and} \quad X \times (Y \setminus B)$$

are open since they are basic open sets in the product topology on  $X \times Y$ . So, applying Lemma 4(b) and (c), their complements

$$(X \times Y) \setminus (X \setminus A) \times Y = A \times Y \quad \text{and} \quad (X \times Y) \setminus X \times (Y \setminus B) = X \times B$$

are closed in  $X \times Y$ . At last, we have that

$$(A \times Y) \cap (X \times B)$$

is the intersection of closed sets, hence, by Theorem 17.1(b), is closed. By Lemma 4(a),

$$(A \times Y) \cap (X \times B) = (A \cap X) \times (Y \cap B) = A \times B$$

so  $A \times B$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ . ■

**Problem 2.3 (Munkres §17, p.101, 6(b))**

Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $A_\alpha$  denote subsets of a space  $X$ . Prove the following:

(b)  $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$ .

*Proof.* By definition, the closure of a set is the intersection of all closed sets which contain it therefore,  $\overline{A \cup B} \subset \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$  since  $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$  is a closed set, by Theorem 17.1(a), which contains  $A \cup B$ . To see the reverse containment note that  $\bar{A} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$  since  $\overline{A \cup B}$  is a closed set which contains  $A$ . Similarly  $\bar{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$  so  $\bar{A} \cup \bar{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ . Therefore,  $\overline{A \cup B} = \bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$  holds.

Naturally this results extends, by induction, to the case of finite unions of sets. ■

**Problem 2.4 (Munkres §17, p. 101, 6(c))**

Let  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $A_\alpha$  denote subsets of a space  $X$ . Prove the following:

(b)  $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \bigcup \overline{A_\alpha}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C$  denote the set  $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha}$ . It is clear, by the definition of the closure of a set, that  $\bar{A}_\alpha \subset C$  for every  $\alpha$  since  $C$  is a closed set which contains  $A_\alpha$ , so  $\bigcup_\alpha \bar{A}_\alpha \subset C$ .

The reverse is not true in general; in fact, as Theorem 17.1(3) suggests, an arbitrary union of closed sets is not even necessarily closed. For a concrete example consider the family  $A_r = \{r\}$  for  $r \in \mathbf{Q}$ . The closure of a point  $r$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  is itself since its complement,  $\mathbf{R} \setminus \{r\}$ , is the union of the open intervals  $(-\infty, r)$  and  $(r, \infty)$ ; in particular,  $\{r\}$  is the “smallest” closed set containing  $\{r\}$ . Hence, we see that the union

$$\bigcup_{r \in \mathbf{Q}} \bar{A}_r = \mathbf{Q},$$

but, by Example 6,  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}} = \mathbf{R}$ . ■

**Problem 2.5 (Munkres §17, p. 101, 7)**

Criticize the following “proof” that  $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \subset \bigcup \bar{A}_\alpha$ : if  $\{A_\alpha\}$  is a collection of sets in  $X$  and if  $x \in \overline{\bigcup A_\alpha}$ , then every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  intersects  $\bigcup A_\alpha$ . Thus  $U$  must intersect some  $A_\alpha$ , so  $x$  must belong to the closure of some  $A_\alpha$ . Therefore,  $x \in \bigcup \bar{A}_\alpha$ .

*Critique.* The main argument, that “ $x$  must belong to the closure of some  $A_\alpha$ ”, is what is wrong here. The point  $x$  may belong to the closure of multiple  $A_\alpha$ ’s, in fact uncountably many of them, so that one would have to prove that if  $x$  belongs to the closure of some family  $A_\beta$  of set, then  $x$  must belong to the union of their closures. This takes us right back to what we are trying to prove. ■

**Problem 2.6 (Munkres §17, p.101, 9)**

Let  $A \subset X$  and  $B \subset Y$ . Show that in the space  $X \times Y$ ,

$$\overline{A \times B} = \bar{A} \times \bar{B}.$$

*Proof.* By Problem 2.2,  $\bar{A} \times \bar{B}$  is a closed set which contains  $A \times B$  so it must contain the closure of  $A \times B$ , i.e.,  $\overline{A \times B} \subset \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ . To see the reverse containment, take a point  $x \times y \in \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ . Then, by Theorem 17.5(a), for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and every neighborhood  $V$  of  $y$ , the intersections  $U \cap A$  and  $V \cap B$  are nonempty. Thus, by Lemma 5(a), the set

$$(V \times U) \cap (A \times B) = (V \cap A) \times (U \cap B)$$

is nonempty. Then, since  $U \times V$  is an arbitrary basis element containing  $x \times y$ , by Theorem 17.5(b)  $x \times y \in \overline{A \times B}$ . Thus,  $\overline{A \times B} = \bar{A} \times \bar{B}$ . ■



**Problem 2.7 (Munkres §17, p. 101, 10)**

Show that every order topology is Hausdorff.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, <)$  denote a nonempty set equipped with a simple order relation. Then by the definition on Munkres §14, p. 84, a basis for the order topology on  $X$  are sets of the following types:

- (1) All open intervals  $(a, b)$  in  $X$ .
- (2) All intervals of the form  $[a_0, b)$ , where  $a_0$  is the smallest element (if any) of  $X$ .
- (3) All intervals of the form  $(a, b_0]$ , where  $b_0$  is the largest element (if any) of  $X$ .

Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two distinct points in  $X$ ; we may assume, without loss of generality, that  $a < b$ . Then, we must show that there exists neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

If  $X$  set with finite cardinality the order topology on  $X$  will coincide with the discrete topology so that we may take  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  to be neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$ . Then,  $\{a\} \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$  so  $X$  is Hausdorff.

Now, suppose  $X$  is not of finite cardinality. Define the sets

$$C = (a, b), \quad A = \{x \in X \mid x < a\} \quad \text{and} \quad B = \{x \in X \mid x > b\}.$$

Then at least one of  $A$ ,  $B$  or  $C$  is nonempty and has infinite cardinality.

Suppose  $A$  is nonempty, but  $B$  and  $C$  are empty. Take any element  $x \in A$ , then  $(x, b)$  is a neighborhood of  $a$  and  $b$  must be a largest element so  $(a, b_0] = C \cup \{b\} = \{b\}$  is a neighborhood of  $b$  satisfying  $(x, b) \cap \{b\} = \emptyset$ . Similarly, if  $B$  is nonempty, but  $A$  and  $C$  are empty,  $\{a\}$  and  $(a, x)$  for some  $x \in B$  are neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, with  $\{a\} \cap (a, x) = \emptyset$ .

If  $C$  is nonempty but  $A$  and  $B$  are empty,  $a$  must be a smallest element and  $b$  must be a largest element. Then, since  $X$  is not finite, there exist at least two distinct elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $C$  with  $x < y$  so  $[a, x)$  and  $(y, b]$  are neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, with  $[a, x) \cap (y, b] = \emptyset$ .

Now, suppose at least two of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are nonempty. If  $C$  is empty, but  $A$  and  $B$  are nonempty. Then the intervals  $(x, b) = (x, a]$  and  $(a, y) = [b, y)$  are neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$  respectively with  $(x, b) \cap (a, y) = \emptyset$ . If  $A$  is empty, but  $B$  and  $C$  are nonempty, then  $a$  is a smallest element. Then there exists at least two distinct elements  $x$  and  $y$  with  $x < y$  in  $C$  so that  $[a, x)$  and  $(y, b)$  are neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, with  $[a, x) \cap (y, b) = \emptyset$ . Similarly, if  $B$  is empty, but  $A$  and  $C$  are nonempty, for any  $x < y$  in  $C$ ,  $(a, x)$  and  $(y, b]$  are neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, with  $(a, x) \cap (y, b] = \emptyset$ .

Lastly, if  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  are nonempty we win! Then, for any  $x \in A$ ,  $y \in B$  and  $z, w \in C$  with  $z < w$  the intervals  $(x, z)$  and  $(w, y)$  are neighborhoods of  $a$  and  $b$ , respectively, with  $(x, z) \cap (w, y) = \emptyset$ .

In every case,  $X$  satisfies the Hausdorff property. ■

**\*\*Remarks\*\*.** Perhaps there is a better way to approach this problem. The demonstration is thorough and covers every case, but we still desire a more elegant proof.

**Problem 2.8 (Munkres §17, p. 101, 13)**

Show that  $X$  is Hausdorff if and only if the *diagonal*  $\Delta = \{x \times x \mid x \in X\}$  is closed in  $X \times X$ .

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose  $X$  is Hausdorff. The diagonal  $\Delta$  is closed, by definition, if and only if its complement,  $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ , is open in  $X \times X$ . Let  $x \times y \in (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exists neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $U \times V$  is a neighborhood of  $x \times y$  contained in  $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$ . By the definition on Munkres §13 p. 78, since for every point  $x \times y \in (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  we may find a basis element  $U \times V \subset (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  containing  $x \times y$ , it follows that  $(X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  is open. Thus,  $\Delta$  is closed.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose  $\Delta$  is closed. Then the complement of  $\Delta$  is open in  $X \times X$ . Thus, for every  $x \times y$  in the complement of  $\Delta$ , we may find a basis element  $U \times V \subset (X \times X) \setminus \Delta$  containing  $x \times y$ . Thus,  $U$  and  $V$  are neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  (for otherwise  $z \times z \in U \times V$  but  $U \times V$  is in the complement of  $\Delta$ ). Thus,  $X$  is Hausdorff. ■

**Problem 2.9 (Munkres §18, p. 111, 4)**

Given  $x_0 \in X$  and  $y_0 \in Y$ , show that the maps  $f: X \rightarrow X \times Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow X \times Y$  defined by

$$f(x) = x \times y_0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(y) = x_0 \times y$$

are imbeddings.

*Proof.* We will prove the result for  $f$  only as the proof for  $g$  is analogous. Let  $Z = \text{im} f$ . To show that  $f: X \rightarrow X \times Y$  is an imbedding, we must demonstrate that the map  $f': X \rightarrow Z$ , obtained by restricting the range of  $f$ , is a continuous injection with a continuous inverse. To see that  $f'$  is indeed injective suppose  $f'(x) = f(x) = f(x)' = f'(x')$  for  $x, x' \in X$ . Then  $x \times y_0 = x' \times y_0$  so  $x = x'$ . Thus  $f'$  is injective. Now,  $f$  is continuous since, by Theorem 18.1(4), for each  $x \in X$  and each neighborhood  $V$  of  $f(x) = x \times y_0$ , there is an open set  $U$ , namely  $\pi_X(V)$  which is open since  $\pi_X: Z \rightarrow X$  is an open map by Problem 1.7 (Munkres §16, Exercise 4), with  $f(U) = \{x \times y_0 \mid x \in \pi_X(V)\} \subset V$  ■

**Problem 2.10 (Munkres §18, p. 111-112, 8(a,b))**

Let  $Y$  be an ordered set in the order topology. Let  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  be continuous.

- (a) Show that the set  $\{x \mid f(x) \leq g(x)\}$  is closed in  $X$ .
- (b) Let  $h: X \rightarrow Y$  be the function

$$h(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}.$$

Show that  $h$  is continuous. [*Hint:* Use the pasting lemma.]

*Proof.*

■

**Problem 2.11**

Given:  $X$  is a topological space with open sets  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  such that  $\bar{U}_i = X$  for all  $i$ . Prove that the closure of  $U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_n$  is  $X$ .

*Proof.*

■