

MA571 Problem Set 3

Carlos Salinas

September 10, 2015

Problem 3.1 (Munkres §18, p. 111, #7(a))

(a) Suppose that $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is “continuous from the right,” that is,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a+} f(x) = f(a).$$

for each $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Show that f is continuous when considered as a function from \mathbf{R}_ℓ to \mathbf{R} .

Proof. Recall the definition of “right-hand limit,”:

Definition (Rudin §4, p. 94, Def. 4.25). Let f be defined on (a, b) . Consider any point x such that $a \leq x < b$. We write $f(x+) = q$ if $f(t_n) \rightarrow q$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, for all sequences $\{t_n\}$ in (x, b) such that $t_n \rightarrow x$.

■

Problem 3.2 (Munkres §18, p. 112, #13)

Let $A \subset X$; let $f: A \rightarrow Y$ be continuous; let Y be Hausdorff. Show that if f may be extended to a continuous function $g: \overline{A} \rightarrow Y$, then g is uniquely determined by f .

Proof.

■

Problem 3.3 (Munkres §19, p. 118, #2)

Prove Theorem 19.3.

Proof. Recall the exact statement of Theorem 19.3 from Munkres §19, p. 116:

Theorem. *Let A_α be a subspace of X_α , for each $\alpha \in J$. Then $\prod A_\alpha$ is a subspace of $\prod X_\alpha$ if both products are given the box topology, or if both products are given the product topology.*

■

Problem 3.4 (Munkres §19, p. 118, #3)

Prove Theorem 19.4.

Proof. Recall the exact statement of Theorem 19.4 from Munkres §19, p. 116:

Theorem. *If each space X_α is a Hausdorff space, then $\prod X_\alpha$ is a Hausdorff space in both the box and product topologies.*

■

Problem 3.5 (Munkres §19, p. 118, #6)

Let $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots$ be a sequence of the points of the product space $\prod X_\alpha$. Show that this sequence converges to the point \mathbf{x} if and only if the sequence $\pi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_1), \pi_\alpha(\mathbf{x}_2), \dots$ converges to $\pi_\alpha(\mathbf{x})$ for each α . Is this fact true if one uses the box topology instead of the product topology?

Proof.

■

Problem 3.6 (Munkres §19, p. 118, #7)

Let \mathbf{R}^∞ be the subset of \mathbf{R}^ω consisting of all sequences that are “eventually zero,” that is, all sequences (x_1, x_2, \dots) such that $x_i \neq 0$ for only finitely many values of i . What is the closure of \mathbf{R}^∞ in \mathbf{R}^ω in the box and product topologies? Justify your answer.

Proof.

■

Problem 3.7 (Munkres §20, p.126, #3(b))

Let X be a metric space with metric d .

- (b) Let X' denote a space having the same underlying set as X . show that if $d: X' \times X' \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is continuous, then the topology of X' is finer than the topology of X .

Proof.

■

Problem 3.8 (Munkres §20, p. 127, #4(b))

Consider the product, uniform and box topologies on \mathbf{R}^ω

(b) In which topologies do the following sequences converge?

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \mathbf{w}_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots), & \mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{w}_2 = (0, 2, 2, 2, \dots), & \mathbf{x}_2 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{w}_3 = (0, 0, 3, 3, \dots), & \mathbf{x}_3 = (0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots), \\
 \vdots & \vdots \\
 \mathbf{y}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) & \mathbf{z}_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{y}_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots) & \mathbf{z}_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{y}_3 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \dots) & \mathbf{z}_3 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \dots), \\
 \vdots & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

Proof.

■

Problem 3.9 (A)

Given: X a metric space, A a countable subset of X , and $\overline{A} = X$. To prove: the topology of X has a countable basis.

Proof.

■

Problem 3.10 (B)

Given: Y is an ordered set, (a, b) and (c, d) are disjoint open intervals, and there are elements $x \in (a, b)$ and $y \in (c, d)$ with $x < y$. To prove: every element of (a, b) less than every element of (c, d) .

Proof.

■

Problem 3.11 (C)

(This problem will be used when we discuss quotient spaces). Let S and T be sets and let $f: S \rightarrow T$ be a function. Let $A \subset S$.

- (i) Give an example to show that the equation

$$f^{-1}(f(A)) = A \tag{*}$$

isn't always valid.

- (ii) Define an equivalence relation \sim on S by $s \sim s'$ if and only if $f(s) = f(s')$. Using this equivalence relation, describe the subsets A of S for which (*) is true. Prove that your answer is correct.

Proof.

■