

MA557 Problem Set 1

Carlos Salinas

September 6, 2015

Problem 1.1

Show that $\text{rad}(R[X]) = \text{nil}(R[X])$.

Proof. We will first prove the following results (which can be found in Dummit and Foote, §7.3, p. 33):

Lemma 1. *Let $f = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_0 \in R[X]$. Then*

- (a) *f is nilpotent in $R[X]$ if and only if a_0, a_1, \dots, a_n are nilpotent elements of R ;*
- (b) *f is a unit in $R[X]$ if and only if a_0 is a unit and a_1, \dots, a_n are nilpotent in R .*

Proof of lemma. (a) \Leftarrow : Suppose that a_0, \dots, a_n are nilpotent. Then $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \text{nil}(R)$, hence $f \in \text{nil}(R) \subset \text{nil}(R[X])$. \Rightarrow : Conversely, if $f^k = 0$ for some positive integer k , then $(a_n X^n)^k = 0$, so $a_n x^n \in \text{nil}(R[X])$ so $f - a_n X^n \in \text{nil}(R[X])$, in particular $a_n \in \text{nil}(R[X])$. By induction on n , $a_0, \dots, a_n \in \text{nil}(R[X])$.

(b) \Leftarrow : Suppose a_0 is unit and a_1, \dots, a_n are nilpotent. Then, by (a), $f - a_0 = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_1 X$ is nilpotent so $f - a_0 \in \text{rad}(R[X])$. By Proposition 1.13, f is a unit. \Rightarrow : On the other hand, if f is a unit, there exist $g = b_m X^m + \cdots + b_0$ in $R[X]$ with $fg = 1$. Now, let \mathfrak{p} be an arbitrary prime ideal. Since f is a unit in $R[X]$, $\bar{f} = \bar{a}_n X^n + \cdots + \bar{a}_0$ is a unit in $R[X]/\mathfrak{p}$. But since $R[X]/\mathfrak{p}$ is an integral domain and \bar{f} is a unit, $\deg \bar{f} = 0$ so $\bar{a}_i = 0$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Since \mathfrak{p} was chosen arbitrarily, \blacklozenge

By definition $\text{rad}(R)$ is the intersection of every maximal (hence prime) ideal of R so, by Theorem 1.12, $\text{rad}(R) \supset \text{nil}(R)$. To see the reverse containment let $f = a_n X^n + \cdots + a_0$ be in $\text{rad}(R[X])$. By Proposition 1.13, $1 + fg$ is a unit for every $g \in R[X]$. In particular, $1 + fX$ is a unit, so by Lemma 1(b), a_0, \dots, a_n are nilpotent so $f \in \text{nil}(R[X])$. \blacksquare

Problem 1.2

Let I and J be R -ideals. Show that

$$\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}.$$

Proof. $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J}$: By contradiction, suppose that there exists some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \supset IJ$, but $\mathfrak{p} \not\supset I \cap J$. Then there exists some element $x \in I \cap J$ with $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$. However, $x^2 \in IJ$. This contradicts the primality of \mathfrak{p} . Hence, if \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal containing IJ , it must also contain $I \cap J$ so $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J}$.

$\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$: Let $x \in \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$. Then $x^n \in I$ for some $n > 0$ and $x^m \in J$ for some $m > 0$. Then $x^{n+m} \in IJ$ so $x \in \sqrt{IJ}$. Hence $\sqrt{IJ} \supset \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$. To see the reverse containment note that, by above, since $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J}$, then $x \in \sqrt{IJ}$ implies $x^n \in I$ and $x^n \in J$ for some $n > 0$, hence $x \in \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$ so $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$.

By transitivity of “=”, it follows that $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I \cap J} = \sqrt{I} \cap \sqrt{J}$. ■

Problem 1.3

Let S be a subset of a ring R . Show that the following are equivalent:

- (i) $R \setminus S$ is a union of prime ideals.
- (ii) $1 \in S$, and for any elements x, y of R , $x \in S$ and $y \in S$ if and only if $xy \in S$.

Proof. (ii) \implies (i): Suppose that S is a saturated multiplicative subset of R . Then $S \supset R^\times$ so every element of $R \setminus S$ is a non-unit. By Corollary 1.5, for every $x \in R \setminus S$, there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \supset \langle x \rangle$. Hence

$$R \setminus S = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{m} \supset \langle x \rangle} \mathfrak{m},$$

in particular $R \setminus S$ is a union of prime ideals.

(i) \implies (ii): Suppose that $R \setminus S$ is a union of prime ideals. Then, it is clear that $R^\times \subset S$ so $1 \in S$. Now $x, y \in S$ if and only if $x, y \notin R \setminus S$ if and only if $xy \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for some prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R \setminus S$. Hence, S is a saturated multiplicative subset of R , i.e., satisfies the conditions given in (ii). ■

Problem 1.4

Show that the set of all zero divisors in a ring is a union of prime ideals.

Proof. By Problem 1.3, it suffices to show that the complement of the set of all zero-divisors, call it Z , of a ring R is a saturated multiplicative subset. It is clear that $R \setminus Z \supset R^\times$ (since, if $u \in R^\times$, $ub = 0$ if and only if $b = 0$: \implies is easily seen since $u^{-1}ub = 1 \cdot b = 0$ so $b = 0$; the converse is immediate). Now, suppose the product $xy \in R \setminus Z$. Then xy is not a zero divisor so x, y are not zero divisors. ■

Problem 1.5

Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a surjective homomorphism of rings.

- (a) Show that $\varphi(\text{rad}(R)) \subset \text{rad}(S)$, but that equality does not hold in general.
- (b) Show that $\varphi(\text{rad}(R)) = \text{rad}(S)$ if R is semilocal.

Proof.

■

Problem 1.6

An element $e \in R$ is called *idempotent* if $e^2 = e$. Show that in a local ring, 0 and 1 are the only idempotents.

Proof.

■

Problem 1.7

Let I be an R -ideal. Show that I is finitely generated and $I^2 = I$ if and only if $I = Re$ with e idempotent.

Proof.

■