## Fall 2016 Notes

### Carlos Salinas

### September 14, 2016

# Contents

Contents		1
1	Probability 1.1 Discrete Probability	<b>2</b> 2
2	Introduction to Partial Differential Equations	4
3	Algebraic Geometry 3.1 The statement of de Rham's theorem	<b>5</b>
4	Algebraic Topology         4.1 Cohomology	<b>6</b>
B	ibliography	7

# **Probability**

We will devote this chapter to the material that is covered in MA 51900 (discrete probability) as it was covered in DasGupta's class. We will, for the most part, reference Feller's *An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Volume 1* [5] (especially for the discrete noncalculus portion of the class) and DasGupta's own book *Fundamentals of Probability: A First Course* [3].

### 1.1 Discrete Probability

The material in this section is pulled almost entirely from [5] with minor detours to [3]. We will not reference any particular pages in either book (unless we feel particularly lazy).

#### Background

Given a discrete sample space  $\Omega$  with sample points  $\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots$ , we shall assume that with each point  $\omega_j$  there is associated a number, called the probability of  $\omega_j$  and denoted by  $P(\omega_i)$ . It is nonnegative and such that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbf{N}} P(\omega_i) = 1. \tag{1.1}$$

**Definition 1.1.** The probability P(A) of an event A is the sum of the probabilities of all sample points in it.

Since the probability of  $\Omega$  is 1 by (1.1), it follows that for any event A

$$0 \le P(A) \le 1. \tag{1.2}$$

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be arbitrary events. To compute the probability  $P(A_1 \cup A_2)$  that either  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  or both occur, we have to add the probabilities of the sample points contained either in  $A_1$  or in  $A_2$ , but each point is to be counted only once. Therefore, we have

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2) < P(A_1) + P(A_2). \tag{1.3}$$

Now, if  $\omega$  is any point contained in both  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  the probability of  $\omega$ ,  $P(\omega)$ , appears on the right-hand side of (??) twice but only once in the left-hand side. This analysis leads us to conclude that the probability  $P(A_1 \cap A_2)$  occurs twice on right-hand side of (1.3), and we have the important result

**Theorem 1.2.** For any two events  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  the probability that either  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  or both occur is given by

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 \cap A_2). \tag{1.4}$$

If  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ , that is, if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are mutually exclusive, then (1.4) reduces to

$$P(A_1 \cup A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2).$$

We may similarly continue to consider the probability of (countably) arbitrarily many events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ ,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbf{N}}A_i\right)\leq\sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}}P(A_i). \tag{1.5}$$

This equation is referred to as *Boole's inequality*. In the special case where the events  $A_1, A_2, \ldots$  are mutually exclusive, we have

$$P\left(\bigcup_{i\in\mathbf{N}}A_i\right) = \sum_{i\in\mathbf{N}}P(A_i).$$

# Introduction to Partial Differential Equations

Here we summarize some important points about PDEs. The material is mostly taken from Evans's *Partial Differential Equations* [4] with occasional detours to Strauss's *Partial Differential Equations*: An Introduction [7].

# Algebraic Geometry

A summary to a course on an introduction to sheaf cohomology. We will mostly reference Donu's notes available here https://www.math.purdue.edu/~dvb/classroom.html, but also cite Ravi Vakil's Fundamentals of Algebraic Geometry [8] available here https://math216.wordpress.com/.

### 3.1 The statement of de Rham's theorem

These are almost verbatim Arapura's notes on the de Rham Complex and cohomology.

Before doing anything fancy, let's start at the beginning. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open set. In calculus class, we learn about operations

$$\{\,\text{functions}\,\} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \{\,\text{vector fields}\,\} \xrightarrow{\nabla\times} \{\,\text{vector fields}\,\} \xrightarrow{\nabla\cdot} \{\,\text{functions}\,\}$$

such that  $(\nabla \times)(\nabla) = 0$  and  $(\nabla \cdot)(\nabla \times) = 0$ . This is a prototype for a *complex*. An obvious question: does  $\nabla \times v = 0$  imply that v is a gradient? Answer: sometimes yes (e.g. if  $U = \mathbf{R}^3$ ) and sometimes no (e.g. if  $U = \mathbf{R}^3$  minus a line).

# Algebraic Topology

From my meetings with Mark. We reference Hatcher's  $Algebraic\ Topology\ [6]$  freely available here https://www.math.cornell.edu/~hatcher/#ATI.

### 4.1 Cohomology

# **Bibliography**

- [1] V.I. Arnold, K. Vogtmann, and A. Weinstein. *Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2013.
- [2] R. Bott and L.W. Tu. *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer New York, 2013.
- [3] A. DasGupta. Fundamentals of Probability: A First Course. Springer Texts in Statistics. Springer New York, 2010.
- [4] L.C. Evans. *Partial Differential Equations*. Graduate studies in mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [5] W. Feller. An introduction to probability theory and its applications. Number v. 1 in Wiley mathematical statistics series. Wiley, 1950.
- [6] A. Hatcher. Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [7] W.A. Strauss. Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction. Wiley, 1992.
- [8] R. Vakil. Math 216: Foundations of algebraic geometry, 2016.