

# Fall 2016 Notes

Carlos Salinas

October 15, 2016

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Probability</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Introduction to Partial Differential Equations</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Algebraic Geometry</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	The statement of de Rham's theorem . . . . .	4
3.2	A crash course in homological algebra . . . . .	7
3.3	Hom Functors . . . . .	8

## Chapter 1

# Probability

We will devote this chapter to the material that is covered in MA 51900 (discrete probability) as it was covered in DasGupta's class. We will, for the most part, reference Feller's *An introduction to probability theory and its applications, Volume 1* [?] (especially for the discrete noncalculus portion of the class) and DasGupta's own book *Fundamentals of Probability: A First Course* [?].

### 1.1 Counting

## Chapter 2

# Introduction to Partial Differential Equations

Here we summarize some important points about PDEs. The material is mostly taken from Evans's *Partial Differential Equations* [?] with occasional detours to Strauss's *Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction* [?]. We will be following Dr. Petrosyan's **Course Log** which can be found here <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~arshak/F16/MA523/courselog/>, i.e., summarizing the appropriate chapters from [?].

### 2.1 First-Order PDEs

## Chapter 3

# Algebraic Geometry

A summary to a course on an introduction to sheaf cohomology. We will mostly reference Donu's notes available here <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~dvb/classroom.html>, but also cite Ravi Vakil's *Fundamentals of Algebraic Geometry* [?] available here <https://math216.wordpress.com/>.

### 3.1 The statement of de Rham's theorem

These are almost verbatim Arapura's notes on the de Rham Complex and cohomology.

Before doing anything fancy, let's start at the beginning. Let  $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$  be an open set. In calculus class, we learn about operations

$$\{ \text{functions} \} \xrightarrow{\nabla} \{ \text{vector fields} \} \xrightarrow{\nabla \times} \{ \text{vector fields} \} \xrightarrow{\nabla \cdot} \{ \text{functions} \}$$

such that  $(\nabla \times)(\nabla) = 0$  and  $(\nabla \cdot)(\nabla \times) = 0$ . This is a prototype for a *complex*. An obvious question: does  $\nabla \times v = 0$  imply that  $v$  is a gradient? Answer: sometimes yes (e.g. if  $U = \mathbb{R}^3$ ) and sometimes no (e.g. if  $U = \mathbb{R}^3$  minus a line). To quantify the failure we introduce the first de Rham cohomology

$$H_{\text{dR}}^1(U) = \frac{\{ v \text{ a vector field on } U : \nabla \times v = 0 \}}{\{ \nabla f \}}.$$

Contrary to first appearances, for reasonable  $U$  this is finite dimensional and computable. This follows from the de Rham's theorem, which we now explain. First, let's generalize this to an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Once  $n > 3$  vector calculus is useless, but there is a good replacement. A differential form of degree  $p$ , or  $p$ -form, is an expression

$$\alpha = \sum f_{i_1, \dots, i_p}(x_1, \dots, x_n) dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$$

such that the  $x_i$  are coordinates, the  $f$  are  $C^\infty$  functions,  $dx_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}$  are symbols where  $\wedge$  is an anticommutative product. Let  $\mathcal{E}^p(U)$  denote the vector space of  $p$ -forms. Define the exterior derivative by

$$d\alpha = \sum_j \sum \frac{\partial f_{i_1, \dots, i_p}}{\partial x_j} dx_j \wedge \dots \wedge dx_{i_p}.$$

This is a  $(p+1)$ -form.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $d^2 = 0$ .

*PROOF.* We prove it for  $p = 0$ . In this case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} df &= \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \\ d(df) &= \sum_{i,j} \sum \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} dx_j \wedge dx_i. \end{aligned}$$

Using anticommutativity, we can rewrite this as

$$\sum_{j < i} \left( \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} - \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right) dx_j \wedge dx_i = 0.$$

■

A cochain complex is a collection of Abelian groups  $M^i$  and homomorphisms  $d: M^i \rightarrow M^{i+1}$  such that  $d^2 = 0$ . We define the  $p^{\text{th}}$  cohomology of this by

$$H_{\text{dR}}^p(M^\bullet, d) = \frac{\text{Ker } d: M^p \rightarrow M^{p+1}}{\text{Im } d: M^{p-1} \rightarrow M^p}.$$

So we have an example of a complex  $(\mathcal{E}^\bullet(U), d)$  called the de Rham complex of  $U$ . It's cohomology is the de Rham cohomology  $H_{\text{dR}}^p(U) = H^p(\mathcal{E}^\bullet(U), d)$ . Here is a basic computation.

**Theorem 3.2** (Poincaré's lemma).

$$H_{\text{dR}}^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } p = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*PROOF.* We show this for  $n \leq 2$ . We first treat the case  $n = 1$ . Clearly  $H_{\text{dR}}^p(\mathbb{R})$  consists of constant functions. If  $\alpha = f(x) dx$ , then

$$d\left(\int_0^x f(t) dt\right) = \alpha.$$

There are no  $p$ -forms for  $p > 1$ .

Next, we treat  $n = 2$  which contains all of the ideas of the general case. Let  $x, y$  be coordinates. We define some operators

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & s^* & \\ \mathcal{E}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}^2) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{E}^\bullet(\mathbb{R}), \\ & \pi^* & \end{array}$$

where  $\pi^*$  is the pullback along the projection  $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . It takes a form in  $x$  and treats it as a form in  $x, y$ . The pullback along the zero section  $s^*$  sets  $y$  and  $dy$  to zero. Note that  $s^* \circ \pi^*$  is the identity. Although  $\pi^* \circ s^*$  is not the identity, we will show that it induces the identity on cohomology. This

will show that  $H_{\text{dR}}^*(\mathbb{R}^2) \cong H^*(\mathbb{R})$ , which is all we need. This involves a new concept. We introduce an operator  $H: \mathcal{E}^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{p-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  of degree  $-1$  called a *homotopy*. It integrates  $y$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} H(f(x, y)) &= 0 \\ H(f(x, y) dx) &= 0 \\ H(f(x, y) dy) &= \int_0^y f(x, t) dt \\ H(f(x, y) dx \wedge dy) &= \left[ \int_0^y f(x, t) dt \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

A computation using nothing more than the fundamental theorem of calculus shows that

$$1 - \pi^* s^* = \pm(Hd - dH).$$

This implies that the left side induces 0 on  $H_{\text{dR}}^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , or equivalently  $\pi^* \circ s^*$  acts like the identity on cohomology.  $\blacksquare$

Before describing de Rham's theorem, we have to say what's happening at the other end. The standard  $n$  dimensional simplex, or  $n$ -simplex,  $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  is the convex hull of the unit vectors  $(1, 0, \dots, 0), (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0), \dots$ . The convex hull of the subset of these is called a face. This is homeomorphic to a simplex of smaller dimension. Omitting all but the  $i^{\text{th}}$  vertex is called the  $i^{\text{th}}$  face of  $\Delta^n$ . We have a standard homeomorphism

$$\delta_i: \Delta^{n-1} \rightarrow i^{\text{th}} \text{ face of } \Delta^n.$$

A geometric simplicial complex is given by a collection of simplices glued along faces. Historically, the first cohomology theory was defined for simplicial complexes. A bit later singular cohomology was developed, which is an arbitrary topological space  $X$ . A (real/complex) singular  $p$ -cochain  $\alpha$  is an integer (real/complex) valued function on the set of all continuous maps  $f: \Delta^p \rightarrow X$ . It might help to think of  $\alpha(f)$  as a combinatorial integral  $\int_f \alpha$ . Let  $S^p(X)$  ( $S^p(X, \mathbb{R})$ ,  $S^p(X, \mathbb{C})$ ) denote the group of these cochains. Define  $\delta: S^p(X) \rightarrow S^{p+1}(X)$  by

$$\delta(\alpha)(f) = \sum (-1)^i \alpha(f \circ \delta_i).$$

**Lemma 3.3.**

$$\delta^2 = 0.$$

FOR  $p = 0$ . Let  $\alpha \in S^0$ . Fix  $f: \Delta^2 \rightarrow X$ . Label the restriction of  $f$  to the vertices by 0, 1, 2 and faces 01, 02, 12. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^2(f) &= \delta\alpha(12) - \delta\alpha(02) + \delta\alpha(01) \\ &= \alpha(1) - \alpha(2) - \alpha(0) + \alpha(2) + \alpha(0) - \alpha(1) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

$\blacksquare$

Thus we have a complex. Singular cohomology is defined by  $H^p(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^p(S^\bullet(X), \delta)$ , and similarly for real or complex valued singular cohomology. These groups are highly computable.

**Theorem 3.4** (de Rham). *If  $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is open, or more generally a manifold, then  $H_{\text{dR}}^p(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong H^p(X, \mathbb{R})$  for all  $p$ .*

We will give a proof of this later on as an easy application of sheaf theory. Sheaf methods will help obtain parallel theorems.

**Theorem 3.5** (Holomorphic de Rham). *If  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is a complex manifold, then  $H^p(X, \mathbb{C})$  can be computed using algebraic differential forms.*

The last theorem is due to Grothendieck. The proof is a lot harder, so we'll try to give the proof by the end of the semester, but there's no guarantee.

## 3.2 A crash course in homological algebra

By the 1940s techniques from algebraic topology began to be applied to pure algebra, giving rise to a new subject. To begin with, recall that a category  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of a set or class of objects (e.g., sets, groups, topological spaces) and morphisms (e.g., functions, homomorphisms, continuous maps) between pairs of objects  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ . We require an identity  $\text{id}_A \in \text{Hom}(A, A)$  for each object  $A$ , and associative composition law.

In this section, we will focus on one particular example. Let  $R$  be an associative (but possibly noncommutative ring) with identity 1, and let  $R\text{-Mod}$  be the category of left  $R$ -modules and homomorphisms. We write  $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, \cdot)$  for the morphisms. It is worth noting that  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$  is the category of Abelian groups. These categories have the following features:

1.  $\text{Hom}_R(\cdot, \cdot)$  is an Abelian group, and composition is distributive.
2. There is a zero object  $0$  such that  $\text{Hom}_R(0, M) = \text{Hom}_R(M, 0) = 0$ .
3. Every pair of objects  $A, B$  has a direct sum  $A \oplus B$  characterized by certain universal properties.
4. Morphisms have kernels and images, characterized by the appropriate universal properties.

We will encounter other categories satisfying these conditions later on. Such categories are called Abelian. We have been a bit vague about the precise axioms; see Weibel's Homological Algebra for this.

## Diagram Chasing

A sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$$

is called *exact* if  $\text{Ker } g = \text{Im } f$ . A useful skill in this business is to be able to prove things by diagram chasing.

**Exercise 3.1.** Given a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

show that  $g$  is an isomorphism if  $f$  and  $h$  are isomorphisms.

*SOLUTION.* ■

**Theorem 3.6** (Snake lemma). *If*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B & \longrightarrow & C & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow g & & \downarrow h & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' & \longrightarrow & C' & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

*is a commutative diagram with exact rows, then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} f \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} g \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ker} h \xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{Coker} f \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} g \longrightarrow \operatorname{Coker} h.$$

### 3.3 Hom Functors

A (*covariant*) *functor*  $F$  from one category to another is a function taking objects to objects and morphisms to morphisms such that if  $f: A \rightarrow B$  then  $F(f): F(A) \rightarrow F(B)$ ,  $F(\operatorname{id}_A) = \operatorname{id}_{F(A)}$ , and  $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ . A *contravariant* functor reverses direction in the sense that  $F(f): F(B) \rightarrow F(A)$ ,  $F(\operatorname{id}_A) = \operatorname{id}_{F(A)}$ , and  $F(f \circ g) = F(g) \circ F(f)$ . Here are two basic examples: If  $M \in R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ , then  $F(\cdot) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, \cdot)$  is a covariant functor from  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ g \uparrow & \nearrow F(f)=f \circ g & \\ M & & \end{array}$$

When  $R$  is commutative,  $F(\cdot)$  is naturally an  $R$ -module, but not otherwise. Similarly,  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\cdot, M)$  is a contravariant functor from  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$  (or  $R\text{-}\mathbf{Mod}$  when  $R$  is commutative).

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose that*

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

*is exact. Then*

(a)

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, C),$$

(b)

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(C, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A, M)$$

*are both exact.*

The proof is straight forward and will be omitted.

**Exercise 3.2.** Prove the lemma.



**Exercise 3.3.** Prove that

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, A) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, B) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(M, C),$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(C, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(B, M) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(A, M)$$

are exact when the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

is split exact. This means that there exists a map  $s: C \rightarrow B$ , called a splitting, such that  $p \circ s = \operatorname{id}_C$ .

A (contravariant) functor is called exact if it preserves exact sequences. The lemma says that the Hom functors have the weaker property left exactness. They are not exact, in general:

**Example 3.8.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $M = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . Note that  $\operatorname{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Hom}(M, M) = \mathbb{Z}/2$ . So  $\operatorname{Hom}(M, \cdot)$  applied to

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0$$

yields the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow 0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2.$$

The last map is certainly not onto.