

MA 54400-MIDTERM 2 PRACTICE PROBLEMS

PROF. DANIELLI

1.

Proof. Use spherical coordinate,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,\epsilon)^c} \frac{1}{|x|^{n+1}} dx &= \int_{S^{n-1}(0,r)} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} dr d\sigma, \\ &= \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}(0,r)} d\sigma \right) dr \quad (\text{by Tonelli's Theorem}), \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}(0,r)} d\sigma = |S^{n-1}(0,r)|_{n-1} = r^{n-1} |S^{n-1}(0,1)|_{n-1} = c_n r^{n-1},$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \left(\int_{S^{n-1}(0,r)} d\sigma \right) dr &= c_n \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^{n+1}} \cdot r^{n-1} dr, \\ &= c_n \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{1}{r^2} dr, \\ &= \frac{c_n}{\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.

Proof. Because $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , then given a measurable subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ we have $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. in E and $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$.

$\{f_k\}$ are nonnegative, then by Fatou's theorem:

$$\int_E f = \int_E \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k, \quad (1)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} f_k. \quad (2)$$

Since

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k < \infty,$$

combined with (2) we get:

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} f \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k - \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} f_k.$$

This implies:

$$\int_E f \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E} f_k \right) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k. \quad (3)$$

Hence by (1) and (3) we have:

$$\int_E f \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k \leq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k \leq \int_E f.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k$ exists, and

$$\int_E f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k.$$

This result is not necessarily true if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k = \infty$.

For example, in \mathbb{R} let

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{k^2}{2} & x \in (-\frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k}) \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $f = 1$ in \mathbb{R} .

It's easy to see that $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e. in \mathbb{R} , and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f_k = \infty$. However, if $E = (-1, 1)$ then $\int_E f = 1$, but $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_E f_k = \infty$. \square

3.

Proof. Let $E_k = \{x \in E \mid k \leq f(x) < k+1\}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then E_k are disjoint and $\cup_{k=0}^{\infty} E_k = E$.

Because

$$k|E_k| \leq \int_{E_k} f(x) dx \leq (k+1)|E_k|,$$

then we have:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|E_k| \leq \int_E f(x) dx \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|E_k|. \quad (4)$$

Let $F_k = \{x \in E \mid f(x) \geq k\}$ for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Notice that $E_k = F_k \setminus F_{k+1}$, then we have :

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kE_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(F_k \setminus F_{k+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k,$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)E_k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(F_k \setminus F_{k+1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} F_k.$$

Hence, (4) can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_k| \leq \int_E f(x) dx \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|. \quad (5)$$

Observe that $F_0 = E$, $|F_0| = |E| < \infty$. Therefore by (5) $\int_E f(x) dx < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k| < \infty$. \square

4.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\rho(f_k, f) \rightarrow 0$ implies

$$\int_{\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Observe that the function $\Phi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\Phi(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}$ is increasing on $(0, \infty)$ and $0 < \Phi(x) < 1$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx &\geq \int_{\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}} \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} dx \\ &= \frac{\epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} |\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}| \leq \frac{1 + \epsilon}{\epsilon} \int_{\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \epsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \rightarrow 0,$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

(\Leftarrow) By the observation on the function Φ above, given arbitrary $\delta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(f_k, f) &= \int_{\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx + \int_{\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| \leq \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx, \\ &\leq |\{x \in E \mid |f_k - f| > \delta\}| + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} |E|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|E| < \infty$ and $\frac{\delta}{1+\delta} \searrow 0$, then for any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\delta_0}{1 + \delta_0} |E| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

If $f_k \rightarrow f$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in measure, then for the above δ_0 there is a $K_0 > 0$, such that for any $k > K_0$,

$$|\{x \in E \mid |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta_0\}| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, $f_k \rightarrow f$ in measure implies $\rho(f_k, f) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. \square

5.

Proof. (a). It's not hard to show that: given $y > 0$, there exist $C = C(y)$ large enough and $\epsilon = \epsilon(y) > 0$ small enough, such that

$$u^{y-1} e^{-u} \leq C e^{-\epsilon u},$$

for $u \in (1, \infty)$. Hence $u^{y-1} e^{-u}$ is integrable on $(1, \infty)$.

On the other side, for $y > 0$ we have:

$$\int_0^1 u^{y-1} e^{-u} du \leq \int_0^1 u^{y-1} du = \frac{1}{y} < \infty.$$

Therefore, the function $u \mapsto u^{y-1} e^{-u}$ is in $L((0, \infty))$.

(b). Let $u = z^2$,

$$\int_0^\infty u^{y-1} e^{-u} du = \int_0^\infty e^{-z^2} z^{2(y-1)} 2z dz.$$

Then by Fubini theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-z^2} z^{2(x-1)} 2z dz \int_0^\infty e^{-z^2} z^{2(y-1)} 2z dz, \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-z_1^2 - z_2^2} z_1^{2(x-1)} z_2^{2(y-1)} 4z_1 z_2 dz_1 dz_2, \end{aligned}$$

Make change of variable: $(z_1, z_2) \mapsto (r, \theta)$, $z_1 = r \cos \theta$, $z_2 = r \sin \theta$, then by Fubini theorem:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) &= 4 \int_0^\infty \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} e^{-r^2} r^{2x-1} (\cos \theta)^{2x-1} r^{2y-1} (\sin \theta)^{2y-1} r dr d\theta, \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty e^{-r^2} r^{2x+2y-2} 2r dr \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{2x-1} (\sin \theta)^{2y-1} d\theta, \\ &= 2\Gamma(x+y) \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{2x-1} (\sin \theta)^{2y-1} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\cos^2 \theta = t$, $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$, then $d\theta = -\frac{1}{2} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} (\cos \theta)^{2x-1} (\sin \theta)^{2y-1} d\theta &= 2 \int_1^0 t^{x-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{y-\frac{1}{2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right) t^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt, \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt = B(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y) = \Gamma(x+y)B(x, y).$$

□

6.

Proof. Please refer to Theorem 8.19 on page 134 in the textbook.

□

7.

Proof. (a). Since $f_k \rightarrow f$, $g_k \rightarrow g$ a.e. and $|f_k| \leq g_k$, then by Fatou's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g - f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (g_k - f_k) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g_k - f_k), \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g + f) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} (g_k + f_k) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g_k + f_k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$, then using the similar argument as in problem 2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f &\geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f$.

(b). (\Rightarrow) This direction is obvious because of the inequality:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| - |f| \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||f_k| - |f|| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f|.$$

(\Leftarrow) Let $g_k = |f_k| + |f|$ and $g = 2|f|$. Since $f_k, f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_k \rightarrow f$ a.e., then $g_k, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g_k \rightarrow g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . By the assumption, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$.

Let $\tilde{f}_k = |f_k - f|$. Then $\tilde{f}_k \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n and $\tilde{f}_k \leq g_k$. Applying part (a) to \tilde{f}_k we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| = 0.$$

□