MA 523: Homework 5

Carlos Salinas

October 4, 2016

Problem 5.1

Prove that Laplace's equation $\Delta u = 0$ is rotation invariant; that is, if O is an orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix and we define $v(x) := u(Ox), x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, then $\Delta v = 0$.

SOLUTION. Let

$$O = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

be an orthogonal $n \times n$ matrix. We will show that $\Delta v = 0$, where v(x) = u(Ox). First, let us compute the gradient of v,

$$Dv(x) = Du(Ox)$$

$$= Du(a_{11}x_1 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n, \dots, a_{n1}x_1 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n)$$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_{j1}u_{x_j}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jn}u_{x_j}\right)$$

$$= O^T Du(x).$$

Lastly, we compute the divergence of Dv,

$$\Delta v(x) = \operatorname{div} Dv(x)$$

$$= \operatorname{div} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j1} u_{x_j}, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{jn} u_{x_j} \right).$$

Here the partial derivatives become unwieldy so we will first examine the partial $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$ of the first term and proceed from there. In this case,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{j1} u_{x_j} = a_{11} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u_{x_1} + a_{21} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u_{x_2} + \dots + a_{n1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} u_{x_n}$$

$$= a_{11} (a_{11} u_{x_1 x_1} + a_{21} u_{x_1 x_2} + \dots + a_{n1} u_{x_1 x_n})$$

$$+ \dots + a_{n1} (a_{11} u_{x_1 x_n} + a_{21} u_{x_2 x_n} + \dots + a_{n1} u_{x_n x_n})$$

$$= a_{11}^2 u_{x_1 x_1} + 2a_{11} a_{21} u_{x_1 x_2} + 2a_{11} a_{31} u_{x_1 x_3} + \dots + a_{21}^2 u_{x_2 x_2}$$

$$+ \dots + a_{k_1}^2 u_{x_k x_k} + \dots + a_{n_1}^2 u_{x_n x_n}.$$

Similarly, taking the k^{th} partial of the k^{th} entry of Dv, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{j=1}^n a_{jk} u_{x_j} = a_{1k} (a_{1k} u_{x_1 x_1} + \dots + a_{nk} u_{x_1 x_n})
+ \dots + a_{nk} (a_{1k} u_{x_1 x_n} + \dots + a_{nk} u_{x_n x_n})
= a_{1k}^2 u_{x_1 x_1} + a_{2k}^2 u_{x_2 x_2} + \dots + a_{kk}^2 u_{x_k x_k}
+ \dots + a_{nk}^2 u_{x_n x_n} + \{\text{mixed terms}\}.$$
(5.1)

Now, since O is orthogonal, we have

$$OO^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \dots & a_{n1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}^{2} + \dots + a_{1n}^{2} & a_{11}a_{21} + \dots + a_{1n}a_{2n} & \dots & a_{11}a_{n1} + \dots + a_{1n}a_{nn} \\ & \vdots & & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}a_{11} + \dots + a_{nn}a_{1n} & a_{n1}a_{21} + \dots + a_{nn}a_{2n} & \dots & a_{n1}^{2} + \dots + a_{nn}^{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can sum up the results of our calculation as

$$\begin{cases} (a) \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj} a_{\ell j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj}^{2} = 1 & \text{if } k = \ell, \\ (b) \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj} a_{\ell j} = 0 & \text{if } k \neq \ell. \end{cases}$$
(5.2)

for $1 \leq k, \ell \leq n$.

Now, going back to (5.1), we have

$$\operatorname{div} Dv = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{jk} u_{x_j} \right]$$

$$= (a_{11}^2 + a_{12}^2 + \dots + a_{1n}^2) u_{x_1 x_1} + (a_{12}^2 + a_{22}^2 + \dots + a_{2n}^2) u_{x_2 x_2}$$

$$+ \dots + (a_{1n}^2 + \dots + a_{nn}^2) u_{x_n x_n} + \{ \text{mixed terms} \}$$

$$= u_{x_1 x_1} + u_{x_2 x_2} + \dots + u_{x_n x_n}$$

$$= 0,$$

as desired.

All that is left to show as that the mixed terms in the expression above actually have coefficients of the form in (5.2) (b). A little routine calculation shows that indeed, the mixed terms have the form. Here is the first mixed term

$$2(a_{11}a_{21} + a_{12}a_{22} + \dots + a_{1n}a_{2n})u_{x_1x_2} = 0.$$

MA 523: Homework 5

Problem 5.2

Let n=2 and U be the halfplane $\{x_2>0\}$. Prove that

$$\sup_{U} u = \sup_{\partial U} u$$

for $u \in C^2(U) \cap C(\bar{U})$ which are harmonic in U under the additional assumption that u is bounded from above in \bar{U} . (The additional assumption is needed to exclude examples like $u=x_2$.) [Hint: Take for $\varepsilon > 0$ the harmonic function

$$u(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon \ln \sqrt{x_1^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2}$$

Apply the maximum principle to a region $\{x_1^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2 < a_2, x_2 > 0\}$ with large a. Let $\varepsilon \to 0$.]

SOLUTION. Consider the harmonic function

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x_1, x_2) := u(x_1, x_2) + \varepsilon \ln \sqrt{x_1^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2}.$$

Set $U_a := \{ x_1^2 + (x_2 + 1)^2 < a_2, x_2 > 0 \}$ where $a = (a_1, a_2)$. First, we note that $u_{\varepsilon} \downarrow u$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ uniformly, i.e., given $\eta > 0$, for $0 < \varepsilon < 2\eta/\ln a_2$, we have

$$|u_{\varepsilon}(x_{1}, x_{2}) - u(x_{1}, x_{2})| < \left| u(x_{1}, x_{2}) + \varepsilon \ln \sqrt{x_{1}^{2} + (x_{2} + 1)^{2}} - u(x_{1}, x_{2}) \right|$$

$$= \left| \varepsilon \ln \sqrt{x_{1}^{2} + (x_{2} + 1)^{2}} \right|$$

$$= \varepsilon \ln \sqrt{x_{1}^{2} + (x_{2} + 1)^{2}}$$

$$< \varepsilon \ln \sqrt{a_{2}}$$

$$< \eta,$$

for any $(x_1, x_2) \in U_a$.

By the maximum principle,

$$\max_{\bar{U}_a} u_{\varepsilon} = \max_{\partial U_a} u_{\varepsilon}$$

In particular,

$$\sup_{\bar{U}_a} u_{\varepsilon} = \sup_{\partial U_a} u_{\varepsilon}.$$

Now, since $u_{\varepsilon} \downarrow u$ uniformly,

$$\sup_{\bar{U}_a} u = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\sup_{\bar{U}_a} u_\varepsilon \right] = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[\sup_{\partial U_a} u_\varepsilon \right] = \sup_{\partial U_a} u.$$

Thus, we have shown that for any a,

$$\sup_{\bar{U}_a} u = \sup_{\partial U_a} u.$$
(5.3)

MA 523: Homework 5 -3 of 6-

We now extend this result to all of U.

Since u is bounded on U, $u \leq \sup u$ for all $(x_1, x_2) \in U$. Now, as $|a| \to \infty$, $U_a \to U$ we have

$$\sup_{\bar{U}_a} u = \sup_{\partial U_a} u,$$

and in particular,

$$u \le \sup_{\partial U_a} u.$$

Suppose by contradiction that $\sup_{\bar{U}} u \neq \sup_{\partial U} u$. Then, necessarily, $\sup_{\bar{U}} u > \sup_{\partial U} u$ or

$$M - \sup_{\partial \bar{U}} u > \sup_{\bar{U}} u - \sup_{\partial \bar{U}} u > 0$$

MA 523: Homework 5

-4 of 6-

Problem 5.3

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set. We say $v \in C^2(U)$ is subharmonic if

$$-\Delta v < 0$$
 in U .

(a) Let $\varphi \colon \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ be smooth and convex. Assume u^1, \dots, u^m are harmonic in U and

$$v := \varphi(u_1, \dots, u_m).$$

Prove v is subharmonic.

[Hint: Convexity for a smooth function $\varphi(z)$ is equivalent to $\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \varphi_{z_j,z_k}(z)\xi_j\xi_k \geq 0$ for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^m$.]

(b) Prove $v := |Du|^2$ is subharmonic, whenever u is harmonic. (Assume that harmonic functions are C^{∞} .)

SOLUTION. For part (a), by the chain rule, we have

$$v_{x_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} v = \varphi_{u_1} u_{x_i}^1 + \dots + \varphi_{u_m} u_{x_i}^m.$$

Taking another partial, we have

$$v_{x_{i}x_{i}} = \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}\partial x_{i}}v$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}v_{x_{i}}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(\varphi_{u_{1}}u_{x_{i}}^{1} + \dots + \varphi_{u_{m}}u_{x_{i}}^{m}\right)$$

$$= \varphi_{u_{1}}u_{x_{i}x_{i}}^{1} + \dots + \varphi_{u_{m}}u_{x_{i}x_{i}}^{m}$$

$$+ \left(\varphi_{u_{1}u_{1}}u_{x_{i}}^{1} + \dots + \varphi_{u_{1}u_{m}}u_{x_{i}}^{m}\right)u_{x_{i}}^{1}$$

$$+ \dots + \left(\varphi_{u_{1}u_{m}}u_{x_{i}}^{1} + \dots + \varphi_{u_{m}u_{m}}u_{x_{i}}^{m}\right)u_{x_{i}}^{m}.$$

$$(5.4)$$

Now, taking the sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{x_{i}x_{i}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi_{u_{j}} u_{x_{i}x_{i}}^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi_{u_{j}} u_{x_{i}x_{i}}^{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\varphi_{u_{j}} u_{x_{1}x_{1}}^{j} + \dots + \varphi_{u_{j}} u_{x_{n}x_{n}}^{j})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \varphi_{u_{j}} (u_{x_{1}x_{1}}^{j} + \dots + u_{x_{n}x_{n}}^{j})$$

$$= 0,$$

since $\Delta u^j = 0$ for all j.

What about the remaining terms in (5.4)? These terms can be written in the form

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^{m} \varphi_{u_j u_k}(u) \xi_j \xi_k,$$

where $\xi_i = (u_{x_i}^1, \dots, u_{x_i}^m)(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ for any $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since φ is convex, by assumption, this quantity is greater than or equal to 0.

Thus, $\Delta v \geq 0$ so v is subharmonic.

For part (b),