# MA544: Qual Problems

Carlos Salinas

 $March\ 27,\ 2016$ 

### 1 MA 544 Spring 2016

## 1.1 Exam 1 Prep

**Problem 1.1.** Let  $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$  be a measurable set,  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  and define the set  $rE = \{ r\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in E \}$ . Prove that rE is measurable, and that  $|rE| = |r|^n |E|$ .

*Proof.* Define a linear map  $T: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  by  $\mathbf{x} \mapsto r\mathbf{x}$ . Using the standard basis for  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , this map has the matrix presentation

$$T\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} r & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & r \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \tag{1}$$

which has determinant det  $T = r^n$ . By 3.35, we have  $|E| = |T(E)| = r^n |E| = |rE|$ .

**Problem 1.2.** Let  $\{E_k\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be a collection of measurable sets. Define the set

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \right).$$

Show that

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k|.$$

*Proof.* If the  $\liminf |E_k| = \infty$  the inequality holds trivially. Hence, we may, without loss of generality, assume that  $\liminf |E_k| < \infty$ . By 3.20, the set  $\liminf E_k$  is measurable and we have

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| = \left| \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right|, \tag{2}$$

where  $F_k := \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$ . Now, note that the collection of sets  $F'_k := \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k F_\ell$  forms an increasing sequence of measurable sets  $F'_k \nearrow F'$ , where  $F' = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \liminf E_k$ . Then, by 3.26 (i), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |F'_k| = |F'| = \left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right|. \tag{3}$$

Hence, it suffices to show that  $|F'_k| \leq |E_k|$  for all k, but this follows by monotonicity of the outer measure, 3.3, since  $F'_k \subset E_k$ . Thus, we have the desired inequality

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k|. \tag{4}$$

**Problem 1.3.** Consider the function

$$F(x) \coloneqq \begin{cases} |B(\mathbf{0}, x)| & x > 0 \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Here  $B(\mathbf{0},r) \coloneqq \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^n : |\mathbf{y}| < r \}$ . Prove that F is monotonic increasing and continuous.

*Proof.* That F is increasing is immediate from the monotonicity of the outer measure since for x < x' we have  $B(\mathbf{0}, x) \subset B(\mathbf{0}, x')$  so, by 3.2, we have

$$|F(x)|B(\mathbf{0},x)| \le |B(\mathbf{0},x')| = F(x')$$

as desired.

To see that F is continuous, we will prove the following lemma

**Lemma 1.** For any x > 0,  $xB(\mathbf{0}, 1) = B(\mathbf{0}, x)$ .

Proof of lemma. If  $\mathbf{y} \in xB(\mathbf{0},1)$  then  $\mathbf{y} = x\mathbf{y}'$  for  $\mathbf{y}' \in B(\mathbf{0},1)$ . Thus,  $|\mathbf{y}'| = |\mathbf{y}|/x < 1$  so  $|\mathbf{y}| < x$  implies that  $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0},x)$ . Hence, we have the containment  $xB(\mathbf{0},1) \subset B(\mathbf{0},x)$ .

On the other hand, if  $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0}, x)$  then  $|\mathbf{y}| < x$  so  $|\mathbf{y}/x| < 1$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{y}/x \in B(\mathbf{0}, 1)$  so  $x(\mathbf{y}/x) = \mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0}, x)$ . Thus,  $B(\mathbf{0}, x) \subset xB(\mathbf{0}, x)$  and equality holds.

In light of Lemma 1 and 3.35, for x > 0, we have

$$F(x) = |B(\mathbf{0}, x)| = |xB(\mathbf{0}, 1)| = x^n |B(\mathbf{0}, 1)|.$$
(5)

It is clear that F is continuous on the interval  $[0,\infty)$  since F is a polynomial in x.

**Problem 1.4.** Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  be a function. Let C be the set of all points at which f is continuous. Show that C is a set of type  $G_{\delta}$ .

*Proof.* From the topological definition of continuity, f is continuous at  $x \in C$  if and only if for every neighborhood U of f(x), the preimage  $f^{-1}(U)$  is a neighborhood of x. Now,

Let  $x \in C$ . Then, by the definition of continuity, for every natural number n > 0 there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - x'| < \delta$  implies

$$|f(x) - f(x')| < \frac{1}{2n}.$$
 (6)

Let  $x'', x' \in B(x, \delta)$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|f(x') - f(x)''| = |f(x') - f(x) - (f(x'') - f(x))|$$

$$\leq |f(x') - f(x)| + |f(x'') - f(x)|$$

$$< \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}.$$
(7)

In view of these estimates, define the set

$$A_n := \left\{ x \in \mathbf{R} : \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } x', x'' \in B(x, \delta) \text{ implies } |f(x') - f(x'')| < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$
 (8)

Good Lord, that was a long definition! We claim that  $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  and that  $A_n$  is open for all n. First, let us show that  $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ . Let  $x \in C$ . Then for every n > 0, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x-x'| < \delta$  implies |f(x)-f(x')| < 1/n. Thus,  $x \in A_n$  for all n so  $x \in \bigcap A_n$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in \bigcap A_n$  for every n > 0, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x-x'| < \delta$  implies |f(x)-f(x')| < 1/n.

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the Archimedean principle, there exists N > 0 such that  $\varepsilon > 1/N$ . Then, since  $x \in A_N$  it follows that for some  $\delta' > 0$ ,  $|x - x'| < \delta'$  implies  $|f(x) - f(x')| < 1/N < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $x \in C$  and we conclude that  $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ .

Lastly, we show that  $A_n$  is open. Let  $x \in A_n$ . Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - x'| < \delta$  implies |f(x) - f(x')| < 1/n. In particular, this means that  $B(x, \delta) \subset A_n$  for any  $x' \in B(x, \delta)$  satisfies |f(x) - f(x')| < 1/n. Thus,  $A_n$  is open and we conclude that  $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  set.

**Problem 1.5.** Let  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  be a function. Is it true that if the sets  $\{f = r\}$  are measurable for all  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ , then f is measurable?

*Proof.* No. Recall that, by definition, or 4.1, f is measurable if and only if  $\{f > a\}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Problem 1.6.** Let  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on **R**. Prove that the set  $\{x: \lim_{k\to\infty} f_k(x) \text{ exists}\}$  is measurable.

*Proof.* The idea here should be to rewrite

$$E := \left\{ x : \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(x) \text{ exists} \right\}$$
 (9)

as a countable union/intersection of measurable sets. Let  $x \in E$ . By the Cauchy criterion, for every N > 0 there exists a positive integer M such that  $m, n \ge M$  implies  $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < 1/N$ . With this in mind, define

$$E_N := \left\{ x : \text{there exists } M \text{ such that } m, n \ge M \text{ implies } |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{N} \right\}.$$
 (10)

Then, like for Problem 1.4, it is not too hard to see that the  $E_n$ 's are open and that  $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$ . Thus, E is a  $G_{\delta}$  set and therefore measurable.

**Problem 1.7.** A real valued function f on an interval [a,b] is said to be absolutely continuous if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every finite disjoint collection  $\{(a_k,b_k)\}_{k=1}^N$  of open intervals in (a,b) satisfying  $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k - a_k < \delta$ , one has  $\sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$ . Show that an absolutely continuous function on [a,b] is of bounded variation on [a,b].

*Proof.* Suppose  $f: [a, b] \to \mathbf{R}$  is absolutely continuous. Then for fixed  $\varepsilon = 1$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that for every finite disjoint collection  $\{(a_k b_k)\}_{k=1}^N$  of open intervals in (a, b) satisfying  $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k - a_k < \delta$ , we have  $\sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\Gamma := \{x_k\}_{k=1}^N$  be a partition of [a, b] into closed intervals such that  $x_{k+1} - x_k < \delta$ , then by absolute continuity we have

$$V[f;\Gamma] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)|$$
< 1. (11)

Thus,  $f \in BV[a, b]$ .

**Problem 1.8.** Let f be a continuous function from [a,b] into  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\chi_{\{c\}}$  be the characteristic function of a singleton  $\{c\}$ , i.e.,  $\chi_{\{c\}}(x)=0$  if  $x\neq c$  and  $\chi_{\{c\}}(c)=1$ . Show that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \, d\chi_{\{c\}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c \in (a, b) \\ -f(a) & \text{if } c = a \\ f(a) & \text{if } c = b \end{cases}.$$

Proof.

# 2 Exam 1

### 2.1 Exam 2 Prep

**Problem 2.1.** Define for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) := \begin{cases} |\mathbf{x}|^{-(n+1)} & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that f is integrable outside any ball  $B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$ , and that there exists a constant C>0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Recall that a real-valued function  $f: \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  is (Lebesgue) integrable over a subset E of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (or, alternatively, f belongs to  $L^1(E)$ ) if

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

Put  $E := \mathbf{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$ . Then, to show that f belongs to  $L^1(E)$  it suffices to prove the inequality

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} < \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \tag{12}$$

for some appropriate constant C. We proceed by directly computing the Lebesgue integral of f and employing Tonelli's theorem:

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{n+1}}$$

$$= \int \cdots \int_{E} \frac{dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}}{(x_{1}^{2} + \cdots + x_{n}^{2})^{(n+1)/2}}$$

let  $E_i$  denote the projection of E onto its i-th coordinate and make the trigonometric substitution  $x_1 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \tan \theta$ ,  $dx_1 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \sec^2 \theta d\theta$  with  $\theta \in (-\pi/2, -\tan^{-1}(\varepsilon)) \cup (\tan^{-1}(\varepsilon), \pi/2)$  giving us the integral

$$= \int_{E_n} \cdots \int_{E_2} \left[ \frac{\cos^{n-1} \theta}{(x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{n/2}} d\theta \right] dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

which, by Tonelli's theorem, is

$$= \int_{E_n} \cdots \int_{E_2} \frac{\mathrm{d}x_2 \cdots \mathrm{d}x_n}{(x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{n/2}} \left[ \int_{E_{\theta}} \cos^{n-1} \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta \right]$$

where the integral

$$\int_{E_{\theta}} \cos^{n-1} \theta \, \mathrm{d}\theta < \infty. \tag{13}$$

Proceeding in this manner, we eventually achieve the inequality

$$\int \cdots \int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} < C' \int_{E_{n}} \frac{dx_{n}}{x_{n}^{2}}$$

$$= 2C' \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dx_{n}}{x_{n}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$$
(14)

as desired.

**Problem 2.2.** Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that  $f_k$  converges pointwise almost everywhere to a function f. If

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k < \infty,$$

show that

$$\int_{E} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{E} f_{k}$$

for all measurable subsets E of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Moreover, show that this is not necessarily true if  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k = \infty$ .

*Proof.* This is probably some theorem I can't remember right now. But anyway, first we shall establish that the limit f of  $\{f_k\}$  must be nonnegative a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . For assume otherwise. Then there exists a collection of points  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  of nonzero  $\mathbf{R}^n$ -Lebesgue measure such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ . But  $f_k(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for all  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Set  $0 < \varepsilon < |f(\mathbf{x})|$  then we have

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f_k(\mathbf{x})| > |f(\mathbf{x})| > \varepsilon \tag{15}$$

for all k which contradicts our assumption that  $f_k \to f$  a.e. on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Therefore, the set of points  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  where  $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$  must have measure zero.

Now, based on pointwise convergence a.e. to f, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  for a.e.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  we have the following estimate

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f_k(\mathbf{x})| < \varepsilon \tag{16}$$

for sufficiently large k; say k greater than or equal to some index  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, we are given convergence in  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  of  $f_k$  to f

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f < \infty. \tag{17}$$

By monotonicity of the Lebesgue integral (Theorem 5.5(iii)), this implies that

$$\int_{E} f \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} f < \infty \tag{18}$$

and

$$\int_{E} f_k \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k < \infty \tag{19}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorem 5.5(ii), f and the  $f_k$ 's are finite a.e. in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  so for some sufficiently large real number M,  $|f|, |f_k| \leq M$  for a.e.  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . In particular, for any measurable subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|f|, |f_k| \leq M$  for a.e.  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  so, by the bounded convergence theorem, we have the desired convergence

$$\int_{E} f_k \to \int_{E} f < \infty. \tag{20}$$

However, if f does not belong to  $L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , i.e., its integral over  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is infinity, there is no guarantee that f will be finite a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . This means that the bounded convergence theorem will fail to ensure convergence in integral for any measurable subset E of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . Let us demonstrate this with an example. Consider the sequence of functions

**Problem 2.3.** Assume that E is a measurable set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $|E| < \infty$ . Prove that a nonnegative function f defined on E is integrable if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) \ge k\}| < \infty.$$

*Proof.* If f is integrable over a measurable subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{21}$$

Set  $E_k := \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : k+1 > f(\mathbf{x}) \geq k \}$  and  $F_k := \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) \geq k \}$ . Note the following properties about the sets we have just defined: first, the  $E_k$ 's are pairwise disjoint and the  $F_k$ 's are nested in the following way  $F_{k+1} \subset F_k$ ; second,  $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$  and  $E_k = F_k \setminus F_{k+1}$ . By Theorem 3.23, since the  $E_k$ 's are disjoint, we have

$$|E| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_k| < \infty. \tag{22}$$

Now, since  $k\chi_{E_k}(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq (k+1)\chi_{E_k}(\mathbf{x})$  on  $E_k$ , we have

$$k|E_k| \le \int_{E_k} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \le (k+1)|E_k|. \tag{23}$$

Then we have the following upper and lower estimates on the integral of f over E

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|E_k| \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|E_k|. \tag{24}$$

But note that  $|E_k| = |F_k \setminus F_{k+1}| = |F_k| - |F_{k+1}|$  by Corollary 3.25 since the measures of  $E_k$ ,  $F_k$ , and  $F_{k+1}$  are all finite. Hence, (24) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|). \tag{25}$$

A little manipulation of the series in the leftmost estimate gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|F_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$
(26)

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_k| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_k| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)|F_{k+1}| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|.$$
(27)

Thus, from (26) and (27)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_k| \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|$$
 (28)

so the integral  $\int_E f$  converges if and only if the sum  $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|$  converges.

**Problem 2.4.** Suppose that E is a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , with  $|E| < \infty$ . If f and g are measurable functions on E, define

$$\rho(f,g) := \int_E \frac{|f-g|}{1+|f-g|}.$$

Prove that  $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$  if and only if  $f_k$  converges to f as  $k \to \infty$ .

*Proof.*  $\Longrightarrow$ : First note that  $\rho$  is strictly greater than or equal to zero since it is the integral of a nonnegative function. Suppose that  $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ . Then, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist an

sufficiently large index N such that for every  $k \geq N$  we have

$$\rho(f_k, g) = \int_E \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} < \varepsilon. \tag{29}$$

By Theorem 5.11, this means that the map

$$\frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|}$$

is zero a.e. in E which happens if  $|f_k - f| = 0$  a.e. in E.

 $\Leftarrow$ : Suppose that  $f_k \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

I don't know how to solve this. This is the intended solution:

 $\Longrightarrow$ : Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$  implies that

$$\int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Observe that the function  $\Phi \colon \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}$  given by  $\Phi(x) \coloneqq x/(1+x)$  is increasing on  $\mathbf{R}^+$  and  $0 < \Psi(x) < 1$ , hence

$$\int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} \, \mathrm{d}x \ge \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} |\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}|.$$

Therefore,

$$|\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}| \le \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $k \to \infty$ .

 $\Leftarrow$ : Conversely, given  $\delta > 0$ , we have

$$\rho(f_k, f) = \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx$$

$$+ \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| \le \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx$$

$$\le |\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}| + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} |E|.$$

Since  $|E| < \infty$  and  $\delta/(1+\delta) \searrow 0$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta' > 0$  such that

$$\frac{\delta'}{1+\delta'}|E|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

If  $f_k \to f$  as  $k \to \infty$  in measure, then for the above  $\delta'$  there is an index N > 0 such that  $k \ge N$  implies

$$|\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta'\}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore,  $f_k \to f$  in measure implies  $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$  as  $k \to \infty$ .

**Problem 2.5.** Define the gamma function  $\Gamma \colon \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\Gamma(y) \coloneqq \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{y-1} \, \mathrm{d}u,$$

and the beta function  $\beta \colon \mathbf{R}^+ \times \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}$  by

$$\beta(x,y) := \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

- (a) Prove that the definition of the gamma function is well-posed, i.e., the function  $u \mapsto e^{-u}u^{y-1}$  is in  $L(\mathbf{R}^+)$  for all  $y \in \mathbf{R}^+$ .
- (b) Show that

$$\beta(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

*Proof.* (a) Fix  $y \in \mathbf{R}^+$ . Then we must show that  $\Gamma(y) < \infty$ . First, since (0,1) and  $[1,\infty)$  are disjoint measurable subsets of  $\mathbf{R}$ , by Theorem 5.7 we can split the integral  $\Gamma(y)$  into

$$\Gamma(y) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 e^{-u} u^{y-1} \, \mathrm{d}u}_{I_1} + \underbrace{\int_1^\infty e^{-u} u^{y-1} \, \mathrm{d}u}_{I_2}. \tag{30}$$

We will show, separately, that  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are finite.

To see that  $I_1$  is finite, note that

$$e^{-u}u^{y-1} = e^{-u}e^{(y-1)\log u}$$

$$= e^{-u+(y-1)\log u}$$

$$\leq e^{(y-1)\log u}$$

$$= u^{y-1}$$
(31)

since 0 < u < 1

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} e^{-u} u^{y-1} du$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} u^{y-1} du$$

$$= \left[ \frac{u^{y}}{y} \right]_{0}^{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y}$$

$$< \infty.$$
(32)

To see that  $I_2$  is finite, note that

$$e$$
 (33)

Intended solution:

**Problem 2.6.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and for  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  define  $f_{\mathbf{h}} \colon \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}$  be  $f_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) \coloneqq f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h})$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_{\mathbf{h}} - f| = 0.$$

Proof. Note that by the triangle inequality, we have the following estimate on the integral

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})| \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \le \tag{34}$$

**Problem 2.7.** (a) If  $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ ,  $f_k \to f$  and  $g_k \to g$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $|f_k| \le g_k$  and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g,$$

prove that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f.$$

(b) Using part (a) show that if  $f_k, f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $f_k \to f$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , then

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_k - f| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_k| \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f| \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

*Proof.* (a) Since  $f_k \to f$  and  $g_k \to g$  a.e. and  $|f_k| \le g_k$ , then by Fatou's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (g - f) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g_k - f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_k - f_k,$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g + f \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g_k + f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_k + f_k.$$

Since  $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g$ , then using the similar argument as problem 2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k,$$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k.$$

Therefore,  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f$ .

(b)  $\implies$ : This direction is obvious by the inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_k| - |f| \right| \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} ||f_k| - |f|| \le \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_k - f|.$$

 $\Leftarrow$ : Let  $g_k := |f_k| + |f|$  and g := 2|f|. Since  $f_k, f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $f_k \to f$  a.e., then  $g_k, g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $g_k \to g$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . By the assumption,  $\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g$ .

Let  $\tilde{f}_k := |f_k - f|$ . Then  $\tilde{f}_k \to 0$  a.e. in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and  $\tilde{f}_k \le g_k$ . Applying part (a) to  $\tilde{f}_k$  we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{f}_k = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_k - f| = 0.$$

### Review of concepts

To conclude this review sheet, here are some important lemmas, theorems, and corollaries from the book:

Let f be defined on E, and let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be a limit point of E in E. Then f is said to be *upper semicontinuous at*  $\mathbf{x}_0$  if

$$\limsup_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0 \\ \mathbf{x} \in E}} f(\mathbf{x}) \le f(\mathbf{x}_0). \tag{35}$$

Note that if  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) = \infty$ , then f is use at  $\mathbf{x}_0$  automatically; otherwise, the statement that f is use at  $\mathbf{x}_0$  means that given any  $M > f(\mathbf{x}_0)$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}) < M$  for all  $\mathbf{x} \in E$  that lie in the ball  $B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ .

Similarly, f is said to be lower semicontinuous at  $\mathbf{x}_0$  if -f is use at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ .

**Theorem** (4.14). A function f is use relative to E if and only if  $\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$  is relatively closed (equivalently, if  $\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) < a\}$  is relatively open) for all finite a

Proof of theorem 4.14. Suppose that f is use relative to E. Given a, let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be a limit point of  $\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$  in E. Then there exists  $\mathbf{x}_k \in E$  such that  $\mathbf{x}_k \to \mathbf{x}_0$  and  $f(\mathbf{x}_k) \ge a$ . Since f is use at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , we have  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} f(\mathbf{x}_k)$ . Therefore,  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) \ge a$ , so  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$ . Hence,  $\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$  is relatively closed.

Conversely, let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be a limit point of E that is in E. If f is not use at  $\mathbf{x}_0$ , then  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) < \infty$ , and there exists M and  $\{\mathbf{x}_k\}$  such that  $f(\mathbf{x}_0) < M$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_k \in E$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_k \to \mathbf{x}_0$ , and  $f(\mathbf{x}_k) \geq M$ . Hence,  $\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}$  is not relatively closed since it does not contain all its limit points in  $E_i$ .

**Theorem** (4.17, Egorov's theorem). Suppose that  $\{f_k\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions that converge a.e. in a set E of finite measure to a finite limit f. Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exits a closed subset F of E such that  $|E \setminus F| < \varepsilon$  and  $f_k \to f$  uniformly on F.

A function f defined on a measurable set E has property  $\mathfrak{C}$  on E if given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a closed set  $F \subset E$  such that

- (i)  $|E \setminus F| < \varepsilon$
- (ii) f is continuous relative to F.

**Theorem** (4.20, Lusin's theorem). Let f be defined and finite on a measurable set E. Then f is measurable if and only if it has property C on E.

We start with a nonnegative function f defined on a measurable subset E of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let's

$$\Gamma(f, E) := \left\{ (\mathbf{x}, f(\mathbf{x})) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{x} \in E, \ f(\mathbf{x}) < \infty \right\},$$

$$R(f, E) := \left\{ (\mathbf{x}, y) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} : \mathbf{x} \in E, \ 0 \le y \le f(\mathbf{x}) \text{ if } f(\mathbf{x}) < \infty \text{ and } 0 \le y < \infty \text{ if } f(\mathbf{x}) = \infty \right\}.$$

$$(36)$$

 $\Gamma(f,E)$  is called the graph of f over E and R(f,E) the region under f over E.

If R(f, E) is measurable (as a subset of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ ), its measure  $|R(f, E)|_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}}$  is called the *Lebesgue integral over* E, and we write

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} := |R(f, E)|_{\mathbf{R}^{n+1}}.$$
(37)

This is sometimes written as

$$\int_E f$$

or at times the lengthy notation

$$\int_{E} \cdots \int_{E} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \cdots \, \mathrm{d}x_n$$

is convenient.

**Theorem** (5.1). Let f be a nonnegative function defined on a measurable set E. Then  $\int_E f$  exists if and only if f is measurable.

**Lemma** (5.3). If f is a nonnegative measurable function on E,  $0 \le |E| \le \infty$ , then  $|\Gamma(f, E)| = 0$ .

**Theorem** (5.5). (i) If f and g are measurable and if  $0 \le g \le f$  on E,  $\int_E g \le \int_E f$ . In particular,  $\int_E \inf f \le \int_E f$ .

- (ii) If f is nonnegative and measurable on E and if  $\int_E f$  is finite, then  $f < \infty$  a.e. in E.
- (iii) Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be measurable and  $E_1 \subset E_2$ . If f is nonnegative and measurable on  $E_2$ , then  $\int_{E_1} f \leq \int_{E_2} f$ .

**Theorem** (5.6, the monotone convergence theorem for nonnegative functions). If  $\{f_k\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative functions such that  $f_k \nearrow f$  on E, then

$$\int_{E} f \to \int_{E} f.$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.12, f is measurable since it is the limit of a sequence of measurable functions. Since  $R(f_k, E) \cup \Gamma(f, E) \nearrow R(f, E)$  and  $|\Gamma(f, E)| = 0$ , the result follows by Theorem 3.26 on the measure of a monotone convergent sequences of measurable sets.

**Theorem** (5.9). Let f be nonnegative on E. If |E| = 0, then  $\int_E f = 0$ .

**Theorem** (5.10). If f and g are nonnegative and measurable on E and if  $g \leq f$  a.e. in E, then  $\int_E g \leq \int_E f$ .

In particular, if f = g a.e. in E, then  $\int_E f = \int_E g$ .

**Theorem** (5.11). Let f be nonnegative and measurable on E. Then  $\int_E f = 0$  if and only if f = 0 a.e. in E.

**Corollary** (5.12, Chebyshev's inequality). Let f be nonnegative and measurable on E. If a > 0, then

 $\frac{1}{a} \int_{E} f \ge |\{\mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) > a\}|.$ 

**Theorem** (5.13). If f is nonnegative and measurable, and if c is any nonnegative constant, then

$$\int_{E} cf = c \int_{E} f.$$

**Theorem** (5.14). If f and g are nonnegative and measurable, then

$$\int_{E} (f+g) = \int_{E} f + \int_{E} g.$$

**Corollary.** Suppose that f and  $\varphi$  are measurable on E,  $0 \le f \le \varphi$ , and  $\int_E f$  is finite. Then

$$\int_{E} (\varphi - f) = \int_{E} \varphi - \int_{E} f.$$

**Theorem** (5.16). If  $f_k$ , k = 1, 2, ..., are nonnegative and measurable, then

$$\int_{E} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E} f_k.$$

**Theorem** (5.17, Fatou's lemma). If  $\{f_k\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on E, then

$$\int_{E} \liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{E} f_k.$$

Proof of Fatou's lemma.

**Theorem** (5.19, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem for nonnegative functions). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on E such that  $f_k \to f$  a.e. in E. If there exists a measurable function  $\varphi$  such that  $f_k \leq \varphi$  a.e. for all k and if  $\int_E \varphi$  is finite, then

$$\int_E f_k \longrightarrow \int_E f.$$

**Theorem** (5.21). Let f be measurable in E. Then f is integrable over E if and only if |f| is.

**Theorem** (5.22). If  $f \in L^1(E)$ , then f is finite a.e. in E.

**Theorem** (5.24). If  $\int_E f$  exists and  $E = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} E_k$  is the countable union of disjoint measurable sets  $E_k$ , then

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{N}} \int_{E_k} f.$$

**Theorem** (5.25). If |E| = 0 or if f = 0 a.e. in E, then  $\int_E f = 0$ .

**Theorem** (5.32, monotone convergence theorem). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on E:

- (i) If  $f_k \nearrow f$  a.e. on E and there exists  $\varphi \in L^1(E)$  such that  $f_k \ge \varphi$  a.e. on E for all k, then  $\int_E f_k \to \int_E f$ .
- (ii) If  $f_k \searrow f$  a.e. on E and there exists  $\varphi \in L^1(E)$  such that  $f_k \leq \varphi$  a.e. on E for all k, then  $\int_E f_k \to \int_E f$ .

**Theorem** (5.33, uniform convergence theorem). Let  $f_k \in L^1(E)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\{f_k\}$  converge uniformly to f on E,  $|E| < \infty$ . Then  $f \in L^1(E)$  and  $\int_E f_k \to \int_E f$ .

**Theorem** (5.34, Fatou's lemma). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on E. If there exists  $\varphi \in L^1(E)$  such that  $f_k \geq \varphi$  a.e. on E for all k, then

$$\int_{E} \liminf_{k \to \infty} f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{E} f_k.$$

**Corollary** (5.35, reverse Fatou's lemma). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on E. If there exits  $\varphi \in L^1(E)$  such that  $f_k \leq \varphi$  a.e. on E for all k, then

$$\int_E \limsup_{k \to \infty} f_k \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_E f_k.$$

**Theorem** (5.36, Lebesgue's dominated convergenge theorem). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on E such that  $f_k \to f$  a.e. in E. If there exists  $\varphi \in L^1(E)$  such that  $|f_k| \leq \varphi$  such that  $|f_k| \leq \varphi$  a.e. in E for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\int_E f_k \to \int_E f$ .

**Corollary** (5.37, bounded convergence theorem). Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions on E such that  $f_k \to f$  a.e. in E. If  $|E| < \infty$  there is a finite constant M such that  $|f_k| \le M$  a.e. in E, then  $\int_E f_k \to \int_E f$ .

**Theorem** (6.1 Fubini's theorem). Let  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in L^1(I)$ ,  $I := I_1 \times I_2$ . Then

- (i) For almost every  $\mathbf{x} \in I_1$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is measurable and integrable on  $I_2$  as a function of  $\mathbf{y}$ ;
- (ii) As a function of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\int_{I_2} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}$  is measurable and integrable on  $I_1$ , and

$$\iint_{I} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} = \int_{I_{1}} \left[ \int_{I_{2}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y} \right] d\mathbf{x}.$$

**Theorem** (6.8). Let  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  be a measurable function defined on a measurable subset E of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+m}$ , and let  $E_{\mathbf{x}} := \{ \mathbf{y} : (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in E \}$ .

- (i) For almost every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $E_{\mathbf{x}}$ .
- (ii) If  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \in L^1(E)$ , then for almost every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is an integrable on  $E_{\mathbf{x}}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$ ; moreover  $\int_{E_{\mathbf{x}}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y}$  is an integrable function of  $\mathbf{x}$  and

$$\iint_{E} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \left[ \int_{E_{\mathbf{x}}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y} \right] d\mathbf{x}.$$

**Theorem** (6.10, Tonelli's theorem). Let  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  be nonnegative and measurable on an interval  $I = I_1 \times I_2$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n+m}$ . Then, for almost every  $\mathbf{x} \in I_1$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$  is a measurable function of  $\mathbf{y}$  on  $I_2$ . Moreover, as a function of  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\int_{I_2} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y}$  is measurable on  $I_1$ , and

$$\iint_{I} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{x} \, d\mathbf{y} = \int_{I_{1}} \left[ \int_{I_{2}} f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y} \right] d\mathbf{x}$$

If f and g are measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , their convolution  $(f * g)(\mathbf{x})$  is defined by

$$(f * g)(\mathbf{x}) \coloneqq \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) g(\mathbf{y}) \, d\mathbf{y},$$

provided the integral exists.

**Theorem** (6.14). If  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , then  $(f * g)(\mathbf{x})$  exists for almost every  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^n$  and is measurable. Moreover,  $f * \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f * g| \, d\mathbf{x} \le \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f| \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |g| \, d\mathbf{x} \right)$$
$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f * g)(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} = \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f \, d\mathbf{x} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g \, d\mathbf{x} \right).$$

Corollary (6.16). If f and g are nonnegative and measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then f \* g is measurable on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (f * g) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} = \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} f \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} g \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right).$$

**Theorem** (6.17, Marcinkiewicz). Let F be a closed subset of a bounded open interval (a, b), and let  $\delta(x) := \delta(x, F)$  be the corresponding distance function. Then, given  $\lambda > 0$ , the integral

$$M_{\lambda}(x) := \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\delta(y)^{\lambda}}{|x - y|^{1 + \lambda}} dy$$

is finite a.e. in F. Moreover,  $M_{\lambda} \in L^{1}(F)$  and

$$\int_{F} M_{\lambda} \, \mathrm{d}x \le 2\lambda^{-1} |G|,$$

where  $G := (a, b) \setminus F$ .

#### 2.2 MA 544 - Midterm 2

**Problem 2.8.** Assume that  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Show that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a ball B, centered at the origin, such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n \setminus B} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $f \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$  if and only if  $|f| \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ . Let  $B_k := B(\mathbf{0}, k)$  for  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\chi_{B_k}$  be the indicator function associated with  $B_k$ . Then, the sequence of maps  $\{|f_k|\}$  defined  $f_k := f\chi_{B_k}$  converge pointwise to  $|f_k|$ . Since  $|f| \in L^1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ , by the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f_k| = \int_{B_k} |f| \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |f|. \tag{38}$$

But this means, exactly, that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists sufficiently large  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\varepsilon > \left| \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f_{k}| - \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f| \right|$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f_{k}| + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f|$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f| + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f|$$

$$= -\int_{B_{k}} |f| + \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} |f|$$

$$= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n} \setminus B_{k}} |f|$$
(39)

as desired.

**Problem 2.9.** Let  $f \in L^1(E)$ , and let  $\{E_j\}$  be a countable collection of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of E, such that  $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ . Prove that

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f.$$

*Proof.* First, since the  $E_j$ 's are pairwise disjoint, by Theorem 3.23, we have

$$|E| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_j|. \tag{40}$$

Let  $\chi_{E_j}$  be the characteristic function of the subset  $E_j$  of E and define  $f_j := f\chi_{E_j}$  for  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Note that, since both f and  $\chi_{E_j}$  are measurable on E,  $f_j$  is measurable on E and  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j = f$ . Moreover, since  $E_j \subset E$ , by monotonicity of the integral we have

$$\int_{E} f = \int_{E_j} f + \int_{E \setminus E_j} f = \int_{E} f_j + \int_{E \setminus E_j} f. \tag{41}$$

Hence, because the  $E_j$ 's are disjoint  $(E \setminus E_k) \setminus E_\ell = (E \setminus E_\ell) \setminus E_k$  so

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E} f_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} f$$
(42)

as desired.

**Problem 2.10.** Let  $\{f_k\}$  be a family in  $L^1(E)$  satisfying the following property: For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exits  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|A| < \delta$  implies

$$\int_{\Lambda} |f_k| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume  $|E| < \infty$ , and  $f_k(x) \to f(x)$  as  $k \to \infty$  for a.e.  $x \in E$ . Show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_E f_k = \int_E f.$$

(*Hint:* Use Egorov's theorem.)

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. Then, by the hypothesis, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that such that  $|A| < \delta$  implies

$$\int_{A} |f_k| < \varepsilon \tag{43}$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Egorov's theorem, there exists a closed subset F of E such that  $|E \setminus F| < \delta$  and  $f_k \to f$  uniformly on F. Then, by the uniform convergence theorem,

$$\int_{F} f_k \longrightarrow \int_{F} f \tag{44}$$

as  $k \to \infty$ . But by hypothesis, we have

$$\int_{E \setminus F} |f_k| < \varepsilon. \tag{45}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we achieved the desired convergence.

**Problem 2.11.** Let  $I := [0,1], f \in L^1(I)$ , and define  $g(x) := \int_x^1 t^{-1} f(t) dt$  for  $x \in I$ . Prove that  $g \in L^1(I)$  and

$$\int_{I} g = \int_{I} f.$$

*Proof.* By Lusin's theorem, there exists a closed subset F of I with  $|I \setminus F| < \varepsilon$  such that the restriction of f to  $F := I \setminus E$  is continuous. Now, since F is closed in I and I is compact, it follows that I is compact. Hence, by the Stone–Weierstraß approximation theorem, there exist a sequence of polynomials  $\{p_k\}$  such that  $p_k \to f$  uniformly on F. Then, by the uniform convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{F} p_k \longrightarrow \int_{F} f \tag{46}$$

so

$$\int_{F} \left[ \int_{x}^{1} t^{-1} p_{k}(t) dt \right] dx = \int_{F} \left[ \int_{x}^{1} a t^{-1} + q_{k}(t) dt \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{F} q'_{k}(x) - a \log(x) dx$$

$$< \infty \tag{47}$$

for all k and converges uniformly to g so  $g \in L^1(I)$ . I don't know how to show that in fact  $\int_I g = \int_I f$ . Perhaps you show that the places where they differ is a set of measure zero.