MA544: Qual Problems

Carlos Salinas

May 1, 2016

Contents

Contents			1
1	MA	544 (Spring 2016)	2
	1.1	544 (Spring 2016) Exam 1 Prep	2
		Exam 1	
	1.3	Exam 2 Prep	7
		Midterm 2	
	1.5	Final Practice	18
2	MA	544 Past Quals	19
		Danielli: Winter 2012	
	2.2	Danielli: Summer 2011	21
Bibliography			23

MA 544 (Spring 2016)

1.1 Exam 1 Prep

Problem 1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and define the set $rE = \{r\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in E\}$. Prove that rE is measurable, and that $|rE| = |r|^n |E|$.

Proof. Define a map $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $T\mathbf{x} := r\mathbf{x}$. Note that T is Lipschitz continuous since for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the equality

$$|T\mathbf{x} - T\mathbf{y}| = |r\mathbf{x} - r\mathbf{y}| = |r||\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \tag{1}$$

is satisfied. By Theorem 3.33 from [2, §3.3, p.55], the image of E under T is measurable. Moreover, by Theorem 3.35 [2, §3.3, p. 56], since T is linear, it follows that $|T(E)| = |\det T||E|$ where $\det T = |r|^n$. Lastly, we note that the image of E under T is precisely the set rE so that $|T(E)| = |rE| = |r|^n |E|$, as was to be shown.

Problem 2. Let $\{E_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a collection of measurable sets. Define the set

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \right).$$

Show that

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k|.$$

Proof. Following the style of [2, §1.1, p. 2], particularly, the sets defined after the introduction of equation (1.1), set

$$V_k := \bigcap_{\ell=k}^{\infty} E_{\ell}. \tag{2}$$

Note that the collection of sets $\{V_k\}$ forms an increasing sequence, that is, if $\mathbf{x} \in V_k$ then, by (2), \mathbf{x} is in the intersection $E_k \cap (\bigcap_{\ell=k+1} E_\ell)$, but, by (2), $\bigcap_{\ell=k+1} E_\ell = V_{k+1}$ thus, \mathbf{x} is in V_{k+1} so $V_{k+1} \supset V_k$. Hence, we have $V_k \nearrow \liminf E_k$.

Now, consider the sequence $\{|V_k|\}$ formed by the Lebesgue measure of the V_k . By Theorem 3.26 from [2, §3.3, p. 51], since $V_k \nearrow \liminf E_k$,

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |V_k| = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left| \bigcap_{\ell=k}^{\infty} E_{\ell} \right| = \left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right|. \tag{3}$$

But note that, by the monotonicity of the Lebesgue measure, we have

$$\left| \bigcap_{\ell=k}^{\infty} E_{\ell} \right| \le |E_k|,\tag{4}$$

so, by properties of the liminf, in particular, by Theorem 19(v) from [1, §1.5, p. 23], we have

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} |V_k| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k|. \tag{5}$$

Hence, by (3) and Proposition 19 (iv), since the sequence $\{|V_k|\}$ converges and is equal to the measure of $\liminf E_k$, by (5), we have

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k| \tag{6}$$

as was to be shown.

Problem 3. Consider the function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} |B(\mathbf{0}, x)| & x > 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Here $B(\mathbf{0}, r) = \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathbf{y}| < r \}$. Prove that F is monotonic increasing and continuous.

Proof. Define the linear map $T: [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $T(r)\mathbf{x} := r\mathbf{x}$. We claim that $B(\mathbf{0}, r) = T(r, B(\mathbf{0}, 1))$. To reduce notation, set $B_1 := B(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ and $B_r := B(\mathbf{0}, r)$.

Proof of claim. \subset : Let $\mathbf{x} \in B_r$. Then $|\mathbf{x}| < r$ so $|\mathbf{x}|/r < 1$. Thus, $|\mathbf{x}|/r \in B_1$ so it is in the image of B_1 under the map T(r, -).

 \supset : On the other hand, suppose $\mathbf{x} \in T(r, B_1)$. Then $\mathbf{x} = r\mathbf{y}$ for some $\mathbf{y} \in B_1$. Then, since $|\mathbf{y}| < 1$, $|\mathbf{x}| = r|\mathbf{y}| < r$ so $\mathbf{x} \in B_r$.

From the claim, we see that $F(x) = |T(x, B(\mathbf{0}, 1))|$ which, by Problem 1, is nothing more that the polynomial $|B_1|x^n$. It is clear, from this equivalence, that F is monotonically increasing: Take $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ such that x < y, then $x^n < y^n$ so

$$F(x) = |B_1|x^n < |B_1|y^n = F(y). (7)$$

Thus, F is monotonically increasing.

In the argument above, since $F(x) = |B_1|x^n$ is a polynomial in $[0, \infty)$ (and polynomials are continuous on \mathbb{R}) F is continuous on $[0, \infty)$.

Problem 4. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Let C be the set of all points at which f is continuous. Show that C is a set of type G_{δ} .

Proof. (Without much motivation) let us consider the collection of sets $\{E_k\}$ defined by

$$E_k := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } y \in B(x, \delta) \text{ implies } |f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$
 (8)

We claim that $C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ and that each E_k is open.

Proof of claim. First, we demonstrate equality. \subset : Suppose $x \in C$. Then, by the definition of continuity, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that $y \in B(x,\delta)$ implies $|f(x) - f(y)| < \delta$. In particular, for every k, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for $y \in B(x,\delta)$ the inequality |f(x) - f(y)| < 1/k holds. Thus, x is in $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$.

 \supset : On the other hand, suppose that $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, by the Archimedean property, there exists a positive integer N such that $1/N < \varepsilon$. Then, since $x \in \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$, $x \in E_N$ so

$$|f(x) - f(y)| < \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon. \tag{9}$$

Thus, x is in C and $C = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$.

All that remains to be shown is that the E_k are open. Let $x \in E_k$. We must show that for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough, $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset E_k$.

Problem 5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Is it true that if the sets $\{f = r\}$ are measurable for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then f is measurable?

Proof. No. Recall that, by definition, or 4.1, f is measurable if and only if $\{f > a\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 6. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on \mathbb{R} . Prove that the set $\{x : \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(x) \text{ exists }\}$ is measurable.

Proof. The idea here should be to rewrite

$$E = \left\{ x : \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(x) \text{ exists } \right\}$$
 (10)

as a countable union/intersection of measurable sets. Let $x \in E$. By the Cauchy criterion, for every N > 0 there exists a positive integer M such that $m, n \ge M$ implies $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < 1/N$. With this in mind, define

$$E_N = \left\{ x : \text{there exists } M \text{ such that } m, n \ge M \text{ implies } |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{N} \right\}.$$
 (11)

Then, like for Problem 1.4, it is not too hard to see that the E_n 's are open and that $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Thus, E is a G_{δ} set and therefore measurable.

Problem 7. A real valued function f on an interval [a,b] is said to be absolutely continuous if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every finite disjoint collection $\{(a_k,b_k)\}_{k=1}^N$ of open intervals in (a,b) satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k - a_k < \delta$, one has $\sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$. Show that an absolutely continuous function on [a,b] is of bounded variation on [a,b].

Proof. Suppose $f:[a,b]\to\mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous. Then for fixed $\varepsilon=1$, there exists a $\delta>0$ such that for every finite disjoint collection $\{(a_kb_k)\}_{k=1}^N$ of open intervals in (a,b) satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k - a_k < \delta$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$. Let $\Gamma = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^N$ be a partition of [a,b] into closed intervals such that $x_{k+1} - x_k < \delta$, then by absolute continuity we have

$$V[f;\Gamma] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)|$$

$$< 1.$$
(12)

Thus, f is b.v. on [a, b].

Problem 8. Let f be a continuous function from [a,b] into \mathbb{R} . Let $\chi_{\{c\}}$ be the characteristic function of a singleton $\{c\}$, that is, $\chi_{\{c\}}(x) = 0$ if $x \neq c$ and $\chi_{\{c\}}(c) = 1$. Show that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f d\chi_{\{c\}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c \in (a, b) \\ -f(a) & \text{if } c = a \\ f(a) & \text{if } c = b \end{cases}.$$

Proof.

1.2 Exam 1

1.3 Exam 2 Prep

Problem 1. Define for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} |\mathbf{x}|^{-(n+1)} & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that f is integrable outside any ball $B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$, and that there exists a constant C>0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Recall that a real-valued function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is (Lebesgue) integrable over a subset E of \mathbb{R}^n (or, alternatively, f belongs to L(E)) if

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

Put $E = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$. Then, to show that f belongs to L(E) it suffices to prove the inequality

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x} < \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \tag{1}$$

for some appropriate constant C. We proceed by directly computing the Lebesgue integral of f and employing Tonelli's theorem:

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{n+1}}$$

$$= \int \cdots \int_{E} \frac{dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}}{(x_{1}^{2} + \cdots + x_{n}^{2})^{(n+1)/2}}$$

let E_i denote the projection of E onto its i-th coordinate and make the trigonometric substitution $x_1 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2} \tan \theta$, $dx_1 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2} \sec^2 \theta d\theta$ with $\theta \in (-\pi/2, -\tan^{-1}(\varepsilon)) \cup (\tan^{-1}(\varepsilon), \pi/2)$ giving us the integral

$$= \int_{E_n} \cdots \int_{E_2} \left[\frac{\cos^{n-1} \theta}{\left(x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2\right)^{n/2}} d\theta \right] dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

which, by Tonelli's theorem, is

$$= \int_{E_n} \cdots \int_{E_2} \frac{dx_2 \cdots dx_n}{(x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{n/2}} \left[\int_{E_\theta} \cos^{n-1} \theta d\theta \right]$$

where the integral

$$\int_{E_0} \cos^{n-1} \theta d\theta < \infty. \tag{2}$$

Proceeding in this manner, we eventually achieve the inequality

$$\int \cdots \int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < C' \int_{E_{n}} \frac{dx_{n}}{x_{n}^{2}}$$

$$= 2C' \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dx_{n}}{x_{n}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$$
(3)

as desired.

Problem 2. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n , and assume that f_k converges pointwise almost everywhere to a function f. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k < \infty,$$

show that

$$\int_{E} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{E} f_k$$

for all measurable subsets E of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, show that this is not necessarily true if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k = \infty$.

Proof. This is probably some theorem I can't remember right now. But anyway, first we shall establish that the limit f of $\{f_k\}$ must be nonnegative a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . For assume otherwise. Then there exists a collection of points \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n of nonzero \mathbb{R}^n -Lebesgue measure such that $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$. But $f_k(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $0 < \varepsilon < |f(\mathbf{x})|$ then we have

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f_k(\mathbf{x})| > |f(\mathbf{x})| > \varepsilon$$
 (4)

for all k which contradicts our assumption that $f_k \to f$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, the set of points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ must have measure zero.

Now, based on pointwise convergence a.e. to f, given $\varepsilon > 0$ for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have the following estimate

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f_k(\mathbf{x})| < \varepsilon \tag{5}$$

for sufficiently large k; say k greater than or equal to some index $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we are given convergence in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of f_k to f

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f < \infty. \tag{6}$$

By monotonicity of the Lebesgue integral (Theorem 5.5(iii)), this implies that

$$\int_{E} f \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f < \infty \tag{7}$$

and

$$\int_{E} f_{k} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} f_{k} < \infty \tag{8}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 5.5(ii), f and the f_k 's are finite a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n so for some sufficiently large real number M, $|f|, |f_k| \leq M$ for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, for any measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n , $|f|, |f_k| \leq M$ for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in E$ so, by the bounded convergence theorem, we have the desired convergence

$$\int_{E} f_k \to \int_{E} f < \infty. \tag{9}$$

However, if f does not belong to $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., its integral over \mathbb{R}^n is infinity, there is no guarantee that f will be finite a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . This means that the bounded convergence theorem will fail to ensure convergence in integral for any measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n . Let us demonstrate this with an example. Consider the sequence of functions

Problem 3. Assume that E is a measurable set of \mathbb{R}^n , with $|E| < \infty$. Prove that a nonnegative function f defined on E is integrable if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\{ \mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) \ge k \}| < \infty.$$

Proof. If f is integrable over a measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{10}$$

Set $E_k = \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : k+1 > f(\mathbf{x}) \ge k \}$ and $F_k = \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) \ge k \}$. Note the following properties about the sets we have just defined: first, the E_k 's are pairwise disjoint and the F_k 's are nested in the following way $F_{k+1} \subset F_k$; second, $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ and $E_k = F_k \setminus F_{k+1}$. By Theorem 3.23, since the E_k 's are disjoint, we have

$$|E| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_k| < \infty. \tag{11}$$

Now, since $k\chi_{E_k}(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq (k+1)\chi_{E_k}(\mathbf{x})$ on E_k , we have

$$k|E_k| \le \int_{E_k} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le (k+1)|E_k|. \tag{12}$$

Then we have the following upper and lower estimates on the integral of f over E

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|E_k| \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|E_k|. \tag{13}$$

But note that $|E_k| = |F_k \setminus F_{k+1}| = |F_k| - |F_{k+1}|$ by Corollary 3.25 since the measures of E_k , F_k , and F_{k+1} are all finite. Hence, (13) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|). \tag{14}$$

A little manipulation of the series in the leftmost estimate gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(|F_{k}| - |F_{k+1}|) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_{1}| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|F_{k}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_{1}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_{1}| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$
(15)

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_k| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_k| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)|F_{k+1}| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|.$$
(16)

Thus, from (15) and (16)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_k| \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k| \tag{17}$$

so the integral $\int_E f$ converges if and only if the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|$ converges.

Problem 4. Suppose that E is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , with $|E| < \infty$. If f and g are measurable functions on E, define

$$\rho(f,g) = \int_E \frac{|f-g|}{1+|f-g|}.$$

Prove that $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ if and only if f_k converges to f as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. \Longrightarrow : First note that ρ is strictly greater than or equal to zero since it is the integral of a nonnegative function. Suppose that $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an

sufficiently large index N such that for every $k \geq N$ we have

$$\rho(f_k, g) = \int_E \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} < \varepsilon. \tag{18}$$

By Theorem 5.11, this means that the map

$$\frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|}$$

is zero a.e. in E which happens if $|f_k - f| = 0$ a.e. in E.

 $\Leftarrow=$: Suppose that $f_k \to f$ as $k \to \infty$.

I don't know how to solve this. This is the intended solution:

 \Longrightarrow : Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ implies that

$$\int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Observe that the function $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Phi(x) = x/(1+x)$ is increasing on \mathbb{R}^+ and $0 < \Psi(x) < 1$, hence

$$\int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \ge \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} dx$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} |\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}|.$$

Therefore,

$$|\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}| \le \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \longrightarrow 0$$

as $k \to \infty$.

 \Leftarrow : Conversely, given $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\rho(f_k, f) = \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx + \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| \le \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \le |\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}| + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} |E|.$$

Since $|E| < \infty$ and $\delta/(1+\delta) \searrow 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\delta'}{1+\delta'}|E|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

If $f_k \to f$ as $k \to \infty$ in measure, then for the above δ' there is an index N > 0 such that $k \ge N$ implies

$$|\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta'\}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, $f_k \to f$ in measure implies $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Problem 5. Define the gamma function $\Gamma \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{y-1} du,$$

and the beta function $\beta \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\beta(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

- (a) Prove that the definition of the gamma function is well-posed, i.e., the function $u \mapsto e^{-u}u^{y-1}$ is in $L(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
- (b) Show that

$$\beta(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

Proof. (a) Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then we must show that $\Gamma(y) < \infty$. First, since (0,1) and $[1,\infty)$ are disjoint measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} , by Theorem 5.7 we can split the integral $\Gamma(y)$ into

$$\Gamma(y) = \underbrace{\int_0^1 e^{-u} u^{y-1} du}_{I_1} + \underbrace{\int_1^\infty e^{-u} u^{y-1} du}_{I_2}.$$
 (19)

We will show, separately, that I_1 and I_2 are finite.

To see that I_1 is finite, note that

$$e^{-u}u^{y-1} = e^{-u}e^{(y-1)\log u}$$

$$= e^{-u+(y-1)\log u}$$

$$\leq e^{(y-1)\log u}$$

$$= u^{y-1}$$
(20)

since 0 < u < 1

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} e^{-u} u^{y-1} du$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} u^{y-1} du$$

$$= \left[\frac{u^{y}}{y} \right]_{0}^{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y}$$

$$< \infty.$$

$$(21)$$

To see that I_2 is finite, note that

$$e$$
 (22)

Intended solution:

Problem 6. Let $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define $f_{\mathbf{h}} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be $f_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h})$. Prove that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_{\mathbf{h}} - f| = 0.$$

Proof. Note that by the triangle inequality, we have the following estimate on the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \le \tag{23}$$

Problem 7. (a) If $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f_k \to f$ and $g_k \to g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , $|f_k| \leq g_k$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g,$$

prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f.$$

(b) Using part (a) show that if $f_k, f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_k \to f$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

Proof. (a) Since $f_k \to f$ and $g_k \to g$ a.e. and $|f_k| \le g_k$, then by Fatou's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g - f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g_k - f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k - f_k,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g + f \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g_k + f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k + f_k.$$

Since $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$, then using the similar argument as problem 2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k.$$

Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f$.

(b) \Longrightarrow : This direction is obvious by the inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| - |f| \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||f_k| - |f|| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f|.$$

 $\Longleftrightarrow : \text{Let } g_k = |f_k| + |f| \text{ and } g = 2|f|. \text{ Since } f_k, f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } f_k \to f \text{ a.e., then } g_k, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } g_k \to g \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n. \text{ By the assumption, } \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g. \text{ Let } \tilde{f}_k = |f_k - f|. \text{ Then } \tilde{f}_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } \tilde{f}_k \leq g_k. \text{ Applying part (a) to } \tilde{f}_k \text{ we have } f_k = f_k - f_k \text{ and } f_k = f_k - f_k \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } f_k \leq g_k. \text{ Applying part (a) to } f_k \text{ we have } f_k = f_k - f_k - f_k \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ and } f_k = f_k - f_k - f_k \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \text{ a.e., then } f_k \to 0 \text{ a.e., th$

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\tilde{f}_k=\lim_{k\to\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|f_k-f|=0.$$

1.4 Midterm 2

Problem 1. Assume that $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a ball B, centered at the origin, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Recall that $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $|f| \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $B_k = B(\mathbf{0}, k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and χ_{B_k} be the indicator function associated with B_k . Then, the sequence of maps $\{|f_k|\}$ defined $f_k = f\chi_{B_k}$ converge pointwise to $|f_k|$. Since $|f| \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| = \int_{B_k} |f| \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|. \tag{1}$$

But this means, exactly, that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varepsilon > \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \right|$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$$

$$= -\int_{B_k} |f| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_k} |f|$$
(2)

as desired.

Problem 2. Let $f \in L(E)$, and let $\{E_j\}$ be a countable collection of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of E, such that $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$. Prove that

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f.$$

Proof. First, since the E_j 's are pairwise disjoint, by Theorem 3.23, we have

$$|E| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_j|. \tag{3}$$

Let χ_{E_j} be the characteristic function of the subset E_j of E and define $f_j = f\chi_{E_j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that, since both f and χ_{E_j} are measurable on E, f_j is measurable on E and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j = f$. Moreover, since $E_j \subset E$, by monotonicity of the integral we have

$$\int_{E} f = \int_{E_{i}} f + \int_{E \setminus E_{i}} f = \int_{E} f_{j} + \int_{E \setminus E_{i}} f. \tag{4}$$

Hence, because the E_j 's are disjoint $(E \setminus E_k) \setminus E_\ell = (E \setminus E_\ell) \setminus E_k$ so

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E} f_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} f$$
 (5)

as desired.

Problem 3. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a family in L(E) satisfying the following property: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exits $\delta > 0$ such that $|A| < \delta$ implies

$$\int_{A} |f_k| < \varepsilon$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $|E| < \infty$, and $f_k(x) \to f(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for a.e. $x \in E$. Show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_E f_k = \int_E f.$$

(*Hint:* Use Egorov's theorem.)

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, by the hypothesis, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that such that $|A| < \delta$ implies

$$\int_{A} |f_k| < \varepsilon \tag{6}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Egorov's theorem, there exists a closed subset F of E such that $|E \setminus F| < \delta$ and $f_k \to f$ uniformly on F. Then, by the uniform convergence theorem,

$$\int_{F} f_k \longrightarrow \int_{F} f \tag{7}$$

as $k \to \infty$. But by hypothesis, we have

$$\int_{E \setminus F} |f_k| < \varepsilon. \tag{8}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we achieved the desired convergence.

Problem 4. Let $I = [0,1], f \in L(I)$, and define $g(x) = \int_x^1 t^{-1} f(t) dt$ for $x \in I$. Prove that $g \in L(I)$ and

$$\int_{I} g = \int_{I} f.$$

Proof. By Lusin's theorem, there exists a closed subset F of I with $|I \setminus F| < \varepsilon$ such that the restriction of f to $F = I \setminus E$ is continuous. Now, since F is closed in I and I is compact, it follows that I is compact. Hence, by the Stone–Weierstraß approximation theorem, there exist a sequence of polynomials $\{p_k\}$ such that $p_k \to f$ uniformly on F. Then, by the uniform convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{F} p_k \longrightarrow \int_{F} f \tag{9}$$

so

$$\int_{F} \left[\int_{x}^{1} t^{-1} p_{k}(t) dt \right] dx = \int_{F} \left[\int_{x}^{1} a t^{-1} + q_{k}(t) dt \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{F} q'_{k}(x) - a \log(x) dx$$

$$< \infty \tag{10}$$

for all k and converges uniformly to g so $g \in L(I)$. I don't know how to show that in fact $\int_I g = \int_I f$. Perhaps you show that the places where they differ is a set of measure zero.

1.5 Final Practice

Problem 1. Suppose $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ and that x is a point in the Lebesgue set of f. For r > 0, let

$$A(r) := \frac{1}{r} \int_{B(0,r)} |f(x-y) - f(x)| \, dy.$$

Show that:

- (a) A(r) is a continuous function of r, and $A(r) \to 0$ as $r \to 0$;
- (b) there exists a constant M > 0 such that $A(r) \leq M$ for all r > 0.

Proof.

Problem 2. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set, $1 \leq n < \infty$. Assume $\{f_k\}$ is a sequence in $L^p(E)$ converging pointwise a.e. on E to a function $f \in L^p(E)$. Prove that

$$||f_k - f||_p \longrightarrow 0$$

if and only if

$$||f_k||_p \longrightarrow ||f||_p$$

as $k \to \infty$.

Proof.

Problem 3. Let $1 , <math>f \in L^p(E)$, $q \in L^{p'}(E)$.

- (a) Prove that $f * g \in C(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
- (b) Does this conclusion continue to be valid when p=1 and $p=\infty$?

Proof.

Problem 4. Let $f \in L(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cos(tx) dx$.

- (a) Prove that F(t) is continuous for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) Prove the following Riemann-Lebesgue lemma:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} F(t) = 0.$$

Proof.

Problem 5. Let f be of bounded variation on [a, b], $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. If f = g + h, with g absolutely continuous and h singular. Show that

$$\int_a^b \varphi \, df = \int_a^b \varphi f' dx + \int_a^b \varphi \, dh$$

for all functions φ continuous on [a, b].

Proof.

CHAPTER 2

MA 544 Past Quals

2.1 Danielli: Winter 2012

Problem 1. Let f(x,y), $0 \le x,y \le 1$, satisfy the following conditions: for each x, f(x,y) is an integrable function of y, and $\partial f(x,y)/\partial x$ is a bounded function of (x,y). Prove that $\partial f(x,y)/\partial x$ is a measurable function of y for each x and

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 f(x, y) \, dy = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} \, dy.$$

Proof.

Problem 2. Let f be a function of bounded variation on [a, b], $-\infty < a < b < \infty$. If f = g + h, with g absolutely continuous and h singular, show that

$$\int_a^b \varphi \, df = \int_a^b \varphi f' \, dx + \int_a^b \varphi \, dh.$$

Hint: A function h is said to be singular if h' = 0.

Proof.

Problem 3. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable set, and let K be a measurable function on $E \times E$. Assume that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{E} K(x,y) \, dx \le C \tag{1}$$

for a.e. $y \in E$, and

$$\int_{E} K(x,y) \, dy \le C \tag{2}$$

for a.e. $x \in E$.

Let $1 , <math>f \in L^p(E)$, and define

$$T_f(x) := \int_E K(x, y) f(y) \, dy.$$

(a) Prove that $T_f \in L^p(E)$ and

$$||T_f||_p \le C||f||_p.$$
 (3)

(b) Is (3) still valid if p = 1 or ∞ ? If so, are assumptions (1) and (2) needed?

Problem 4. Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on [0,1] satisfying

$$|\{x \in [0,1] : f(x) > \alpha\}| < \frac{1}{1+\alpha^2}$$
 (4)

for $\alpha > 0$.

- (a) Determine values of $p \in [1, \infty)$ for which $f \in L^p[0, 1]$.
- (b) If p_0 is the minimum value of p for which p may fail to be in L^p , give an example of a function which satisfies (4), but which is not in $L^{p_0}[0,1]$.

Proof.

2.2 Danielli: Summer 2011

Problem 1. Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cos(tx) dx$.

- (a) Prove that F(t) is continuous for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (b) Prove the following Riemman-Lebesque lemma:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} F(t) = 0.$$

Hint: Start by proving the statement for $f = \chi_{[a,b]}$.

Problem 2. (a) Suppose that $f_k, f \in L^2(E)$, with E a measurable set, and that

$$\int_{E} f_{k}g \longrightarrow \int_{E} fg \tag{1}$$

as $k \to \infty$ for all $g \in L^2(E)$. If, in addition, $||f_k||_2 \to ||f||_2$ show that f_k converges to f in L^2 , i.e., that

$$\int_{E} |f - f_k|^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

as $k \to \infty$.

(b) Provide an example of a sequence f_k in L^2 and a function f in L^2 satisfying (1), but such that f_k does not converge to f in L^2 .

Problem 3. A bounded function f is said to be of bounded variation on \mathbb{R} if it is of bounded variation on any finite subinterval [a,b], and moreover $A := \sup_{a,b} V[a,b;f] < \infty$. Here, V[a,b;f] denotes the total variation of f over the interval [a,b]. Show that:

(a)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - f(x)| dx \le A|h|$$
 for all $h \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hint: For h > 0, write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - f(x)| \, dx = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |f(x+h) - f(x)| \, dx.$$

(b) $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \varphi'(x) dx \right| \leq A$, where φ is any function of class C^1 , of bounded variation, compactly supported, with $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x)| \leq 1$.

Problem 4. (a) Prove the generalized Hölder's inequality: Assume $1 \le p \le \infty$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, with $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/p_j = 1/r \le 1$. If E is a measurable set and $f_j \in L^{p_j}(E)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$, then $\prod_{j=1}^{n} f_j \in L^r(E)$ and

$$||f_1 \cdots f_n||_r \le ||f_1||_{p_1} \cdots ||f_n||_{p_n}.$$

(b) Use part (a) to show that that if $1 \le p, q, r \le \infty$, with 1/p + 1/q = 1/r + 1, $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, and $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$|(f * g)(x)| \le ||f||_p^{r-p} ||g||_q^{r-q} \int |f(y)|^p |g(x-y)|^q dy.$$

(Recall that $(f * g)(x) := \int f(y)g(x - y) dy$.)

(c) Prove Young's convolution theorem: Assume that p, q, r, f, and g are as in part (b). Then $f * g \in L^r(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||_q.$$

Proof.

Bibliography

- [1] H.L. Royden and P. Fitzpatrick. *Real Analysis*. Featured Titles for Real Analysis Series. Prentice Hall, 2010. ISBN 9780131437470. URL https://books.google.com/books?id=0Y5fAAAACAAJ.
- [2] R. Wheeden, R.L. Wheeden, and A. Zygmund. *Measure and Integral: An Introduction to Real Analysis*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Pure and Applied Mathematics. Taylor & Francis, 1977. ISBN 9780824764999. URL https://books.google.com/books?id=YDkDmQ_hdmcC.