

MA557 Homework 9

Carlos Salinas

November 16, 2015

PROBLEM 9.1

Let R be a Noetherian ring, $R \subset S$ an extension of rings, and $x \in S$. Show that x is integral over R if and only if for every minimal prime \mathfrak{q} of S , the image of x in S/\mathfrak{q} is integral over $R/\mathfrak{q} \cap R$.

Proof. \implies Suppose that x is integral over R . Then x satisfies a monic polynomial of degree n , say $f(X) = X^n + a_1X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n$. Let \mathfrak{q} be a minimal prime of S and consider the quotient ring S/\mathfrak{q} . If $x \in \mathfrak{q}$ there is nothing to show as $\bar{x} = \bar{0}$ hence satisfies the polynomial X over $R/\mathfrak{q} \cap S$. Suppose $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$. Then

$$\bar{0} = \overline{x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n} = \bar{x}^n + \bar{a}_1\bar{x}^{n-1} + \cdots + \bar{a}_n$$

so \bar{x} satisfies the polynomial $\bar{f}(X)$. Hence, \bar{x} is integral over $R/\mathfrak{q} \cap S$.

\Leftarrow Conversely, suppose that for $x \in S$ the image of x in S/\mathfrak{q} is integral over $R/\mathfrak{q} \cap S$. Then we shall show that x is integral over R . For this, it suffices to show that $R[x]$ is a finite R -module.

Since I've not been successful at showing my assertion let us make an extra assumption on S . In particular, we shall assume that S is Noetherian. Since S is Noetherian, S contains finitely many minimal primes $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$. Let $f_i(X) \in R[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of x in S/\mathfrak{q}_i , i.e., $f_i(x)\mathfrak{q}_i$. Then

$$f(x) = f_1(x) \cdots f_n(x) \in \mathfrak{q}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathfrak{q}_n = \text{nil } S.$$

Since $\text{nil } S$ is nilpotent, $f(x)^m = 0$ for some positive integer m . Thus, x is integral over R .

More generally, that is, not assuming S is Noetherian, consider the set

$$W = \{ x^n + a_1x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n \mid a_i \in R \} \cup \{1\},$$

i.e., the set of all monic polynomials in x union 1. Note that this set is multiplicatively closed since for any two polynomials $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ in W their product $p(x)q(x)$ is another monic polynomial in x . Now, it suffices to show that $0 \in W$. We shall proceed by contradiction. Suppose $0 \notin W$. Then $(0) \cap W = \emptyset$. Therefore, there exists a minimal prime \mathfrak{q} over (0) such that $\mathfrak{q} \cap W = \emptyset$. But \bar{x} is integral over $R/\mathfrak{q} \cap R$, i.e., $f(x) \in \mathfrak{q}$ for some monic polynomial $f \in R[X]$. Thus, $f(x) \in \mathfrak{q} \cap W$ this is a contradiction. Therefore, $0 \in W$ and it follows that x is integral over R . \blacksquare

PROBLEM 9.2

Let d be a square-free integer and R the integral closure of \mathbf{Z} in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. Show that

$$R = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}] & \text{if } d \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4} \\ \mathbf{Z}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{d}}{2}\right] & \text{if } d \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Courtesy of Dummit & Foote: Since d satisfies the polynomial $X^2 - d$, respectively $X^2 - X + (1-d)/4$ for $d \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$, it follows that \sqrt{d} is integral in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ so $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$ is contained in the integral closure of \mathbf{Z} in $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. To see the reverse containment let $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{d}$ with $a, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ and suppose that α is integral. If $b = 0$, then $\alpha \in \mathbf{Q}$ so $a \in \mathbf{Z}$. So suppose $b \neq 0$. Then the minimal polynomial of α is $X^2 - 2aX + (a^2 - b^2d)$ and $2a, a^2 - b^2d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Thus,

$$4(a^2 - b^2d) = (2a)^2 - (2b)^2d$$

so $4b^2d \in \mathbf{Z}$. Since d is square-free it follows that $2b$ is an integer, $x^2 - y^2d \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Since 0 and 1 are the only squares mod 4 and d is not divisible by 4, it we claim that (i) $d \equiv 2$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and x, y are both even, or (2) $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and x, y are both odd. In the first case, $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. In the latter case, $a + b\sqrt{d} = r + s\sqrt{d}$ where $r = (x - y)/2$ and $s = y$ are both integers, so again $\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{d}]$. ■

PROBLEM 9.3

Let $R \subset S$ be an integral extension of rings and I and R -ideal. Show that

- (a) $\text{ht } IS \leq \text{ht } I$
- (b) $\text{ht } IS = \text{ht } I$ if S is a domain and R is normal.

Proof. (a) Let $s = \text{ht } I$ and let $\mathfrak{q} \supset I$ be a prime ideal in R with height s , i.e., there exists a proper chain of ideals

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{q}.$$

Then by lying over there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}_0 \subset S$ which contracts to \mathfrak{q}_0 so that by going up we get the chain

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_s = \mathfrak{p} \tag{1}$$

where $\mathfrak{p} \cap R = \mathfrak{q}$. We claim that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} = s$. It is clear that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} \geq s$ by (1). To see that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{q} \leq s$ suppose that we have the refinement

$$\mathfrak{p}'_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}'_r = \mathfrak{p}.$$

Write $\mathfrak{q}'_i = (\mathfrak{p}'_i) \cap R$. Then the contracted chain

$$\mathfrak{q}'_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}'_r = \mathfrak{q}$$

is a refinement of \mathfrak{q} . Hence, $r \leq s$. It follows that $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = s$. Thus, $\text{ht } IS \leq \text{ht } I$.

(b) By part (a) it suffices to show that $\text{ht } IS \geq \text{ht } I$. Without loss of generality, assume $\text{ht } IS < \infty$, say $\text{ht } IS = s$. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \supset IS$ with $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = \text{ht } IS = s$. Then

$$\mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}_s = \mathfrak{p}.$$

Then, setting $\mathfrak{q}_i := \mathfrak{p}_i \cap R$ and $\mathfrak{q} := \mathfrak{p} \cap R$, we get a chain

$$\mathfrak{q}_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}_s = \mathfrak{q}$$

where, by 7.12(b), this chain cannot be made smaller. Now, suppose we have another chain of prime ideals leading up to \mathfrak{q}_s , say

$$\mathfrak{q}'_0 \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}'_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}'_t = \mathfrak{q}_s.$$

Then, by going up since R is normal, this lifts to a chain

$$\mathfrak{q}'_0 S \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}'_1 S \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathfrak{q}'_t S = \mathfrak{p}.$$

But $\text{ht } \mathfrak{p} = s$ so $t \leq s$. Thus, $\text{ht } I \leq \text{ht } \mathfrak{q} \leq \text{ht } IS$ so in particular we have $\text{ht } I = \text{ht } IS$. ■