MA 544: Homework 7

Carlos Salinas

February 29, 2016

PROBLEM 7.1 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §4, Ex. 9)

- (a) Show that the limit of a decreasing (increasing) sequence of functions usc (lsc) at \mathbf{x}_0 is usc (lsc) at \mathbf{x}_0 . In particular, the limit of a decreasing (increasing) sequence of functions continuous at \mathbf{x}_0 is usc (lsc) at \mathbf{x}_0 .
- (b) Let f be use and less than ∞ on [a,b]. Show that there exists continuous f_k on [a,b] such that $f_k \searrow f$.

Proof. (a) Suppose $\{f_k \colon E \to \mathbf{R}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of decreasing functions which are use at $\mathbf{x}_0 \in E$ and put $f \coloneqq \lim f_k$. Then, since the f_k 's are decreasing, we have

$$f(\mathbf{x}) \le f_k(\mathbf{x})$$
 for all $\mathbf{x} \in E$. (7.1)

Moreover, f_k being use at \mathbf{x}_0 means that for any sequence $\mathbf{x}_k \to \mathbf{x}_0$, $\overline{\lim}_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0} f_k(\mathbf{x}) \leq f_k(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus, by (7.1) we have

$$\overline{\lim}_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0} f(\mathbf{x}) \le \overline{\lim}_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0} f_k(\mathbf{x}) \le f_k(\mathbf{x}_0). \tag{7.2}$$

Now, let $k \to \infty$ and we have $\overline{\lim}_{\mathbf{x} \to \mathbf{x}_0} f(\mathbf{x}) \le f(\mathbf{x}_0)$. Thus, f is usc at \mathbf{x}_0 .

(b) Mimicking the construction of the Cantor-Lebesgue function, divide the interval into 2n closed intervals $I_1 := [y_0, y_1], ..., I_{2n} := [y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}]$ where $y_0 = a, y_{2n} = b$. Define

$$f_n(y_k) := \max_{I_k \cup I_{k+1}} f(x) \tag{7.3}$$

and $f_n(x)$ is linear on I_n , i.e., is a line connecting $f(y_{n-1})$ to $f(y_n)$. Then the function f_n is clearly continuous on [a, b] since it is piece-wise linear and it is decreasing since f is use (on smaller intervals, the jumps are smaller). We claim that $f_n \to f$. Fix $x \in [a, b]$. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, for sufficiently large index $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for every $n \geq N$ we have

$$|f(x) - f_n(x)| < |f(x) - f_n(y_{k-1})|$$

for $x \in I_{k-1} \cup I_k$

$$= |f(x) - \max_{y \in I_{k-1} \cup I_k} f(y)|$$

$$< \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently small I_k 's (I'm sure).

PROBLEM 7.2 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §4, Ex. 11)

Let f be defined on \mathbf{R}^n and let $B(\mathbf{x})$ denote the open ball $\{\mathbf{y} \mid |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| < r\}$ with center \mathbf{x} and fixed radius r. Show that the function $g(\mathbf{x}) = \sup\{f(\mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x})\}$ is lsc and the function $h(\mathbf{x}) = \inf\{f(\mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x})\}$ is use on \mathbf{R}^n . Is the same true for the closed ball $\{\mathbf{y} \mid |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| \le r\}$?

Proof. Fix $\mathbf{x}_0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$. By 4.14(ii), given a real number m such that $f(\mathbf{x}_0) > m$, it suffices to show that there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $\mathbf{x} \in B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ we have $g(\mathbf{x}) > m$. Since $g(\mathbf{x}_0)$ is the supremum of $f(\mathbf{x})$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in B(\mathbf{x}_0)$, given $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $g(\mathbf{x}_0) - \varepsilon > m$ there exists $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x}_0)$ such that $f(\mathbf{y}) > g(\mathbf{x}_0) - \varepsilon$. Let $\delta := \frac{1}{2}(r - |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0|)$ (δ is positive since $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x}_0)$ hence $|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0| < r$). Then for any $\mathbf{x} \in B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}_0)$ we have

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}| = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0 - (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0)|$$

$$\leq |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0| + |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(r - |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0|) + |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0|$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}r + \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}_0|$$

$$< r$$
(7.4)

so $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x})$. Hence, $g(\mathbf{x}) \ge f(\mathbf{y}) > g(\mathbf{x}_0) - \varepsilon > m$, i.e., $B_{\delta}(\mathbf{x}_0) \subset \{\mathbf{x} \mid f(\mathbf{x}) > m\}$. Thus, g is lsc on \mathbf{R}^n .

The proof for h is similar to g. In fact, note that for any set $E \subset \mathbf{R}$ we have $\inf E = -\sup(-E)$ so that if we set f' := -f and define $g'(\mathbf{x}) := \sup\{f'(\mathbf{y}) \mid \mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{x})\}$. By the above, g' is lsc in \mathbf{R}^n so h = -g' is usc in \mathbf{R}^n .

For the last part consider the map

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < x_0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x \ge x_0 \end{cases}$$
 (7.5)

defined earlier in the section. Let $h(x) := \inf\{f(y) \mid y \in \overline{B(x)}\}$. Let x be a distance of r to the right of x_0 . Then 1/2 > h(x) = 0. However, for any $\delta > 0$, $y \in B_{\delta}(x)$ we have $x' \in \overline{B(y)}$ such that $x' < x_0$. Hence, h(y) = 1 > 1/2 so h is not usc.

PROBLEM 7.3 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §4, Ex. 15)

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of measurable functions defined on a measurable set E with $|E| < \infty$. If $|f_k(M)| \le M < \infty$ for all k for each $\mathbf{x} \in E$, show that given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is closed $F \subset E$ and finite M such that $|E \setminus F| < \varepsilon$ and $|f_k(\mathbf{x})| \le M$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in F$.

Proof. Define $f := \sup |f_k|$. Note that, since $|f_k| = f^+ + f^-$ and f^+ and f^- are measurable, $|f_k|$ is measurable hence, by 4.11, f is measurable. Now, given $\varepsilon > 0$ by Lusin's theorem f has the \mathcal{C} -property on E, i.e., there exists a closed subset F of E such that $|E \setminus F| < \varepsilon/2$ and f is continuous when restricted to F. Take the $\delta > 0$ such that $|E \setminus \overline{B_\delta(\mathbf{0})}| < \varepsilon/2$. Then $F \cap \overline{B_\delta(\mathbf{0})}$ is closed and compact and we have

$$\left| E \setminus (F \cap \overline{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{0})}) \right| = \left| E \setminus F \cup \left(E \setminus \overline{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{0})} \right) \right| \\
\leq \left| E \setminus F \right| + \left| E \setminus \overline{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{0})} \right| \\
< \varepsilon.$$

By Problem 6.2 (W&Z, 4.7) f achieves its maximum M on $F \cap \overline{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{0})}$. Thus, $|f_k| \leq M$ for all $\mathbf{x} \in F \cap \overline{B_{\delta}(\mathbf{0})}$.

PROBLEM 7.4 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §4, Ex. 18)

If f is measurable on E, define $\omega_f(a) = |\{f > a\}|$ for $-\infty < a < \infty$. If $f_k \nearrow f$, show that $\omega_{f_k} \nearrow \omega_f$. If $f_k \to f$, show that $\omega_{f_k} \to \omega_f$ at each point of continuity of ω_f . [For the second part, show that if $f_k \to f$, then $\overline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \le \omega_f(a - \varepsilon)$ and $\underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} \omega_{f_k}(a) \ge \omega_f(a + \varepsilon)$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.]

Proof. For fixed a define $E_k := \{f_k > a\}$. Then we have $E_1 \subset E_2 \subset \cdots$ so $E_k \nearrow \bigcup E_k$. Now, it is clear that $\{f > a\} \supset \bigcup E_k$ since $\mathbf{x} \in \bigcup E_k$ implies that $\mathbf{x} \in E_k$ for some k so $f_k(\mathbf{x}) > a$ for all $K \geq k$. In particular, $f(\mathbf{x}) > a$. Thus, $\mathbf{x} \in \{f > a\}$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{x} \in \{f > a\}$ then $f(\mathbf{x}) > a$ so $f_k \nearrow f$ implies that for sufficiently large N we have $f_N(\mathbf{x}) > a$. Thus, $\mathbf{x} \in E_N$ so $\mathbf{x} \in \bigcup E_k$ and we have

$$\{f > a\} = \bigcup E_k. \tag{7.6}$$

It follows by 3.26(i) that $\omega_{f_k} \nearrow \omega_f$ point-wise.

PROBLEM 7.5 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §5, Ex. 1)

If f is a simple measurable function (not necessarily positive) taking values a_j on E_j , j=1,...,N, show that $\int_E f = \sum_{j=1}^N a_j |E_j|$. [Use (5.24)].

Proof. Since f is a simple measurable function $E_k \cap E_\ell = \emptyset$ for $k \neq \ell$. Since $E := \bigcup_{j=1}^N E_j$ is countable, by 5.24 we have

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{E_{j}} f = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int a_{j} \chi_{E_{j}} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} |E_{j}|.$$

PROBLEM 7.6 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §5, Ex. 3)

Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions defined on E. If $f_k \to f$ and $f_k \le f$ a.e. on E, show that $\int_E f_k \to \int_E f$.

Proof. By Fatou's lemma we have

$$\int_{E} f = \int_{E} \underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} f_{k} \le \underline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} \int_{E} f_{k}.$$
(7.7)

Now, by 5.10 since $f_k \leq f$ a.e. on E we have $\int_E f_k \leq \int_E f$ so

$$\overline{\lim}_{k \to \infty} \int f_k \le \int_E f. \tag{7.8}$$

But $\underline{\lim} \int_E f_k \leq \overline{\lim} \int_E f_k$ so we must have $\lim \int_E f_k \to \int_E f$ as $k \to \infty$.