# MA571 Problem Set 1

Carlos Salinas

August 31, 2015

#### Problem 1.1 (Munkres $\S$ 2, 1(a,b).)

Let  $f: A \to B$ . Let  $A_0 \subset A$  and  $B_0 \subset B$ .

- (a) Show that  $A_0 \subset f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  and that equality holds if f is injective.
- (b) Show that  $f(f^{-1}(B_0)) \subset B_0$  and that equality holds if f is surjective.

*Proof.* (a). First, we will show  $A_0 \subset f^{-1}(f(A_0))$ . Let  $x \in A_0$ . Then  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$ . By definition,  $f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  is the set of those points  $x_0 \in A$  such that  $f(x_0) \in f(A_0)$  and in particular we see that the containment  $A_0 \subset f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  holds. Thus,  $x \in f^{-1}(f(A_0))$ .

Now, let us suppose the map f is injective. By our former argument, we have that  $A_0 \subset f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  therefore, we will show the reverse containment. If  $y \in f(A_0)$ , then f(x) = y for some  $x \in A_0$ . By the injectivity of f, if  $f(x_0) = y$  for some  $x_0 \in A$ , then we must have that  $x_0 = x$ . In particular,  $x_0 \in A_0$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(f(A_0)) \subset A_0$  and equality  $A_0 = f^{-1}(f(A_0))$  holds.

(b). First, we will show that  $f(f^{-1}(B_0)) \subset B_0$ . Consider the preimage  $f^{-1}(B_0)$  of  $B_0$ . Let  $x \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ . Then f(x) = y for some  $y \in B_0$ . Since  $f(f^{-1}(B_0))$  is, by definition, the set of all points  $f(x) \in B$  where  $x \in f^{-1}(B_0)$  and f(x) = y for  $y \in B_0$ , we have that  $f(f^{-1}(B_0)) \subset B_0$ .

Now, let us suppose the map f is surjective. Let  $y \in B_0$ , then there exists  $x \in A$  such that f(x) = y. Thus,  $x \in f^{-1}(B_0)$ . Then  $y = f(x) \in f(f^{-1}(B_0))$  (in particular  $B_0 \subset f(f^{-1}(B_0))$ ) and we have equality  $B_0 = f(f^{-1}(B_0))$ .

# Problem 1.2 (Munkres, §2, 2(g).)

Let  $f: A \to B$  and let  $A_i \subset A$  and  $B_i \subset B$  for i = 0 and i = 1. Show that  $f^{-1}$  preserves inclusion, unions, intersections, and differences of sets:

(g)  $f(A_0 \cap A_1) \subset f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ ; show that equality holds if f is injective.

Proof of (g). The claim is evident if  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  are disjoint subsets. Suppose  $A_0 \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $y \in f(A_0 \cap A_1)$ . Then y = f(x) for some  $x \in A_0$ ,  $x \in A_1$ . Then  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$  and  $f(x) \in f(A_1)$  so  $y \in f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ . Thus,  $f(A_0 \cap A_1) \subset f(A_0) \cap f(A_1)$ .

Now, suppose f is injective. Then, if f(x)=f(x')=y for some  $y\in B$ , then x=x'. Let  $y\in f(A_0)\cap f(A_1)$ . Then  $y=f(x_0),\,y=f(x_1)$  for some  $x_0\in A_0,\,x_1\in A_1$ . But, by the injectivity of  $f,\,x_0=x_1$  so  $x_0\in A_0\cap A_1$ . Hence,  $y\in f(A_0\cap A_1)$  and the equality  $f(A_0\cap A_1)=f(A_0)\cap f(A_1)$  holds.

## Problem 1.3 (Munkres, §13, 3.)

Show that the collection  $\mathcal{T}_c$  given in Example 4 of §12 is a topology on the set X. Is the collection

$$\mathcal{T}_{\infty} = \{\, U \mid X \smallsetminus U \text{ is infinite or empty or all of } X \,\}$$

a topology on X?

*Proof.* Recall that  $\mathcal{T}_c$  is the collection of all subsets U of X such that  $X \setminus U$  is either countable or is all of X. Let us verify that  $\mathcal{T}_c$  defines a topology on X. First,  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_c$  since  $X \setminus \emptyset = X$  and  $X \in \mathcal{T}_c$  since  $X \setminus X = \emptyset$  is countable. Second, let  $\{U_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ , be an indexed family of nonempty elements of  $\mathcal{T}_c$ , then  $X \setminus U_\alpha$  is countable for all  $\alpha$ . Thus, by DeMorgan's laws, we have that

$$X \setminus \bigcup U_{\alpha} = \bigcap X \setminus U_{\alpha}$$

is countable (this follows from Corollary 7.3, since  $\bigcap_{\alpha} X \setminus U_{\alpha}$  is a subset of  $U_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta \in A$ , hence it is countable). Thus, the union  $\bigcup U_{\alpha}$  is in  $\mathcal{T}_c$ . Lastly, let  $U_1,...,U_n$  be nonempty elements of  $\mathcal{T}_c$ , then by DeMorgan's laws, we have that

$$X \setminus \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} U_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} (X \setminus U_i)$$

is countable by Theorem 7.5 since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n (X \setminus U_i)$  is a countable union of countable sets. So the finite intersection  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{T}_c$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}_c$  satisfies all the properties to define a topology on X.

Now, let us consider the collection of subsets of X,  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ , given above. We will show that arbitrary unions of elements of  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  are, in general, not in  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ . Let  $X = \mathbf{Z}_{+}$  and suppose that  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  defines a topology on X. Consider the collection of subsets  $\{\{i\}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ .  $\mathbf{Z}_{+} \setminus \{i\} = \{1, ..., i-1, i+1, ...\}$  is infinite hence,  $\{i\} \in \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  for all  $i \in \mathbf{N}$ . However,  $\mathbf{Z}_{+} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i\} = \{0\}$  is finite so  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \{i\} \notin \mathcal{T}_{\infty}$ , this is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathcal{T}_{\infty}$  does not define a topology on X.

#### Problem 1.4 (Munkres, §13, 5.)

Show that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a basis for a topology on X, then the topology generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  equals the intersection of all topologies on X that contain  $\mathcal{A}$ . Prove the same if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subbasis.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the topology generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  and let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of all topologies  $\mathcal{T}'$  that contain  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 13.3, it suffices to check that  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap \mathcal{T}'$ . First we will show that the intersection  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}'$  indeed defines a topology on X. To that end we shall prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.** Let X be a nonempty set and let  $\{\mathcal{T}_{\alpha}\}$  be an indexed collection of topologies on X. Then  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  defines a topology on X.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let  $\mathcal{T} = \bigcap \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$ . First, since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  and  $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\emptyset$  and X are in  $\mathcal{T}$ . Second, let  $\{U_{\beta}\}$ ,  $\beta \in B$ , be an indexed family of nonempty elements of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $U_{\beta} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\beta \in B$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  so  $\bigcup U_{\beta} \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$ . Hence,  $\bigcup U_{\beta} \in \mathcal{T}$ . Lastly, let  $U_1, ..., U_n$  be nonempty elements of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Then,  $U_1, ..., U_n \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  so  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{T}_{\alpha}$  for all  $\alpha \in A$  thus,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n U_i \in \mathcal{T}$ . We see that, indeed,  $\mathcal{T}$  defines a topology on X.

By the Lemma 1 above, it follows that  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}'$  gives a topology on X. Now, it is easy to see that  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}' \subset \mathcal{T}$  since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}$  is the coarsest topology containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let us prove this fact:

**Lemma 2.** Let X be a nonempty set. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a basis for the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on X. Then  $\mathcal{T}$  is the coarsest topology containing  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Proof of Lemma 2. This can be easily proven by contradiction for suppose  $\mathcal{T}$  is not the coarsest topology containing  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a strictly coarser topology that contains  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then there exists some open set  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  not in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{C}$  is not generated by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

On the other hand we see by Lemma 13.1 that  $\mathcal{T} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{T}'$  since each  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{S}$  contains the basis  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{T}$ , hence contains the open sets of  $\mathcal{T}$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subbasis for the topology on X. Then the topology  $\mathcal{T}$  on X generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  is the collection of unions of finite intersections. Like above, let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the collection of topologies  $\mathcal{T}'$  in X which contain  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then,  $\bigcap \mathcal{T}' \subset \mathcal{T}$  since  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{S}$  is the coarsest topology which contains  $\mathcal{A}$ . To see the reverse containment, let  $U \in \mathcal{T}$  then U is the union of elements  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  where  $U_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , is a finite intersection of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then,  $U \in \bigcap \mathcal{T}'$  since  $U_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}'$  for every  $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ , for every topology  $\mathcal{T}' \in \mathcal{S}$ .

## Problem 1.5 (Munkres, §13, 8(b).)

(b) Show that the collection

$$\mathcal{C} = \{ [a, b) \mid a < b, a \text{ and } b \text{ rational} \}$$

is a basis that generates a topology different from the lower limit topology on R.

Proof of (b). Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the topology on  $\mathbf{R}_{\ell}$ , i.e,  $\mathcal{T}$  is the lower limit topology on  $\mathbf{R}$ . It is immediate, by the definition of the lower limit topology, that  $\mathcal{T}$  is finer than  $\mathcal{T}'$  where  $\mathcal{T}'$  denotes the topology in  $\mathbf{R}$  generated by  $\mathcal{C}$ . Now, consider the interval [a,b) for  $a \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Q}, b \in \mathbf{Q}$ . [a,b) is in  $\mathcal{T}$  however, [a,b) is not in  $\mathcal{T}'$  since [a,b) is not expressible as a union or finite intersection of open sets  $[a,b) \in \mathcal{T}$ .

Proof of claim. We must show that [a,b) is not expressible as a union of half closed intervals  $[a_{\alpha},b_{\alpha})$  and as an finite intersection of half closed intervals  $[a_1,b_1),...[a_n,b_n)$ . Seeking a contradiction, suppose  $[a,b)=\bigcup[a_{\alpha},b_{\alpha})$  for  $\alpha$  in some index A. Then  $[a,b)=[a_{\beta},b_{\beta})$  for some  $\beta\in A$ . But this implies that  $a_{\beta}=a\in \mathbf{Q}$ . This is a contradiction. Similarly, if  $[a,b)=\bigcap_{i=1}^n[a_i,b_i)$  then  $[a,b)=[a_j,b_j)$  for some  $j\in\{1,...,n\}$  and additionally we must have  $[a_j,b_j)\subset[a_k,b_k)$  for  $k\neq j$ . Again, this leads to a contradiction since it implies that  $a=a_j\in \mathbf{Q}$  contrary to our choice of a.

Thus  $\mathcal{T}' \not\supset \mathcal{T}$  and so  $\mathcal{T}'$  does not give the same topology as  $\mathcal{T}$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ .

6

# Problem 1.6 (Munkres, §16, 1.)

Show that if Y is a subspace of X, and A is a subset of Y, then the topology A inherits as a subspace of Y is the same as the topology it inherits as a subspace of X.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the topology on X and  $\mathcal{S}$  denote the topology on Y inherited as a subspace of X. In addition, let  $\mathcal{T}_X$  denote the topology on A viewed as a subspace of X and  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  denote the topology on A viewed as a subspace of Y. Then, by definition

$$\mathcal{T}_X = \{\, A \cap U \mid U \in \mathcal{T} \,\} \quad \mathcal{T}_Y = \{\, A \cap U \mid U \in \mathcal{S} \,\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S} = \{\, Y \cap U \mid U \in \mathcal{T} \,\}.$$

We claim  $\mathcal{T}_Y=\mathcal{T}_X.$ 

First, we write  $\mathcal{T}_X$  in a more illuminating fashion namely, (noting that  $A\cap Y=A$  and that  $\cap$  is associative)

$$\mathcal{T}_X = \{\, (A \cap Y) \cap U \mid U \in \mathcal{T} \,\} = \{\, A \cap (Y \cap U) \mid U \in \mathcal{T} \,\}.$$

(It is an exercise in triviality to show that the above sets are in fact equivalent.) At once one containment becomes obvious, namely if  $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$  then  $U = A \cap V$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{S}$ , but  $V = Y \cap W$  for some  $W \in \mathcal{T}$  so  $U = A \cap (Y \cap W)$  which, by the associativity of  $\cap$ , is just  $U = (A \cap Y) \cap W = A \cap W$ . Hence  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$  so  $\mathcal{T}_Y \subset \mathcal{T}_X$ . To see the reverse containement let  $U \in \mathcal{T}_X$  then  $U = A \cap V$  for  $V \in \mathcal{T}$  and we note that, since  $A \cap Y = A$ , we have  $U = (A \cap Y) \cap V = A \cap (Y \cap V)$  and  $Y \cap V \in \mathcal{S}$  so  $U \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ . Thus, the topologies  $\mathcal{T}_X$  and  $\mathcal{T}_Y$  are equivalent.

#### Problem 1.7 (Munkres, §16, 4.)

A map  $f: X \to Y$  is said to be an *open map* if for every open set U of X, the set f(U) is open in Y. Show that  $\pi_1: X \times Y \to X$  and  $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y$  are open maps.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the topology on X and  $\mathcal{S}$  the topology on Y and give the Cartesian product  $X \cap Y$  the product topology. Let U be open in  $X \times Y$ . Then  $U = \bigcup_{\alpha} V_{\alpha} \times W_{\alpha}$  for  $V_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{T}$ ,  $W_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\alpha \in A$ . First, we shall prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.** Let X be a nonempty set. Let  $A_0$  and  $A_1$  be subsets of X and  $f: X \to Y$ . Then  $f(A_0 \cup A_1) = f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ .

Proof of Lemma 3. Let  $y \in f(A_0 \cup A_1)$ . Then y = f(x) for some  $x \in A_0$  or  $x \in A_1$ . Thus  $f(x) \in f(A_0)$  or  $f(x) \in f(A_1)$  so  $y = f(x) \in f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ . So  $f(A_0 \cup A_1) \subset f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ . To see the reverse containment, let  $y \in f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$ , then y = f(x) for  $x \in A_0$  or  $x \in A_1$ . Hence  $x \in A_0 \cup A_1$  so  $f(x) = y \in f(A_0 \cup A_1)$  and we see that  $f(A_0 \cup A_1) = f(A_0) \cup f(A_1)$  holds.  $\blacklozenge$ 

By Lemma 1 and the definition of the projection maps, we have

$$\begin{split} \pi_1 \biggl( \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \times V_{\alpha} \biggr) &= \pi_1 \biggl( \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha_0} U_{\beta} \times V_{\beta} \biggr) \cup \pi_1 \bigl( U_{\alpha_0} \times V_{\alpha_0} \bigr) \\ &= \bigcup_{\alpha} \pi_1 (U_{\alpha} \times V_{\alpha}) \\ &= \bigcup_{\alpha} U_{\alpha} \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \pi_2 \biggl( \bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha \times V_\alpha \biggr) &= \pi_2 \biggl( \bigcup_{\beta \neq \alpha_0} U_\beta \times V_\beta \biggr) \cup \pi_2 \bigl( U_{\alpha_0} \times V_{\alpha_0} \bigr) \\ &= \bigcup_\alpha \pi_2 (U_\alpha \times V_\alpha) \\ &= \bigcup_\alpha V_\alpha \end{split}$$

both of which are open in X and Y, respectively.

## Problem 1.8 (Munkres, §16, 6.)

Show that the countable collection

$$\{(a,b) \times (c,d) \mid a < b \text{ and } c < d, \text{ and } a,b,c,d \text{ are rational}\}$$

is a basis for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the collection

$$\{(a,b) \times (c,d) \mid a < b \text{ and } c < d, \text{ and } a,b,c,d \text{ are rational }\}.$$

Then, for every  $p = (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2$ , by the density of the rationals in  $\mathbf{R}$ , there exists rational a and b, c and d such that a < x < b and c < y < d, so  $p \in (a, b) \times (c, d)$  which is in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Next, suppose  $p = (x, y) \in ((a, b) \times (c, d)) \cap ((a', b') \times (c', d'))$ . Then a < x < b, c < y < d and a' < x < b', c' < y < d'. Let

$$\alpha = \min\{a, a'\}, \qquad \beta = \min\{b, b'\},$$
  

$$\gamma = \min\{c, c'\}, \qquad \delta = \min\{d, d'\}.$$

Then,

$$x \in (\alpha, \beta) \times (\gamma, \delta) \subset ((a, b) \times (c, d)) \cap ((a', b') \times (c', d')).$$

Thus,  $\mathcal{B}$  is a basis.

## Problem 1.9 (Munkres, §16, 9.)

Show that the dictionary order topology on the set  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  is the same as the product topology  $\mathbf{R}_d \times \mathbf{R}$ , where  $\mathbf{R}_d$  denotes  $\mathbf{R}$  in the discrete topology. Compare this topology with the standard topology on  $\mathbf{R}^2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{B}_1$  denote a basis for the dictionary topology on  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  and let  $\mathcal{B}_2$  denote a basis for the product topology on  $\mathbf{R}_d \times \mathbf{R}$  where, as in the problem prompt,  $\mathbf{R}_d$  denotes the set  $\mathbf{R}$  equipped with the discrete topology. We want to show that the topologies on  $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mathbf{R}_d \times \mathbf{R}$  are equivalent. We will proceed by Lemma 13.3. Let  $x \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$  and let  $U \in \mathcal{B}_1$  be a neighborhood of x. Then