

# MA571 Problem Set 4

Carlos Salinas

September 20, 2015



**Problem 4.1 (Munkres §20, Ex. 4(a))**

Consider the product, uniform, and box topologies on  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ .

(a) In which topologies are the following functions from  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$  continuous?

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= (t, 2t, 3t, \dots) \\ g(t) &= (t, t, t, \dots) \\ h(t) &= (t, \tfrac{1}{2}t, \tfrac{1}{3}t, \dots). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The maps  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are, evidently, continuous by Theorem 19.6 and the following lemmas (they may be useful in the future so we prove them here):

**Lemma 8** (Munkres §18, Ex. 1). *Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be metric spaces. Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous in  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense. Then  $f$  is continuous in the open set sense.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense, that is, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $d_X(x_0, x) < \delta$  implies  $d_Y(f(x_0), f(x)) < \varepsilon$ . Now, let  $U$  be an open set in  $\mathbf{R}$  and let  $x_0 \in f^{-1}(U)$ . Since  $U$  is open, there exists a real number  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_{d_Y}(f(x_0), \varepsilon) \subset U$ . Since  $f$  is  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  continuous, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $x \in B_{d_X}(x_0, \delta)$  implies  $f(x) \in B_{d_Y}(f(x_0), \varepsilon)$  so  $B_{d_X}(x_0, \delta) \subset f^{-1}(U)$  (this is because if  $x \in B_{d_X}(x_0, \delta)$ , then  $f(x) \in B_{d_Y}(f(x_0), \varepsilon) \subset U$  so  $f(x) \in U$  and in particular  $x \in f^{-1}(U)$ ). Since  $x_0$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open. ♣

**Lemma 9.** *Suppose  $f, g: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  are continuous. Then the following hold*

- (i) *The sum  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$  is continuous.*
- (ii) *The product  $fg(x) = f(x)g(x)$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 8, it suffices to show that  $f + g$  and  $fg$  are continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense: Let  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given.

(i) Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense there exists  $\delta_1 > 0$  and  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that  $|x_0 - x| < \delta_1$  implies  $|f(x_0) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|x_0 - x| < \delta_2$  implies  $|g(x_0) - g(x)| < \varepsilon/2$  respectively. Take  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Then, by the triangle inequality (cf. Munkres §20 the definition of a metric in p. 119) we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(f + g)(x_0) - (f + g)(x)| &= |f(x_0) + g(x_0) - f(x) - g(x)| \\ &= |f(x_0) - f(x) + g(x_0) - g(x)| \\ &\leq |f(x_0) - f(x)| + |g(x_0) - g(x)| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense, by the triangle inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} |fg(x_0) - fg(x)| &= |f(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x)g(x)| \\ &= |f(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x) + f(x_0)g(x) - f(x)g(x)| \\ &= |f(x_0)g(x_0) - f(x_0)g(x)| + |f(x_0)g(x) - f(x)g(x)| \\ &= |f(x_0)||g(x_0) - g(x)| + |f(x_0) - f(x)||g(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

To bound this expression, consider the following: Let  $\delta_1 > 0$  such that  $|f(x_0) - f(x)| < \varepsilon/2$ . Since  $g$  is continuous, choose  $\delta_2 > 0$  such that  $|g(x_0) - g(x)| < 1$ . Then  $g(x) < g(x_0) + 1$  for all  $x \in (x_0 - \delta, x_0 + \delta)$ . Finally, if choose  $\delta_3 > 0$  such that  $|g(x_0) - g(x)| < \varepsilon/2f(x_0)$ . Then  $\delta = \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3\}$  gives a bound to the expression

$$|f(x_0)||g(x_0) - g(x)| + |f(x_0) - f(x)||g(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

Note that if  $f(x_0) = 0$ , we discard  $\delta_3$  and we obtain a stricter bound on our estimates. In any case,  $fg$  is continuous. ♣

**Corollary.** *Polynomials from  $\mathbf{R}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$  are continuous.*

*Proof of Corollary.* It is immediate from Lemma 9(i,ii) and Theorem 18.2(a,b) from Munkres. Here is a sketch: By Theorem 18.2(a) constant functions are continuous, therefore  $x \mapsto a_0$  for  $a_0 \in \mathbf{R}$  is continuous. By Theorem 18.2(b), the map  $x \mapsto x$  is continuous so by Lemma 9(ii),  $x \mapsto x^2$  is continuous. By induction on  $n$ ,  $x \mapsto x^n$  is continuous. Similarly, we have that  $x \mapsto a_n x^n$  is continuous. Thus, by Lemma 9(i), the map

$$x \mapsto a_n x^n + \cdots + a_1 x + a_0$$

is continuous. ♣

Now, for the box topology, consider our favorite neighborhood of  $\mathbf{0}$  (as seen in Munkres §19, p. 117) given by

$$U = \prod_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

The set  $U$  is clearly open since it is a basis element, by Theorem 19.2. However, the preimage

$$h^{-1}(U) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \left(-\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right) = \{0\}$$

is not open in  $\mathbf{R}$  so  $h$  is not open in  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$  with the box topology.

Finally, we will show that  $h$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense: Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $x_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ , let  $\delta = \varepsilon$ , then for any  $x \in (x_0 - \varepsilon, x_0 + \varepsilon)$  we have

$$d_{\bar{\rho}}(h(x_0), h(x)) = |x_0 - x| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, since  $h$  is continuous in the  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  sense, by Lemma 8, we have that  $h$  is continuous in the open set sense. ■

**Problem 4.2 (Munkres §20, Ex. 4(b))**

Consider the product, uniform, and box topologies on  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ .

(b) In which topologies do the following sequences converge?

$$\begin{array}{ll}
 \mathbf{w}_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots), & \mathbf{x}_1 = (1, 1, 1, 1, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{w}_2 = (0, 2, 2, 2, \dots), & \mathbf{x}_2 = (0, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{w}_3 = (0, 0, 3, 3, \dots), & \mathbf{x}_3 = (0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots), \\
 \vdots & \vdots \\
 \mathbf{y}_1 = (1, 0, 0, 0, \dots) & \mathbf{z}_1 = (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{y}_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots) & \mathbf{z}_2 = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots), \\
 \mathbf{y}_3 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \dots) & \mathbf{z}_3 = (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \dots), \\
 \vdots & \vdots
 \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma D (from Prof. McClure's notes) if  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$ ,  $\{\mathbf{y}_n\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{z}_n\}$  converge in the box topology, they converge to  $\mathbf{0}$  since they converge to  $\mathbf{0}$  in the product topology (and this can be readily seen by applying Problem 3.5 [Munkres §19, Ex. 6]).

However, for the sequences  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{y}_n\}$  we see that the neighborhood of  $\mathbf{0}$  given by

$$U = \prod_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \left( -\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n} \right)$$

does not contain any term of either sequence since for any  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ , the term

$$\mathbf{x}_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1/k, 1/k, \dots) \notin (-1, 1) \times \dots \times (-1/k, 1/k) \times (-1/(k-1), 1/(k-1)) \times \dots.$$

Similarly, we can see that  $\mathbf{y}_k$  will not be in  $U$  for any  $k$  so the sequence  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{y}_n\}$  will not converge in the box topology.

Although  $\{\mathbf{x}_n\}$  and  $\{\mathbf{y}_n\}$  do not converge in the box topology we claim that the sequence  $\{\mathbf{z}_n\}$  does converge. To see this it is enough to consider basic open neighborhoods of  $\mathbf{0}$ . Let  $U = \prod (a_n, b_n)$  be a basis element containing  $\mathbf{0}$ . Then we must show that for  $N$  sufficiently big,  $\mathbf{z}_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Let  $b = \min\{b_1, b_2\}$ . Since  $b > 0$ , by the Archimedean property (Munkres Theorem 4.2), there exists  $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that  $1/N < b$ . Thus,  $\mathbf{z}_n \in U$  for all  $n \geq N$  so  $\mathbf{z}_n \rightarrow \mathbf{0}$  in the box topology. ■

**Problem 4.3 (Munkres §20, Ex. 6(b))**

Let  $\bar{\rho}$  be the uniform metric on  $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ . Given  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \in \mathbf{R}^\omega$  and given  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , let

$$U(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon) = (x_1 - \varepsilon, x_1 + \varepsilon) \times \cdots \times (x_n - \varepsilon, x_n + \varepsilon) \times \cdots.$$

(b) Show that  $U(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$  is not even open in the uniform topology.

*Proof of (b).* It is sufficient to find a point  $\mathbf{x}_0 \in U(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$  such that  $B_{\bar{\rho}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \delta) \not\subset U(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$  for any  $\delta > 0$ . Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be the point

$$\mathbf{x}_0 = \prod_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \left( x_n + \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \varepsilon \right).$$

Now consider the open ball  $B_{\bar{\rho}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \delta)$  for  $\delta > 0$ . Now, pick a point  $\mathbf{y} \in B_{\bar{\rho}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \delta)$  given by

$$\mathbf{y} = \prod_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \left( x_n + \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \varepsilon + \frac{\delta}{2} \right).$$

Clearly  $\mathbf{y} \in B_{\bar{\rho}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \delta)$  since

$$\bar{\rho}(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}) = \sup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \{ \min\{|x_n - y_n|, 1\} \} = \min\{\delta/2, 1\} \leq \delta/2.$$

However, by the Archimedean property, there exists  $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$  such that  $\delta/2 > 1/k$  so  $n \geq k$  implies

$$y_n = x_n + \left( \frac{n-1}{n} \right) \varepsilon + \frac{\delta}{2} > x_n + \varepsilon$$

so  $\mathbf{y}$  is in  $B_{\bar{\rho}}(\mathbf{x}_0, \delta)$  but not in  $U(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $\delta$  was arbitrary, we conclude that  $U(\mathbf{x}, \varepsilon)$  is not open. ■

**Problem 4.4 (A)**

Prove Theorem Q.2 from the notes on Quotient Spaces.

*Proof.* Recall the statement of the theorem:

**Theorem** (Theorem Q.2). *A function  $f: X/\sim \rightarrow Y$  is continuous if and only if the composite*

$$X \xrightarrow{q} X/\sim \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

*is continuous.*

The direction  $\Rightarrow$  follows from Theorem 18.2(c) in Munkres.

$\Leftarrow$  Suppose that the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{q} X/\sim \xrightarrow{f} Y$$

is continuous. Then for every open set  $U \subset Y$ , the preimage  $(f \circ q)^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$ . But the preimage

$$(f \circ q)^{-1}(U) = q^{-1}(f^{-1}(U))$$

and since  $q$  is a quotient map by definition (cf. Munkres §22, p. 137)  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X/\sim$  if and only if  $q^{-1}(f^{-1}(U))$  is open in  $X$ . Thus, the map  $f: X/\sim \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. ■

**Problem 4.5 (B)**

Prove Proposition Q.5 from the notes on Quotient Spaces.

*Proof.* Recall the definition and the proposition:

**Definition.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces. A map  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is a *Munkres quotient map* if  $\bar{p}: X/\sim_p \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism.

**Proposition** (Proposition Q.5). *A map  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  satisfies Definition Q.4 if and only if it satisfies the definition at the top of page 137 in Munkres.*

and Munkres's definition:

**Definition** (Munkres §22, p. 137). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces; let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be a surjective map. The map  $p$  is said to be a *quotient map* provided a subset  $U$  of  $Y$  is open in  $Y$  if and only if  $p^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$ .

$\Rightarrow$  Now, suppose that  $\bar{p}: X/\sim_p \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism. Then  $\bar{p}$  is continuous with a continuous inverse  $\bar{p}^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X/\sim_p$ . Let  $q: X \rightarrow X/\sim_p$  be the map which takes  $x$  in  $X$  to its equivalence class  $[x]$  in  $X/\sim_p$ . Then by Problem 4.5(A), the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{q} X/\sim_p \xrightarrow{\bar{p}} Y$$

is continuous if and only if  $\bar{p}$  is continuous. Moreover, since  $\bar{p}$  is bijective, it is surjective and  $q$  is clearly surjective so the map  $p = \bar{p} \circ q$  is surjective. Let us prove this claim:

**Lemma 10.** *Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  are surjective maps. Then the composite map  $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$  is surjective.*

*Proof.* Since  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  is surjective, for every  $z \in Z$  there exists a  $y \in Y$  such that  $g(y) = z$ . Similarly, for every  $y' \in Y$  there exists a  $x' \in X$  such that  $f(x') = y'$ , in particular there exists a  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = y$ . Thus,  $g(f(x)) = g \circ f(x) = z$ . Since  $z$  was arbitrary, we conclude that the composition of surjective maps is again surjective. ♣

Now suppose  $U$  is open in  $Y$ . Then the preimage

$$p^{-1}(U) = (\bar{p} \circ q)^{-1}(U) = q^{-1}(\bar{p}^{-1}(U))$$

is open since  $p$  is continuous. Conversely, suppose that the preimage  $p^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$  for  $U \subset Y$ . Then we have that

$$p^{-1}(U) = (\bar{p} \circ q)^{-1}(U) = q^{-1}(\bar{p}^{-1}(U))$$

so  $\bar{p}^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X/\sim$ . Hence, we have that

$$\bar{p}(\bar{p}^{-1}(U)) = (\bar{p} \circ \bar{p}^{-1})(U) = \text{id}_Y(U) = U$$

is open in  $Y$  since  $\bar{p}$  is a homeomorphism.

$\Leftarrow$  Now suppose that  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is a Munkres quotient map. That is, the map  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is surjective with  $U$  open in  $Y$  if and only if  $p^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$ . We claim that the map  $\bar{p}: X/\sim \rightarrow Y$



is a homeomorphism with continuous inverse  $\bar{p}^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X/\sim_p$  given by  $y \mapsto [x]$  where  $x'$  is in the equivalence class  $[x]$  if and only if  $x' \in p^{-1}(y)$ . First, it is clear that the map  $\bar{p}$  is continuous by Problem 4.4 (A) (that is, Theorem Q.2 from the notes) since  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous. Now we check that  $\bar{p}^{-1}$  is indeed the inverse map of  $\bar{p}$ . Let  $y \in Y$ . Since  $p$  is surjective, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $p(x) = y$ ; take this  $x$  to be the our representative of the equivalence class  $[x]$  of points in  $X$  which map to  $y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{p} \circ \bar{p}^{-1}(y) &= \bar{p}(\bar{p}^{-1}(y)) & \bar{p}^{-1} \circ \bar{p}([x]) &= \bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{p}([x])) \\ &= \bar{p}([x]) & &= \bar{p}^{-1}(y) \\ &= y & &= [x] \\ &= \text{id}_Y(y) & &= \text{id}_{X/\sim_p}([x]). \end{aligned}$$

Lastly, we will show that  $\bar{p}^{-1}$  is continuous. Let  $U$  be open in  $X/\sim_p$ . Then  $(\bar{p}^{-1})^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $Y$  if and only if  $p^{-1}(\bar{p}^{-1})^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $X$ , that is,

$$\begin{aligned} p^{-1}(\bar{p}^{-1})^{-1}(U) &= (\bar{p} \circ q)(\bar{p}^{-1})^{-1}(U) \\ &= q^{-1}(\bar{p}^{-1}((\bar{p}^{-1})^{-1}(U))), \end{aligned}$$

but since  $\bar{p}$  is bijective, in particular surjective, by Problem 1.1 (Munkres §2, Ex. 1(b)), we have

$$= q^{-1}(U)$$

which is by definition open in  $X$ . Thus,  $(\bar{p}^{-1})^{-1}(U)$  is open in  $Y$  and we see that  $\bar{p}^{-1}$  is continuous. We conclude that the map  $\bar{p}: X/\sim_p \rightarrow Y$  is a homeomorphism. ■

**\*\*Remarks\*\*.** In retrospect it would have been easier to show that the map  $\bar{p}: X/\sim_p \rightarrow Y$  is an open map (at least conceptually and the notation would have been easier to digest). Observe how much cleaner this is: Let  $U$  be an open set in  $X/\sim_p$ , the image  $\bar{p}(U)$  is open in  $Y$  if and only if  $p^{-1}(\bar{p}(U))$  is open in  $X$ , but as sets

$$p^{-1}(\bar{p}(U)) = q^{-1}(\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{p}(U))) = q^{-1}(U)$$

where  $\bar{p}^{-1}(\bar{p}(U))$  follows from the bijectivity of  $\bar{p}$  which we previously demonstrated. It is clear then that  $\bar{p}$  is a homeomorphism. Both proofs are correct, but we leave this here for pedantic purposes.

**Problem 4.6 (C)**

Prove Proposition Q.6 from the notes on Quotient Spaces.

*Proof.* Recall the statement of the proposition:

**Proposition** (Proposition Q.6). *Let  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  be a Munkres quotient map. A function  $f: Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous if and only if the composite*

$$X \xrightarrow{p} Y \xrightarrow{f} Z$$

*is continuous.*

Identify  $Y$  with the quotient  $X/\sim_p$  via the homeomorphism  $\bar{p}: X/\sim_p \rightarrow Y$  given in above in Problem 4.5 (Proposition Q.5) then apply Problem 4.4 (Theorem Q.2). ■

**Problem 4.7 (D)**

(Do not use Problem E to do this problem). Let  $\sim$  be the equivalence relation on the interval  $[-1, 1]$  defined by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $x = y$  or  $x = -y$  with  $y \in (-1, 1)$  (you do not have to prove that this is an equivalence relation). Prove that  $[-1, 1]/\sim$  is not Hausdorff.

*Proof.* We will show that for any open neighborhood  $U$  and  $V$  of  $1$  and  $-1$  respectively, the intersection  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be as above, then by the definition of the quotient map  $q^{-1}(U)$  and  $q^{-1}(V)$  are open neighborhoods of  $1$  and  $-1$  respectively. Then by the definition of the subspace topology, there exists  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that

$$B(1, \varepsilon_1) \cap [-1, 1] = (1 - \varepsilon_1, 1] \subset q^{-1}(U) \quad \text{and} \quad B(-1, \varepsilon_2) \cap [-1, 1] = [-1, -1 + \varepsilon_2] \subset q^{-1}(V).$$

Then, by Problem 1.1 (Munkres §2, Ex. 2(b)) and the transitivity of the subset relation,  $U_0 = q((1 - \varepsilon_1, 1]) \subset U$  and  $V_0 = q([-1, -1 + \varepsilon_2]) \subset V$  so  $U_0 \cap V_0 \subset U \cap V$ . Let us prove this claim:

**Lemma 11.** *Suppose  $A \subset C$  and  $B \subset D$ . Then  $A \cap B \subset C \cap D$ .*

*Proof of lemma.* Suppose  $x \in A \cap B$  if and only if  $x \in A$  and  $x \in B$  which implies  $x \in C$  and  $x \in D$  since  $A \subset C$  and  $B \subset D$ . But this is true if and only if  $x \in C \cap D$ . Thus,  $A \cap B \subset C \cap D$ . ♣

Now we will show that  $U_0 \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$ . For if we take the preimage of  $U_0$  and  $V_0$  under  $q$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} q^{-1}(U_0) &= \{x \in [-1, 1] \mid x \sim x' \text{ for every } x' \in (1 - \varepsilon_1, 1]\} \\ &= (-1, -1 + \varepsilon_1) \cup (-1 - \varepsilon_1, 1] \\ q^{-1}(V_0) &= \{x \in [-1, 1] \mid x \sim x' \text{ for every } x' \in [-1, -1 + \varepsilon_2]\} \\ &= [-1, -1 + \varepsilon_2] \cup (1 - \varepsilon_2, 1) \end{aligned}$$

where one can see that the points  $\pm \min\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$  are in the intersection  $q^{-1}(U_0) \cap q^{-1}(V_0)$ . Thus,  $q^{-1}(U_0) \cap q^{-1}(V_0) = q^{-1}(U_0 \cap V_0) \neq \emptyset$  so  $U_0 \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset$ . In particular  $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$  so  $[-1, 1]/\sim$  is not Hausdorff. ■

**Problem 4.8 (E)**

Let  $X$  be a topological space with an equivalence relation  $\sim$ . Suppose that the quotient space  $X/\sim$  is Hausdorff.

Prove that the set

$$S = \{x \times y \in X \times X \mid x \sim y\}$$

is a closed subset of  $X \times X$ .

*Proof.* We will show that  $(X \times X) \setminus S$  is open in  $X \times X$ . ■

**Problem 4.9 (F)**

For problem F you need the following definition: if  $Y$  is a topological space and  $S$  is a subset of  $Y$ , we write  $Y/S$  for the quotient space  $Y/\sim$ , where  $\sim$  is defined by  $x \sim y$  if and only if  $x = y$  or  $\{x, y\} \subset S$ . (Intuitively,  $Y/S$  is obtained from  $Y$  by collapsing  $S$  to a point.)

Let  $X$  be a topological space. Let  $U$  be an open set in  $X$ , and let  $A$  be a subset of  $U$ . Give  $U$  the subspace topology. Let  $\iota: U/A \rightarrow X/A$  be the map which takes  $[x]$  to  $[x]$  (you do not have to prove that this is well-defined).

- (i) Prove that  $\iota$  is continuous.
- (ii) Prove that  $\iota$  is an open map.

*Proof.* (i)

(ii) ■

**Problem 4.10 (G)**

Let  $X$  be a topological space satisfying the first countability axiom (see the bottom of page 130 and the top of page 131). Let  $A \subset X$  and let  $x \in \overline{A}$ . Prove that there is a sequence in  $A$  which converges to  $x$  (see the top of page 131 for a hint).

*Proof.*

■