

MA 544: Homework 1

Carlos Salinas

January 20, 2016

PROBLEM 1.1 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, EX. 1)

Let $f(x) = x \sin(1/x)$ for $0 < x \leq 1$ and $f(0) = 0$. Show that f is bounded and continuous on $[0, 1]$, but that $V[f; 0, 1] = +\infty$.

Proof. Moreover, f is continuous on $(0, 1]$ since it is the product of continuous functions on $(0, 1]$. To see that f is continuous at 0 it suffices to show that $f(0+) = f(0) = 0$. To that end, let $\{x_n\} \subset [0, 1]$ be a sequence such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and consider $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)$. Since $x_n \rightarrow 0$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number N such that $n \geq N$ implies $|0 - x_n| < \varepsilon$. Thus, for $n \geq N$ we have

$$|0 - f(x_n)| = |f(x_n)| = |x_n| |\sin(1/x_n)| \leq \varepsilon |\sin(1/\varepsilon)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Thus, $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and we see that $f(0+) = 0$. Hence, f is continuous on $[0, 1]$.

It is easy to see that f is bounded since $|\sin(1/x)| \leq 1$ for all $x \in (0, 1]$. More explicitly, we have

$$|f(x)| \leq |x \sin(1/x)| = |x| \cdot |\sin(1/x)| \leq 1 \cdot 1.$$

Thus, $|f(x)| \leq 1$ and we see that f is bounded.

Moreover, f is continuous on $(0, 1]$ since it is the product of continuous functions on $(0, 1]$. To see that f is continuous at 0, it suffices to show that $f(0+) = 0$. To that end, we shall use the following limiting argument: Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider the limit (from the right) of $f(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. This is

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(\varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \sin(1/\varepsilon) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\varepsilon| |\sin(1/\varepsilon)| \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\varepsilon| \cdot 1 = 0.$$

Thus, $f(0+) = 0$ and we see that f is continuous on $[0, 1]$.

Last but not least, we show that f is BV. Define the family of partitions $\{\Gamma_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ by $x_i :=$ ■

PROBLEM 1.2 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, EX. 2)

Prove theorem (2.1).

Proof. Recall the statement of theorem (2.1):

Theorem (Wheeden & Zygmund, 2.1). (a) If f is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then f is bounded on $[a, b]$.

(b) Let f and g be of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Then cf (for any real constant c), $f + g$, and fg are of bounded variation on $[a, b]$. Moreover, f/g is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ if there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $|g(x)| \geq \varepsilon$ for $x \in [a, b]$.

(a) We shall proceed by contradiction. Suppose that f is not bounded, i.e., for every positive real number $M > 0$, there exists $x \in [a, b]$ such that $|f(x)| > M$. In particular, if V is the variation of f , then $|f(x_0)| > V + (f(a) + f(b))/2$ for some $x_0 \in [a, b]$. Then, putting $\Gamma = \{a, x_0, b\} \subset [a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_\Gamma &= |f(b) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_0) - f(a)| \\ &= |f(x_0) - f(b)| + |f(x_0) - f(a)| \\ &\geq |2f(x_0) - f(a) - f(b)| \\ &= |2(V + (f(a) + f(b))/2) - f(a) - f(b)| \\ &= |2V + f(a) + f(b) - f(a) - f(b)| \\ &= 2V \\ &> V. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction since V is the supremum over all such sums.

(b) We shall prove these in the order in which they are listed above.

(i) The constant map $g(x) := c$ for some real number c is of BV on $[a, b]$ and this is easy to see: take any two partitions $\Gamma = \{x_0, \dots, x_m\}$, and $\Gamma' = \{y_0, \dots, y_n\}$ of $[a, b]$, then

$$S_\Gamma = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |g(x_{i+1}) - g(x_i)| = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} |c - c| = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |c - c| = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |g(y_{i+1}) - g(y_i)| = S_{\Gamma'}.$$

It takes just a few more steps in logic to see that $V[g; a, b] = 0$. Therefore, by (iii) $gf = cf$ is of BV.

(ii) This result follows quite effortlessly from Jordan's theorem, so we shall not trouble ourselves with picking partitions. By Jordan's theorem, there exist bounded increasing functions f_1, f_2 , and g_1, g_2 such that $f = f_1 - f_2$ and $g = g_1 - g_2$. Now, since f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2 are bounded and increasing, the sums $h_1 = f_1 + g_1$ and $h_2 = f_2 + g_2$ are bounded and increasing. Thus,

$$f + g = f_1 - f_2 + g_1 - g_2 = (f_1 + g_1) - (f_2 + g_2) = h_1 - h_2,$$

so by Jordan's theorem $f + g$ is BV on $[a, b]$.

- (iii) For this result, Jordan's theorem is not very helpful so we rely on the definition of BV. First, note that by the triangle inequality, for any $x < y$ in $[a, b]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| &= |(f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(y)) + (f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y))| \\ &\leq |f(x)||g(x) - g(y)| + |g(y)||f(x) - f(y)| \\ &\leq M|g(x) - g(y)| + N|f(x) - f(y)|, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

by part (a), where $|f| \leq M$ and $|g| \leq M$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. By (1), it follows that for any partition Γ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$S_\Gamma[fg; a, b] \leq MS_\Gamma[g; a, b] + NS_\Gamma[f; a, b].$$

Thus, passing to the supremum, we see that

$$V[fg; a, b] \leq MV[g; a, b] + NV[f; a, b] < +\infty,$$

so fg is BV on $[a, b]$.

- (iv) Suppose $|g(x)| > \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then, by the triangle inequality, the following estimate holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{f(y)}{g(y)} \right| &\leq \left| \frac{g(y)f(x) - g(x)f(y)}{g(x)g(y)} \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{|g(x)g(y)|} |g(y)f(x) - g(x)f(y)| \\ &< \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |g(y)f(x) - g(x)f(y)| \\ &< \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |g(y)f(x) - g(y)f(y) + g(y)f(y) - g(x)f(y)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |(g(y)f(x) - g(y)f(y)) - (g(x)f(y) - g(y)f(y))| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|g(y)||f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y)||g(x) - g(y)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|g(y)||f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y)|(|g(x)| - |g(y)|)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (N|f(x) - f(y)| + M|g(x) - g(y)|). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Hence, for any partition Γ of $[a, b]$, we have

$$S_\Gamma[f/g; a, b] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (NS_\Gamma[f; a, b] + MS_\Gamma[g; a, b]).$$

Thus, passing to the supremum, we see that

$$V[f/g; a, b] \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (NV[f; a, b] + MV[g; a, b]) < +\infty,$$

so f/g is BV on $[a, b]$. ■

PROBLEM 1.3 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, EX. 3)

If $[a', b']$ is a subinterval of $[a, b]$ show that $P[a', b'] \leq P[a, b]$ and $N[a', b'] \leq N[a, b]$.

Proof. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$. If f is unbounded, then $V[f; a, b] = +\infty$ and, by theorem 2.6, the result holds trivially.

Suppose f is BV on $[a, b]$. Then $V[f; a, b] < +\infty$. Hence, by theorem 2.2, we have

$$V[f; a', b'] \leq V[f; a, b]. \quad (3)$$

By theorem 2.6, we have

$$N[f; a', b'] = \frac{1}{2}(V[f; a', b'] + f(b') - f(a')) \quad P[f; a', b'] = \frac{1}{2}(V[f; a', b'] - f(b') + f(a'))$$

which, by theorem 2.2, are bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{1}{2}(V[f; a, b] - f(b) + f(a)) && \leq \frac{1}{2}(V[f; a, b] - f(b) + f(a)) \\ &= N[f; a, b] && = P[f; a, b], \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ■

PROBLEM 1.4 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, EX. 11)

Show that $\int_a^b f \, d\phi$ exists if and only if given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|R_\Gamma - R_{\Gamma'}| < \varepsilon$ if $|\Gamma|, |\Gamma'| < \delta$.

Proof. \implies Suppose that $I := \int_a^b f \, d\phi$ exists. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any partition Γ'' of $[a, b]$ with $|\Gamma''| < \delta/2$, $|I - R_{\Gamma''}| < \varepsilon$. Let Γ and Γ' be partitions with $|\Gamma|, |\Gamma'| < \delta/2$. Then, for the given ε , we have $|I - R_\Gamma| < \varepsilon$ and $|I - R_{\Gamma'}| < \varepsilon$ from which we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |R_\Gamma - R_{\Gamma'}| &= |-(I - R_\Gamma) + (I - R_{\Gamma'})| \\ &\leq |-(I - R_\Gamma)| + |I - R_{\Gamma'}| \\ &= |I - R_\Gamma| + |I - R_{\Gamma'}| \\ &\leq \delta/2 + \delta/2 \\ &= \delta, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

\Leftarrow Conversely, suppose that given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for any two partitions Γ, Γ' with $|\Gamma|, |\Gamma'| < \delta$ we have $|R_\Gamma - R_{\Gamma'}| < \varepsilon/2$. Put $I := \int_a^b f \, d\phi$. Then, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |I - R_\Gamma| &= |(I - R_{\Gamma'}) - (R_\Gamma - R_{\Gamma'})| \\ &\leq |I - R_{\Gamma'}| + |R_\Gamma - R_{\Gamma'}| \\ &\leq |I - R_{\Gamma'}| + \varepsilon/2 \end{aligned}$$

■

PROBLEM 1.5 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, EX. 13)

Prove theorem (2.16).

Proof.

Theorem (Wheeden & Zygmund, 2.16). (i) If $\int_a^b f \, d\phi$ exists, then so do $\int_a^b cf \, d\phi$ and $\int_a^b f \, d(c\phi)$ for any constant c , and

$$\int_a^b cf \, d\phi = \int_a^b f \, d(c\phi) = c \int_a^b f \, d\phi.$$

(ii) If $\int_a^b f_1 \, d\phi$ and $\int_a^b f_2 \, d\phi$ both exist, so does $\int_a^b (f_1 + f_2) \, d\phi$, and

$$\int_a^b (f_1 + f_2) \, d\phi = \int_a^b f_1 \, d\phi + \int_a^b f_2 \, d\phi.$$

(iii) If $\int_a^b f \, d\phi_1$ and $\int_a^b f \, d\phi_2$ both exist, so does $\int_a^b f \, d(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$, and

$$\int_a^b f \, d(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \int_a^b f \, d\phi_1 + \int_a^b f \, d\phi_2.$$

(i) Suppose that $I := \int_a^b f \, d\phi$ exists and let c be a constant. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\Gamma| < \delta$ implies $|I - R_\Gamma| < \varepsilon/|c|$. Then, we have

$$R_\Gamma[cf; a, b] = \sum_{i=1}^n cf(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] = c \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] \right) = cR_\Gamma \quad (4)$$

and

$$R_\Gamma[f; c\phi; a, b] = \sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)[c\phi(x_i) - c\phi(x_{i-1})] = c \left(\sum_{i=1}^n f(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] \right) = cR_\Gamma \quad (5)$$

for $\Gamma = \{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$.¹ Hence, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |cI - R_\Gamma[cf; a, b]| &= |cI - cR_\Gamma| \\ &= |c(I - R_\Gamma)| \\ &= |c||I - R_\Gamma| \\ &\leq |c|(\varepsilon/|c|) \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for δ as given. A similar argument (in fact, the same) works for $R[f; c\phi; a, b]$. Thus, we have

$$\int_a^b cf \, d\phi = \int_a^b f \, d(c\phi) = c \int_a^b f \, d\phi,$$

¹The $R_\Gamma[f; c\phi; a, b]$ is just made up notation. I can't think of what else to call it.

as desired.

(ii) Suppose that $I_1 := \int_a^b f_1 \, d\phi$ and $I_2 := \int_a^b f_2 \, d\phi$ exists. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists δ such that if Γ is a partition of $[a, b]$ with $|\Gamma| < \delta$ then $|I_1 - R_\Gamma[f_1; a, b]| < \varepsilon/2$ and $|I_2 - R_\Gamma[f_2; a, b]| < \varepsilon/2$. Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_\Gamma[f_1 + f_2; a, b] &= \sum_{i=0}^m (f_1(\xi_i) + f_2(\xi_i))[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^m (f_1(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] + f_2(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})]) \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^m f_1(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] + \sum_{i=0}^m f_2(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] \\
 &= R_\Gamma[f_1; a, b] + R_\Gamma[f_2; a, b].
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Thus, by (6), we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(I_1 + I_2) - R_\Gamma[f_1 + f_2; a, b]| &= |(I_1 + I_2) - R_\Gamma[f_1 + f_2; a, b]| \\
 &= |(I_1 + I_2) - (R_\Gamma[f_1; a, b] + R_\Gamma[f_2; a, b])| \\
 &= |(I_1 - R_\Gamma[f_1; a, b]) + (I_2 - R_\Gamma[f_2; a, b])|
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by the triangle inequality, is

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq |(I_1 - R_\Gamma[f_1; a, b])| + |(I_2 - R_\Gamma[f_2; a, b])| \\
 &\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 \\
 &= \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

or δ as given. Thus, $\int_a^b f_1 + f_2 \, d\phi$ exists and is equal to $\int_a^b f_1 \, d\phi + \int_a^b f_2 \, d\phi$.

(iii) Suppose $I_1 := \int_a^b f \, d\phi_1$ and $I_2 := \int_a^b f \, d\phi_2$ exist then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$ such that for every partition Γ_1, Γ_2 of $[a, b]$ with $|\Gamma_1| < \delta_1$ and $|\Gamma_2| < \delta_2$ we have $|I_1 - R_{\Gamma_1}[f; \phi_1; a, b]| < \varepsilon/2$ and $|I_2 - R_{\Gamma_2}[f; \phi_2; a, b]| < \varepsilon/2$. Put $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$. Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_\Gamma[f; \phi_1 + \phi_2; a, b] &= \sum_{i=0}^m f[(\phi_1(x_i) + \phi_2(x_i)) - (\phi_1(x_{i-1}) + \phi_2(x_{i-1}))] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^m f[(\phi_1(x_i) - \phi_1(x_{i-1})) + (\phi_2(x_i) - \phi_2(x_{i-1}))] \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^m f[(\phi_1(x_i) - \phi_1(x_{i-1}))] + \sum_{i=0}^m f[(\phi_2(x_i) - \phi_2(x_{i-1}))] \\
 &= R_\Gamma[f; \phi_1; a, b] + R_\Gamma[f; \phi_2; a, b].
 \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Hence, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
 |(I_1 + I_2) - R_\Gamma[f; \phi_1 + \phi_2; a, b]| &= |(I_1 + I_2) - (R_\Gamma[f; \phi_1; a, b] + R_\Gamma[f; \phi_2; a, b])| \\
 &= |(I_1 - R_\Gamma[f; \phi_1; a, b]) + (I_2 - R_\Gamma[f; \phi_2; a, b])|
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by the triangle inequality, is

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq |I_1 - R_\Gamma[f; \phi_1; a, b]| + |I_2 - R_\Gamma[f; \phi_2; a, b]| \\ &< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 \\ &= \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\int_a^b f \, d(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ exists and it is equal to the sum $\int_a^b f \, d\phi_1 + \int_a^b f \, d\phi_2$. ■