# MA571 Problem Set 7

Carlos Salinas

October 19, 2015

# Problem 7.1 (Munkres §26, Ex. 8)

**Theorem.** Let  $f: X \to Y$ ; let Y be compact Hausdorff. Then f is continuous if and only if the graph of f,

$$G_f = \{ (x, f(x)) \mid x \in X \},\$$

is closed in  $X \times Y$ .

[Hint: If  $G_f$  is closed and V is a neighborhood of  $f(x_0)$ , then the intersection of  $G_f$  and  $X \times (Y - V)$  is closed. Apply Exercise 7.]

*Proof.* As we demonstrated in Problem 2.7 (Munkres §18, Ex. 17) Y is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal,  $\Delta_Y = \{ (y, y) \mid y \in Y \}$ , is a closed subset of  $Y \times Y$ . Consider the map  $F: X \times Y \to Y \times Y$ defined by  $(x,y) \mapsto (f(x),y)$ . This map is continuous by Theorem 18.4 as f is, by assumption, continuous and id<sub>Y</sub> is continuous by 18.2(b) (since it is the inclusion  $Y \hookrightarrow Y$ ). Then

$$F^{-1}(\Delta_Y) = \{ (x,y) \mid F(x,y) \in \Delta_Y, x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= \{ (x,y) \mid (f(x),y) \in \Delta_Y, x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= \{ (x,y) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= \{ (x,f(x)) \mid x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= G_f$$

is closed by Theorem 18.1(3).

Conversely, suppose  $G_f$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ . Fix a point  $x_0 \in X$  and let  $V \subset Y$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of  $f(x_0)$ . Then Y-V is a closed subset of Y so, by Problem 2.1 (Munkres §17, Ex. 3), the product  $X \times (Y - V)$  is closed in  $Y \times Y$ . In particular, by Theorem 17.1(2), the intersection  $B = G_f \cap X \times (Y - V)$  is closed in  $X \times Y$ . Thus, by Problem 6.5 (Munkres §26, Ex. 7), since Y is a compact Hausdorff space, the projection  $\pi_1(B)$  onto X is a closed subset of X. But

$$B = \{ (x, y) \mid (x, y) \in G_f \text{ and } (x, y) \in X \times (Y - V) \}$$
  
= \{ (x, y) \| y = f(x) \text{ and } (x, y) \in X \times (Y - V) \}  
= \{ (x, f(x)) \| f(x) \in Y - V \}

so we have that  $\pi_1(B) = f^{-1}(Y - V) = X - f^{-1}(V)$ . One containment is easy to see, namely " $\subset$ ": if  $x \in B$  then  $x = \pi_1(x, f(x))$  for at least one  $f(x) \in Y - V$ . To see the reverse inclusion, take  $x \in f^{-1}(Y - V)$ , then  $f(x) \in Y - V$  so  $(x, f(x)) \in B$ , hence  $x \in \pi_1(B)$ . Thus,  $X - \pi_1(B) = f^{-1}(V)$ is open so f is continuous.

### Problem 7.2 (Munkres §26, Ex. 9)

Generalize the tube lemma as follows:

**Theorem.** Let A and B be subspaces of X and Y, respectively; let N be an open set in  $X \times Y$  containing  $A \times B$ . If A and B are compact, then there exist open sets U and V in X and Y, respectively, such that

$$A \times B \subset U \times V \subset N$$
.

Proof. The idea is to construct an appropriate covering of  $A \times B$  using both compactness of A and compactness of B that will give us the open sets that we want. Fix an  $a \in A$ . Then, for every  $b \in B$  there exists neighborhoods  $U_b \subset X$  and  $V_b \subset Y$  of a and b, respectively, such that  $U_b \times V_b \subset N$  (by the definition of the product topology and since N is open). Then, since B is compact, by Lemma 26.1, there exists a finite subcollection, say  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{n_a}$ , that covers B. Let  $U_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} U_i$  and  $V_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} V_i$ . Varying this over every  $a \in A$ , we obtain an open cover  $\{U_a \times V_a\}_{a \in A}$ ; let's verify this: Let  $(a,b) \in A \times B$ , then  $a \in U_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} U_i$  (since each  $U_i$  is in fact a neighborhood of a) and  $b \in V_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} V_i$  so  $b \in V_i$  for some  $1 \le q \le n_a$ . Thus, by Theorem 26.7, there exists a finite subcollection  $\{U_i \times V_i\}_{i=1}^n$  covering  $A \times B$ . Take  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$  and  $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ . Then, we claim that  $A \times B \subset U \times V \subset N$ .

It is clear, by construction of U and V, that  $U \times V \subset N$  (and this follows from Lemma 5 proved on Homework 2, i.e., if  $A, B \subset C$  then  $A \cup B, A \cap B \subset C$ ). To see that  $A \times B \subset U \times V$  take  $(a,b) \in A \times B$ . Then  $a \in U_i$  for some  $1 \le i \le n$  and  $b \in V_i$  for all i (since  $V_i \supset B$  for all  $1 \le i \le n$ )so  $(a,b) \in U \times V$ . Thus, we have

$$A\times B\subset U\times V\subset N$$

as desired.

#### PROBLEM 7.3 (MUNKRES §26, Ex. 12)

Let  $p: X \to Y$  be a closed continuous surjective map such that  $p^{-1}(y)$  is compact, for each  $y \in Y$ . (Such a map is called a *perfect map*.) Show that if Y is compact, then X is compact.

[Hint: If U is an open set containing  $p^{-1}(y)$ , there is a neighborhood W of y such that  $p^{-1}(W)$  is contained in U.]

*Proof.* First we shall prove Munkres's hint:

**Claim.** Let  $p: X \to Y$  be a closed map. If U is an open subset containing  $p^{-1}(y)$  for some  $y \in Y$ , there exists a neighborhood W of y such that  $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$ .

Proof of claim. Let  $y \in Y$ . Suppose that U is an open subset containing  $p^{-1}(y)$ . Then, X - U is closed so p(X-U) is closed. In particular,  $y \notin p(X-U)$  (for if it were, we would have  $p^{-1}(y) \subset X-U$ , but  $U \supset p^{-1}(y)$ ). Thus Y - p(X - U) is a neighborhood of y so

$$p^{-1}(Y - p(X - U)) = p^{-1}(Y) - p^{-1}(p(X - U)) = X - p^{-1}(p(X - U)) \subset U$$

since, by Problem 1.1(a) (Munkres §2, Ex. 1(a)), we have that  $p^{-1}(p(X-U)) \supset X-U$ .

Now let  $\{U_{\alpha}\}$  be an open cover of X. Then, since  $p^{-1}(y) \subset X = \bigcup U_{\alpha}$  is compact, by Lemma 26.1, there exists a finite subcollection, say  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{n_y}$ , that covers  $p^{-1}(y)$ . Let  $U_y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_y} U_i$ . Then, by the claim, there exists  $W_y$  neighborhood of y such that  $p^{-1}(W_y) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_y} U_i$ . We can do this for every  $y \in Y$ . In particular, we see that the collection  $\{W_y\}_{y \in Y}$  is an open cover of Y so, since Y is compact, there exists a finite subcollection, say  $\{W_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ , that covers Y. Then  $p^{-1}(W_{y_i}) \subset U_{y_i}$  and

$$X = p^{-1}(Y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} p^{-1}(W_{y_i}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{y_i}.$$

Thus, X is compact.

### PROBLEM 7.4 (MUNKRES §27, Ex. 2(B,D))

Let X be a metric space with metric d; let  $A \subset X$  be nonempty.

- (b) Show that if A is compact, d(x, A) = d(x, a) for some  $a \in A$ .
- (d) Assume that A is compact; let U be an open set containing A. Show that some  $\varepsilon$ -neighborhood of A is contained in U.

*Proof.* (b) Fix  $x \in X$  and consider the map  $d_x \colon A \to \mathbf{R}$  given by  $a \mapsto d(x, a)$ . We claim that  $d_x$  is continuous so, assuming this has been proven, by the extreme value theorem there exists points  $a, b \in A$  such that  $d_x(a) \leq d_x(y) \leq d_x(b)$  for every  $y \in A$ . In particular, we have that  $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) = d(x, a) = d_x(a)$  ((i)  $d_x(a) \leq d_x(y)$  for all y; (ii) if  $d_x(a') \leq d_x(y)$  for all  $y \in A$  then  $d_x(a) = d_x(a')$  since  $d_x(a) \leq d_x(y)$  for all  $y \in A$ ).

That  $d_x$  is continuous follows from the following lemma (which we shall prove if we have time):

**Lemma** (Munkres §18, Ex;11). Let  $f: X \times Y \to Z$ . We say that F is continuous in each variable separately if for each  $y_0$  in Y, the map  $h: X \to Z$  defined by

(d) For every  $a \in A$ , r > 0 such that  $B_d(a, 2r) \subset U$  (we are guaranteed these exist since U is open in the metric topology) consider the collection  $\{B_d(a, 2r)\}$ . This collection is an open cover of A, so, by Lemma 26.1, there exists a finite subcollection, say  $\{B_d(a_i, 2r_i)\}_{i=1}^n$  that covers A. Let  $r = \min\{r_1, ..., r_n\}$  and a be the corresponding basepoint for the open ball. Then for every  $b \in B_d(a_i, r_i)$ , we have that

$$B_d(b,r) \subset B_d(a_i,r_i+\varepsilon) \subset B_d(a_i,2r_i) \subset U$$

so, by part (c), 
$$U(A,\varepsilon) = \bigcup_{b\in A} B_d(b,r) \subset U$$

### Problem 7.5 (Munkres §27, Ex. 5)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space; let  $\{A_n\}$  be a countable collection of closed sets of X. Show that if each set  $A_n$  has empty interior in X, then the union  $\bigcup A_n$  has empty interior in X. [Hint: Imitate the proof of Theorem 27.7.]

This is a special case of the *Baire category theorem*, which we shall study in Chapter 8.

*Proof.* Mimicking the proof of Theorem 27.7, suppose  $A \subset X$  is closed and  $U \subset X$  is a nonempty open subset such that  $U \not\subset X$ . Then, since  $U - A \neq \emptyset$  and X is a compact Hausdorff space, by Theorem 26.2, the union  $A \cup (X - U)$  is compact so, by Theorem 26.4, there exist disjoint neighborhoods W and V about  $A \cup (X - U)$  and X, respectively, such that

$$\overline{V} \subset X - (A \cup (X - U)) = (X - A) \cap U = U - A.$$

Now we show that any nonempty open set,  $U_0$ , has a point that is not in the union  $\bigcup A_n$ . For  $A_i$ ,  $i \ge 1$ ,  $U_{i-1}$  is a nonempty open subset such that  $U_{i-1} \not\subset A_i$ , hence, there is a nonempty open set  $U_i \subset X$  such that  $\overline{U}_i \subset U_{i-1} - A_i$ . We thus have a nested sequence of nonempty closed subsets

$$\overline{U_1} \subset \overline{U_2} \subset \cdots$$

and their intersection is nonempty since X is compact, such that any point  $x \in \bigcap \overline{U_i}$  belongs to  $U_0$ , but not to  $\bigcup A_n$ .

# Problem 7.6 (Munkres $\S29$ , Ex. 2(A))

Let  $\{X_{\alpha}\}$  be an indexed family of nonempty spaces.

(a) Show that if  $\prod X_{\alpha}$  is locally compact, then each  $X_{\alpha}$  is locally compact and  $X_{\alpha}$  is compact for all but finitely many values of  $\alpha$ .

Proof of (a). Suppose  $X = \prod X_{\alpha}$  is locally compact. Then, for every  $\mathbf{x} \in X$ , there exist a compact set C containing an open neighborhood U of  $\mathbf{x}$ . We may, without loss of generality, assume  $U = \prod U_{\alpha}$  where  $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$  for all but finitely many  $X_{\alpha}$ . Suppose  $U_{\beta} = X_{\beta}$ . Then  $\pi_{\beta}(C) = X_{\beta}$  is compact by Theorem 26.5. It follows that each  $X_{\alpha}$  is compact for all but finitely man  $\alpha$ . To see that each  $X_{\alpha}$  is also locally compact we prove the following stronger result:

**Lemma 15** (Munkres §20, Ex. 3). If  $f: X \to Y$  is a continuous and open, then f(X) is locally compact.

Proof of lemma. Since X is locally compact, then for every  $x \in X$  there exists a compact set C containing a neighborhood U of x. Then,  $f(U) \subset f(C)$  is a compact set, by Theorem 26.5, containing a neighborhood, namely f(U), of f(x). Thus, f(X) is locally compact.

Now, since  $\pi_{\alpha}$  is an open map (generalization of Munkres §16, Ex. 4), it follows that  $\pi_{\alpha}(X) = X_{\alpha}$  is locally compact by Lemma 15.

#### PROBLEM 7.7 (MUNKRES §29, Ex. 10)

Show that if X is a Hausdorff space that is locally compact at the point x, then for each neighborhood U of x, there is a neighborhood V of x such that  $\overline{V}$  is compact and  $\overline{V} \subset U$ .

Proof. Since x is locally compact, there exists a compact set C containing a neighborhood, say W, of x. Let U be an arbitrary neighborhood of x. Then  $C-U\cap W$  is a closed subset of C, hence compact in the subspace topology on C so, by Lemma 26.1, it is compact in X. Moreover,  $x\notin C-U\cap W$  so by Lemma 26.4, since X is Hausdorff, there exists disjoint neighborhoods  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  of x and  $C-U\cap W$ , respectively. Now, note that  $\overline{V_1}\cap V_2=\emptyset$  for otherwise for any point  $x\in \overline{V_1}\cap V_2$ ,  $V_2$  is a neighborhood of x so  $V_1\cap V_2\neq\emptyset$ , this is a contradiction. Let  $V=V_1\cap U\cap W$ . Then  $V\subset U$  and  $V\subset C$  and, by Lemma B,  $\overline{V}\subset C$ , by Theorem 26.2,  $\overline{V}$  is compact as desired.

CARLOS SALINAS PROBLEM 7.8(A)

### PROBLEM 7.8 (A)

Let  $S^1$  denote the circle

$$S^1 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1 \}$$

and let  $B^2$  denote the closed disk

$$B^2 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1 \}.$$

Prove that the quotient space  $(S^1 \times [0,1])/(S^1 \times 0)$  (see HW #4 for the notation) is homeomorphic to  $B^2$ .

*Proof.* Note that, by Theorem 26.6, it suffices to find a bijective continuous function  $\bar{\varphi}$ , since  $B^2$  is Hausdorff and  $CS^1$  is compact, by Theorem 26.5. Consider the map  $\varphi \colon S^1 \times [0,1] \to B^2$  given by  $(x,y,z) \mapsto (zx,zy)$ . We will show that the induced map on the quotient space  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a continuous bijection.

To see that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is continuous, let  $\Phi \colon S^1 \times [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}^2$  be  $\varphi$  with whose codomain has been extended. Then, note that  $\pi_1 \circ \Phi(x,y) = zx$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \Phi(x,y) = zy$  are continuous by Theorem 21.4, so by Theorem 18.4 and 18.2(e),  $\varphi$  is continuous. Moreover, if  $(x_1,y_1,z_1) \sim (x_2,y_2,z_2)$  then  $(x_1,y_1,z_1) = (x_2,y_2,z_2)$ , in which case  $\varphi(x_1,y_1,z_1) = \varphi(x_2,y_2,z_2)$ , or  $(x_1,y_1,0) = (x_2,y_2,0)$  for any  $(x_1,y_1,0) \in S^1 \times 0$ , in which case  $\varphi(x_1,y_1,0) = 0 = \varphi(x_2,y_2,0)$ ,  $\varphi$  preserves the equivalence relation so by Theorem Q.3,  $\bar{\varphi}$  is continuous.

Now we show that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is bijective. Surjectivity of  $\bar{\varphi}$  follows from surjectivity of  $\varphi$ . Let  $(x,y) \in B^2$  and put  $z_0 = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$ ,  $y_0 = y/z_0$  and  $x_0 = x/x_0$ . Note that  $x_0^2 + y_0^2 = x^2/(x^2 + y^2) + y^2/(x^2 + y^2) = 1$  and  $\sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \le 1$  for all x, y so  $z \le 1$  so  $(x_0, y_0, z_0) \in S^1 \times [0, 1]$ . Thus,  $\varphi(x_0, y_0, z_0) = (x, y)$  so  $\varphi$  is surjective. This implies that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is surjective.

Finally, to see that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is injective suppose  $\bar{\varphi}([x_1,y_1,z_1]) = \bar{\varphi}([x_2,y_2,z_2])$  then

$$(z_1x_1, z_1y_1) = z_1(x_1, y_1) = z_2(x_2, y_2) = (z_2x_2, z_2y_2)$$

$$((*))$$

so, if  $z_1 = 0$  we must have  $z_2 = 0$  since  $(0,0) \notin S^1$ , hence  $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (x_1, y_1, 0)$  and  $(x_2, y_2, z_2) = (x_2, y_2, 0)$  so  $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \sim (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ , if  $z_1 \neq 0$  then we can divide by  $z_1$  on both sides and we must have  $z_2 = z_1$  since  $\sqrt{(z_2 x_2/z_1)^2 + (z_2 y/z_1)^2} = |z_2/z_1|\sqrt{x_2^2 + y_2^2} = 1$  and  $z_1, z_2 \geq 0$  so, in fact, we have  $(x_1, y_1, z_1) = (x_2, y_2, z_2)$  so in particular  $(x_1, y_1, z_1) \sim (x_2, y_2, z_2)$ . Thus,  $\bar{\varphi}$  is injective.

We conclude, by Theorem 26.6, that  $\varphi$  is a homeomorphism and  $CS^1 \cong B^2$ .