# MA 544: Homework 9

Carlos Salinas

March 28, 2016

#### Problem 9.1 (Wheeden & Zygmund §6, Ex. 1)

- (a) Let E be a measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{y : (x,y) \in E\}$  has  $\mathbb{R}$ -measure zero. Show that E has measure zero and that for almost every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\{x : (x,y) \in E\}$  has measure zero.
- (b) Let f(x,y) be nonnegative and measurable in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Suppose that for almost every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , f(x,y) is finite for almost every y. Show that for almost  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , f(x,y) is finite for almost every x.

*Proof.* (a) That E has measure zero is a consequence of Fubini's theorem. Set  $E_x := \{ y : (x,y) \in E \}$  and  $E_y := \{ x : (x,y) \in E \}$  then, by Theorem 6.8, we have

$$|E| = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi_E \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{E_x} 1 \, \mathrm{d}y \right] \, \mathrm{d}x = \iint_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{E_y} 1 \, \mathrm{d}x \right] \, \mathrm{d}y = 0. \tag{9.1}$$

Hence, E has measure zero. Moreover, we see that  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[ \int_{E_y} 1 \, dx \right] dy = 0$  which means that for a.e.  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $E_y$  has  $\mathbb{R}$ -measure zero.

(b) Let E be the set of all pairs  $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that f(x, y) is not finite. By hypothesis, the set  $E_x$  has  $\mathbb{R}$ -measure zero for a.e. x. Therefore, by part (a) the set  $E_y$  has measure zero. Hence, for a.e. y, f(x, y) is finite for a.e. x.

### Problem 9.2 (Wheeden & Zygmund §6, Ex. 3)

Let f be measurable and finite a.e. on [0,1]. If f(x)-f(y) is integrable over the square  $0 \le x \le 1$ ,  $0 \le y \le 1$ , show that  $f \in L[0,1]$ .

*Proof.* Set I := [0,1]. Suppose that  $f(x) - f(y) \in L(I \times I)$ . Then by Fubini's theorem we have

$$\iint_{I \times I} f(x) - f(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}y = \iint_{I} \left[ \iint_{I} f(x) - f(y) \, \mathrm{d}x \right] \, \mathrm{d}y = \iint_{I} \left[ \iint_{I} f(x) - f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right] \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty. \tag{9.2}$$

Hence, for a.e.  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , f(x) - f(y) is integrable so f(x) is integrable.

#### Problem 9.3 (Wheeden & Zygmund §6, Ex. 4)

Let f be measurable and periodic with period 1: f(t+1) = f(t). Suppose there is a finite c such that

$$\int_0^1 |f(a+t) - f(b+t)| \, \mathrm{d}t \le c$$

for all a and b. Show that  $f \in L[0,1]$ . (Set a = x, b = -x, integrate with respect to x, and make the change of variables  $\xi = x + t$ ,  $\eta = -x + t$ .)

*Proof.* Following the hint, write

$$c \ge \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |f(x+t) - f(-x+t)| \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t$$

making the change of variables  $\xi = x + t$ ,  $\eta = -x + t$  and appropriate modification to the bounds of integration, i.e.,  $0 \le \xi \le 2$ ,  $-1 \le \eta \le 1$  we have

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{0}^{2} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| (\det \mathbf{J}(\xi, \eta)) \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,\mathrm{d}\eta$$

by Fubini's theorem

$$= \int_0^2 \int_{-1}^1 |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| (\det \mathbf{J}(\xi, \eta)) \, \mathrm{d}\eta \, \mathrm{d}\xi$$

where  $\mathbf{J}(\xi,\eta) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial x}{\partial \eta} \\ \frac{\partial t}{\partial \xi} \frac{\partial z}{\partial t} \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \eta} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$  is the Jacobian of the linear transformation which sends the pair  $(\xi,\eta)$  to  $(1/2(\xi-\eta),1/2(\xi+\eta))$ , hence we have

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| d\xi d\eta$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{-1}^{0} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| d\xi d\eta + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| d\xi d\eta$$

Here we use Theorem 3.35 to note that the translation  $\eta \mapsto \eta + 1$  and the fact that f is periodic with period 1 gives us

$$= \int_0^2 \int_0^1 |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \,\mathrm{d}\xi \,\mathrm{d}\eta$$

similarly, we have

$$= 2 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \,d\xi \,d\eta.$$

Hence, the inequality

$$\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} |f(\xi) - f(\eta)| \,d\xi \,d\eta \le \frac{c}{2}$$
(9.3)

holds so by Problem 9.2 (§6, Ex. 3),  $|f| \in L[0,1]$  hence,  $f \in L[0,1]$ .

MA 544: Homework 9

#### Problem 9.4 (Wheeden & Zygmund §6, Ex. 6)

For  $f \in L(\mathbb{R})$ , define the Fourier transform  $\hat{f}$  of f by

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t)e^{-ixt} dt$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . (For complex-valued function  $F = F_0 + iF_1$  whose real and imaginary parts  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are integrable, we define  $\int F = \int F_0 + i \int F_1$ .) Show that if f and g belong to  $L(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(x) = 2\pi \widehat{f}(x)\widehat{g}(x).$$

*Proof.* By direct computation we have

$$\widehat{(f * g)}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s - t)g(t) dt \right] e^{-ixs} ds$$

now do this

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(s-t)g(t)e^{-ixs} dt ds$$

make the substitution u = s - t, then the above becomes

$$\begin{split} &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)g(t)e^{-ix(u+t)} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)e^{-ixu}g(t)e^{-ixt} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}u \end{split}$$

by Fubini's theorem, this is just

$$= 2\pi \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u)e^{-ixu} du\right) \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(t)e^{-ixt} dt\right)$$
$$= 2\pi \hat{f}(x)\hat{g}(x)$$

as desired.

#### Problem 9.5 (Wheeden & Zygmund §6, Ex. 7)

Let F be a closed subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and let  $\delta(x) = \delta(x, F)$  be the corresponding distance function. If  $\lambda > 0$  and f is nonnegative and integrable over the complement of F, prove that the function

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y) f(y)}{|x - y|^{1 + \lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}t$$

is integrable over F and so is finite a.e. in F. (In case  $f = \chi_{(a,b)}$ , this reduces to Theorem 6.17.)

*Proof.* Set  $G := \mathbb{R} \setminus F$ . By assumption, we have

$$\int_{G} f(x) \, \mathrm{d}x < \infty. \tag{9.4}$$

By Tonelli's theorem, since  $\delta(y) = 0$  for  $y \in F$ , we have

$$\int_{F} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y) f(y)}{|x - y|^{1 + \lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y \right] \mathrm{d}x = \int_{F} \left[ \int_{G} \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y) f(y)}{|x - y|^{1 + \lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y \right] \mathrm{d}x$$

$$= \int_{G} \delta^{\lambda}(y) f(y) \left[ \int_{F} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{|x - y|^{1 + \lambda}} \right] \mathrm{d}y.$$
(9.5)

Now, by Marcinkiewwicz's theorem, we have

$$\int_{F} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{|x-y|^{1+\lambda}} \le 2\lambda^{-1}\delta(y)^{-\lambda}.$$
(9.6)

Then, by (9.4), we have

$$\int_{F} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y) f(y)}{|x - y|^{1 + \lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y \right] \mathrm{d}x \le \int_{G} \delta^{\lambda}(y) f(y) \left[ 2\lambda^{-1} \delta(y)^{-\lambda} \right] \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= 2\lambda^{-1} \int_{G} f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$\le \infty$$
(9.7)

as desired.

## Problem 9.6 (Wheeden & Zygmund §6, Ex. 9)

- (a) Show that  $M_{\lambda}(x; F) = +\infty$  if  $x \notin F$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ .
- (b) Let F = [c, d] be a closed subinterval of a bounded open interval  $(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , and let  $M_{\alpha}$  be the corresponding Marcinkiewicz integral,  $\lambda > 0$ . Show that  $M_{\lambda}$  is finite for every  $x \in (c, d)$  and that  $M_{\lambda}(c) = M_{\lambda}(d) = \infty$ . Show also that  $\int M_{\lambda} \leq \lambda^{-1} |G|$ , where G = (a, b) [c, d].

*Proof.* (a) Put  $G := (a, b) \setminus F$ . Since  $\delta(y) = 0$  for  $y \in F$ , by Tonelli's theorem we have

$$M_{\lambda}(x) = \int_{G} \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y)}{|x - y|^{1+\lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y. \tag{9.8}$$

If  $x \notin F$ , then since G is open, there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon}(x) \subset G$  and  $m := \inf_{y \in B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \delta(y) > 0$ . Since  $\delta^{\lambda}(y)/|x-y|^{1+\lambda}$  is nonnegative, we have

$$\begin{split} \int_G \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y)}{|x-y|^{1+\lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y &\geq \int_{B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{\delta^{\lambda}(y)}{|x-y|^{1+\lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\geq m^{\lambda} \int_{|x-y|<\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|x-y|^{1+\lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &= 2m^{\lambda} \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{1}{u^{1+\lambda}} \, \mathrm{d}u \\ &= \left[2m^{\lambda} \lambda^{-1} u^{-\lambda}\right]_0^\varepsilon \\ &= \infty. \end{split}$$

(b)