# MA553 Past Qualifying Examinations

Carlos Salinas

December 31, 2015

#### 1 Heinzer MA 553 Problems

Past Heinzer and Włodarczyk problems with proofs to the theorems, corrolaries, and lemmas where I believe they would benefit me.

#### 1.1 Groups

**Problem 1.1.** Does the symmetric group  $S_5$  have a subgroup of order 10? Justify your answer.

*Proof.* Yes. In fact, the following more general result holds.

**Lemma 1.** The group  $D_{2n}$  acts transitively on the set A consisting of the vertices of a regular n-gon.

Proof of lemma. Labeling these vertices 0, ..., n-1 in a clockwise fashion, let r be the rotation of the n-polygon clockwise by  $2\pi/n$  radians and let s be the reflection of the regular n-gon by any line which passes through the center of the n-gon. This defines an action on A since for any vertex  $a \in A$  and we have  $r \cdot a \in A$  (that is,  $r \cdot a \mapsto a+1 \mod n$ ) and  $s \cdot a \in A$  (that is,  $s \cdot a \mapsto n-1 \mod n$  or something like that) and r, s are generators for  $D_{2n}$ .

Next, it is easy to see that the action is transitive for  $r^k \cdot a \mapsto a + k \mod n$  traverses (goes through every element of) the set A.

Lastly, we claim that this action is faithful. That is, we claim that the stabilizer of A consists of the identity subgroup. First  $\langle e \rangle \subset \operatorname{Stab}_{D_{2n}}(A)$  (this is always true). Let  $g \in \operatorname{Stab}_{D_{2n}}(A)$ . Then,  $g \cdot a = a \mod n$  for all  $a \in A$ . This cannot be an element of the form  $sr^k$  or  $r^k$  since  $r^k$  does not fix any vertices. Thus, it can only be an element of the form s or e. But likewise s only fixes at most two vertices (vertices which intersect the line we are reflecting about). Thus, g = e and we see that the action is indeed faithful.

Thus, there is an induced homomorphism  $\varphi \colon D_{2n} \hookrightarrow S_n$  with kernel  $\langle e \rangle$  the identity element, i.e.,  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism so  $D_{2n} \cong \varphi(D_{2n}) < S_n$ . This shows that  $S_n$  always contains a subgroup of order 2n, namely, a subgroup isomorphic to the dihedral group  $D_{2n}$ .

From the lemma above, we see that  $D_{10} \hookrightarrow S_5$  so that  $S_5$  has a subgroup of order 10.

**Problem 1.2.** Let G be a subgroup generated by the 5-cycles in  $S_5$ . Find the order of  $N_{S_5}(G)$ .

*Proof.* This is a thinly disguised Sylow's theorem problem. The 5-cycles of  $S_5$  are order the order 5 premutations of  $S_5$  hence, are contained in some Sylow 5-subgroup P. Since G is the larges subgroup containing these 5-cycles and P is a maximal subgroup of  $S_5$  then G = P. First, let us factor the order of  $S_5$  into primes,  $|S_5| = 5! = 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . By Sylow's theorem, we have that the index of the normalizer of G in  $S_5$  is  $n_5 = [S_5 : N_{S_5}(G)]$  and  $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $n_5 \mid 2^3 \cdot 3$ . Running through all of the possibilities, we see that  $n_5 = 1$  or  $n_5 = 6$ .

If  $n_5 = 1$  then G is the unique Sylow 5-subgroup of G and hence, a normal subgroup of  $S_5$ . Moreover, since all of the 5-cycles are even permutations  $G < A_5$ . Since G is a characteristic subgroup of  $S_5$  this would imply that  $G \triangleleft A_5$ , but  $A_5$  is simple. Thus,  $n_5 = 6$ .

Hence,  $n_5 = 6$  and we have that

$$|N_{S_5}(G)| = \frac{5!}{6} = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5}{6} = 4 \cdot 5 = 20.$$

**Problem 1.3.** Show that for any element  $\sigma$  of order 2 in the alternating group  $A_n$ , there exists  $\tau \in S_n$  such that  $\tau^2 = \sigma$ .

*Proof.* Consider the unique representation of  $\sigma$  as a product of disjoint cycles

$$\sigma = (a_1^1 \cdots a_{k_1}^1) \cdots (a_1^\ell \cdots a_{k_\ell}^\ell).$$

since disjoint cycles commute,  $|\sigma|$  is the least common multiple of the order of each of the cycles in the representation above. Since every *n*-cycle has order *n* and  $|\sigma| = 2$ , it follows that  $\sigma$  must be a product of disjoint transposition, i.e., disjoint 2-cycles.

Now, since  $\sigma \in A_n$ ,  $\sigma$  is an even permutation so consists of an even number of disjoint transpositions, say

$$\sigma = (a_1 b_1) \cdots (a_{2k} b_{2k})$$

for some positive integer k. Now, note that the product of transpositions

$$(ab)(cd) = (acbd)^2$$

so that

$$\sigma = (a_1 \, a_2 \, b_1 \, b_2)^2 \cdots (a_{2k-1} \, a_{2k} \, b_{2k-1} \, b_{2k})^2.$$

Since each of these cycles are disjoint from one another, they commute so that

$$\sigma = [(a_1 \, a_2 \, b_1 \, b_2) \cdots (a_{2k-1} \, a_{2k} \, b_{2k-1} \, b_{2k})]^2.$$

Define

$$\tau := (a_1 \, a_2 \, b_1 \, b_2) \cdots (a_{2k-1} \, a_{2k} \, b_{2k-1} \, b_{2k}).$$

Then  $\tau^2 = \sigma$  as desired.

**Problem 1.4.** Let G be a finite group, p > 0 a prime number. Show that a subgroup H < G contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G if and only if p does not divide [G: H].

*Proof.*  $\Longrightarrow$  Put  $|G| = p^{\alpha}m$  for positive integer m and  $\alpha$ , where m is not divisible by p. Suppose that  $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$  is contained in H. Then, by Lagrange's theorem, we have  $p^{\alpha} \mid H$  and  $|H| \mid p^{\alpha}m|G|$ . Thus,  $|H| = p^{\alpha}n$  for some  $n \mid m$  not divisible by p. Hence,

$$[G:H] = \frac{p^{\alpha}m}{p^{\alpha}n} = \frac{m}{n}$$

which is not divisible by p since m and n are not divisible by p.

 $\Leftarrow$  Conversely, suppose that  $p \nmid [G:H]$ . Then  $|H| = p^{\alpha}m/[G:H]$ . Since  $p \nmid [G:H]$ ,  $[G:H] \mid m$ . Put  $|H| = p^{\alpha}n$ . Let  $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$ . Then P is a p-subgroup of G hence, must be contained in a Sylow p-subgroup Q of G. Thus, P < Q, but  $|P| = p^{\alpha} = |Q|$ . Hence, P = Q, i.e., H contains a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

**Problem 1.5.** Let G be a finite group, p > 0 a prime number, and H a normal subgroup of G. Prove the following assertions.

- (a) Any Sylow p-subgroup of H is the intersection  $P \cap H$  of a Sylow p-subgroup of G and H.
- (b) Any Sylow p-subgroup of G/H is the quotient PH/H, where P is a Sylow p-subgroup of G.

*Proof.* (a) Let  $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(H)$ . Then Q is a p-subgroup of G hence, it is contained in a Sylow p-subgroup P of G. Hence,  $Q < P \cap H$ . Conversely, since  $P \cap H < P$ ,  $P \cap H$  is a p-subgroup of H hence, it is contained in a Sylow p-subgroup R of H. Thus,  $Q < P \cap H < R$ . But since |Q| = |R| and  $|Q| \mid |P \cap H|$  and  $|P \cap H| \mid |R|$ , we must have that  $Q = P \cap H$ .

(b) We will begin by showing that if  $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$  then  $PH/H \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G/H)$ . Put  $|G| = p^{\alpha}m$  and  $|H| = p^{\beta}n$  where  $p \nmid m$  and  $p \nmid n$  and  $n \mid m$  (where the last necessarily true by Lagrange's theorem, since H is a subgroup of G). By the 2nd isomorphism theorem, since  $H \triangleleft G$ , we have  $PH/H \cong P/P \cap H$  so that

$$|PH/H| = |P/P \cap H| = |P|/|P \cap H| = p^{\alpha - \beta};$$

this is by part (a) since  $P \cap H$  is a Sylow p-subgroup of H hence,  $|P \cap H| = p^{\beta}$ . Since  $|G/H| = p^{\alpha-\beta}n/m$ , it follows that if  $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G/H)$ , then  $|Q| = p^{\alpha-\beta}$ . Thus, by a simple order argument, it must be that  $PH/H \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G/H)$  (PH/H is a p-group hence, it is contained in a Sylow p-subgroup Q of G/H, but  $|PH/H| = |Q| = p^{\alpha-\beta}$  thus, PH/H = Q).

Now, suppose that  $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G/H)$ . By Sylow's theorem, Q is conjugate to a subgroup of the form RH/H where  $R \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ . By the 4th isomorphism theorem, there exists a subgroup K > H such that K/H = Q. Moreover, since Q is conjugate to RH/H, K is conjugate to RH. Thus,  $K = gRHg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G$ . But since  $H \triangleleft G$  for any  $h \in H$ ,  $r \in R$ , we have  $grhg^{-1} = grg^{-1}(ghg^{-1}) = grg^{-1}h'$  for some  $h' \in H$ . Hence,  $K = gRg^{-1}H$ . But  $R \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$  thus,  $gRg^{-1} = P$  for some Sylow p-subgroup P of G. Thus, K/H = PH/H = Q.

**Problem 1.6.** Let H be a normal subgroup of a finite group G, and let N < H be a normal Sylow subgroup of H. Prove that N is a normal subgroup of G.

*Proof.* This is an important result, what is says is that normal Sylow *p*-subgroups are *characteristic* subgroups, i.e., if K is characteristic in H and  $K \triangleleft G$  then  $K \triangleleft H$  and  $K \triangleleft G$ .

Suppose N is a normal Sylow p-subgroup of H. Then N is the unique Sylow p-subgroup of H. Since  $H \triangleleft G$ , for every  $g \in G$ ,  $gHg^{-1} = H$ . In particular,  $gNg^{-1} < H$ . Since conjugation preserves order,  $|qNq^{-1}| = |N|$  hence,  $qNq^{-1} = N$ . Thus,  $N \triangleleft G$ .

**Problem 1.7.** Let G be a finite group, p > 0 a prime number, and H a normal p-subgroup of G. Prove the following assertions.

- (a) H is contained in each Sylow p-subgroup of G.
- (b) If K is any normal p-subgroup of G, then HK is a normal p-subgroup of G.
- *Proof.* (a) Suppose that H is a normal p-subgroup of G. Then H is contained in some Sylow p-subgroup P of H. Moreover, since  $gHg^{-1} = H < gPg^{-1}$  for all  $g \in G$ , and since every Sylow p-subgroup of G is conjugate, H < Q for every  $Q \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$ .
- (b) First, note that since H and K are normal subgroups of G, HK < G. Moreover,  $|HK| = |H||K|/|H \cap K|$ . If  $|H \cap K| \neq 1$  then  $H \cap K$  is not the identity subgroup hence, must contain at least one element of order  $p^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha \geq 1$ . By Lagrange's theorem,  $p \mid |H \cap K|$  and  $|H \cap K| \mid |H|, |K|$  so  $|H \cap K| = p^{\beta}$  for some  $\beta \geq 1$ . It follows that  $|HK| = p^{\gamma}$  for some  $\gamma \geq 1$ , i.e., HK is a p-subgroup of G.

Lastly, we need to show that  $HK \triangleleft G$ . Let  $g \in G$ . Then for any  $h \in H$ ,  $k \in K$  we have  $ghkg^{-1} = (ghg^{-1})(gkg^{-1}) = h'k'$  where  $h' \in H$  and  $k' \in K$  since  $H \triangleleft G$  and  $K \triangleleft G$ . Thus,  $HK \triangleleft G$ . Note that the latter is true regardless of whether H and K are p-subgroups of G.

**Problem 1.8.** Prove that the order of the automorphism group  $(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^4$  is  $80 \times 78 \times 72 \times 54$ .

*Proof.* This is from an early section of Dummit and Foote. The idea is that  $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})^4) \cong \operatorname{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})$  which has  $(3^4-1)(3^4-3)(3^4-9)(3^4-27)=80\cdot 78\cdot 72\cdot 54$  elements.

**Problem 1.9.** Prove, for fixed n, that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) Every abelian group of order n is cyclic.
- (b) n is square free (i.e., not divisible by any square integer > 1).

*Proof.* (a)  $\Longrightarrow$  (b) Suppose that every Abelian group of order n is cyclic. Let G be an Abelian group of order n. Then  $G = \langle x \rangle \cong Z_n$  for some element  $x \in G$  of order n. By the fundamental theorem of finitely generated Abelian groups, we have

$$G \cong Z_{n_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{n_r} \cong Z_n$$

where  $n_i$  are elementary divisors. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that n is not square free, i.e.,  $n = k^2 m$ . Then, we have

$$Z_n \cong Z_k \times Z_{km},$$

but the group on the left is cyclic, whereas the group on the right is not (suppose  $(z_1, z_2) \in Z_k \times Z_{km}$  is a generator for  $Z_k \times Z_{km}$ ; then  $|(z_1, z_2)| = k^2 m$ , but  $z_1^k = 1$  and  $z_2^{km} = 1$  hence  $(z_1, z_2)^{km} = (z_1^{km}, z_2^{km}) = (1, 1)$ ; i.e., the order of every element  $(z_1, z_2)$  is at most lcm(k, km) = km). This contradicts the assumption that G is cyclic. Thus, n must be square free.

(b)  $\implies$  (a) Conversely, suppose that n is square free. Then, by the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups, we have

$$G \cong Z_{n_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{n_r}$$

where  $n = n_1 \cdots n_r$  and each  $n_i$  is an elementary divisor of n, i.e.,  $n_{i+1} \mid n_i$  which implies that  $n_1 = n_2 k$  for some positive integer  $k \mid n$ . Thus,  $n = n_1^2 k n_3 \cdots n_s$ . But n is square free thus,  $n_1 = 1$ . Proceeding in this manner, we see than  $n_i = 1$  for all  $i \neq s$  and  $n_s = n$ . Thus,

$$G \cong 1 \times \cdots 1 \times Z_n \cong Z_n$$

is cyclic.

**Problem 1.10.** Prove that there is no simple group of order 4125.

*Proof.* Suppose G is a group of order  $4125 = 3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11$ . We need to show that G contains at least one nontrivial normal subgroup. We shall proceed by Sylow's theorem. By Sylow's theorem,  $n_3 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $n_3 \mid 5^3 \cdot 11$  thus,  $n_3 = 1$ , 25, and 55. Similarly  $n_5 = 1$  and 11 and  $n_{11} = 1$  and 375.

Forget that. Let us do something tricky. Suppose G is simple. Then G has no nontrivial normal subgroup. By Sylow's theorem,  $n_5 = 1$  or 11 so  $n_5 = 11$  for otherwise G has a unique hence, normal Sylow 5-subgroup. Also by Sylow's theorem, recall that  $[G: N_G(P)] = 11$  for any  $P \in \text{Syl}_5(G)$ . Let A denote the collection of left cosets of  $N_G$ . By Lagrange's theorem,  $|A| = [G: N_G(P)] = 11$ . Let

G act on A by left multiplication. This action is transitive and hence, induces a homomorphism  $\varphi \colon G \to S_{11}$ . Moreover, since  $\ker \varphi \lhd G$  and G is simple,  $\ker \varphi$  is the identity subgroup. Thus, by the 1st isomorphism theorem,  $G \cong \varphi(G)$  so, by Lagrange's theorem,  $3 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 11 \mid 11!$ . However, the highest power of 5 to divide 11! is  $5^2$ . This leads to a contradiction. Thus, G is not simple.

**Problem 1.11.** Show that P is abelian whenever Aut(P) is cyclic.

*Proof.* The problem follows quickly from the following results

**Lemma 2.** Any subgroup of a cyclic group is cyclic.

*Proof.* Suppose that G is cyclic, i.e.,  $G = \langle x \rangle$  for some element  $x \in G$ . Let H < G. If H is the identity subgroup then  $H = \langle e_G \rangle$ . Suppose H is nontrivial. Since every element of G is some power of x, every element of H is of the form  $x^k$  for some positive integer k. Put  $y := x^k$  where k is the smallest power of x such that  $x^k \in H$ . We show that  $\langle y \rangle = H$ .

First, it is immediate that  $\langle x \rangle < H$ . To see the reverse, let  $z \in H$ . Then  $z = x^{\ell}$  for some positive integer  $\ell$ . By our previous assumption, we have  $k < \ell$  so by the Euclidean algorithm, there exists positive integers q and r such that  $\ell = qk + r$  where r < k so

$$z = x^{\ell} = x^{qk}x^r = (x^k)^q x^r = y^q x^r.$$

But since H is a group, we have  $y^{-q}z=x^r\in H$ . But we made the assumption that k is the smallest integer such that  $x^k\in H$ . Thus, r=0 and we have  $z=y^q$ . It follows that  $H=\langle y\rangle$ , i.e., H is cyclic.

**Lemma 3.** If G/Z(G) is cyclic, then G is Abelian.

*Proof.* Suppose G/Z(G) is cyclic. Then  $G/Z(G) = \langle \bar{x} \rangle$  for some  $x \in G$ . Thus, for every element  $g \in G$ ,  $g = x^k z$  for some  $z \in Z(G)$  for some positive integer k. Let  $x^{k_1} z_1, x^{k_2} z_2 \in G$ . Then

$$(x^{k_1}z_1)(x^{k_2}z_2) = x^{k_1}x^{k_2}z_1z_2 = x^{k_1+k_2}z_2z_1 = x^{k_2+k_1}z_2z_1 = (x^{k_2}z_2)(x^{k_1}z_1).$$

Thus, G is Abelian.

Suppose  $\operatorname{Aut}(P)$  is cyclic. Then  $\operatorname{Inn}(P) < \operatorname{Aut}(P)$  is cyclic. But since,  $G/Z(G) \cong \operatorname{Inn}(P)$ , we have that G is Abelian.

**Problem 1.12.** Let G be a finite group of order pqr, where p > q > r are prime.

- (a) If G fails to have a normal subgroup of order p, determine the number of elements in G of order p.
- (b) If G fails to have a normal subgroup of order q, prove that G has at least  $q^2$  elements of order q.
- (c) Prove that G has a nontrivial normal subgroup.

*Proof.* (a) By Sylow's theorem,  $n_p \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $n_p \mid qr$  so either  $n_p = 1$  or  $n_p = qr$ . Since we are assuming that G does not have a normal subgroup of order p,  $n_p = qr$ . Since every subgroup of order p is cyclic, for every pair  $P, Q \in \text{Syl}_p(G), P \cap Q = \{e_G\}$ . Thus, the number of elements of order p must be qr(p-1).

- (b) Again, by Sylow's theorem,  $n_q \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$  and  $n_q \mid pr$  so either  $n_q = 1$ , p, or pr. Since we are assuming that G does not have a normal subgroup of order p,  $n_q = p$  or  $n_q = pr$ . Thus, we may assume that  $n_q = p$ . Now since every subgroup of order q is cyclic, the the Sylow q-subgroups of G intersect pairwise at the identity subgroup. Thus, there are at most p(q-1) elements of order q. Now, since p > r > q, p > q + 2 so  $(q+2)(q-1) = q^2 + q 1 > q^2$  since q > 1. Thus, G has at least  $q^2$  elements of order q.
- (c) Lastly we will show that G has at least one nontrivial normal subgroup. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that G does not have a normal Sylow r-subgroup or a Sylow q-subgroup. By Sylow's theorem,  $n_r \equiv 1$  and  $n_r \mid pq$  thus,  $n_r = 1$ , q, p or pq. Since we are assuming that G does not have a normal Sylow r-subgroup, then  $n_r$  is at least q. Thus, there are q(r-1) elements of order r. By parts (a) and (b) we have a total of

$$qr(p-1) + q^2 + q(r-1) + 1 = pqr - qr + q^2 + qr - q + 1 = pqr + q(q-1) + 1$$

elements of order p, q, and r together with the identity element e. But q(q-1)+1>0 so we have pqr+q(q-1)+1>pqr=|G|. This is a contradiction. Thus, at least one of  $n_p$ ,  $n_q$  or  $n_r$  must equal 1 and hence, at least one of the p, q, or r Sylow subgroups is normal in G.

**Problem 1.13.** Find all abelian groups of order 60. Find the number of elements of order 6 in each group.

*Proof.* Suppose G is an Abelian group of order  $|G| = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ . By the fundamental theorem of finitely generated abelian groups, we have that G is isomorphic to one of

$$Z_{2\cdot 3\cdot 5} \times Z_2 = Z_{30} \times Z_2$$
 or  $Z_{2^2\cdot 3\cdot 5} = Z_{60}$ .

For  $G \cong Z_{60}$ , recall that since G is Abelian, G has a subgroup of order m for every positive integer n dividing m. Thus, G has a subgroup of order 6. Moreover, since  $Z_{60}$  is cyclic, this subgroup too is cyclic. Therefore, by Euler's totient theorem, this subgroup contains a total of  $\varphi(6) = \varphi(3)\varphi(2) = (3-1)(2-1) = 2$  elements of order 6.

For  $G \cong Z_{30} \times Z_2$ , if  $(z_1, z_2) \in G$  is an element of order 6 then  $z_1$  must be an element of order 3 or order 6 and  $z_2$  must be an (the only) element of order 2 (since  $|(z_1, z_2)| = \text{lcm}(|z_1|, |z_2|)$ ). Therefore, it suffices to count the elements of order 3 and 6 in  $Z_{30}$  and pair them up with an element of order 2 and an element of order 1 or 2, respectively. For the same reasons as above, G must contain a subgroup of order 3 and a subgroup of order 6. By Euler's totient theorem,  $\varphi(3) = 2$  and  $\varphi(6) = 2$ . Thus, there are  $2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 1 = 6$  elements of order 6 in  $G \cong Z_{30} \times Z_2$ .

**Problem 1.14.** Show that any group G of order 80 is solvable.

*Proof.* Suppose G is a group of order  $80 = 2^4 \cdot 5$ . By Sylow's theorem,  $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$  and  $n_5 \mid 2^4$ . Thus,  $n_5 = 1$ , 16. Similarly,  $n_2 = 1$  or  $n_2 = 5$ .

If  $n_5 = 1$  we are done since  $P_5 \in \operatorname{Syl}_5(G)$  is the unique Sylow 5-subgroup of G hence,  $P_5 \triangleleft G$  and  $G/P_5$  is a group of order  $2^4$ , i.e., a p-group hence,  $P_5$  and  $G/P_5$  are solvable. Thus, G is solvable.

Suppose  $n_5 \neq 1$ , then we must show that  $n_2 = 1$ . Since  $n_5 \neq 1$ , we have  $n_5 = 16$  and we have  $16(5-1) = 16 \cdot 4 = 64$  elements of order 5 which leaves 80-64-1=15 elements unaccounted for. Thus,  $n_2 = 1$  so  $P_2 \in \operatorname{Syl}_2(G)$  is a normal subgroup of G. Thus,  $P_2 \lhd G$  and  $|P_2| = 2^4$  is a p-group hence, solvable. Moreover,  $|G/P_2| = 5$  hence, is Abelian thus, solvable. Therefore, G is solvable.

**Problem 1.15.** Let G be a finite group and suppose that Aut(G) is solvable. Show that G is solvable.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is solvable. Then  $\operatorname{Inn}(G) < \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is solvable. But  $\operatorname{Inn}(G) \cong G/Z(G)$ . Thus, G/Z(G) is solvable. Since  $Z(G) \triangleleft G$  is Abelian, Z(G) is solvable. Thus, G is solvable.

### 1.2 Rings

**Problem 1.16.** Let R be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$  and let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal of R. Let I and J be ideals of R such that  $I \cap J \subset \mathfrak{p}$ , prove that either  $I \subset P$  or  $J \subset P$ .

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, suppose that  $I \not\subset J$ . We show that  $J \subset \mathfrak{p}$ . Let  $x \in I$ . Then  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . But for any  $y \in J$ ,  $xy \in I \cap J$ . Thus,  $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime,  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ . But  $x \notin \mathfrak{p}$  hence,  $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ . This is true for any  $y \in J$ . Thus,  $J \subset \mathfrak{p}$ .

**Problem 1.17.** Prove that a finite integral domain is a field.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in R$  be a nonzero element. Define the map  $\varphi_a \colon R \to R$  by  $\varphi_a(x) := ax$ . Then  $\varphi_a$  defines a group homomorphism on R viewed as an additive Abelian group: Let  $x, y \in R$  then

$$\varphi_a(x+y) = a(x+y)$$

$$= ax + ay$$

$$= \varphi_a(x) + \varphi_a(y).$$

Now, let  $x \in \ker \varphi$ . Then  $\varphi_a(x) = ax = 0$ . Since R is a domain and  $a \neq 0$ , x = 0. Thus,  $\varphi$  is injective. Since R is finite and  $\varphi_a \colon R \to R$  is injective,  $\varphi_a$  is surjective (by the pigeonhole principle). Thus, there exists an element  $b \in R$  such that  $\varphi_a(b) = ab = 1$ . Thus, a is a unit. Since  $\varphi_a$  chosen arbitrarily, it follows that every nonzero element  $a \in R$  is a unit. Thus, R is a field.

**Problem 1.18.** An element x of a ring R is called nilpotent if some power of x is zero. Prove that if x is nilpotent, then 1 + x is a unit in R.

*Proof.* First we will prove the following:

**Lemma 4.** If x is nilpotent, then -x is nilpotent.

*Proof.* Suppose that x is nilpotent. Then  $x^n = 0$  for some positive integer n. Then

$$(-x)^n = (-1)^n \cdot x^n = (-1)^n \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Thus, -x is nilpotent.

Now, since x is nilpotent, by the preceding lemma, -x is nilpotent. Thus

$$(-x)^n - 1 = (-x - 1)((-x)^{n-1} + \dots + 1).$$

Since  $x^n = 0$ , we have

$$-1 = ((-x) - 1)((-x)^{n-1} + \dots + 1)$$

or

$$1 = (1+x)((-x)^{n-1} + \dots + 1).$$

Thus, 1 + x is a unit.

**Problem 1.19.** Let R be a nonzero commutative ring with 1. Show that if I is an ideal of R such that 1 + a is a unit in R for all  $a \in I$ , then I is contained in every maximal ideal of R.

*Proof.* Seeking a contradiction, assume otherwise. Then there exists a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  such that  $\mathfrak{m} \not\supset I$ , i.e., for some  $a \in I$ ,  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . Consider the ideal generated by (a). Since  $a \in I$ ,  $(a) \not= R$  since I is a proper ideal of R, in particular, since a is a nonunit. Consider the ideal  $\mathfrak{m} + (a)$ . Since  $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathfrak{m} + (a)$ . But since  $\mathfrak{m}$  is maximal, it follows that  $\mathfrak{m} + (a) = R$ . Hence, there exists an element  $m \in \mathfrak{m}$  such that m + ra = 1 for some  $r \in r$ . Then we have m = 1 - ra. Since  $-r \in R$  and  $a \in I$ , we have  $-ra \in I$  so m = 1 + (-ra) is a unit thus,  $\mathfrak{m} = R$ . This contradicts that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a maximal ideals. Thus, I is contained in every maximal ideal of R.

**Problem 1.20.** Let R be an integral domain and F be its field of fractions. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime ideal in R and

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}} := \left\{ \left. \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in R, \, b \notin \mathfrak{p} \right. \right\} \subset F.$$

Show that  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a unique maximal ideal.

*Proof.* We will show that

$$\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \coloneqq \left\{ \left. \frac{a}{b} \mid a \in \mathfrak{p}, \, b \notin \mathfrak{p} \right. \right\}$$

is the unique maximal ideal of R. We will show that  $a/b \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a unit if and only if  $a/b \notin \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .  $\Longrightarrow$  Suppose that a/b is a unit. Then there exists an element a'/b' such that

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)\left(\frac{c}{d}\right) = \frac{ac}{bd} = \frac{1}{1}.$$

That is, there exists an element  $s \in R \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  such that s(ac - bd) = 0. Since R is an integral domain,  $s \neq 0$  so ac - bd = 0 implies ac = bd. Since  $b, d \notin \mathfrak{p}$  (since  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime) and, in particular,  $ac \notin \mathfrak{p}$  so  $a/b \notin \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

 $\Leftarrow$  Conversely, suppose that  $a/b \notin \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then  $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ . Thus,  $b/a \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and

$$\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)\left(\frac{b}{a}\right) = \frac{ab}{ba} = \frac{1}{1}.$$

Thus, a/b is a unit in  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Now, since  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  does not contain any units, it is a proper ideal of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Morevore, for every  $a/b \notin \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} + (a/b) = R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a maximal ideal, i.e., is not contained in any proper ideal of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Any other ideal must contain a unit or is strictly contained in  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

**Problem 1.21.** Let m and n be relatively prime integers. Show that there is an isomorphism  $Z_{mn}^{\times} \cong Z_m^{\times} \times Z_n^{\times}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose m and n are relatively prime. Then  $(m) + (n) = \mathbb{Z}$ , i.e., (m) and (n) are comaximal. By the Chinese remainder theorem there is a ring isomorphism

$$Z_{mn} \cong Z_m \times Z_n$$
.

which gives an isomorphism of the group of units

$$Z_{mn}^{\times} \cong (Z_m \times Z_n)^{\times}.$$

Thus, it suffices to show that  $(Z_m \times Z_n)^{\times} = Z_m^{\times} \times Z_m^{\times}$ .

Suppose  $(a,b) \in (Z_m \times Z_n)^{\times}$ . Then (a,b) is a unit in  $Z_m \times Z_n$ , i.e., there exists (c,d) such that (a,b)(c,d)=(1,1). But (a,b)(c,d)=(1,1) if and only if ac=1 and bd=1. Thus,  $a\in Z_m^{\times}$  and  $b\in Z_n^{\times}$  so  $(a,b)\in Z_m^{\times}\times Z_n^{\times}$ . Conversely, if  $(a,b)\in Z_m^{\times}\times Z_n^{\times}$  then a is a unit in  $Z_m$  and b is a unit in  $Z_m$ . Thus, there exists elements  $c\in Z_m$  and  $c\in Z_m$  such that c=1 and c=1 so c=1 so c=1 and c=1 so c=

**Problem 1.22.** Show that if x is non-nilpotent in R then a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of R, which does not contain  $x^n$  for n = 1, 2, ..., is prime.

*Proof.* I think what the professor had in mind was to prove this: "Show that if x is non-nilpotent in R then the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ , which is maximal with respect to not containing  $x^n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is prime."

This looks like a standard commutative algebra problem. Let  $S := \{x^k \mid k \geq 1\}$ , i.e., the multiplicative set generated by x and suppose that  $\mathfrak p$  is an ideal maximal with respect to  $\mathfrak p \cap S = \emptyset$ . Seeking a contradiction suppose  $a,b \in R$  with  $ab \in \mathfrak p$  but  $a,b \notin \mathfrak p$ . Then, the ideals  $\mathfrak p + (a)$  and  $\mathfrak p + (b)$  contain  $\mathfrak p$  and therefore must contain a power of x, say  $x^m$  and  $x^n$ , respectively. Thus, we have

$$x^m x^n = x^{m+n} \in (\mathfrak{p} + (a))(\mathfrak{p} + (b)) \subset \mathfrak{p} + (ab) \subset \mathfrak{p}.$$

But  $\mathfrak{p}$  is maximal with respect to not containing any power of x. This is a contradiction. Thus, we must have  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $b \in \mathfrak{p}$  which implies  $\mathfrak{p}$  is prime.

**Problem 1.23.** Let  $\mathbb{Q}$  be the field of rational numbers and  $D = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q} \}$ .

- (a) Show that D is a principal ideal domain.
- (b) Show that  $\sqrt{3}$  is not an element of D.

*Proof.* (a) First we define a Euclidean norm  $d: D \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  via  $d(a+b\sqrt{2}) := a^2+2b^2$ . Let  $I \subset D$  be a proper ideal. Let  $x = a+b\sqrt{2} \in I$  be minimal with respect to the Euclidean norm, i.e.,  $d(x) \leq d(y)$  for all  $y \in I$ .

**Problem 1.24.** Show that if p is a prime such that  $p \equiv 1 \mod 4$ , then  $x^2 + 1$  is not irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ .

**Problem 1.25.** Show that if p is a prime such that  $p \equiv 3 \mod 4$ , then  $x^2 + 1$  is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$ .

Problem 1.26. Find a simpler description for each of the following rings:

- 0.  $\mathbb{Z}[x]/(x^2-3,2x+4)$ ;
- 0.  $\mathbb{Z}[i]/(2+i)$   $(i^2=-1)$ .

Problem 1.28. Let D be a principal ideal domain. Prove that every nonzero prime ideal of D is a maximal ideal.

Proof.

Problem 1.29. Prove or disprove that a nonzero prime ideal P of a principal ideal domain R is a maximal ideal.

Proof.

Problem 1.30. Consider the polynomial  $f(x) = x^4 + 1$ .

(a) Use the Eisenstein Criterion to show that f(x) is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

**Problem 1.27.** Show that  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-13}]$  is not a principal ideal domain.

(b) Prove that f(x) is reducible in  $\mathbf{F}_p[x]$  for every prime p.

Proof.

Proof.

**Problem 1.31.** Assume that f(x) and g(x) are polynomials in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  and that  $f(x)g(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Prove that the product of any coefficient of f(x) with any coefficient of g(x) is an integer.

Proof.

**Problem 1.32.** Let k be a field, x, y, indeterminates. Let f(x) and g(x) be relatively prime polynomials in k[x]. Show that in the polynomial ring k(y)[x], f(x) - yg(x) is irreducible.

#### 1.3 Fields

**Problem 1.33.** Let F be a field with prime characteristic ch(F) = p. Let L/F be a finite extension such that p does not divide [L:F]. Show that L/F is a separable extension.

Proof.

**Problem 1.34.** Let  $\zeta_5$  be a primitive 5-th root of unity, and denote  $\theta = \zeta_5 + \zeta_5^{-1}$  as an element of the cyclotomic field  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_5)$ . Show that the minimal polynomial of  $\theta$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  is  $m_{\theta,\mathbb{Q}}(x) = x^2 + x - 1$ .

Proof.

**Problem 1.35.** Prove or disprove the following: If  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  are irreducible polynomials that have the same splitting field, then  $\deg f = \deg g$ .

Proof.

**Problem 1.36.** Prove or disprove that every finite algebraic extension field of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}$  is Galois.

Proof.

**Problem 1.37.** If  $[K : \mathbb{F}_p]$  divides  $[L : \mathbb{F}_p]$ , does it follow that K is isomorphic to a subfield of L.

Proof.

**Problem 1.38.** Let  $\mathbb{F}_p$  be a finite field whose cardinality p is prime. Fix a positive integer n which is not divisible by p, and let  $\zeta_n$  be a primitive n-th root of unity. Show that  $[\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{F}_p] = a$  is the least positive integer such that  $p^a \equiv 1 \mod n$ . [Hint: the Galois group of the extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is generated by the Frobenius automorphism.]

Proof.

**Problem 1.39.** Fix a prime p, and consider the polynomial  $f(x) = x^p - x - 1$ . Let  $\mathbb{F}_p(f)$  be the splitting field of f(x) over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{F}_p(f)$  be a root of f.

(a) Show that  $a \mapsto a+1$  defines an automorphism of  $\mathbb{F}_p(f)$ .

Proof. Let

(b) Show that  $Gal(\mathbb{F}_p(f)/\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

Proof.

(c) Prove that f(x) is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Proof.

 $\mathbb{F}_p(f)/\mathbb{F}_p$  is called an Artin–Schreier Extension.

**Problem 1.40.** Let x and y be indeterminates over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Prove that there exists infinitely many subfields of  $L = \mathbb{F}_2(x, y)$  that contain the field  $K = \mathbb{F}_2(x^2, y^2)$ .

Proof.

**Problem 1.41.** Let K/F be an algebraic field extension. If K = F(a) for some  $a \in K$ , prove that there are only finitely many subfields of K that contain F.

Proof.

**Problem 1.42.** Let p be a prime integer. Recall that a field extension K/F is called a p-extension if K/F is Galois and [K:F] is a power of p. If K/F and L/K are p-extensions, prove that the Galois closure of L/F is a p-extension.

Proof.

**Problem 1.43.** Give an example where K/F and L/K are p-extensions, but L/F is not Galois.

Proof.

**Problem 1.44.** Let  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  be the splitting field of the polynomial  $x^6 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ .

- (a) If a is one root of  $x^6 2$ , draw the subfield lattice of the extension  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .
- (b) Give generators for each subfield K of L for which  $[K:\mathbb{Q}]=2$ . How many are there?
- (c) Give generators for each subfield K of L for which  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$ . How many are there?
- (d) Give generators for each subfield K of L for which  $[K:\mathbb{Q}]=4$ . How many are there?
- (e) How many subfields K of L have index [L:K]=2?

**Problem 1.45.** Give an example of a field F having characteristic p > 0 and irreducible monic polynomial  $f(x) \in F[x]$  that has a multiple root.

Proof.

**Problem 1.46.** Let f be an irreducible polynomial of degree k over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Find the splitting field of f and its Galois group.

Proof.

**Problem 1.47.** Let n be a positive integer and d a positive integer that divides n. Suppose  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is a root of the polynomial  $x^n - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ . Prove that there is precisely one subfield F of  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$  with  $[F : \mathbb{Q}] = d$ .

Proof.

**Problem 1.48.** Let  $a = \sqrt[3]{5 - \sqrt{7}}$ .

- (a) Find the minimal polynomial of a, and the conjugates of a.
- (b) Determine the Galois closure of F of  $\mathbb{Q}(a)$ .

- (c) Show that  $F/\mathbb{Q}$  is an extension by radicals.
- (d) Conclude that  $Gal(F/\mathbb{Q})$  is solvable.

Proof.

**Problem 1.49.** Let F be a field of characteristic p > 0. Fix an element c in F. Prove that  $f(x) = x^p - c$  is irreducible in F[x] if and only if f(x) has no roots in F.

Proof.

**Problem 1.50.** Determine the Galois group of the splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$  and all its subfields for

- (a)  $f(x) = x^3 2$
- (b)  $f(x) = x^4 + 2$
- (c)  $f(x) = x^4 + 4$
- (d)  $f(x) = x^4 + 4x + 2$

Proof.

**Problem 1.51.** Show that  $\sqrt{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \zeta_3)$ , where  $\zeta_3^2 + \zeta_3 + 1 = 0$ .

Proof.

**Problem 1.52.** Let L/F be a Galois extension of degree [L:F]=2p, where p is an odd prime.

- (a) Show that hhere exits a unique queadratic subfield E, i.e.,  $F \subseteq E \subseteq L$  and [E : F] = 2.
- (b) Does there exist a unique subfield K of index 2, i.e.,  $F \subseteq E \subseteq L$  and [E:F]=2.

Proof.

**Problem 1.53.** Let L/F be a Galois extension of degree  $[L:F]=p^2$  for some prime p. Let K be a subfield satisfying  $F \subset K \subset L$ . Must K/F be a normal extension?

Proof.

**Problem 1.54.** Let L/F be the Galois closure of he separable algebraic field extension  $F(\theta)/F$ . Let p be a prime that divides [L:F]. Prove that there exists a subfield K of L such that [L:K]=p and  $L=K(\theta)$ .

*Proof.* Since p divides [L:K], [L:K] = pn for some positive integer n.

**Problem 1.55.** Suppose  $L/\mathbb{Q}$  is a finite field extension with  $[L:\mathbb{Q}]=4$ . Is it possible that there exist precisely two subfields  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  of L for which  $[L:K_i]=2$ ? Justify your answer.

#### 2 January 2007

**Problem 2.1.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group. Show that G is Abelian whenever Aut(G) is a cyclic group under composition.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is cyclic. Then  $\operatorname{Inn}(G) < \operatorname{Aut}(G)$  is cyclic. But  $\operatorname{Inn}(G) \cong G/Z(G)$ . Thus, G is Abelian by the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.** Let  $(G,\cdot)$  be a group. If G/Z(G) is cyclic, then G is Abelian.

Proof of lemma. Suppose that G/Z(G) is cyclic. Then  $G/Z(G) = \langle \bar{x} \rangle$  for some representative  $x \in G$ . This means that for any  $g \in G$ , we can write  $g = x^k z$  for some positive integer k, for some  $z \in Z(G)$ . Let  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ . Then, by the following obvious algebraic manipulations

$$g_1g_2 = x^{k_1}z_1x^{k_2}z_2 = z_1x^{k_1+k_2}z_2 = z_2x^{k_2+k_1}z_1 = z_2x^{k_2}x^{k_1}z_1 = (x^{k_2}z_2)(x^{k_1}z_1) = g_2g_1,$$

we see that G is Abelian.

**Problem 2.2.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be an Abelian group. The torsion subgroup of G is defined as the collection of elements of finite order:

$$\operatorname{Tor}(G) := \{ g \in G \mid g^m = e \text{ for some integer } m > 0 \}.$$

- (a) Show that the quotient group G/Tor(G) is torsion free, i.e., it contains no nontrivial elements of finite order.
- (b) Show that Tor(G) is finite whenever G is finitely generated. (Do not assume that G is finite.)

Proof. (a) (Presumably the torsion subgroup is a normal subgroup of G.) Define  $T := \operatorname{Tor}(G/\operatorname{Tor}(G))$ . We will show that  $T = \bar{e}$ . It is clear that  $\langle \bar{e} \rangle \subset T$  thus, we need only show that  $T \subset \langle \bar{e} \rangle$ , i.e., if  $t \in T$  then  $g = \bar{e}$ . Let  $\bar{g} \in T$ . Then  $\bar{g} \in G/\operatorname{Tor}(G)$  and  $\bar{g}^m = \bar{e}$  for some positive integer m. But  $\bar{g}^m = \bar{e}$  implies that  $g^m \operatorname{Tor}(G) = \operatorname{Tor}(G)$ , i.e.,  $g^m \in \operatorname{Tor}(G)$ . Thus,  $(g^m)^n = g^{mn}e$  for some positive integer n. Thus,  $g \in \operatorname{Tor}(G)$  so we must have  $\bar{g} = \bar{e}$ .

(b) Suppose that G is finitely generated. By the fundamental theorem of finitely generated Abelian groups,  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^r \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{s_n}$  for positive integers  $r, s_1, ..., s_n$ . It suffices to show that  $\mathbf{1} \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{s_n} = \mathrm{Tor}(G)$  (once we have demonstrated this, note that  $|\mathbf{1} \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{s_n}| = s_1 \cdots s_n < \infty$ ). It is clear that  $\mathbf{1} \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{s_n} \subset \mathrm{Tor}(G)$  since every element of  $\mathbf{1} \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{s_n}$  has finite order, i.e., for any  $(\mathbf{1}, z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathbf{1} \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots \times Z_{s_n}$ , we have  $z = (\mathbf{1}, z_1, ..., z_n)^{s_1 \cdots s_n} = (\mathbf{1}, 1, ..., 1)$  (as a consequence of Lagrange's theorem). Now, suppose  $z := (\mathbf{z}, z_1, ..., z_n) \in \mathrm{Tor}(G)$ . Then  $z^m = (\mathbf{1}, 1, ..., 1)$  for some positive integer m. Since every non-identity element of  $\mathbb{Z}^r$  has infinite order,  $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{1}$  and  $s_i \mid k$  for all i. Thus  $z \in \mathbf{1} \times Z_{s_1} \times \cdots Z_{s_n}$ . Thus,  $|\mathrm{Tor}(G)| = s_1 \cdots s_n$  so  $\mathrm{Tor}(G)$  is indeed finite.

**Problem 2.3.** Let  $(G,\cdot)$  be a group of order |G|=351. Show that G is solvable.

Proof. The best plan of attack is to use Sylow's theorem. First, let us factor the order of G into powers of primes,  $|G| = 351 = 3^3 \cdot 13$ . In light of this factorization, it suffices to show that either  $|\operatorname{Syl}_{13}(G)| = 1$  or  $|\operatorname{Syl}_3(G)| = 1$  and hence, the unique Sylow-13 (or Sylow-3) subgroup will be a normal subgroup of G. By Sylow's theorem,  $n_{13} \equiv 1 \pmod{13}$  and  $n_{13} \mid 3^3$ . Thus,  $n_{13} = 1$  or 27. Suppose  $n_{13} = 27$ . Then G contains  $12 \times 27 = 324$  elements of order 13 so there are 351 - 324 - 1 = 26 elements remaining. This implies that  $n_3 = 1$ . Thus,  $P_3 \in \operatorname{Syl}_3(G)$  is the unique Sylow-3 subgroup of G hence, is normal. Thus,  $G \triangleright P_3$  so  $G/P_3$  is a group. Incidentally,  $G/P_3 \cong Z_{13}$  hence, solvable and  $P_3$  is a p-group, hence solvable. Thus, G is solvable.

On the other hand, if  $n_{13} = 1$  then  $P_{13} \in \text{Syl}_{13}(G)$  is the unique Sylow-13 subgroup of G hence, normal in G. Since  $P_{13}$  is a p-group, it is solvable. Moreover,  $G/P_{13}$  is a group of order  $3^3$ , i.e., a p-group, hence, solvable. Thus, G is solvable.

In either case, we have shown that G must be solvable.

**Problem 2.4.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group, and H < G a subgroup of finite index. Show that there exists a normal subgroup  $N \lhd G$  contained in H which is also of finite index. (Do not assume that G is finite.)

Proof. Suppose H < G is a subgroup of finite index, i.e., H partitions G into a finite number of cosets, say  $G/H := \{H, g_1H, ..., g_{k-1}H\}$ . Define a homomorphism  $\varphi \colon G \to S_{G/H}$  by  $g \mapsto gH$  (this is clearly a homomorphism: take  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  then  $\varphi(g_1g_2) = g_1g_2H = (g_1H)(g_2H) = \varphi(g_1)\varphi(g_2)$ ). Thus,  $\ker \varphi \lhd G$  of finite index (in particular, by the 1st isomorphism theorem and Lagrange's theorem  $|G \colon \ker \varphi| \mid |S_{G/H}| = |S_k| = k!$ ). Thus, it suffices to show that  $\ker \varphi \lhd H$ . But this is clear since, if  $g \in \ker \varphi$  then gH = H hence,  $g \in H$ .

**Problem 2.5.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a finite group, and  $\varphi \colon G \to G$  be a group homomorphism. Show that for all normal Sylow p-subgroups  $P \triangleleft G$  we have  $\varphi(P) < P$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $|G| < \infty$  and let  $P \in \operatorname{Syl}_p(G)$  be normal in G. Then P is unique of order  $p^{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ . By the 1st isomorphism theorem,  $\varphi(P) \mid p^{\alpha}$  so  $\varphi(P)$  must be contained in a Sylow p-subgroup of G. Since P is the unique Sylow p-subgroup of G,  $\varphi(P) < P$ .

**Problem 2.6.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a commutative ring with  $1 \neq 0$ .

- (a) Show that R is an integral domain if and only if (0) is a prime ideal.
- (b) Show that R is a field if and only if (0) is a maximal ideal.

*Proof.* (a)  $\Leftarrow$  Suppose that (0) is a prime ideal. Then R/(0) is a domain. But  $R/(0) \cong R$  (canonically i.e., the map  $\bar{r} \mapsto r$  is a bijective homomorphism) hence, R is a domain.

 $\leftarrow$  Conversely, suppose that R is a domain.

**Problem 2.7.** let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a unique factorization domain. Choose an irreducible element  $p \in R$ , and define the *localization at* p as the ring of fractions  $R_p = D^{-1}R$  with respect to the multiplicative set D = R - (p). Show that  $R_p$  is a principal ideal domain.

**Problem 2.8.** Let  $(F,+,\cdot)$  be a field, and  $F(\theta)/F$  be a finite, separable extension. Let L be the splitting field of the minimal polynomial  $m_{\theta,F}(x) \in F[x]$ . Prove that for every prime p dividing the degree [L:F], there exists a field K such that  $F \subset K \subset L$ , [L:K] = p, and  $L = K(\theta)$ .

Proof.

**Problem 2.9.** Let  $(\mathbb{F}_p, +, \cdot)$  be a finite field whose Cardinality p is prime. Fix a positive integer n which is not divisible by p, and let  $\zeta_n$  be a primitive nth root of unity. Show that  $[\mathbb{F}_p(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{F}_p] = \alpha$  is the least positive integer such that  $p^{\alpha} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Proof.

**Problem 2.10.** Prove that the Galois group of the splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $f(x) = x^4 + 4x^2 + 2$  is a cyclic group.

#### 3 Spring 2008

**Problem 3.1.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a group, (H, +) be an Abelian group, and  $\varphi \colon G \to H$  be a group homomorphism. If N is a subgroup such that  $\ker \varphi < N < G$ , show that  $N \lhd G$  is a normal subgroup.

*Proof.* Let N be a subgroup of G containing  $\ker \varphi$ . Then we must show that for any  $g \in G$ ,  $gNg^{-1} \subset N$ . First we observe that, since  $\ker \varphi \lhd G$ , then  $\ker \varphi \lhd N$  since for any  $g \in N$ , g is also in G so that  $g(\ker \varphi)g^{-1} = \ker \varphi \subset N$ . Thus,  $\ker \varphi \lhd N$ . By the first isomorphism theorem<sup>1</sup>,  $G/\ker \varphi \cong H$  hence,  $G/\ker \varphi$  is Abelian. Moreover,  $N/\ker \varphi \lhd G/\ker \varphi$  hence,  $N/\ker \varphi \lhd G/\ker \varphi$ . It follows immediately from the lattice isomorphism theorem<sup>2</sup> (this is essentially the UMP of the quotient by a group) that  $N \lhd G$ .

**Problem 3.2.** Let  $(G,\cdot)$  be a finite Abelian group of even order, i.e., |G|=2k for some  $k\in\mathbb{N}$ .

- (a) For k odd, show that G has exactly one element of order 2.
- (b) Does the same happen for k even? Prove or give a counterexample.

Proof. (a) This problem is most easily proven using Cauchy's theorem<sup>3</sup>. Suppose that k is odd. If  $k=1,\ G\cong Z_2$  and we are done  $(Z_2$  contains only one nontrivial element and its order is 2). Otherwise k>2. Then by Cauchy's theorem we are guaranteed that there exists an element  $g\in G$  of order 2. Suppose h is another element (distinct from g) of order 2. Since 2 is the smallest prime number dividing the order of G, by a corollary to Cayley's theorem<sup>4</sup>,  $\langle g \rangle$  is a normal subgroup of G so  $G/\langle g \rangle$  is a group. Moreover, since  $h \neq g$ , then  $\bar{h} \neq \bar{e}$  and  $1 \geq |\bar{h}| > 1$  implies that  $|\bar{h}| = 1$ . But  $1 \leq |\bar{h}| < 1$  contradicting Lagrange's theorem. It follows that  $1 \leq 1 \leq 1$  must have exactly one element of order 2.

(b) No. Here is the simplest counterexample: Consider the direct product  $Z_2 \times Z_2$ . The elements (1,0) and (0,1) are elements of order 2, but are not equivalent.

**Problem 3.3.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a finite group of odd order, and  $H \triangleleft G$  be a normal subgroup of prime order |H| = 17. Show that H < Z(G).

*Proof.* Let G act on H by conjugation, i.e., the map  $\varphi \colon G \times H \to H$  defined by the rule  $\varphi(g,h) \coloneqq ghg^{-1}$  determines a group action on H. First, we verify that  $\varphi$  indeed defines a group action on H: First, observe that for  $e_G \in G$  the identity element,  $\varphi(e_G, h) = e_G h e_G^{-1} = h$ ; next, if  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  then

$$\varphi(g_1, \varphi(g_2, h)) = \varphi(g_1, g_2 h g^{-1}) = g_1 g_2 h g_2^{-1} g_1 = g_1 g_2 h (g_1 g_2)^{-1} = \varphi(g_1 g_2, h).$$

Lastly,  $\varphi$  is clearly well-defined in the sense  $\varphi(g,h) \in H$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $h \in H$ . Thus,  $\varphi$  is a group action. Now, let us ask what the kernel of this action is. Thus group action  $\varphi$ , induces a group homomorphism  $\varphi' \colon G \to \operatorname{Aut}(H)$  given by  $\varphi'(g) \coloneqq \operatorname{Eval}(\varphi,g)$ . Now, since |H| = 17,  $H \cong Z_{17}$ , hence is cyclic. Thus,  $\operatorname{Aut}(H) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/17\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \cong Z_{16}$ . Now, since  $|\varphi'(G)| \mid |G|, |\varphi'(G)|$  is odd. But  $\varphi'(G) < \operatorname{Aut}(H)$  so, by Lagrange's theorem,  $|\varphi'(G)| \mid 16$ . Thus,  $|\varphi'(G)| = 1$ , i.e.,  $\varphi'$  is the trivial homomorphism, i.e.,  $\varphi(g,h) = ghg^{-1} = h = \varphi(1,h)$ . Thus, H < Z(G).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Theorem 16 of Dummit and Foote §3, p. 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Theorem 20 of Dummit and Foote §3, p. 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Theorem 11 of Dummit and Foote §3, p. 93

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Corollary 5 of Dummit and Foote §4, p. 121

**Problem 3.4.** Let  $(G, \cdot)$  be a finite group. Show that there exists a positive integer n such that G is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $A_n$ , the alternating group on n letters. [Hint: Show that  $A_n$  contains a copy of  $S_{n-1}$  when  $n \geq 3$ .]

*Proof.* Let n-2 := |G|. If n-2 = 1 or 2,  $G \cong 0$  (the trivial group) or  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ , both of which are exactly  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ . Suppose  $n-2 \geq 3$ . By Cayley's theorem, G imbeds into  $S_{n-1}$ . Now, define a homomorphism

$$\varphi(\sigma) \coloneqq \begin{cases} \sigma & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is even} \\ \sigma(n+1 \ n+2) & \text{if } \sigma \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

We check that this is in fact a homomorphism. Let  $\sigma, \tau \in G$ . Then

$$\varphi(\sigma\tau) = \begin{cases} \sigma\tau & \text{if } \sigma\tau \text{ is even} \\ \sigma\tau(n+1 \ n+2) & \text{if } \sigma\tau \text{ is odd} \end{cases}.$$

But  $\sigma\tau$  is odd if and only if  $\sigma$  or  $\tau$  is odd and  $\sigma\tau$  is even if and only if  $\tau$  is even.

**Problem 3.5.** Let  $(G,\cdot)$  be a group of order |G|=200.

- (a) Show that G is solvable.
- (b) Show that G is the semidirect product of two p-subgroups.

*Proof.* (a) First we factor the order of the group G,  $|G| = 200 = 2^3 \cdot 5^2$ . Now we will make use of Sylow's theorem to show that G has at least one normal p-subgroup.

**Problem 3.6.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  and  $(S, +, \cdot)$  be commutative rings with  $1 \neq 0$ , and let  $\varphi \colon R \to S$  be a surjective ring homomorphism. Assuming that R is local, i.e., it has a unique maximal ideal, show that S is also local.

**Problem 3.7.** Let  $(R, +, \cdot)$  be a principal ideal domain.

- (a) Show that every maximal ideal in R is a prime ideal.
- (b) Must every prime ideal in R be a maximal ideal? Prove or give a counterexample.

**Problem 3.8.** Let L/F be a Galois extension of degree [L:F]=2p where p is an odd prime.

- (a) Show that there exists a unique quadratic subfield E, i.e.,  $F \subset E \subset L$  and [E:F]=2.
- (b) Does there exist a unique subfield K of index 2, i.e.,  $F \subset K \subset L$  and [L:K] = 2? Prove or give a counterexample.

**Problem 3.9.** Fix a prime p, and consider the Artin–Schreier polynomial  $f(x) = x^p - x - 1$ .

(a) Let  $\mathbb{F}_p(f)$  be the splitting field of f(x) over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Show that  $\operatorname{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(f)/\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

(b) Prove that f(x) is irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Proof.

**Problem 3.10.** Determine the Galois group of the splitting field over  $\mathbb{Q}$  of  $f(x) = x^4 + 4$ .

# 4 August, 2015

Problem 4.1.

### 4.1 August 2010