

# MA557 Problem Set 3

Carlos Salinas

September 25, 2015



**PROBLEM 3.1**

Let  $R$  be a domain and  $\Gamma$  the set of all principal ideals in  $R$ . Show that  $R$  is a unique factorization domain if and only if  $\Gamma$  satisfies the ascending chain condition and every irreducible element of  $R$  is prime.

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  Suppose that  $R$  is a UFD and let  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}_2 \subset \cdots$  be an ascending chain of ideals in  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \langle a_1 \rangle$  for some  $a_i \in R$  since every ideal belonging to  $\Gamma$  is principal. Now, since  $R$  is a UFD,  $a_1$  factors uniquely (up to associates) as the finite product  $a_1 = p_1 \cdots p_k$  of (not necessarily distinct) irreducible elements  $p_1, \dots, p_k \in R$ . If  $a_1$  is irreducible we are done (because in such a case  $a_2 \mid a_1$  if and only if  $a_2 = ua_1$  where  $u$  is a unit, hence  $\mathfrak{a}_1 = \mathfrak{a}_2 = \cdots$ ). Suppose  $a_1$  is not irreducible. Then since  $a_2 \mid a_1$ , the irreducible factors of  $a_2$  consist of some (or all) of the irreducible factors of  $a_1$  (more precisely we can write  $a_2 = p_{\sigma(1)} \cdots p_{\sigma(\ell)}$  for some injection  $\sigma: \{1, \dots, \ell\} \hookrightarrow \{1, \dots, k\}$  where  $\ell \leq k$ ). Inductively applying this argument to  $a_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ , we see that the process (of factoring  $a_n$ 's from  $a_1$ ) must terminate for some positive  $r$  for otherwise we have that

$$a_1 = a_2 b_2 = (a_3 b_3) b_2 = \cdots = (a_n b_n) b_{n-1} \cdots b_2 = \cdots,$$

but every factorization of  $a_1$  into irreducibles must have length  $k$ . Thus, the ascending chain  $\mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}_2 \subset \cdots \subset \mathfrak{a}_r = \mathfrak{a}_{r+1} = \cdots$  is stationary for some positive integer  $r$  and we say that  $\Gamma$  satisfies the acc.

$\Leftarrow$  Conversely, suppose that  $\Gamma$  satisfies the acc. Let  $a_1 \in R$ . If  $a_1$  is irreducible we are done. Suppose  $a_1$  is reducible, then  $a_1 = a_2 b_2$  for some non-units  $a_2, b_2 \in R$ . If both  $a_2$  and  $b_2$  are irreducible, we are done. Without loss of generality we may assume  $a_2$  is reducible (as the argument to follow may be applied to the  $b_i$ 's in case they are not irreducible). Then  $a_2 = a_3 b_3$  (and so  $a_1 = a_2 b_2 = (a_3 b_3) b_2$ ) for some non-units  $a_3, b_3 \in R$ . Then we get the ascending chain of principal ideals

$$\langle a_1 \rangle \subset \langle a_2 \rangle \subset \langle a_3 \rangle \subset \cdots$$

which must stabilize for some positive integer  $r$  since  $\Gamma$  satisfies the acc. This argument shows that there exists a factorization of  $a_1$  into irreducibles. We must now prove that this factorization is unique (up to associates).

Suppose  $a = p_1 \cdots p_k = q_1 \cdots q_\ell$  where  $p_1, \dots, p_k, q_1, \dots, q_\ell \in R$  are irreducibles. ■

**PROBLEM 3.2**

Let  $M$  be an Artinian  $R$ -module. Show that every injective  $R$ -linear map  $\varphi: M \rightarrow M$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\varphi$  is not surjective. Then, there is some element  $x \in M$  that is not in the image of  $\varphi$ . Now consider cokernels  $\text{coker}(\varphi^n) = M/\text{im}(\varphi^n)$  ■

**PROBLEM 3.3**

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated Artinian module. Show that  $M$  is Noetherian.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $M$  is not Noetherian. Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all non-finitely generated submodules  $N$  of  $M$ . ■

**PROBLEM 3.4**

Let  $R$  be a ring that is Artinian or Noetherian, and  $x \in R$ . Show that for some  $n > 0$ , the image of  $x$  in  $R/(0 : x)^n$  is a nonzero-divisor on that ring.

*Proof.*

■

**PROBLEM 3.5**

Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring. Show that  $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_n$  with  $R_i$  Artinian local rings.

*Proof.*

■

**PROBLEM 3.6**

Let  $R$  be an Artinian ring all of whose maximal ideals are principal. Show that every ideal in  $R$  is principal.

*Proof.*





**PROBLEM 3.7**

Prove 2.12.

*Proof.* Recall the statement of Theorem 2.12:

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a ring,  $M$ ,  $M'$  and  $M''$  be  $R$ -modules. Then*

(a) *The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $0 \rightarrow M' \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M''$  is exact
- (2)  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}(N, M') \xrightarrow{\text{hom}(N, \varphi)} \text{hom}(N, M) \xrightarrow{\text{hom}(N, \psi)} \text{hom}(N, M'')$  is exact for all modules  $N$ .

(b) *The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $M' \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} M'' \rightarrow 0$  is exact.
- (2)  $0 \rightarrow \text{hom}(M'', N) \xrightarrow{\text{hom}(\psi, N)} \text{hom}(M, N) \xrightarrow{\text{hom}(\varphi, N)} \text{hom}(M', N)$  is exact for all modules  $N$ .
- (3)  $M' \otimes N \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes N} M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\psi \otimes N} M'' \otimes N \rightarrow 0$  is exact for all modules  $N$ .

■