MA571 Problem Set 7

Carlos Salinas

October 18, 2015

Problem 7.1 (Munkres §26, Ex. 8)

Theorem. Let $f: X \to Y$; let Y be compact Hausdorff. Then f is continuous if and only if the graph of f,

$$G_f = \{ (x, f(x)) \mid x \in X \},\$$

is closed in $X \times Y$.

[Hint: If G_f is closed and V is a neighborhood of $f(x_0)$, then the intersection of G_f and $X \times (Y - V)$ is closed. Apply Exercise 7.]

Proof. As we demonstrated in Problem 2.7 (Munkres §18, Ex. 17) Y is Hausdorff if and only if the diagonal, $\Delta_Y = \{ (y, y) \mid y \in Y \}$, is a closed subset of $Y \times Y$. Consider the map $F: X \times Y \to Y \times Y$ defined by $(x,y) \mapsto (f(x),y)$. This map is continuous by Theorem 18.4 as f is, by assumption, continuous and id_Y is continuous by 18.2(b) (since it is the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow Y$). Then

$$F^{-1}(\Delta_Y) = \{ (x,y) \mid F(x,y) \in \Delta_Y, x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= \{ (x,y) \mid (f(x),y) \in \Delta_Y, x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= \{ (x,y) \mid f(x) = y, x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= \{ (x,f(x)) \mid x \in X, y \in Y \}$$

$$= G_f$$

is closed by Theorem 18.1(3).

Conversely, suppose G_f is closed in $X \times Y$. Fix a point $x_0 \in X$ and let $V \subset Y$ be an arbitrary neighborhood of $f(x_0)$. Then Y-V is a closed subset of Y so, by Problem 2.1 (Munkres §17, Ex. 3), the product $X \times (Y - V)$ is closed in $Y \times Y$. In particular, by Theorem 17.1(2), the intersection $B = G_f \cap X \times (Y - V)$ is closed in $X \times Y$. Thus, by Problem 6.5 (Munkres §26, Ex. 7), since Y is a compact Hausdorff space, the projection $\pi_1(B)$ onto X is a closed subset of X. But

$$B = \{ (x, y) \mid (x, y) \in G_f \text{ and } (x, y) \in X \times (Y - V) \}$$

= \{ (x, y) \| y = f(x) \text{ and } (x, y) \in X \times (Y - V) \}
= \{ (x, f(x)) \| f(x) \in Y - V \}

so we have that $\pi_1(B) = f^{-1}(Y - V) = X - f^{-1}(V)$. One containment is easy to see, namely " \subset ": if $x \in B$ then $x = \pi_1(x, f(x))$ for at least one $f(x) \in Y - V$. To see the reverse inclusion, take $x \in f^{-1}(Y - V)$, then $f(x) \in Y - V$ so $(x, f(x)) \in B$, hence $x \in \pi_1(B)$. Thus, $X - \pi_1(B) = f^{-1}(V)$ is open so f is continuous.

Problem 7.2 (Munkres §26, Ex. 9)

Generalize the tube lemma as follows:

Theorem. Let A and B be subspaces of X and Y, respectively; let N be an open set in $X \times Y$ containing $A \times B$. If A and B are compact, then there exist open sets U and V in X and Y, respectively, such that

$$A \times B \subset U \times V \subset N$$
.

Proof. The idea is to construct an appropriate covering of $A \times B$ using both compactness of A and compactness of B that will give us the open sets that we want. Fix an $a \in A$. Then, for every $b \in B$ there exists neighborhoods $U_b \subset X$ and $V_b \subset Y$ of a and b, respectively, such that $U_b \times V_b \subset N$ (by the definition of the product topology and since N is open). Then, since B is compact, by Lemma 26.1, there exists a finite subcollection, say $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{n_a}$, that covers B. Let $U_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} U_i$ and $V_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} V_i$. Varying this over every $a \in A$, we obtain an open cover $\{U_a \times V_a\}_{a \in A}$; let's verify this: Let $(a,b) \in A \times B$, then $a \in U_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} U_i$ (since each U_i is in fact a neighborhood of a) and $b \in V_a = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_a} V_i$ so $b \in V_i$ for some $1 \le q \le n_a$. Thus, by Theorem 26.7, there exists a finite subcollection $\{U_i \times V_i\}_{i=1}^n$ covering $A \times B$. Take $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i$ and $V = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$. Then, we claim that $A \times B \subset U \times V \subset N$.

It is clear, by construction of U and V, that $U \times V \subset N$ (and this follows from Lemma 5 proved on Homework 2, i.e., if $A, B \subset C$ then $A \cup B, A \cap B \subset C$). To see that $A \times B \subset U \times V$ take $(a,b) \in A \times B$. Then $a \in U_i$ for some $1 \le i \le n$ and $b \in V_i$ for all i (since $V_i \supset B$ for all $1 \le i \le n$)so $(a,b) \in U \times V$. Thus, we have

$$A\times B\subset U\times V\subset N$$

as desired.

PROBLEM 7.3 (MUNKRES §26, Ex. 12)

Let $p: X \to Y$ be a closed continuous surjective map such that $p^{-1}(y)$ is compact, for each $y \in Y$. (Such a map is called a *perfect map*.) Show that if Y is compact, then X is compact.

[Hint: If U is an open set containing $p^{-1}(y)$, there is a neighborhood W of y such that $p^{-1}(W)$ is contained in U.]

Proof. First we shall prove Munkres's hint:

Claim. Let $p: X \to Y$ be a closed map. If U is an open subset containing $p^{-1}(y)$ for some $y \in Y$, there exists a neighborhood W of y such that $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$.

Proof of claim. Let $y \in Y$. Suppose that U is an open subset containing $p^{-1}(y)$. Then, X - U is closed so p(X-U) is closed. In particular, $y \notin p(X-U)$ (for if it were, we would have $p^{-1}(y) \subset X-U$, but $U \supset p^{-1}(y)$). Thus Y - p(X - U) is a neighborhood of y so

$$p^{-1}(Y - p(X - U)) = p^{-1}(Y) - p^{-1}(p(X - U)) = X - p^{-1}(p(X - U)) \subset U$$

since, by Problem 1.1(a) (Munkres §2, Ex. 1(a)), we have that $p^{-1}(p(X-U)) \supset X-U$.

Now let $\{U_{\alpha}\}$ be an open cover of X. Then, since $p^{-1}(y) \subset X = \bigcup U_{\alpha}$ is compact, by Lemma 26.1, there exists a finite subcollection, say $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{n_y}$, that covers $p^{-1}(y)$. Let $U_y = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_y} U_i$. Then, by the claim, there exists W_y neighborhood of y such that $p^{-1}(W_y) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_y} U_i$. We can do this for every $y \in Y$. In particular, we see that the collection $\{W_y\}_{y \in Y}$ is an open cover of Y so, since Y is compact, there exists a finite subcollection, say $\{W_{y_i}\}_{i=1}^n$, that covers Y. Then $p^{-1}(W_{y_i}) \subset U_{y_i}$ and

$$X = p^{-1}(Y) = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} p^{-1}(W_{y_i}) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} U_{y_i}.$$

Thus, X is compact.

PROBLEM 7.4 (MUNKRES §27, Ex. 2(B,D))

Let X be a metric space with metric d; let $A \subset X$ be nonempty.

- (b) Show that if A is compact, d(x, A) = d(x, a) for some $a \in A$.
- (d) Assume that A is compact; let U be an open set containing A. Show that some ε -neighborhood of A is contained in U.

Proof. (b) Fix $x \in X$ and consider the map $d_x \colon A \to \mathbf{R}$ given by $a \mapsto d(x, a)$. We claim that d_x is continuous so, assuming this has been proven, by the extreme value theorem there exists points $a, b \in A$ such that $d_x(a) \leq d_x(y) \leq d_x(b)$ for every $y \in A$. In particular, we have that $d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} d(x, y) = d(x, a) = d_x(a)$ ((i) $d_x(a) \leq d_x(y)$ for all y; (ii) if $d_x(a') \leq d_x(y)$ for all $y \in A$ then $d_x(a) = d_x(a')$ since $d_x(a) \leq d_x(y)$ for all $y \in A$).

(d) The result follows from the Lebesgue number lemma. Consider the set of all $\mathcal{A} = \{B_d(x,\varepsilon)\}$ for $x \in U$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then \mathcal{A} covers A so, by Lemma 26.1 and the Lebesgue number lemma, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for each $B_d(a,\delta) \subset A$, $B_d(a,\delta) \subset B_d(x,\varepsilon) \subset U$.

PROBLEM 7.5 (MUNKRES §27, Ex. 5)

Let X be a compact Hausdorff space; let $\{A_n\}$ be a countable collection of closed sets of X. Show that if each set A_n has empty interior in X, then the union $\bigcup A_n$ has empty interior in X. [Hint: Imitate the proof of Theorem 27.7.]

This is a special case of the Baire category theorem, which we shall study in Chapter 8.

Proof.

Problem 7.6 (Munkres $\S29$, Ex. 2(A))

Let $\{X_{\alpha}\}$ be an indexed family of nonempty spaces.

(a) Show that if $\prod X_{\alpha}$ is locally compact, then each X_{α} is locally compact and X_{α} is compact for all but finitely many values of α .

Proof of (a). Suppose $X = \prod X_{\alpha}$ is locally compact. Then, for every $\mathbf{x} \in X$, there exist a compact set C containing an open neighborhood U of \mathbf{x} . We may, without loss of generality, assume $U = \prod U_{\alpha}$ where $U_{\alpha} = X_{\alpha}$ for all but finitely many X_{α} . Suppose $U_{\beta} = X_{\beta}$. Then $\pi_{\beta}(C) = X_{\beta}$ is compact by Theorem 26.5. It follows that each X_{α} is compact for all but finitely man α . To see that each X_{α} is also locally compact we prove the following stronger result:

Lemma 15 (Munkres §20, Ex. 3). If $f: X \to Y$ is a continuous and open, then f(X) is locally compact.

Proof of lemma. Since X is locally compact, then for every $x \in X$ there exists a compact set C containing a neighborhood U of x. Then, $f(U) \subset f(C)$ is a compact set, by Theorem 26.5, containing a neighborhood, namely f(U), of f(x). Thus, f(X) is locally compact.

Now, since π_{α} is an open map (generalization of Munkres §16, Ex. 4), it follows that $\pi_{\alpha}(X) = X_{\alpha}$ is locally compact by Lemma 15.

Problem 7.7 (Munkres $\S29$, Ex. 10)

Show that if X is a Hausdorff space that is locally compact at the point x, then for each neighborhood U of x, there is a neighborhood V of x such that V is compact and $\overline{V} \subset U$.

Proof.

CARLOS SALINAS PROBLEM 7.8(A)

PROBLEM 7.8 (A)

Let S^1 denote the circle

$$S^1 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 1 \}$$

and let B^2 denote the closed disk

$$B^2 = \{ (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \le 1 \}.$$

Prove that the quotient space $(S^1 \times [0,1])/(S^1 \times 0)$ (see HW #4 for the notation) is homeomorphic to B^2 .

Proof.