# MA 544: Homework 1

Carlos Salinas

January 20, 2016

## PROBLEM 1.1 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, Ex. 1)

Let  $f(x) = x \sin(1/x)$  for  $0 < x \le 1$  and f(0) = 0. Show that f is bounded and continuous on [0, 1], but that  $V[f; 0, 1] = +\infty$ .

*Proof.* By properties of continuous functions, we have f is continuous on (0,1] since it is the product of continuous functions on (0,1]. To see that f is continuous at 0 is suffices to show that f(0+) = f(0) = 0. To that end, let  $\{x_n\} \subset [0,1]$  be a sequence such that  $x_n \to 0$  and consider  $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(x_n)$ . Since  $x_n \to 0$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number N such that  $n \ge N$  implies  $|0-x_n| < \varepsilon$ . Thus, for  $n \ge N$  we have

$$|0 - f(x_n)| = |f(x_n)| = |x_n||\sin(1/x_n)| \le \varepsilon|\sin(1/\varepsilon)| \le \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $f(x_n) \to 0$  and we see that f(0+) = 0. Hence, f is continuous on [0, 1].

It is easy to see that f is bounded since  $|\sin(1/x)| \le 1$  for all  $x \in (0,1]$ . More explicitly, we have

$$|f(x)| < |x\sin(1/x)| = |x| \cdot |\sin(1/x)| < 1 \cdot 1.$$

Thus,  $|f(x)| \leq 1$  and we see that f is bounded.

Moreover, f is continuous on (0,1] since it is the product of continuous functions on (0,1]. To see that f is continuous at 0, it suffices to show that f(0+) = 0. To that end, we shall use the following limiting argument: Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and consider the limit (from the right) of  $f(\varepsilon)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . This is

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} f(\varepsilon) \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \sin(1/\varepsilon) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |\varepsilon| |\sin(1/\varepsilon)| \leq \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |\varepsilon| \cdot 1 = 0.$$

Thus, f(0+) = 0 and we see that f is continuous on [0,1].

Last but not least, we show that f is BV. As of now, I've not been able to find the correct partition to show that the variation of f blows up, but the informal idea is the following: For any M, we (should be able to) find a partition  $\Gamma$  of [0,1] such that  $\sin(1/x_i) = 1$  for every  $x_i \in \Gamma$ , so we have

$$S_{\Gamma} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} x_i \sin(1/x_i) > M$$

and hence,  $V[f;a,b] = +\infty$ . I read online and they show, for the very same problem, that the partition  $\Gamma := \{x_n\} = \{((2n+1)\pi/2)^{-1}\}$  as  $n \to \infty$  gives  $\int_0^1 f \, d\phi \to \infty$ , but I cannot see how  $\Gamma$  is a partition of the interval [0,1]. Anyway, it is fairly clear that if we have such a partition then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2}{(2n+1)\pi} \sin\left(\frac{(2n+1)\pi}{2}\right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{2}{(2n+1)\pi} = +\infty,$$

by the ratio test since

$$\frac{2/((2n+1)\pi)}{2/((2(n+1)+1)\pi)} = \frac{2n+1}{2n+3} = \frac{n+1}{n+3/2}...$$

wait! This doesn't work.

## PROBLEM 1.2 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, Ex. 2)

Prove theorem (2.1).

*Proof.* Recall the statement of theorem (2.1):

**Theorem** (Wheeden & Zygmund, 2.1). (a) If f is of bounded variation on [a, b], then f is bounded on [a, b].

- (b) Let f and g be of bounded variation on [a,b]. Then cf (for any real constant c), f+g, and fg are of bounded variation on [a,b]. Moreover, f/g is of bounded variation on [a,b] if there exists an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|g(x)| \ge \varepsilon$  for  $x \in [a,b]$ .
- (a) We shall proceed by contradiction. Suppose that f is not bounded, i.e., for every positive real number M>0, there exists  $x\in [a,b]$  such that |f(x)|>M. In particular, if V is the variation of f, then  $|f(x_0)|>V+(f(a)+f(b))/2$  for some  $x_0\in [a,b]$ . Then, putting  $\Gamma=\{a,x_0,b\}\subset [a,b]$ , we have

$$S_{\Gamma} = |f(b) - f(x_0)| + |f(x_0) - f(a)|$$

$$= |f(x_0) - f(b)| + |f(x_0) - f(a)|$$

$$\geq |2f(x_0) - f(a) - f(b)|$$

$$= |2(V + (f(a) + f(b))/2) - f(a) - f(b)|$$

$$= |2V + f(a) + f(b) - f(a) - f(b)|$$

$$= 2V$$

$$> V.$$

This is a contradiction since V is the supremum over all such sums.

- (b) We shall prove these in the order in which they are listed above.
  - (i) The constant map g(x) := c for some real number c is of BV on [a, b] and this is easy to see: take any two partitions  $\Gamma = \{x_0, ..., x_m\}$ , and  $\Gamma' = \{y_0, ..., y_n\}$  of [a, b], then

$$S_{\Gamma} = \sum_{i=0}^{m} |g(x_i) - g(x_{i-1})| = \sum_{i=0}^{m} |ct - c| = 0 = \sum_{i=0}^{m} |c - c| = \sum_{i=0}^{n} |g(y_i) - g(y_{i-1})| = S_{\Gamma'}.$$

It takes just a few more steps in logic to see that V[g; a, b] = 0. Therefore, by (iii) gf = cf is of BV.

(ii) This result follows quite effortlessly from Jordan's theorem, so we shall not trouble ourselves with picking partitions. By Jordan's theorem, there exist bounded increasing functions  $f_1, f_2$ , and  $g_1, g_2$  such that  $f = f_1 - f_2$  and  $g = g_1 - g_2$ . Now, since since  $f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2$  are bounded and increasing, the sums  $h_1 = f_1 + g_1$  and  $h_2 = f_2 + g_2$  are bounded and increasing. Thus,

$$f + g = f_1 - f_2 + g_1 - g_2 = (f_1 + g_1) - (f_2 + g_2) = h_1 - h_2$$

so by Jordan's theorem f + g is BV on [a, b].

(iii) For this result, Jordan's theorem is not very helpful so we rely on the definition of BV. First, note that by the triangle inequality, for any x < y in [a, b], we have

$$|f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)| = |(f(x)g(x) - f(x)g(y)) + (f(x)g(y) - f(y)g(y))|$$

$$\leq |f(x)||g(x) - g(y)| + |g(y)||f(x) - f(y)|$$

$$\leq M|g(x) - g(y)| + N|f(x) - f(y)|, \tag{1}$$

by part (a), where  $|f| \leq M$  and  $|g| \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . By (1), it follows that for any partition  $\Gamma$  of [a, b], we have

$$S_{\Gamma}[fg; a, b] \le MS_{\Gamma}[g; a, b] + NS_{\Gamma}[f; a, b].$$

Thus, passing to the supremum, we see that

$$V[fg; a, b] \le MV[g; a, b] + NV[f; a, b] < +\infty,$$

so fg is BV on [a, b].

(iv) Suppose  $|g(x)| > \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Then, by the triangle inequality, the following estimate holds

$$\left| \frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{f(y)}{g(y)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{g(y)f(x) - g(x)f(y)}{g(x)g(y)} \right| \\
= \frac{1}{|g(x)g(y)|} |g(y)f(x) - g(x)f(y)| \\
< \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |g(y)f(x) - g(x)f(y)| \\
< \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |g(y)f(x) - g(y)f(y) + g(y)f(y) - g(x)f(y)| \\
= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} |(g(y)f(x) - g(y)f(y)) - (g(x)f(y) - g(y)f(y))| \\
\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|g(y)||f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y)||g(x) - g(y)|) \\
\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (|g(y)||f(x) - f(y)| + |f(y)|(|g(x)| - |g(y)|)) \\
\leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (N|f(x) - f(y)| + M|g(x) - g(y)|). \tag{2}$$

Hence, for any partition  $\Gamma$  of [a, b], we have

$$S_{\Gamma}[f/g;a,b] \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (NS_{\Gamma}[f;a,b] + MS_{\Gamma}[g;a,b]).$$

Thus, passing to the supremum, we see that

$$V[f/g;a,b] \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (NV[f;a,b] + MV[g;a,b]) < +\infty,$$

so f/g is BV on [a, b].

## PROBLEM 1.3 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, Ex. 3)

If [a',b'] is a subinterval of [a,b] show that  $P[a',b'] \leq P[a,b]$  and  $N[a',b'] \leq N[a,b]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f:[a,b]\to \mathbf{R}$ . If f is unbounded, then  $V[f;a,b]=+\infty$  and, by theorem 2.6, the result holds trivially.

Suppose f is BV on [a, b]. Then  $V[f; a, b] < +\infty$ . Hence, by theorem 2.2, we have

$$V[f; a', b'] \le V[f; a, b]. \tag{3}$$

By theorem 2.6, we have

$$N[f;a',b'] = \frac{1}{2}(V[f;a',b'] + f(b') - f(a')) \qquad P[f;a',b'] = \frac{1}{2}(V[f;a',b'] - f(b') + f(a'))$$

which, by theorem 2.2, are bounded by

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}(V[f;a,b] - f(b) + f(a)) \qquad \qquad \leq \frac{1}{2}(V[f;a,b] - f(b) + f(a))$$

$$= N[f;a,b] \qquad \qquad = P[f;a,b],$$

as desired.

## PROBLEM 1.4 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, Ex. 11)

Show that  $\int_a^b f \, d\phi$  exists if and only if given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|R_{\Gamma} - R_{\Gamma'}| < \varepsilon$  if  $|\Gamma|, |\Gamma'| < \delta$ .

*Proof.*  $\Longrightarrow$  Suppose that  $I \coloneqq \int_a^b f \, \mathrm{d}\phi$  exists. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exits  $\delta > 0$  such that for any partition  $\Gamma''$  of [a,b] with  $|\Gamma''| < \delta/2$ ,  $|I - R_{\Gamma''}| < \varepsilon$ . Let  $\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma'$  be a partitions with  $|\Gamma|, |\Gamma'| < \delta/2$ . Then, for the given  $\varepsilon$ , we have  $|I - R_{\Gamma}| < \varepsilon$  and  $|I - R_{\Gamma'}| < \varepsilon$  from which we have the estimates

$$\begin{split} |R_{\Gamma} - R_{\Gamma'}| &= |-(I - R_{\Gamma}) + (I - R_{\Gamma'})| \\ &\leq |-(I - R_{\Gamma})| + |I - R_{\Gamma'}| \\ &= |I - R_{\Gamma}| + |I - R_{\Gamma'}| \\ &\leq \delta/2 + \delta/2 \\ &= \delta, \end{split}$$

as desired.

 $\Leftarrow$  Conversely, suppose that given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any two partitions  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  with  $|\Gamma|, |\Gamma'| < \delta$  we have  $|R_{\Gamma} - R_{\Gamma'}| < \varepsilon/2$ . Put  $I := \int_a^b f \, d\phi$ . Then, we have the following estimates

$$\begin{split} |I - R_{\Gamma}| &= |(I - R_{\Gamma'}) - (R_{\Gamma} - R_{\Gamma'})| \\ &\leq |I - R_{\Gamma'}| + |R_{\Gamma} - R_{\Gamma'}| \\ &\leq |I - R_{\Gamma'}| + \varepsilon/2 \end{split}$$

Maybe you do something with a common refinement? Take  $\Gamma'' = \Gamma \cup \Gamma'$ . Then

## PROBLEM 1.5 (WHEEDEN & ZYGMUND §2, Ex. 13)

Prove theorem (2.16).

Proof.

**Theorem** (Wheeden & Zygmund, 2.16). (i) If  $\int_a^b f \, d\phi$  exists, then so do  $\int_a^b cf \, d\phi$  and  $\int_a^b f \, d(c\phi)$  for any constant c, and

 $\int_{a}^{b} cf \, d\phi = \int_{a}^{b} f \, d(c\phi) = c \int_{a}^{b} f \, d\phi.$ 

(ii) If  $\int_a^b f_1 d\phi$  and  $\int_a^b f_2 d\phi$  both exist, so does  $\int_a^b (f_1 + f_2) d\phi$ , and

$$\int_{a}^{b} (f_1 + f_2) d\phi = \int_{a}^{b} f_1 d\phi + \int_{a}^{b} f_2 d\phi.$$

(iii) If  $\int_a^b f \, d\phi_1$  and  $\int_a^b f \, d\phi_2$  both exist, so does  $\int_a^b f \, d(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$ , and

$$\int_{a}^{b} f \, d(\phi_1 + \phi_2) = \int_{a}^{b} f \, d\phi_1 + \int_{a}^{b} f \, d\phi_2.$$

(i) Suppose that  $I := \int_a^b f \, d\phi$  exists and let c be a constant. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\Gamma| < \delta$  implies  $|I - R_{\Gamma}| < \varepsilon/|c|$ . Then, we have

$$R_{\Gamma}[cf; a, b] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} cf(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})] = c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})]\right) = cR_{\Gamma}$$
(4)

and

$$R_{\Gamma}[f; c\phi; a, b] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\xi_i)[c\phi(x_i) - c\phi(x_{i-1})] = c\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f(\xi_i)[\phi(x_i) - \phi(x_{i-1})]\right) = cR_{\Gamma}$$
 (5)

for  $\Gamma = \{x_0, ..., x_n\}$ . Hence, we have the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |cI - R_{\Gamma}[cf; a, b]| &= |cI - cR_{\Gamma}| \\ &= |c(I - R_{\Gamma})| \\ &= |c||I - R_{\Gamma}| \\ &\leq |c|(\varepsilon/|c|) \\ &= \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for  $\delta$  as given. A similar argument (in fact, the same) works for  $R[f; c\phi; a, b]$ . Thus, we have

$$\int_{a}^{b} cf \, d\phi = \int_{a}^{b} f \, d(c\phi) = c \int_{a}^{b} f \, d\phi,$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The  $R_{\Gamma}[f;c\phi;a,b]$  is just made up notation. I can't think of what else to call it.

as desired.

(ii) Suppose that  $I_1 := \int_a^b f_1 \, \mathrm{d}\phi$  and  $I_2 := \int_a^b f_2 \, \mathrm{d}\phi$  exits. Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta$  such that if  $\Gamma$  is a partition of [a,b] with  $|\Gamma| < \delta$  then  $|I_1 - R_{\Gamma}[f_1;a,b]| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|I_2 - R_{\Gamma}[f_2;a,b]| < \varepsilon/2$ . Now, note that

$$R_{\Gamma}[f_{1} + f_{2}; a, b] = \sum_{i=0}^{m} (f_{1}(\xi_{i}) + f_{2}(\xi_{i}))[\phi(x_{i}) - \phi(x_{i-1})]$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} (f_{1}(\xi_{i})[\phi(x_{i}) - \phi(x_{i-1})] + f_{2}(\xi_{i})[\phi(x_{i}) - \phi(x_{i-1})])$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} f_{1}(\xi_{i})[\phi(x_{i}) - \phi(x_{i-1})] + \sum_{i=0}^{m} f_{2}(\xi_{i})[\phi(x_{i}) - \phi(x_{i-1})]$$

$$= R_{\Gamma}[f_{1}; a, b] + R_{\Gamma}[f_{2}; a, b].$$
(6)

Thus, by (6), we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} |(I_1 + I_2) - R_{\Gamma}[f_1 + f_2; a, b]| &= |(I_1 + I_2) - R_{\Gamma}[f_1 + f_2; a, b]| \\ &= |(I_1 + I_2) - (R_{\Gamma}[f_1; a, b] + R_{\Gamma}[f_2; a, b])| \\ &= |(I_1 - R_{\Gamma}[f_1; a, b]) + (I_2 - R_{\Gamma}[f_2; a, b])| \end{aligned}$$

which, by the triangle inequality, is

$$\leq |(I_1 - R_{\Gamma}[f_1; a, b])| + |(I_2 - R_{\Gamma}[f_2; a, b])|$$
  
$$\leq \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2$$
  
$$= \varepsilon$$

or  $\delta$  as given. Thus,  $\int_a^b f_1 + f_2 d\phi$  exists and is equal to  $\int_a^b f_1 d\phi + \int_a^b f_2 d\phi$ .

(iii) Suppose  $I_1 := \int_a^b f \, d\phi_1$  and  $I_2 := \int_a^b f \, d\phi_2$  exist then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  such that for every partition  $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2$  of [a, b] with  $|\Gamma_1| < \delta_1$  and  $|\Gamma_2| < \delta_2$  we have  $|I_1 - R_{\Gamma_1}[f; \phi_1; a, b]| < \varepsilon/2$  and  $|I_2 - R_{\Gamma_2}[f; \phi_2; a, b]| < \varepsilon/2$ . Put  $\delta := \min\{\delta_1, \delta_2\}$ . Now, note that

$$R_{\Gamma}[f;\phi_{1}+\phi_{2};a,b] = \sum_{i=0}^{m} f[(\phi_{1}(x_{i})+\phi_{2}(x_{i}))-(\phi_{1}(x_{i-1})+\phi_{2}(x_{i-1}))]$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} f[(\phi_{1}(x_{i})-\phi_{1}(x_{i-1}))+(\phi_{2}(x_{i})-\phi_{2}(x_{i-1}))]$$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{m} f[(\phi_{1}(x_{i})-\phi_{1}(x_{i-1}))] + \sum_{i=0}^{m} f[(\phi_{1}(x_{i})-\phi_{1}(x_{i-1}))]$$

$$= R_{\Gamma}[f;\phi_{1};a,b] + R_{\Gamma}[f;\phi_{2};a,b]. \tag{7}$$

Hence, we have the following estimates

$$|(I_1 + I_2) - R_{\Gamma}[f; \phi_1 + \phi_2; a, b]| = |(I_1 + I_2) - (R_{\Gamma}[f; \phi_1; a, b] + R_{\Gamma}[f; \phi_2; a, b])|$$
  
= |(I\_1 - R\_{\Gamma}[f; \phi\_1; a, b]) + (I\_2 - R\_{\Gamma}[f; \phi\_2; a, b])|

which, by the triangle inequality, is

$$\leq |I_1 - R_{\Gamma}[f; \phi_1; a, b]| + |I_2 - R_{\Gamma}[f; \phi_2; a, b]|$$

$$< \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2$$

$$= \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $\int_a^b f \, d(\phi_1 + \phi_2)$  exists and it is equal to the sum  $\int_a^b f \, d\phi_1 + \int_a^b f \, d\phi_2$ .