# MA544: Qual Preparation

## Carlos Salinas

# July 17, 2016

# Contents

	0.1	Danielli: Winter 2012												3
	0.2	Danielli: Summer 2011 .												5
1	Bañı	ıelos												7
	1.1	Bañuelos: Summer 2000												7
	1.2	Bañuelos: Summer 2000												15
	1.3	Bañuelos: Winter 2007 .												17
	1.4	Bañuelos: Winter 2013.												19

#### 0.1 Danielli: Winter 2012

**Problem 1.** Let f(x, y),  $0 \le x$ ,  $y \le 1$ , satisfy the following conditions: for each x, f(x, y) is an integrable function of y, and  $\partial f(x, y)/\partial x$  is a bounded function of (x, y). Prove that  $\partial f(x, y)/\partial x$  is a measurable function of y for each x and

$$\frac{d}{dx} \int_0^1 f(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

Solution. ►

**Problem 2.** Let f be a function of bounded variation on [a, b],  $-\infty < a < b < \infty$ . If f = g + h, with g absolutely continuous and h singular, show that

$$\int_{a}^{b} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}f = \int_{a}^{b} \varphi f' \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{a}^{b} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}h.$$

*Hint*: A function h is said to be singular if h' = 0.

**Problem 3.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable set, and let K be a measurable function on  $E \times E$ . Assume that there exists a positive constant C such that

$$\int_{E} K(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}x \le C \tag{1}$$

for a.e.  $y \in E$ , and

$$\int_{E} K(x, y) \, \mathrm{d}y \le C \tag{2}$$

for a.e.  $x \in E$ .

Let  $1 , <math>f \in L^p(E)$ , and define

$$T_f(x) = \int_E K(x, y) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y.$$

(a) Prove that  $T_f \in L^p(E)$  and

$$||T_f||_p \le C||f||_p. \tag{3}$$

(b) Is (3) still valid if p = 1 or  $\infty$ ? If so, are assumptions (1) and (2) needed?

Solution. ▶

**Problem 4.** Let f be a nonnegative measurable function on [0, 1] satisfying

$$|\{x \in [0,1]: f(x) > \alpha\}| < \frac{1}{1+\alpha^2}$$
 (4)

for  $\alpha > 0$ .

- (a) Determine values of  $p \in [1, \infty)$  for which  $f \in L^p[0, 1]$ .
- (b) If  $p_0$  is the minimum value of p for which p may fail to be in  $L^p$ , give an example of a function which satisfies (4), but which is not in  $L^{p_0}[0, 1]$ .

Solution. ►

#### 0.2 Danielli: Summer 2011

**Problem 1.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ , and let  $F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \cos(tx) dx$ .

- (a) Prove that F(t) is continuous for  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) Prove the following *Riemman–Lebesgue lemma*:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} F(t) = 0.$$

*Hint*: Start by proving the statement for  $f = \chi_{[a,b]}$ .

Solution. ▶

**Problem 2.** (a) Suppose that  $f_k$ ,  $f \in L^2(E)$ , with E a measurable set, and that

$$\int_{F} f_{k}g \longrightarrow \int_{F} fg \tag{1}$$

as  $k \to \infty$  for all  $g \in L^2(E)$ . If, in addition,  $||f_k||_2 \to ||f||_2$  show that  $f_k$  converges to f in  $L^2$ , i.e., that

$$\int_{E} |f - f_k|^2 \longrightarrow 0$$

as  $k \to \infty$ .

(b) Provide an example of a sequence  $f_k$  in  $L^2$  and a function f in  $L^2$  satisfying (1), but such that  $f_k$  does *not* converge to f in  $L^2$ .

Solution. ▶

**Problem 3.** A bounded function f is said to be of bounded variation on  $\mathbb{R}$  if it is of bounded variation on any finite subinterval [a,b], and moreover  $A = \sup_{a,b} V[a,b;f] < \infty$ . Here, V[a,b;f] denotes the total variation of f over the interval [a,b]. Show that:

(a) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x \le A|h| \text{ for all } h \in \mathbb{R}.$$

*Hint*: For h > 0, write

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x+h) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x = \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} \int_{nh}^{(n+1)h} |f(x+h) - f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

(b)  $\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \varphi'(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \leq A$ , where  $\varphi$  is any function of class  $C^1$ , of bounded variation, compactly supported, with  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\varphi(x)| \leq 1$ .

Solution. ▶

**Problem 4.** (a) Prove the *generalized Hölder's inequality*: Assume  $1 \le p \le \infty$ , j = 1, ..., n, with  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 1/p_j = 1/r \le 1$ . If E is a measurable set and  $f_j \in L^{p_j}(E)$  for j = 1, ..., n, then  $\prod_{j=1}^n f_j \in L^r(E)$  and

$$||f_1 \cdots f_n||_r \le ||f_1||_{p_1} \cdots ||f_n||_{p_n}.$$

(b) Use part (a) to show that that if  $1 \le p, q, r \le \infty$ , with 1/p + 1/q = 1/r + 1,  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ , then

$$|(f * g)(x)| \le ||f||_p^{r-p} ||g||_q^{r-q} \int |f(y)|^p |g(x-y)|^q dy.$$

(Recall that  $(f * g)(x) = \int f(y)g(x - y) dy$ .)

(c) Prove *Young's convolution theorem*: Assume that p, q, r, f, and g are as in part (b). Then  $f * g \in L^r(\mathbb{R})$  and

$$||f * g||_r \le ||f||_p ||g||_q.$$

Solution. ▶

### 1 Bañuelos

#### 1.1 Bañuelos: Summer 2000

**Problem 1.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and suppose  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence of measurable functions with the property that for all  $n \ge 1$ 

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |f_n(x)| \ge \lambda \}) \le C \exp(-\lambda^2/n)$$

for all  $\lambda > 0$ . (Here C is a constant independent of n.) Let  $n_k = 2^k$ . Prove that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{|f_{n_k}|}{\sqrt{n_k \log(\log(n_k))}} \le 1 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

**Solution**.  $\blacktriangleright$  Suppose  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a sequence of measurable functions such that

$$\mu(\lbrace x \in X : |f_n(x)| \ge \lambda \rbrace) \le C \exp(-\lambda^2/n) \tag{1}$$

for all  $\lambda$ . Now, consider the subsequence  $\{f_{2^k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  of  $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . We aim to show that

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{|f_{2^k}|}{\sqrt{2^k \log(\log(2^k))}} \le 1$$

almost everywhere. To that end, it suffices to show that the set

$$E = \left\{ x \in X : \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{|f_{2^k}|}{\sqrt{2^k \log(\log(2^k))}} > 1 \right\}$$

has measure zero. Let  $x \in E$  then

$$\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{|f_{2^k}(x)|}{\sqrt{2^k \log(\log(2^k))}} > 1.$$

This means that there exists some subsequence  $\{k_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \{k\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  such that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{|f_{2^{k_m}}(x)|}{\sqrt{2^{k_m} \log(\log(2^{k_m}))}} > 1.$$

This means that, for sufficiently large N

$$|f_{2^{k_n}}(x)| > \sqrt{2^{k_n} \log(\log(2^{k_n}))}$$

for all  $n \ge N$ . But by Equation (1) we have

$$\mu\left(\left\{x \in X : \frac{|f_{2^{k_n}}(x)|}{\sqrt{2^{k_n}\log(\log(2^{k_n}))}} \ge 1\right\}\right) \le C \exp\left(-\left(\sqrt{2^{k_n}\log(\log(2^{k_n}))}\right)^2 / 2^{k_n}\right)$$

$$= C \exp\left(-2^{k_n}\log(\log(2^{k_n})) / 2^{k_n}\right)$$

$$= C \exp\left(-\log(\log(2^{k_n}))\right)$$

$$= C \exp\left(\log(1/\log(2^{k_n}))\right)$$

$$= \frac{C}{\log(2^{k_n})}.$$
(2)

Letting  $n \to \infty$ , we see that the measure of the set on the left-hand side of Equation (2) must go to 0 so  $\mu(E) = 0$ .

**Problem 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of measurable functions with  $f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$  and with the property that

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |f_n(x)| > \lambda\}) \le \mu(\{x \in X : |f_1(x)| > \lambda\})$$

for all n and all  $\lambda > 0$ . Prove that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{1}{n}\int_X\left[\max_{1\leq j\leq n}|f_j|\right]\mathrm{d}\mu=0.$$

[*Hint*: You may use the fact that  $||f||_1 = \int_0^\infty \mu(\{|f(x)| > \lambda\}) d\lambda.]$ 

**Solution**.  $\blacktriangleright$  Define  $g_n, h_n : \mathcal{F} \to [0, \infty]$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  by

$$g_n(\lambda) = \mu(\{x \in X : |f_n(x)| > \lambda\}), \quad h_n(\lambda) = \mu\left(\left\{x \in X : \max_{1 \le i \le n} |f_i(x)| > \lambda\right\}\right).$$

Now, note that, by the monotonicity of  $\mu$ , we have

$$h_n(\lambda) \le \sum_{i=1}^n g_n(\lambda) \le ng_1(\lambda).$$

Thus,

$$\frac{h_n(\lambda)}{n} \le g_1(\lambda).$$

Since  $||f_1||_1 = \int_0^\infty g_1(\lambda) d\lambda$ , by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{X} \left[ \max_{1 \le j \le n} |f_{j}| \right] d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{X} \frac{h_{n}(x)}{n} d\mu$$

$$= \int_{X} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{h_{n}(x)}{n} d\mu$$

$$\leq \int_{X} \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mu(X)}{n}$$

$$= 0$$

as we wanted to show.

#### Problem 3.

- (i) Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of measurable functions. Prove that  $f_n \to f$  is measurable if and only if every subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  contains a further subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  that converges a.e. to f.
- (ii) Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Let  $F \colon \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be continuous and  $f_n \to f$  in measure. Prove that  $F(f_n) \to F(f)$  in measure. (You may assume, of course, that  $f_n, F, F(f_n)$ , and F(f) are all measurable.)

**Solution**.  $\blacktriangleright$  Recall that a sequence of measurable functions  $\{f_n\}$  converge in measure to a limit f if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the limit

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

For part (i)  $\implies$  suppose that  $f_n \to f$  in measure. Then given  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \ge N$  implies

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}) < \delta.$$

In particular, given  $\varepsilon = k^{-1}$  and  $\delta = 2^{-k}$ , consider the countable collection of measurable sets  $\{E_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$  given by

$$E_k = \left\{ x \in X : |f(x) - f_{n_k}(x)| \ge \frac{1}{k} \right\},\,$$

where  $n_k \ge N(k)$  (which depends on our choice of k) such that

$$\mu(E_k)<\frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Now, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_k) < \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k} = 1 < \infty,$$

for almost every  $x \in X$ , there exists  $N_x \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x \notin E_k$  for  $k \ge N_x$ . This means that for  $k \ge N_x$ , we have

$$|f(x) - f_{n_k}(x)| < \frac{1}{k}.$$

Let  $\{f_{n_{k+1}}\}$  be the subsequence of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f_{n_{k+1}} = f$$

as desired.

 $\Leftarrow$  On the other hand, suppose that every subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{f_{n_{k_j}}\}$  that converges to f. Seeking a contradiction, suppose that given  $\varepsilon>0$  there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$  such that

$$M = \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) - f_{n_k}(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}) > 0.$$

But by assumption there exists a subsequence  $\{f_{n_{k_j}}\}$  of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  that converges almost everywhere to f. We claim that this implies that  $f_{n_{k_j}} \to f$  in measure.

*Proof of claim.* This is adapted from a proof in Royden, Proposition 3, Ch. 5.

First note that f is measurable since it is the pointwise limit almost everywhere of a sequence of measurable functions. Let  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta > 0$  be given. Here is where the assumption that  $\mu(X) < \infty$  is essential! By Egorov's theorem, there is a measurable subset  $E \subset X$  with  $\mu(X \setminus E) < \delta$  such that  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on E. Thus, there is an index N such that  $n \ge N$  implies

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $x \in E$ . Thus, for  $n \ge N$ ,

$$\{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon\} \subset X \setminus E$$

so

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(\{x \in X : |f(x) - f_n(x)| \ge \varepsilon\}) = 0,$$

i.e.,  $f_n \to f$  in measure.

Hence, since  $f_{n_{k_i}} \to f$  in measure, but M > 0 we have a contradiction.

For (ii) since F is continuous given  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x - x'| < \delta$  implies  $|F(x) - F(x')| < \varepsilon$ . By part (i),  $f_n \to f$  in measure if and only if every subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{f_n\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{f_{n_{k_j}}\}$  that converges to f almost everywhere, i.e., given  $\delta > 0$  there exists an index N such that  $n_{k_j} \geq N$  implies

$$|f(x) - f_{n_{i_k}}(x)| < \delta$$

for almost every  $x \in X$ . Thus,

$$\left| F(f(x)) - F(f_{n_{j_k}}(x)) \right| < \varepsilon$$

and we see that for every subsequence  $\{F \circ f_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{F \circ f_n\}$  we can find a subsequence  $\{F \circ f_{n_{i_k}}\}$  that converges almost everywhere to  $F \circ f$ .

**Problem 4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space and suppose  $f \in L^1(\mu)$  is nonnegative. Suppose  $1 and let <math>1 < q < \infty$  be its conjugate exponent, i.e., 1/p + 1/q = 1. Suppose f has the property that

$$\int_{E} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le \mu(E)^{1/q}$$

for all measurable sets E. Prove that  $f \in L^r(\mu)$  for any  $1 \le r < p$ . [*Hint*: Consider  $\{x \in X : 2^n \le f(x) < 2^{n+1}\}$ , if you like.]

**Solution.**  $\blacktriangleright$  By previous problems, we know that if  $\mu(X) < \infty$  and  $f \in L^p(X)$ , then  $f \in L^r(X)$  for  $1 \le r < p$ , so it suffices to show that  $||f||_p < \infty$ .

Instead of following the hint, consider the set

$$E_t = \{ x \in X : f(x) \ge t \}$$

and let

$$\omega(t) = \mu(E_t)$$

i.e., the distribution function of f. Then, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \omega(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \int_X f \, \mathrm{d}\mu.$$

In particular, if we make the substitution  $\alpha = t^{1/p}$ ,  $d\alpha = t^{1/q}/p dt = \alpha^{p/q}/p dt$ , we have

$$\int_X f^r d\mu = \int_0^\infty p\alpha^{-p/q} \omega(\alpha) d\alpha.$$

Now, by Chebyshev's inequality, we have

$$t\omega(t) \le \int_{E_t} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu \le \omega(t)^{1/q}$$

so

$$\omega(t) \leq t^{-p}$$
.

Thus,

$$\int_X f^r \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_0^\infty p\alpha^{-p/q} \omega(\alpha) \, \mathrm{d}\alpha \le \int_0^\infty p\alpha^{-p-p/q} \, \mathrm{d}\alpha.$$

Since p + p/q > 1, the integral above is finite. Thus,  $f \in L^p(X)$  and we have  $f \in L^r(X)$  for all  $1 \le r < p$ .

**Problem 5.** Let f be a continuous function on [-1, 1]. Find

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} f(x) (1 - n|x|) \, \mathrm{d}x.$$

**Solution**. ► To find the limit of the integral

$$\int_{-1/n}^{1/n} f(x)(1 - n|x|) \, \mathrm{d}x$$

we first make the following substitutions: Let y = nx, dy = n dx. Then

$$\int_{-1/n}^{1/n} f(x)(1-n|x|) dx = \frac{1}{n} \int_{-1}^{1} f(y/n)(1-|y|) dy.$$

By the extreme value theorem, since f is continuous and [-1, 1] is compact f is bounded on [-1, 1] by, say M. Let g(x) = M. Then  $g \in L^1(X)$  since  $||g||_1 = 2M$ . Thus, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, since

$$|f(y/n)(1-|y|)| \le M$$

on [-1, 1] and  $g \in L^1([-1, 1])$  it follows that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{-1/n}^{1/n} f(x)(1 - n|x|) \, \mathrm{d}x = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{-1}^{1} f(y/n)(1 - |y|) \, \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{f(y/n)(1 - |y|)}{n} \right] \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left[ \frac{f(y/n)}{n} - \frac{|y|}{n} \right] \mathrm{d}y$$

$$= 0.$$

**Problem 6.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and suppose  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 \le p < \infty$ . Suppose  $E_n$  is a sequence of measurable sets satisfying  $\mu(E_n) = 1/n$  for all n. Prove that

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[ n^{(p-1)/p} \int_{E_n} |f| \,\mathrm{d}\mu \right] = 0.$ 

**Solution.**  $\blacktriangleright$  The result follows immediately by Hölder's inequality. Let  $C = ||f||_p$ . Since  $f \in L^p(X)$ , then  $f \in L^p(E_n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by Hölder's inequality

$$||f||_{L^{1}(E_{n})} \leq ||f||_{L^{p}(E_{n})} \mu(E)^{1/q}$$

$$\leq C\mu(E)^{1/q}$$

$$= C\mu(E)^{p/(p-1)}$$

$$= Cn^{-p/(p-1)}$$

$$= Cn^{p/(1-p)}.$$

Hence, the integral is bounded above by

$$0 \le n^{(p-1)/p} \int_{E_n} |f| \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le C n^{(p-1)/p + p/(1-p)}$$
$$= C n^{(2p-1)/(p(1-p))}.$$

Since p > 1, 1 - p < 0 and 2p - 1 > 0 so the exponent (2p - 1)/(p(1 - p)) < 0. Thus, as  $n \to \infty$ 

$$Cn^{(2p-1)/(p(1-p))} \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left[ n^{(p-1)/p} \int_{E_n} |f| \,\mathrm{d}\mu \right] = 0.$$

**Problem 7.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $\{g_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions with the property that  $g_n \in L^1(\mu)$  for every n and  $g_n \to g$  in  $L^1(\mu)$ . Let  $\{f_n\}$  be another sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ .

(i) If  $f_n \leq g_n$  almost everywhere for every n, prove that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} \int_X f_n \,\mathrm{d}\mu \le \int_X \limsup_{n\to\infty} f_n \,\mathrm{d}\mu.$$

[Hint: Start by considering a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k}\}$  such that

$$\lim_{n_k \to \infty} \int_X f_{n_k} \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \int_X f_n \, \mathrm{d}\mu$$

and let  $\{g_{n_{k_j}}\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{g_{n_k}\}$  such that  $g_{n_{k_j}} \to g$  almost everywhere.]

(ii) If  $f_n \to f$  almost everywhere and if  $f_n \le g_n$  almost everywhere for all n, then  $||f_n - f||_1 \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Solution.**  $\blacktriangleright$  Part (i) is a generalization of what is colloquially known as the reverse Fatou's lemma. Consider the sequence of measurable functions  $\{h_n\}$  where  $h_n = g_n - f_n$ . Note that  $h_n \ge 0$  for all  $x \in X$  since  $g_n \ge f$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Problem 8.** Let  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Consider the function

$$F(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(\mathrm{i}xt) f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t.$$

- (i) Show that  $F \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and that F is continuous at every  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Moreover, if  $|t|^k f(t) \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ , show that F is infinitely differentiable, i.e.,  $F \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ .
- (ii) Suppose f is continuous as well as in  $L^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Show that  $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} F(x) = 0$ .

[*Hint*: Using  $\exp(-i\pi) = -1$ , write  $F(x) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\exp(ixt) - \exp(ixt - i\pi))\right)/2$ .]

Solution. ►

#### 1.2 Bañuelos: Summer 2000

**Problem 1.** For any two subsets A and B of  $\mathbb{R}$  define  $A+B = \{a+b : a \in A, b \in B\}$ .

- (i) Suppose A is closed and B is compact. Prove that A + B is closed.
- (ii) Give an example that shows that (i) may be false if we only assume that *A* and *B* are closed.

Solution. ▶

**Problem 2.** Suppose  $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable at every  $x \in [0,1]$  where by differentiability at 0 and 1 we mean right and left differentiability, respectively. Prove that f' is continuous if and only if f is uniformly differentiable. That is, if and only if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $h_0 > 0$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - f'(x) \right| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $0 \le x$ ,  $x + h \le 1$ ,  $0 < |h| < h_0$ .

Solution. ▶

**Problem 3.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space with  $\mu(X) = 1$  and let  $F_1, \ldots, F_{17}$  be seventeen measurable subsets of X with  $\mu(F_i) = 1/4$  for every j.

- (i) Prove that five of these subsets must have an intersection of positive measure. That is, if  $E_1, \ldots, E_k$  denotes the collection of all nonempty intersections of the  $F_j$  taken five at a time ( $k \le 6188$ ), show that at least one of these sets must have positive measure.
- (ii) Is the conclusion in (i) true if we take sixteen sets instead of seventeen?

Solution. ▶

**Problem 4.** Let  $f_n: X \to [0, \infty)$  be a sequence of measurable functions on the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ . Suppose there is a positive constant M such that the functions  $g_n(x) = f(x)\chi_{\{f_n \leq M\}}(x)$  satisfy  $\|g_n\|_1 \leq A/n^{4/3}$  and for which  $\mu(\{x \in X : f_n(x) > M\}) \leq B/n^{5/4}$ , where A and B are positive constants independent of n. Prove that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n < \infty$$

almost everywhere.

Solution. ►

**Problem 5.** Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a bounded sequence of functions on [0,1] which is uniformly Lipschitz. That is there is a constant M (independent of n) such that for all n,  $|g_n(x) - g_n(y)| \le M|x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in [0,1]$  and  $|g_n(x)| \le M$  for all  $x \in [0,1]$ .

(i) Prove that for any  $0 \le a \le b \le 1$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_a^b g_n(x) \sin(2n\pi x) \, \mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

(ii) Prove that for any  $f \in L^1[0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 f(x)g_n(x)\sin(2n\pi x) \,\mathrm{d}x = 0.$$

Solution. ▶

**Problem 6.** Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative functions in  $L^1[0,1]$  with the property that  $\int_0^1 f_n(t) dt = 1$  and  $\int_{1/n}^1 f_n(t) dt \le 1/n$  for all n. Define  $h(x) = \sup_n f_n(x)$ . Prove that  $h \notin L^1[0,1]$ .

Solution. ▶

#### 1.3 Bañuelos: Winter 2007

**Problem 1.** Let  $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ .

- (i) Define what it means for f to be absolutely continuous.
- (ii) Define what it means for f to be of bounded variation.
- (iii) Let V(f; 0, x) be the total variation of f on [0, x]. Prove that if f is absolutely continuous on [0, 1] so is V(f; 0, x).

Solution. ▶

#### Problem 2.

(i) Suppose that  $f: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is nondecreasing with f(0) = 0 and f(1) = 1. For a > 0, let A be set of all  $x \in (0, 1)$  for which

$$\limsup_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} > a.$$

Prove that  $m^*(A) < 1/a$ , where  $m^*$  denotes the Lebesgue outer measure.

(ii) Prove that there is no Lebesgue measurable set A in [0, 1] with the property that  $m(A \cap I) = m(I)/4$  for every interval I.

[*Hint*: Consider the function  $f(x) = \chi_A(x)$ .]

Solution. ►

**Problem 3.** Let  $\{E_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be Lebesgue measurable sets in [0,1] and let  $E=\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}E_j$  and suppose there is an  $\varepsilon>0$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}m(E_j)\leq m(E)+\varepsilon$ .

(i) Show that for all measurable sets  $A \subset [0, 1]$ 

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} m(A \cap E_j) \le m(A \cap E) + \varepsilon.$$

(ii) Let A be the set of all  $x \in [0, 1]$  which are in at least two of  $E'_j$ . Prove that  $m(A) \le \varepsilon$ .

Solution. ▶

**Problem 4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a finite measure space. Let  $f_n \colon X \to [0, \infty)$  be a sequence measurable functions and suppose that  $||f_n||_p \le 1$ ,  $1 , and that <math>f_n \to f$  almost everywhere. Prove

(i)  $f \in L^p(\mu)$ . (ii)  $||f_n - f||_1 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$ .

Solution. ►

Problem 5.

Solution. ►

Problem 6.

Solution. ►

#### **Bañuelos: Winter 2013**

Problem 1.

(a)

- (i) Define almost uniform convergence on the measure space  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ .
- (ii) Let  $f_n$  be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions converging almost uniformly to the nonnegative function f. Prove that  $\sqrt{f_n}$ converges almost uniformly to  $\sqrt{f}$ .

(b)

- (i) Suppose  $f_n$  has the property that  $\int_X |f_n| \, \mathrm{d}\mu \to 0$ . (ii) Does it follow that  $f_n \to 0$  almost everywhere? Justify your answer.
- (iii) Does it follow that  $f_n \to 0$  almost uniformly? Justify your answer.

Solution. ▶

**Problem 2.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be a measure space and let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$  and q be its conjugate exponent. Suppose  $f_n \to f$  in  $L^p$  and  $g_n \to g$  in  $L^q$ . Prove that  $f_n g_n \to fg \text{ in } L^1.$ 

Solution. ▶

**Problem 3.** Let  $\{a_k\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers converging to infinity. Prove that the following limit exists

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-x)\cos x}{a_k x^2 + (1/a_k)} \, \mathrm{d}x$$

and find it. Make sure to justify all steps.

Solution. ▶

**Problem 4.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  be  $\sigma$ -finite and f be measurable such that for all  $\lambda > 0$ 

$$\mu(\{x \in X : |f(x)| > \lambda\}) \le \frac{20}{\lambda^p}$$

where 1 . Let q be the conjugate exponent of p. Prove that there is a constant C depending only on p such that

$$\int_{E} |f(x)| \, \mathrm{d}\mu \le Cm(E)^{1/q},$$

for all measurable sets E with  $0 < \mu(E) < \infty$ . (The inequality holds trivially when  $\mu(E) = 0$  or  $\mu(E) = \infty$ .)

[*Hint*: Recall  $\int_E |f(x)| d\mu = \int_0^\infty ? d\lambda$  and "break it" at the right place!]

Solution. ▶

**Problem 5.** Suppose  $f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is of bounded variation with  $V(f;0,1) = \alpha$ . For any  $\beta > \alpha$ , set

$$A = \left\{ x \in (0,1) : \limsup_{h \to 0} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{|h|} > \beta \right\}.$$

Prove that for any  $0 , <math>m(A) \le (\alpha/\beta)^p$ , where m denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Solution. ▶

**Problem 6.** Let  $f \in L^1(0,1)$  and for  $x \in (0,1)$ , define

$$h(x) = \int_{x}^{1} \frac{f(t)}{t} dt.$$

- (i) Prove that h is continuous on (0, 1).
- (ii) Show that

$$\int_0^1 h(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(t) dt.$$

Solution. ▶

### **References**

- [1] FOLLAND, G. *Real analysis: modern techniques and their applications.* Pure and applied mathematics. Wiley, 1984.
- [2] ROYDEN, H., AND FITZPATRICK, P. *Real Analysis*. Featured Titles for Real Analysis Series. Prentice Hall, 2010.
- [3] RUDIN, W. *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. International series in pure and applied mathematics. McGraw-Hill, 1976.
- [4] RUDIN, W. Real and complex analysis. Mathematics series. McGraw-Hill, 1987.
- [5] WHEEDEN, R., AND ZYGMUND, A. *Measure and Integral: An Introduction to Real Analysis*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Pure and Applied Mathematics. Taylor & Francis, 1977.