MA544: Qual Problems

Carlos Salinas

April 21, 2016

1 Course Notes

These notes roughly correspond to chapters 2 through 8 of Wheeden and Zygmund's *Measure* and *Integration* [1].

1.1 Functions of bounded variation and the Riemann–Stieltjes integral

In this section, we introduce functions of bounded variation as well as the definition of the Riemann integral. We conclude with a proof that the

Functions of bounded variation

Let $f: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real-valued function defined for all $a \le x \le b$ and finite; let $\Gamma = \{x_0, \ldots, x_m\}$ be a partition of [a,b], i.e., a collection of points x_i , $i=0,\ldots,m$, satisfying $x_0=a$ and $x_m=b$, and $x_{i-1} < x_i$ for $i=1,\ldots,m$. To each partition Γ , we associated a sum

$$S_{\Gamma} := S_{\Gamma}[f; a, b] := \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})|.$$
 (1)

The variation (or total variation) of f over [a, b] is defined as

$$V := V[f; a, b] := \sup_{\Gamma} S_{\Gamma}, \tag{2}$$

where the supremum is taken over all partitions Γ of [a,b]. If $V < \infty$, f is said to be of bounded variation on [a,b]; if $V = \infty$, f is of unbounded variation on [a,b].

Before going on to prove important properties about (2), let us look at some common examples (and nonexamples) of functions f of bounded variation.

Examples 1. Suppose f is monotone in [a,b]. Then, for an arbitrary partition, $\Gamma = \{x_0, \ldots, x_m\}$ of [a,b] we have

$$S_{\Gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} |f(x_{i-1}) - f(x_i)|$$

$$= |f(a) - f(x_1)| + |f(x_2) - f(x_1)| + \cdots$$

$$+ |f(x_{m-1}) - f(x_{m-2})| + |f(x_m) - f(x_{m-1})|$$

$$=$$

2 MA 544 Spring 2016

2.1 Exam 1 Prep

Problem 2.1. Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a measurable set, $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and define the set $rE = \{ r\mathbf{x} : \mathbf{x} \in E \}$. Prove that rE is measurable, and that $|rE| = |r|^n |E|$.

Proof. Define a linear map $T: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto r\mathbf{x}$. Using the standard basis for \mathbb{R}^n , this map has the matrix presentation

$$T\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} r & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & r \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{x} \tag{3}$$

which has determinant det $T = r^n$. By 3.35, we have $|E| = |T(E)| = r^n |E| = |rE|$.

Problem 2.2. Let $\{E_k\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a collection of measurable sets. Define the set

$$\liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n \right).$$

Show that

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k|.$$

Proof. If the $\liminf |E_k| = \infty$ the inequality holds trivially. Hence, we may, without loss of generality, assume that $\liminf |E_k| < \infty$. By 3.20, the set $\liminf E_k$ is measurable and we have

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| = \left| \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k \right|, \tag{4}$$

where $F_k = \bigcap_{n=k}^{\infty} E_n$. Now, note that the collection of sets $F'_k = \bigcup_{\ell=1}^k F_\ell$ forms an increasing sequence of measurable sets $F'_k \nearrow F'$, where $F' = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k = \liminf E_k$. Then, by 3.26 (i), we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} |F_k'| = |F'| = \left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right|. \tag{5}$$

Hence, it suffices to show that $|F'_k| \leq |E_k|$ for all k, but this follows by monotonicity of the outer measure, 3.3, since $F'_k \subset E_k$. Thus, we have the desired inequality

$$\left| \liminf_{k \to \infty} E_k \right| \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} |E_k|. \tag{6}$$

Problem 2.3. Consider the function

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} |B(\mathbf{0}, x)| & x > 0\\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}.$$

Here $B(\mathbf{0},r) = \{ \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n : |\mathbf{y}| < r \}$. Prove that F is monotonic increasing and continuous.

Proof. That F is increasing is immediate from the monotonicity of the outer measure since for x < x' we have $B(\mathbf{0}, x) \subset B(\mathbf{0}, x')$ so, by 3.2, we have

$$F(x)|B(\mathbf{0},x)| \le |B(\mathbf{0},x')| = F(x')$$

as desired.

To see that F is continuous, we will prove the following lemma

Lemma 1. For any x > 0, $xB(\mathbf{0}, 1) = B(\mathbf{0}, x)$.

Proof of lemma. If $\mathbf{y} \in xB(\mathbf{0},1)$ then $\mathbf{y} = x\mathbf{y}'$ for $\mathbf{y}' \in B(\mathbf{0},1)$. Thus, $|\mathbf{y}'| = |\mathbf{y}|/x < 1$ so $|\mathbf{y}| < x$ implies that $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0},x)$. Hence, we have the containment $xB(\mathbf{0},1) \subset B(\mathbf{0},x)$.

On the other hand, if $\mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0}, x)$ then $|\mathbf{y}| < x$ so $|\mathbf{y}/x| < 1$. Hence, $\mathbf{y}/x \in B(\mathbf{0}, 1)$ so $x(\mathbf{y}/x) = \mathbf{y} \in B(\mathbf{0}, x)$. Thus, $B(\mathbf{0}, x) \subset xB(\mathbf{0}, x)$ and equality holds.

In light of Lemma 1 and 3.35, for x > 0, we have

$$F(x) = |B(\mathbf{0}, x)| = |xB(\mathbf{0}, 1)| = x^n |B(\mathbf{0}, 1)|.$$
(7)

It is clear that F is continuous on the interval $[0,\infty)$ since F is a polynomial in x.

Problem 2.4. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Let C be the set of all points at which f is continuous. Show that C is a set of type G_{δ} .

Proof. From the topological definition of continuity, f is continuous at $x \in C$ if and only if for every neighborhood U of f(x), the preimage $f^{-1}(U)$ is a neighborhood of x. Now,

Let $x \in C$. Then, by the definition of continuity, for every natural number n > 0 there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - x'| < \delta$ implies

$$|f(x) - f(x')| < \frac{1}{2n}.$$
 (8)

Let $x'', x' \in B(x, \delta)$. Then, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$|f(x') - f(x)''| = |f(x') - f(x) - (f(x'') - f(x))|$$

$$\leq |f(x') - f(x)| + |f(x'') - f(x)|$$

$$< \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{1}{2n}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n}.$$
(9)

In view of these estimates, define the set

$$A_n = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R} : \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that } x', x'' \in B(x, \delta) \text{ implies } |f(x') - f(x'')| < \frac{1}{n} \right\}. (10)$$

Good Lord, that was a long definition! We claim that $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and that A_n is open for all n. First, let us show that $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Let $x \in C$. Then for every n > 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x-x'| < \delta$ implies |f(x)-f(x')| < 1/n. Thus, $x \in A_n$ for all n so $x \in \bigcap A_n$. On the other hand, if $x \in \bigcap A_n$ for every n > 0, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x-x'| < \delta$ implies |f(x)-f(x')| < 1/n.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. By the Archimedean principle, there exists N > 0 such that $\varepsilon > 1/N$. Then, since $x \in A_N$ it follows that for some $\delta' > 0$, $|x - x'| < \delta'$ implies $|f(x) - f(x')| < 1/N < \varepsilon$. Thus, $x \in C$ and we conclude that $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$.

Lastly, we show that A_n is open. Let $x \in A_n$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x - x'| < \delta$ implies |f(x) - f(x')| < 1/n. In particular, this means that $B(x, \delta) \subset A_n$ for any $x' \in B(x, \delta)$ satisfies |f(x) - f(x')| < 1/n. Thus, A_n is open and we conclude that $C = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is a G_{δ} set.

Problem 2.5. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Is it true that if the sets $\{f = r\}$ are measurable for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then f is measurable?

Proof. No. Recall that, by definition, or 4.1, f is measurable if and only if $\{f > a\}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Problem 2.6. Let $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of measurable functions on \mathbb{R} . Prove that the set $\{x: \lim_{k\to\infty} f_k(x) \text{ exists }\}$ is measurable.

Proof. The idea here should be to rewrite

$$E = \left\{ x : \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(x) \text{ exists} \right\}$$
 (11)

as a countable union/intersection of measurable sets. Let $x \in E$. By the Cauchy criterion, for every N > 0 there exists a positive integer M such that $m, n \ge M$ implies $|f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < 1/N$. With this in mind, define

$$E_N = \left\{ x : \text{there exists } M \text{ such that } m, n \ge M \text{ implies } |f_n(x) - f_m(x)| < \frac{1}{N} \right\}. \tag{12}$$

Then, like for Problem 1.4, it is not too hard to see that the E_n 's are open and that $E = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$. Thus, E is a G_{δ} set and therefore measurable.

Problem 2.7. A real valued function f on an interval [a,b] is said to be absolutely continuous if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every finite disjoint collection $\{(a_k,b_k)\}_{k=1}^N$ of open intervals in (a,b) satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k - a_k < \delta$, one has $\sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$. Show that an absolutely continuous function on [a,b] is of bounded variation on [a,b].

Proof. Suppose $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ is absolutely continuous. Then for fixed $\varepsilon = 1$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for every finite disjoint collection $\{(a_k b_k)\}_{k=1}^N$ of open intervals in (a, b) satisfying $\sum_{k=1}^N b_k - a_k < \delta$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^N |f(b_k) - f(a_k)| < \varepsilon$. Let $\Gamma = \{x_k\}_{k=1}^N$ be a partition of [a, b] into closed intervals such that $x_{k+1} - x_k < \delta$, then by absolute continuity we have

$$V[f;\Gamma] = \sum_{k=1}^{N} |f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)|$$
< 1. (13)

Thus, f is b.v. on [a, b].

Problem 2.8. Let f be a continuous function from [a,b] into \mathbb{R} . Let $\chi_{\{c\}}$ be the characteristic function of a singleton $\{c\}$, i.e., $\chi_{\{c\}}(x)=0$ if $x\neq c$ and $\chi_{\{c\}}(c)=1$. Show that

$$\int_{a}^{b} f d\chi_{\{c\}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } c \in (a, b) \\ -f(a) & \text{if } c = a \\ f(a) & \text{if } c = b \end{cases}.$$

Proof.

3 Exam 1

3.1 Exam 2 Prep

Problem 3.1. Define for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} |\mathbf{x}|^{-(n+1)} & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases}$$

Prove that f is integrable outside any ball $B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$, and that there exists a constant C>0 such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Recall that a real-valued function $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is (Lebesgue) integrable over a subset E of \mathbb{R}^n (or, alternatively, f belongs to L(E)) if

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < \infty.$$

Put $E = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$. Then, to show that f belongs to L(E) it suffices to prove the inequality

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \tag{14}$$

for some appropriate constant C. We proceed by directly computing the Lebesgue integral of f and employing Tonelli's theorem:

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{E} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{|\mathbf{x}|^{n+1}}$$

$$= \int \cdots \int_{E} \frac{dx_{1} \cdots dx_{n}}{(x_{1}^{2} + \cdots + x_{n}^{2})^{(n+1)/2}}$$

let E_i denote the projection of E onto its i-th coordinate and make the trigonometric substitution $x_1 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \tan \theta$, $dx_1 = \sqrt{x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2} \sec^2 \theta d\theta$ with $\theta \in (-\pi/2, -\tan^{-1}(\varepsilon)) \cup (\tan^{-1}(\varepsilon), \pi/2)$ giving us the integral

$$= \int_{E_n} \cdots \int_{E_2} \left[\frac{\cos^{n-1} \theta}{(x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2)^{n/2}} d\theta \right] dx_2 \cdots dx_n$$

which, by Tonelli's theorem, is

$$= \int_{E_n} \cdots \int_{E_2} \frac{dx_2 \cdots dx_n}{\left(x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2\right)^{n/2}} \left[\int_{E_\theta} \cos^{n-1} \theta d\theta \right]$$

where the integral

$$\int_{E_{\theta}} \cos^{n-1} \theta d\theta < \infty. \tag{15}$$

Proceeding in this manner, we eventually achieve the inequality

$$\int \cdots \int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < C' \int_{E_{n}} \frac{dx_{n}}{x_{n}^{2}}$$

$$= 2C' \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dx_{n}}{x_{n}^{2}}$$

$$= \frac{C}{\varepsilon}$$
(16)

as desired.

Problem 3.2. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a sequence of nonnegative measurable functions on \mathbb{R}^n , and assume that f_k converges pointwise almost everywhere to a function f. If

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k < \infty,$$

show that

$$\int_{E} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{E} f_k$$

for all measurable subsets E of \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, show that this is not necessarily true if $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f = \lim_{k \to \infty} f_k = \infty$.

Proof. This is probably some theorem I can't remember right now. But anyway, first we shall establish that the limit f of $\{f_k\}$ must be nonnegative a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . For assume otherwise. Then there exists a collection of points \mathbf{x} in \mathbb{R}^n of nonzero \mathbb{R}^n -Lebesgue measure such that $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$. But $f_k(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $0 < \varepsilon < |f(\mathbf{x})|$ then we have

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f_k(\mathbf{x})| > |f(\mathbf{x})| > \varepsilon \tag{17}$$

for all k which contradicts our assumption that $f_k \to f$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^n . Therefore, the set of points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ where $f(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ must have measure zero.

Now, based on pointwise convergence a.e. to f, given $\varepsilon > 0$ for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have the following estimate

$$|f(\mathbf{x}) - f_k(\mathbf{x})| < \varepsilon \tag{18}$$

for sufficiently large k; say k greater than or equal to some index $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, we are given convergence in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of f_k to f

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f < \infty. \tag{19}$$

By monotonicity of the Lebesgue integral (Theorem 5.5(iii)), this implies that

$$\int_{E} f \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f < \infty \tag{20}$$

and

$$\int_{E} f_k \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k < \infty \tag{21}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Theorem 5.5(ii), f and the f_k 's are finite a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n so for some sufficiently large real number M, $|f|, |f_k| \leq M$ for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, for any measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n , $|f|, |f_k| \leq M$ for a.e. $\mathbf{x} \in E$ so, by the bounded convergence theorem, we have the desired convergence

$$\int_{E} f_k \to \int_{E} f < \infty. \tag{22}$$

However, if f does not belong to $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., its integral over \mathbb{R}^n is infinity, there is no guarantee that f will be finite a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . This means that the bounded convergence theorem will fail to ensure convergence in integral for any measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n . Let us demonstrate this with an example. Consider the sequence of functions

Problem 3.3. Assume that E is a measurable set of \mathbb{R}^n , with $|E| < \infty$. Prove that a nonnegative function f defined on E is integrable if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\{ \mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) \ge k \}| < \infty.$$

Proof. If f is integrable over a measurable subset E of \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\int_{E} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} < \infty. \tag{23}$$

Set $E_k = \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : k+1 > f(\mathbf{x}) \geq k \}$ and $F_k = \{ \mathbf{x} \in E : f(\mathbf{x}) \geq k \}$. Note the following properties about the sets we have just defined: first, the E_k 's are pairwise disjoint and the F_k 's are nested in the following way $F_{k+1} \subset F_k$; second, $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ and $E_k = F_k \setminus F_{k+1}$. By Theorem 3.23, since the E_k 's are disjoint, we have

$$|E| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_k| < \infty. \tag{24}$$

Now, since $k\chi_{E_k}(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\mathbf{x}) \leq (k+1)\chi_{E_k}(\mathbf{x})$ on E_k , we have

$$k|E_k| \le \int_{E_k} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le (k+1)|E_k|. \tag{25}$$

Then we have the following upper and lower estimates on the integral of f over E

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k|E_k| \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|E_k|.$$
(26)

But note that $|E_k| = |F_k \setminus F_{k+1}| = |F_k| - |F_{k+1}|$ by Corollary 3.25 since the measures of E_k , F_k , and F_{k+1} are all finite. Hence, (26) becomes

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|). \tag{27}$$

A little manipulation of the series in the leftmost estimate gives us

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_1| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k|F_k| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}| - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_1| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$
(28)

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)(|F_k| - |F_{k+1}|) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_k| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_k| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+2)|F_{k+1}| - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)|F_{k+1}|$$

$$= |F_0| + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_{k+1}|$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|.$$
(29)

Thus, from (28) and (29)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F_k| \le \int_E f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \le \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k| \tag{30}$$

so the integral $\int_E f$ converges if and only if the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |F_k|$ converges.

Problem 3.4. Suppose that E is a measurable subset of \mathbb{R}^n , with $|E| < \infty$. If f and g are measurable functions on E, define

$$\rho(f,g) = \int_{E} \frac{|f-g|}{1+|f-g|}.$$

Prove that $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$ if and only if f_k converges to f as $k \to \infty$.

Proof. \Longrightarrow : First note that ρ is strictly greater than or equal to zero since it is the integral of a nonnegative function. Suppose that $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist an

sufficiently large index N such that for every $k \geq N$ we have

$$\rho(f_k, g) = \int_E \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} < \varepsilon. \tag{31}$$

By Theorem 5.11, this means that the map

$$\frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|}$$

is zero a.e. in E which happens if $|f_k - f| = 0$ a.e. in E.

 \iff : Suppose that $f_k \to f$ as $k \to \infty$.

I don't know how to solve this. This is the intended solution:

 \Longrightarrow : Given $\varepsilon > 0$, $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ implies that

$$\int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \longrightarrow 0.$$

Observe that the function $\Phi \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ given by $\Phi(x) = x/(1+x)$ is increasing on \mathbb{R}^+ and $0 < \Psi(x) < 1$, hence

$$\int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \ge \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} dx$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} |\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}|.$$

Therefore,

$$|\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}| \le \frac{1+\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_{\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \varepsilon\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \longrightarrow 0$$

as $k \to \infty$.

 \Leftarrow : Conversely, given $\delta > 0$, we have

$$\rho(f_k, f) = \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx + \int_{\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| \le \delta\}} \frac{|f_k - f|}{1 + |f_k - f|} dx \le |\{x \in E: |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta\}| + \frac{\delta}{1 + \delta} |E|.$$

Since $|E| < \infty$ and $\delta/(1+\delta) \searrow 0$, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta' > 0$ such that

$$\frac{\delta'}{1+\delta'}|E|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

If $f_k \to f$ as $k \to \infty$ in measure, then for the above δ' there is an index N > 0 such that $k \ge N$ implies

$$|\{x \in E : |f_k(x) - f(x)| > \delta'\}| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Therefore, $f_k \to f$ in measure implies $\rho(f_k, f) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$.

Problem 3.5. Define the gamma function $\Gamma \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\Gamma(y) = \int_0^\infty e^{-u} u^{y-1} du,$$

and the beta function $\beta \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\beta(x,y) = \int_0^1 t^{x-1} (1-t)^{y-1} dt.$$

- (a) Prove that the definition of the gamma function is well-posed, i.e., the function $u \mapsto e^{-u}u^{y-1}$ is in $L(\mathbb{R}^+)$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$.
- (b) Show that

$$\beta(x,y) = \frac{\Gamma(x)\Gamma(y)}{\Gamma(x+y)}.$$

Proof. (a) Fix $y \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Then we must show that $\Gamma(y) < \infty$. First, since (0,1) and $[1,\infty)$ are disjoint measurable subsets of \mathbb{R} , by Theorem 5.7 we can split the integral $\Gamma(y)$ into

$$\Gamma(y) = \underbrace{\int_{0}^{1} e^{-u} u^{y-1} du}_{I_{1}} + \underbrace{\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-u} u^{y-1} du}_{I_{2}}.$$
 (32)

We will show, separately, that I_1 and I_2 are finite.

To see that I_1 is finite, note that

$$e^{-u}u^{y-1} = e^{-u}e^{(y-1)\log u}$$

$$= e^{-u+(y-1)\log u}$$

$$\leq e^{(y-1)\log u}$$

$$= u^{y-1}$$
(33)

since 0 < u < 1

$$I_{1} = \int_{0}^{1} e^{-u} u^{y-1} du$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{1} u^{y-1} du$$

$$= \left[\frac{u^{y}}{y} \right]_{0}^{1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{y}$$

$$< \infty.$$

$$(34)$$

To see that I_2 is finite, note that

$$e$$
 (35)

Intended solution:

Problem 3.6. Let $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and for $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ define $f_{\mathbf{h}} \colon \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ be $f_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{h})$. Prove that

$$\lim_{\mathbf{h}\to\mathbf{0}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_{\mathbf{h}} - f| = 0.$$

Proof. Note that by the triangle inequality, we have the following estimate on the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_{\mathbf{h}}(\mathbf{x}) - f(\mathbf{x})| d\mathbf{x} \le \tag{36}$$

Problem 3.7. (a) If $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $f_k \to f$ and $g_k \to g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , $|f_k| \leq g_k$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g,$$

prove that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f.$$

(b) Using part (a) show that if $f_k, f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f_k \to f$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n , then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty$$

if and only if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty.$$

Proof. (a) Since $f_k \to f$ and $g_k \to g$ a.e. and $|f_k| \le g_k$, then by Fatou's theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (g - f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g_k - f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k - f_k,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g + f \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \liminf_{k \to \infty} g_k + f_k \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k + f_k.$$

Since $f_k, g_k, f, g \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g$, then using the similar argument as problem 2, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \ge \limsup_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k,$$
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \le \liminf_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k.$$

Therefore, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_k \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f$.

(b) \implies : This direction is obvious by the inequality

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| - |f| \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} ||f_k| - |f|| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f|.$$

 $\Leftarrow=: \text{Let } g_k=|f_k|+|f| \text{ and } g=2|f|. \text{ Since } f_k, f\in L(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } f_k\to f \text{ a.e., then } g_k, g\in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g_k\to g$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n . By the assumption, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}g_k\to \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}g$. Let $\tilde{f}_k=|f_k-f|.$ Then $\tilde{f}_k\to 0$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^n and $\tilde{f}_k\le g_k$. Applying part (a) to \tilde{f}_k we have

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}_k = \lim_{k\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k - f| = 0.$$

3.2 MA 544 - Midterm 2

Problem 3.8. Assume that $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Show that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a ball B, centered at the origin, such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B} |f| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Recall that $f \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if and only if $|f| \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $B_k = B(\mathbf{0}, k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and χ_{B_k} be the indicator function associated with B_k . Then, the sequence of maps $\{|f_k|\}$ defined $f_k = f\chi_{B_k}$ converge pointwise to $|f_k|$. Since $|f| \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by the monotone convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| = \int_{B_k} |f| \longrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|. \tag{37}$$

But this means, exactly, that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists sufficiently large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\varepsilon > \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| \right|$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_k| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$$

$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$$

$$= -\int_{B_k} |f| + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B_k} |f|$$
(38)

as desired.

Problem 3.9. Let $f \in L(E)$, and let $\{E_j\}$ be a countable collection of pairwise disjoint measurable subsets of E, such that $E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$. Prove that

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_j} f.$$

Proof. First, since the E_j 's are pairwise disjoint, by Theorem 3.23, we have

$$|E| = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |E_j|. \tag{39}$$

Let χ_{E_j} be the characteristic function of the subset E_j of E and define $f_j = f\chi_{E_j}$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that, since both f and χ_{E_j} are measurable on E, f_j is measurable on E and $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} f_j = f$. Moreover, since $E_j \subset E$, by monotonicity of the integral we have

$$\int_{E} f = \int_{E_j} f + \int_{E \setminus E_j} f = \int_{E} f_j + \int_{E \setminus E_j} f. \tag{40}$$

Hence, because the E_j 's are disjoint $(E \setminus E_k) \setminus E_\ell = (E \setminus E_\ell) \setminus E_k$ so

$$\int_{E} f = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E} f_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{j}} f$$
(41)

as desired.

Problem 3.10. Let $\{f_k\}$ be a family in L(E) satisfying the following property: For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exits $\delta > 0$ such that $|A| < \delta$ implies

$$\int_{A} |f_k| < \varepsilon$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $|E| < \infty$, and $f_k(x) \to f(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for a.e. $x \in E$. Show that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_E f_k = \int_E f.$$

(Hint: Use Egorov's theorem.)

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Then, by the hypothesis, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that such that $|A| < \delta$ implies

$$\int_{A} |f_k| < \varepsilon \tag{42}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Egorov's theorem, there exists a closed subset F of E such that $|E \setminus F| < \delta$ and $f_k \to f$ uniformly on F. Then, by the uniform convergence theorem,

$$\int_{F} f_k \longrightarrow \int_{F} f \tag{43}$$

as $k \to \infty$. But by hypothesis, we have

$$\int_{E > E} |f_k| < \varepsilon. \tag{44}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \to 0$, we achieved the desired convergence.

Problem 3.11. Let $I = [0,1], f \in L(I),$ and define $g(x) = \int_x^1 t^{-1} f(t) dt$ for $x \in I$. Prove that $g \in L(I)$ and

$$\int_{I} g = \int_{I} f.$$

Proof. By Lusin's theorem, there exists a closed subset F of I with $|I \setminus F| < \varepsilon$ such that the restriction of f to $F = I \setminus E$ is continuous. Now, since F is closed in I and I is compact, it follows that I is compact. Hence, by the Stone–Weierstraß approximation theorem, there exist a sequence of polynomials $\{p_k\}$ such that $p_k \to f$ uniformly on F. Then, by the uniform convergence theorem, we have

$$\int_{F} p_k \longrightarrow \int_{F} f \tag{45}$$

so

$$\int_{F} \left[\int_{x}^{1} t^{-1} p_{k}(t) dt \right] dx = \int_{F} \left[\int_{x}^{1} a t^{-1} + q_{k}(t) dt \right] dx$$

$$= \int_{F} q'_{k}(x) - a \log(x) dx$$

$$< \infty \tag{46}$$

for all k and converges uniformly to g so $g \in L(I)$. I don't know how to show that in fact $\int_I g = \int_I f$. Perhaps you show that the places where they differ is a set of measure zero.

4 544 Final Practice

Bibliography

[1] R. Wheeden, R.L. Wheeden, and A. Zygmund. *Measure and Integral: An Introduction to Real Analysis*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Pure and Applied Mathematics. Taylor & Francis, 1977. ISBN 9780824764999. URL https://books.google.com/books?id=YDkDmQ_hdmcC.