

MATH 8510, Abstract Algebra I

Fall 2016

Exercises 10-1

Name: Shuai Wei

Collabrators: Xiaoyuan Liu, Daozhou Zhu

Exercise 1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity, and let $f = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i X^i \in R[X]$.

- (a) Prove that f is nilpotent in $R[X]$ if and only if all of its coefficients r_0, \dots, r_n are nilpotent, that is, $f \in N(R[X])$ if and only if $r_0, \dots, r_n \in N(R)$.

Proof. Since R is CRW1, $R[x]$ is CRW1.

Then by the conclusion from Exercise 10-2#2(a), $N(R[x]) \leq R[x]$.

" \Leftarrow ". Assume $r_i \in N(R)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Since $R \subset R[x]$, we have $N(R) \subset N(R[x])$.

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, since $r_i \in N(R) \subseteq N(R[x])$, and $x^i \in R[x]$,

by the definition of the ideal, we have

$$r_i x^i \in N(R[x]).$$

Since $N(R[x])$ is closed under addition,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x^i \in N(R[x]).$$

" \Rightarrow ". We will show it by induction.

Let $f = a_R \in R \subset R[x]$.

If $f \in N(R[x])$, it is obvious that the coefficient $a \in N(R)$.

Assume for any $f = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i \in N(R[x])$, where $r_i \in R$ and $r_n \neq 0_R$, then $r_i \in N(R)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Let $g = \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} s_i x^i \in N(R[x])$, where $s_i \in R$ and $s_{n+1} \neq 0_R$.

Then $\exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^m = 0$.

So

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= g^m \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} s_i x^i \right)^m \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^n s_i x^i + s_{n+1} x^{n+1} \right)^m \\ &= s_{n+1}^m x^{mn+m} + \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n s_i x^i \right)^j (s_{n+1} x^{n+1})^{m-j}. \end{aligned}$$

The degree of $\sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \left(\sum_{i=0}^n s_i x^i \right)^j (s_{n+1} x^{n+1})^{m-j}$ is $mn + m - 1$, which is less than the degree of $s_{n+1} x^{mn+m}$.

So

$$s_{n+1}^m = 0.$$

Thus,

$$s_{n+1} \in N(R).$$

Then

$$0 = g^m = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n s_i x^i \right)^m \in N(R[x]).$$

So by the assumption, we have for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

$$s_i \in N(R).$$

Therefore, when $g = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} s_i x^i \in N(R[x])$, $s_i \in N(R)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$.

As a result, our assumption also holds when $g \in R[x]$ and $\deg(g) = n+1$.

Thus, for any $f = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x^i \in N(R[x])$, we have $r_i \in N(R)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. \square

- (b) Prove that f is a unit in $R[X]$ if and only if r_0 is a unit in R and r_1, \dots, r_n are nilpotent in R .

Proof. " \Rightarrow ". Assume r_0 is a unit in R and $r_1, \dots, r_n \in N(R)$.

Since r_1, \dots, r_n are nilpotent, by the conclusion from Exercise 11-1#1(a),

$$\sum_{i=1}^n r_i x^i \in N(R[x]).$$

Since $r_0 \in R^\times \subset (R[x])^\times$ by what we have shown in class, using the conclusion from Exercise 11-1#1(a), we have

$$r_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n r_i x^i \in (R[x])^\times.$$

Since R is CRW1, there exists multiplicative identity 1_R , then $x^0 = 1_R$ for any $x \in R$.

So

$$r_0 = r_0 x^0.$$

By the associative law of multiplication of $R[x]$, we have

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i \in (R[x])^\times.$$

" \Leftarrow ". Assume $f \in (R[x])^\times$.

We will show it by induction.

Let $g = \sum_{j=0}^p s_j X^j$ be the non-zero multiplicative inverse for f .

We claim for $0 \leq q \leq p-1$, we have $r_n^{q+1} s_{p-q} = 0$.

Since $fg = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^p s_j x^j \right) = 1$,

$$r_n s_p = 0.$$

Then we have shown the basic case for $q = 1$ holds.

Inductive step: Consider the case $p-1 \geq k \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume for $0 \leq q \leq k$, we have $r_n^{q+1} s_{p-q} = 0$, where $p-2 \geq k \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since $fg = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^p s_j x^j \right) = 1$, the coefficient of x^{n+k-q} satisfies

$$r_{n-q-1} s_{k+1} + r_{n-q} s_k + \dots + r_{n-1} s_{k+1-q} + r_n s_{k-q} = 0.$$

Since R is CRW1, its multiplication is commutative.

Multiply by r_n^{q+1} on both sides of the above equation,

$$r_{n-q-1} r_n^{q+1} s_{k+1} + r_{n-q} r_n^{q+1} s_k + \dots + r_{n-1} r_n^{q+1} s_{k+1-q} + r_n^{q+2} s_{k-q} = 0.$$

Namely,

$$(r_{n-q-1}r_n^q)(r_ns_{k+1}) + (r_{n-q}r_n^{q-1})(r_n^2s_k) + \cdots + (r_{n-1}r_n^0)(r_n^{q+1}s_{k+1-q}) + r_n^{q+2}s_{k-q} = 0.$$

By assumption, for $0 \leq q \leq k-1$, we have $r_n^{q+1}s_{k+1-q} = 0$.

Then

$$(r_{n-q-1}r_n^q)0 + (r_{n-q}r_n^{q-1})0 + \cdots + (r_{n-1}r_n^0)0 + r_n^{q+2}s_{k-q} = 0.$$

So

$$r_n^{q+2}s_{k-q} = 0.$$

Thus, for $0 \leq q \leq k$, we have $r_n^{q+1}s_{k+1-q} = 0$.

Therefore, for $0 \leq q \leq p-1$, we have $r_n^{q+1}s_{p-q} = 0$.

Assume $r_n^k \neq 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, p$, then $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_p = 0$.

Then

$$fg = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i \right) s_0 = \sum_{i=0}^n s_0 r_i x^i = 1.$$

Since $g = s_0 \neq 0$, we have $r_0 = 0$, which is a contradiction since $r_0 \in R$ is arbitrary.

So $\exists 0 \leq q \leq p-1$ such that $r_n^{q+1} = 0$.

Thus,

$$r_n \in N(R).$$

Then by the conclusion from Exercise 11-1#1(a), $r_n x^n \in N(R[x])$.

$N(R[x])$ is a subring of $R[x]$, so $N(R[x])$ is closed under taking inverses.

So

$$-r_n x^n \in N(R[x]).$$

Since $\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i \in (R[x])^\times$, by the conclusion from Exercise 10-2#2(c),

$$\sum_{i=0}^n r_i x^i + (-r_n x^n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} r_i x^i \in (R[x])^\times.$$

We will show the $r_i \in N(R)$ by induction for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Assume $\sum_{i=0}^k r_i x^i \in (R[x])^\times$, where $1 \leq k \leq n$.

We have just shown the basic case of $r_n \in N(R)$.

Then when $k < n$, repeat the totally same process we find $r_k \in N(R)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} r_i x^i \in (R[x])^\times$.

So our assumption also holds for the $k-1$ case.

Thus, $r_i \in N(R)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

□