

# FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS

This course in functional analysis was lectured by András Zsák at the University of Cambridge during Michaelmas term 2017, and these notes have been produced by Piet Lammers. Please feel free to use these notes as you see fit, but do so at your own risk.

## LECTURE 1, 6 OCTOBER 2017.

We recall the definitions of a *vector space* and of a *(linear) functional* and of a *norm* on a vector space. A *normed space* is a vector space equipped with a norm. A *Banach space* is a complete normed space over either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ . The letter  $X$  will usually denote a normed space, and we write  $B_X$  and  $S_X$  for the unit ball and unit sphere of  $X$  respectively. Let  $X$  be a normed space over some field  $k \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow k$  be a linear functional. We call  $f$  bounded if

$$\sup_{x \in B_X} |f(x)| < \infty. \quad (1)$$

Note that  $f$  is bounded if and only if it is continuous. The collection of all bounded linear maps  $f$  is called the *dual space* of  $X$ , and we write

$$X^* := \{f : X \rightarrow k : f \text{ is linear and continuous}\}.$$

The left hand side of (1) norms this vector space, and is straightforward to check that this space is a Banach space.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two normed spaces over  $k \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}\}$ . Write  $X \sim Y$  if there exists a vector space isomorphism that is continuous and with a continuous inverse. Write  $X \cong Y$  if they are isometrically isomorphic, meaning that the isomorphism preserves the norm. Finally write  $\langle x, f \rangle$  for  $f(x)$ , so that  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a bilinear map from  $X \times X^*$  to  $k$ . It is straightforward to check that  $|\langle x, f \rangle| \leq \|x\|_X \|f\|_{X^*}$ .

Now we state the Hahn-Banach theorem. Let  $X$  be a real vector space. A functional  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *positive homogeneous* if  $p(tx) = tp(x)$  for any  $t \geq 0, x \in X$ , and *subadditive* if  $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for any  $x, y \in X$ . The functional  $p$  is called *sublinear* if it satisfies both these conditions. Norms, seminorms and linear functionals are examples of sublinear functionals.

**Theorem 1.1** (Hahn-Banach). *Let  $X$  be a real vector space and  $p$  a sublinear functional. Let  $Y$  be a subspace of  $X$  and  $g$  a linear functional of  $Y$  with  $g \leq p$  on  $Y$ . Then  $g$  extends to a linear functional  $f$  of  $X$  with  $f \leq p$ .*

For the proof, we recall Zorn's lemma (which is equivalent to the axiom of choice). Let  $(P, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. A subset  $C \subset P$  is called a *chain* if  $\leq$  totally orders  $C$ . An element  $x \in P$  is called *maximal* if no element in  $P$  is strictly larger than  $x$  (i.e.,  $x \leq y$  implies  $y = x$ ).

**Theorem 1.2** (Zorn's lemma). *If  $P$  is a nonempty partially ordered set such that every chain has an upper bound, then  $P$  contains a maximal element.*

*Proof of the Hahn-Banach theorem.* Let  $P$  be the set of pairs  $(h, Z)$  such that  $Y \subset Z \subset X$  and such that  $h$  is a linear functional on  $Z$  extending  $g$  to  $Z$  satisfying  $h \leq p$  on  $Z$ . The set  $P$  is partially ordered by extension, and nonempty as it contains the element  $(g, Y)$ . For a chain  $\{(h_i, Z_i)\}_{i \in I}$  it is easy to construct an upper bound, one takes  $Z = \cup_{i \in I} Z_i$  and for  $h$  one takes  $h(x) = h_i(x)$ , where  $i$  is such that  $x \in Z_i$  ( $h$  is clearly independent of this choice). By construction,  $h$  is linear and satisfies  $h \leq p$  on  $Z$ . Thus, by Zorn's lemma,  $P$  contains a maximal element, say  $(h, Z)$ . To demonstrate that  $Z = X$  we argue by contradiction. Assume that there exists an element  $x \in X \setminus Z$ , and define  $Z_x := Z + \mathbb{R}x$ . Define

$$h_\alpha : Z + \mathbb{R}x \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, z + \lambda x \mapsto h(z) + \lambda \alpha.$$

To finish the proof it suffices to demonstrate that there exists an  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $h_\alpha \leq p$  on  $Z_x$ . Thus we need to find  $\alpha$  such that for all  $\lambda \neq 0$  and for any  $z$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} h_\alpha(z + \lambda x) &\leq p(z + \lambda x) \\ h(z) + \lambda \alpha &\leq p(z + \lambda x) \\ \lambda \alpha &\leq p(z + \lambda x) - h(z) \\ \lambda \alpha &\leq p(\lambda z' + \lambda x) - h(\lambda z'), \end{aligned}$$

writing  $z' = z/\lambda$  to obtain the last inequality. Using positive homogeneity of  $p$ , we only need to look at  $\lambda = \pm 1$ . So, to prove existence of  $\alpha$ , it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{z \in Z} h(z) - p(z - x) \leq \inf_{z \in Z} p(z + x) - h(z).$$

But

$$h(z_1) - p(z_1 - x) \leq p(z_2 + x) - h(z_2)$$

since

$$h(z_1) + h(z_2) = h(z_1 + z_2) \leq p(z_1 + z_2) \leq p(z_1 - x) + p(z_2 + x).$$

This finishes the proof of the Hahn-Banach theorem. □