

# Archimedean Property

August 14, 2016

**DEFINITION.** An ordered field  $F$  has the Archimedean Property if, given any positive  $x$  and  $y$  in  $F$  there is an integer  $n > 0$  so that  $nx > y$ .

**Theorem 0.1.** *The set of real numbers (an ordered field with the Least Upper Bound property) has the Archimedean Property.*

**Lemma 0.2.** *The set  $N$  of positive integers  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  is not bounded from above.*

*Assume  $N$  is bounded from above. Since  $N \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{R}$  has the least upper bound property, then  $N$  has a least upper bound  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus  $n \leq a$  for all  $n \in N$  and  $a$  is the smallest such real number.*

*Consequently  $a - 1$  is not an upper bound for  $N$  (if it were, since  $a - 1 < a$ , then  $a$  would not be the least upper bound). Therefore there is some integer  $k$  with  $a - 1 < k$ . But then  $a < k + 1$ . This contradicts that  $a$  is an upper bound for  $N$ .*

Proof: Since  $x > 0$ , the statement that there is an integer  $n$  so that  $nx > y$  is equivalent to finding an  $n$  with  $n > y/x$  for some  $n$ . But if there is no such  $n$  then  $n \leq y/x$  for all integers  $n$ . That is,  $y/x$  would be an upper bound for the integers. This contradicts the Lemma.  $\square$

Think example that will have an ordered field that does not have the Archimedean property.

## Summary

If  $a$  and  $b$  are positive integers, then there exists a positive number  $n$ , such that

$$na \geq b$$

Example: Let  $a = 7$  and  $b = 100$ , then we can choose  $n = 100$  so that  $100(7) > 100$ .