
## ISMB 2007 Conference Evaluation Form





Professor/Faculty: 94 (14%)
PostDoc: 104 (15%)

Other: 8 (1%)
NP: 13 (2%)

Industry: 87 (13%)

Government: 16 (2%)

Academic: 90 (13%)

#### Are you an ISCB member?



## How many ISMB conferences had you been to including this one?

5+: 70 (10%) 4: 46 (7%)

3: 70 (10%)

2: 155 (22%)

1: 356 (51%)

# How many ECCB conferences have you attended including this one?

5+: 7 (1%)

4: 13 (2%)

3: 56 (8%)

2: 156 (22%)

1: 462 (67%)

#### How many RECOMB conferences have you attended?

5+: 7 (4%)

4: 7 (4%)

3: 10 (6%)

2: 33 (20%)

1: 105 (65%)

### How many PSB conferences have you attended?

5+: 3 (4%)

4: 2 (3%)

3: 5 (7%)

2: 19 (25%)

1: 46 (61%)

## Where are you currently working/studying?

Where are you currently working/studying?	
United States:	145 (21%)
Germany:	119 (17%)
United Kingdom:	84 (12%)
Netherlands:	33 (5%)
Belgium:	24 (3%)
France:	23 (3%)
Israel:	23 (3%)
Canada:	18 (3%)
Austria:	17 (2%)
Switzerland:	17 (2%)
Denmark:	15 (2%)
Italy:	13 (2%)
Spain:	13 (2%)
Finland:	12 (2%)
Japan:	11 (2%)
Brazil:	11 (2%)
Ireland:	10 (1%)
Sweden:	10 (1%)
Estonia:	8 (1%)
India:	8 (1%)
Turkey:	8 (1%)
Norway:	8 (1%)
South Korea:	7 (1%)
South Africa:	7 (1%)
Taiwan:	6 (1%)
Australia:	6 (1%)
Malaysia:	4 (1%)
Czech Republic:	4 (1%)
China:	4 (1%)
Hungary:	4 (1%)
Portugal:	3 (0%)
Poland:	3 (0%)
Iran:	2 (0%)
Slovenia:	2 (0%)
Croatia:	2 (0%)
Lithuania:	2 (0%)
Singapore:	2 (0%)
Hong Kong:	1 (0%)
Russia:	1 (0%)
Nigeria:	1 (0%)
Mexico:	1 (0%)
Cyprus:	1 (0%)
Greece:	1 (0%)

# How did you rate the amount of scientific content at this year's conference?

Too Much: 108 (16%)

Too Little: 18 (3%)

About Right: 569 (82%)

Please rate the quality ISMB 2007 for the following (1 = poor, 2 = acceptable, 3 = good, 4 = outstanding). (If you select 1, please explain in the other comments box at the end of the form)

# Scientific program overall

- 4: 184 (27%) 3: 419 (61%) 2: 73 (11%)
- 1: 11 (2%)

#### **Demonstrations**

- 4: 51 (12%) 3: 252 (61%) 2: 104 (25%)
- 1: 7 (2%)

## Highlights Track

- 4: 194 (30%) 3: 371 (58%) 2: 67 (10%)
- 1: 12 (2%)

# **Industry Track**

- 4: 24 (8%) 3: 150 (50%) 2: 108 (36%)
- 1: 16 (5%)

## **Keynote Speakers**

- 4: 337 (49%) 3: 260 (38%)
- 2: 69 (10%)
- 1: 19 (3%)

### **Papers**

- 4: 107 (16%)
- 3: 431 (64%)
- 2: 122 (18%)
- 1: 15 (2%)

## **PLoS Track**

- 4: 136 (23%)
- 3: 371 (63%)
- 2: 77 (13%)
- 1: 9 (2%)

#### **Posters**

- 4: 112 (17%)
- 3: 326 (49%)
- 2: 184 (28%)
- 1: 45 (7%)

## **Special Sessions**

- 4: 102 (22%)
- 3: 277 (61%)
- 2: 62 (14%)
- 1: 13 (3%)

#### **Exhibits**

- 4: 57 (11%)
- 3: 299 (60%)
- 2: 130 (26%)
- 1: 14 (3%)

#### Birds of a Feather

- 4: 39 (18%)
- 3: 110 (51%)
- 2: 50 (23%)
- 1: 15 (7%)

### **Proceedings and Program on CD**

- 4: 148 (25%)
- 3: 266 (45%)
- 2: 111 (19%)
- 1: 60 (10%)


# **Proceedings Online**



2: 111 (20%)

1: 33 (6%)


### Printed program book




#### **Wireless Internet Access**




## Opening Reception at City Hall




## Heuriger Off-site event




### **Overall Organization**



## Timeliness of the material presented



### Value of the conference to your job performance




Thinking of the ratio of the scientific program, do you think the quality of the conference would benefit from more, less or the same amount of content in each of the following:


### **Amount of Demonstrations:**




## Amount of Highlights:




### Amount of Industry:



# Amount of Keynote Speakers:



## **Amount of Paper Presentations:**




#### Amount of PLoS:




67 (10%) More: 105 (15%) Less: 105 (15%)

### **Amount of Special Sessions:**



#### Rate the conference value in terms of money:



### Will you attend future ISMB conferences?




## Will you attend future ECCB conferences?



64 (9%)

### Are you planning to attend ISMB 2008 in Toronto, Canada (July 19-23, 2008)?




### Are you planning to attend ECCB 2008 in Sardinia, Italy (September 22 - 26, 2008)




In order of priority, please rank the following in order of importance when deciding to attend a conference (1 being most important, 3 being least important)

## Importance of Scientific Content:




### Importance of the selected city/destination



### Importance of registration cost




### Importance of local destination expense




Please rank the following in order of your personal preference on where you would like the next ISMB/ECCB conference to be held (1 = first choice, 2 = 2nd choice, etc.)


# **Desirability of Amsterdam**




### **Desirability of Barcelona**




#### Desirability of Berlin



## **Desirability of Munich**



## Desirability of Stockholm



## Would you return to Vienna for an ISMB/ECCB within the next 2 to 6 years?

Yes: 538 (78%) No: 152 (22%)