

# CPSC 418 / MATH 318 — Introduction to Cryptography

## ASSIGNMENT 3

---

**Name:** Artem Golovin

**Student ID:** 30018900

---

**Problem 1** — A modified man-in-the-middle attack on Diffie-Hellman, 12 marks

(a) Let  $y_a \equiv (g^a)^q \pmod{p}$ ,  $y_b \equiv (g^b)^q \pmod{p}$  and key  $K$ :

- i. *Alice* receives malicious  $y_a$  and sends it to *Bob*.
- ii. *Bob* receives malicious  $y_b$  and sends it to *Alice*.
- iii. *Alice* computes  $K \equiv y_b^a \equiv ((g^b)^q)^a \pmod{p}$
- iv. *Bob* computes  $K \equiv y_a^b \equiv ((g^a)^q)^b \pmod{p}$
- v. *Alice* and *Bob* get the same key  $K$ , because:

$$y_b^a \equiv ((g^b)^q)^a \equiv g^{bqa} \equiv g^{aqb} \equiv ((g^a)^q)^b \equiv y_a^b \pmod{p}$$

(b) ???

(c) In this version, *Mallory* does not have to pick a number  $e$ , where  $1 < e < p$ . Therefore, by knowing values  $g^a \pmod{p}$  and  $g^b \pmod{p}$ , *Mallory* is more likely to compute  $g^{abq} \pmod{p}$ , which is a private key used by *Alice* and *Bob*.

**Problem 2** — RSA and binary exponentiation, 24 marks

- (a) i. Given  $M = 17$ , public key  $(e, n) = (11, 77)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}C &\equiv M^e \pmod{n} \\C &\equiv 17^{11} \pmod{77}\end{aligned}$$

Binary exponentiation:

$$\begin{aligned}e &= 11 = 1011_2 \\b_0 &= 1, b_1 = 0, b_2 = 1, b_3 = 1 \\r_0 &\equiv 17 \pmod{77} \\r_1 &\equiv 17^2 \equiv 58 \pmod{77} \\r_2 &\equiv 58^2 \times 17 \equiv 54 \pmod{77} \\r_3 &\equiv 54^2 \times 17 \pmod{77} \equiv 61 \pmod{77}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$C \equiv 17^{11} \equiv 61 \pmod{77}$$

- ii. Given that  $n = pq$  and  $\phi(n) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$  and  $n = 77$ , we can say that  $p = 11$ ,  $q = 7$ .

To find  $d$ , we need to solve the following congruence:

$$\begin{aligned}de &\equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)} \\ \text{Where } \phi(n) &= (11 - 1)(7 - 1) = 60 \\ d \times 11 &\equiv 1 \pmod{60}\end{aligned}$$

Solving  $\gcd(e, \phi(n)) = \gcd(11, 60) = 1$  to confirm that inverse of  $e$  exists:

$$\begin{aligned}60 &= 11 \times 5 + 5 \\ 11 &= 10 \times 1 + 1 \\ 10 &= 2 \times 5 + 0\end{aligned}$$

Applying Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find  $d$ :

$$\begin{aligned}1 &= 11 - 10 = 11 - ((2 \times 5) + 0) = 11 - (2 \times 5) - 0 \\ &= 11 - 2 \times (60 - 11 \times 5) = 11 - 2 \times 60 + 10 \times 11 \\ &= 11 \times 11 + (-2) \times 60\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\gcd(60, 11) = 11 \times 11 + (-2) \times 60$  and  $d = 11$ :

$$11 \times 11 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$$

iii. Given  $C = 21$  and  $(d, n) = (11, 77)$ :

$$M \equiv C^d \pmod{n}$$

$$M \equiv 32^{11} \pmod{77}$$

Binary exponentiation:

$$11 = 1011_2$$

$$b_0 = 1, b_1 = 0, b_2 = 1, b_3 = 1$$

$$r_0 \equiv 32 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_1 \equiv 32^2 \equiv 23 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_2 \equiv 23^2 \times 32 \equiv 16928 \equiv 65 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_3 \equiv 65^2 \times 32 \equiv 135200 \equiv 65 \pmod{77}$$

Therefore,  $M = 65$

(b) i. Given  $s_0 = b_0$ ,  $s_{i+1} = 2s_i + b_{i+1}$  for  $0 \leq i \leq k-1$

*Proof.* Base case. Let  $i = 0$

$$s_i = \sum_{j=0}^i b_j 2^{i-j}$$

$$s_0 = \sum_{j=0}^0 b_j 2^{0-0}$$

$$= b_0$$

Induction hypothesis. Assume  $i = m$ , where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \leq m \leq k$ :

$$s_m = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j 2^{m-j}$$

$$= b_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} b_j 2^{(m+1)-j}$$

Inductive case. Assume  $i = m+1$ :

$$s_{m+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} b_j 2^{(m+1)-j}$$

Left hand side:

$$s_{m+1} = 2s_m + b_{m+1}$$

Right hand side:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m+1} b_j 2^{(m+1)-j} = 2 \times \sum_{j=0}^m b_j 2^{(m-j)} + b_{m+1}$$

$$= 2s_m + b_{m+1}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } s_i = \sum_{j=0}^i b_j 2^{i-j}$$

□

ii. Let  $r_i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $k = \lfloor \log_2 n \rfloor$ .

*Proof.* Base case. Let  $i = 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned} r_i &\equiv a^{s_i} \pmod{m} \\ r_0 &\equiv a^{s_0} \pmod{m} \\ &\equiv a^{b_0} \equiv a \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

Where  $s_0 = b_0$  is shown in part (i)

Induction hypothesis. Let  $p = i$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $0 \leq p \leq k$ ,

$$r_p \equiv a^{s_p} \pmod{m}$$

Inductive case. Show  $r_{p+1} \equiv a^{s_{p+1}} \pmod{m}$ .

**Case**  $b_{i+1} = 0$

$$r_{p+1} \equiv r_p^2 \pmod{m}$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} a^{s_{p+1}} &\equiv a^{2s_p + b_{p+1}} \\ &\equiv a^{2s_p} \\ &\equiv a^{s_p} \times a^{s_p} \\ &\equiv r_p \times r_p \\ &\equiv r_p^2 \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

**Case**  $b_{i+1} = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} a^{s_{p+1}} &\equiv a^{2s_p + b_{p+1}} \\ &\equiv a^{s_p} \times a^{s_p} \times a^{b_{p+1}} \\ &\equiv r_p^2 \times a^{b_{p+1}} \\ &\equiv r_p^2 \times a \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $r_{p+1} \equiv a^{s_{p+1}} \pmod{m}$  and  $r_i \equiv a^{s_i} \pmod{m}$  □

iii. *Proof.* Given proof of (ii), we can say that  $a^n \equiv r_k \pmod{m}$ , where  $n = s_k$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} a^{s_k} &\equiv a^{2s_{k-1} + b_k} \\ &\equiv (a^{s_{k-1}})^2 \times a^{b_k} \\ &\equiv (r_{k-1})^2 \times a^{b_k} \\ &\equiv r_k \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Problem 3** — Fast RSA decryption using Chinese remaindering, 8 marks

(a) ok

**Problem 4** — The ElGamal public key cryptosystem is not semantically secure, 10 marks

*Proof.* By definition, a PKC is polynomially secure if no passive attacker can in expected polynomial time select two plaintexts  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  and then correctly distinguish between  $E(M_1)$  and  $E(M_2)$ , where  $E(M_1)$  and  $E(M_2)$  are encryptions of  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively with probability  $p > \frac{1}{2}$ .

However, it is given that *Mallory* can assert whether  $C = E(M_1)$  or  $C = E(M_2)$  in polynomial time using modular exponentiation by Euler's Criterion with probability  $p' = 1$ ,  $p' > p$ . It contradicts the definition of polynomially secure PKC, and therefore shows that ElGamal is not semantically secure.

To further prove that statement, using the fact that  $y \equiv g^x \pmod{p}$  and  $g$  is a primitive root of  $p$ , we can show that:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\frac{y}{p}\right) &\equiv (y)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \equiv (g^x)^{\frac{p-1}{2}} \\ &\equiv (g^{x(p-1)})^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\equiv ((g^{p-1})^x)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod{p}\end{aligned}$$

We can see that *Mallory* only needs to compute  $\left(\frac{y}{p}\right)$  and  $\left(\frac{C_2}{p}\right)$  to find  $E(M_1)$  and  $E(M_2)$ .  $\square$

**Problem 5** — An IND-CPA, but not IND-CCA secure version of RSA, 10 marks

*Proof.* Given encryption of message  $M$ ,  $C = (s||t)$ , where  $s \equiv r^e \pmod{n}$ ,  $t = H(r) \oplus M$  and  $H : \{0, 1\}^k \mapsto \{0, 1\}^m$ , and decryption of  $C$ ,  $M \equiv H(s^d \pmod{n}) \oplus t$ , we can consider two plaintexts  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  with following encryption process:  $C = (s||t) = (r^e \pmod{n}) || H(r) \oplus M_i$ , where  $i = 1$  or  $2$ .

We can mount CCA using  $C' = (s||t \oplus M_1)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} C' &= (s||t \oplus M_1) \\ &= (r^e \pmod{n}) || H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1 \end{aligned}$$

Decryption of  $M_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} M_i &\equiv H(s^d \pmod{n}) \oplus t \\ &\equiv H(r^{ed} \pmod{n}) \oplus H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1 \\ &\equiv H(r \pmod{n}) \oplus H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1 \\ &= M_i \oplus M_1 \end{aligned}$$

Where  $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$

Therefore,  $M_i = 0$ ,  $C$  is an encryption of  $M_1$ , because  $M_1 \oplus M_1 = 0$ , otherwise  $M_i = M_2$   $\square$