CPSC 418 / MATH 318 — Introduction to Cryptography ASSIGNMENT 3

Name: Artem Golovin Student ID: 30018900

Problem 1 — A modified man-in-the-middle attack on Diffie-Hellman, 12 marks

- (a) Let $y_a \equiv (g^a)^q \pmod{p}$, $y_b = (g^b)^q \pmod{p}$ and key K:
 - i. Alice receives malicious y_a and sends it to Bob.
 - ii. Bob receives malicious y_b and sends it to Alice.
 - iii. Alice computes $K \equiv y_b^a \equiv ((g^b)^q)^a \equiv g^{abq} \pmod{p}$
 - iv. Bob computes $K \equiv y_a^b \equiv ((g^a)^q)^b \equiv g^{abq} \pmod{p}$
 - v. Alice and Bob get the same key K, because:

$$y_b^a \equiv ((g^b)^q)^a \equiv g^{bqa} \equiv g^{aqb} \equiv ((g^a)^q)^b \equiv y_b^a \pmod{p}$$

- (b) ???
- (c) In this version, Mallory sends g^{aq} or g^{bq} , and since Mallory knows g^a (mod p) and g^b (mod p), she is able to compute g^{abq} (mod p) which is the same key that Alice and Bob use. In the example, discussed in class Eve has no knowledge of shared key that Alice and Bob use and therefore Eve would need to handle decryption and encryption separatly.

Problem 2 — RSA and binary exponentiation, 24 marks

(a) i. Given M = 17, public key (e, n) = (11, 77):

$$C \equiv M^e \pmod{n}$$
$$C \equiv 17^{11} \pmod{77}$$

Binary exponentiation:

$$e = 11 = 1011_{2}$$

$$b_{0} = 1, b_{1} = 0, b_{2} = 1, b_{3} = 1$$

$$r_{0} \equiv 17 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_{1} \equiv 17^{2} \equiv 58 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_{2} \equiv 58^{2} \times 17 \equiv 54 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_{3} \equiv 54^{2} \times 17 \pmod{77} \equiv 61 \pmod{77}$$

Therefore:

$$C \equiv 17^{11} \equiv 61 \pmod{77}$$

ii. Given that n = pq and $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ and n = 77, we can say that p = 11, q = 7.

To find d, we need to solve the following congruence:

$$de \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$$
Where $\phi(n) = (11 - 1)(7 - 1) = 60$

$$d \times 11 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$$

Solving $gcd(e, \phi(n)) = gcd(11, 60) = 1$ to confirm that inverse of e exists:

$$60 = 11 \times 5 + 5$$
$$11 = 10 \times 1 + 1$$
$$10 = 2 \times 5 + 0$$

Applying Extended Euclidean Algorithm to find d:

$$1 = 11 - 10 = 11 - ((2 \times 5) + 0) = 11 - (2 \times 5) - 0$$
$$= 11 - 2 \times (60 - 11 \times 5) = 11 - 2 \times 60 + 10 \times 11$$
$$= 11 \times 11 + (-2) \times 60$$

Therefore $gcd(60, 11) = 11 \times 11 + (-2) \times 60$ and d = 11:

$$11 \times 11 \equiv 1 \pmod{60}$$

iii. Given
$$C = 21$$
 and $(d, n) = (11, 77)$:

$$M \equiv C^d \pmod{n}$$
$$M \equiv 32^{11} \pmod{77}$$

Binary exponentiation:

$$11 = 1011_{2}$$

$$b_{0} = 1, b_{1} = 0, b_{2} = 1, b_{3} = 1$$

$$r_{0} \equiv 32 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_{1} \equiv 32^{2} \equiv 23 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_{2} \equiv 23^{2} \times 32 \equiv 16928 \equiv 65 \pmod{77}$$

$$r_{3} \equiv 65^{2} \times 32 \equiv 135200 \equiv 65 \pmod{77}$$

Therefore, M = 65

(b) i. Given
$$s_0 = b_0$$
, $s_{i+1} = 2s_i + b_{i+1}$ for $0 \le i \le k-1$

Proof. Base case. Let i = 0

$$s_{i} = \sum_{j=0}^{i} b_{j} 2^{i-j}$$

$$s_{0} = \sum_{j=0}^{0} b_{j} 2^{0-0}$$

$$= b_{0}$$

Induction hypothesis. Assume i = m, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le m \le k$:

$$s_m = \sum_{j=0}^m b_j 2^{m-j}$$
$$= b_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} b_j 2^{(m+1)-j}$$

Inductive case. Assume i = m + 1:

$$s_{m+1} = \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} b_j 2^{(m+1)-j}$$

Left hand side:

$$s_{m+1} = 2s_m + b_{m+1}$$

Right hand side:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{m+1} b_j 2^{(m+1)-j} = 2 \times \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j 2^{(m-j)} + b_{m+1}$$
$$= 2s_m + b_{m+1}$$

Therefore,
$$s_i = \sum_{j=0}^{i} b_j 2^{i-j}$$

ii. Let r_i , $0 \le i \le k$, $k = \lfloor log_2 n \rfloor$.

Proof. Base case. Let i = 0:

$$r_i \equiv a^{s_i} \pmod{m}$$

 $r_0 \equiv a^{s_0} \pmod{m}$
 $\equiv a^{b_0} \equiv a \pmod{m}$

Where $s_0 = b_0$ is shown in part (i) Induction hypothesis. Let $p = i, p \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le p \le k$,

$$r_p \equiv a^{s_p} \pmod{m}$$

Inductive case. Show $r_{p+1} \equiv a^{s_{p+1}} \pmod{m}$.

Case
$$b_{i+1} = 0$$

 $r_{p+1} \equiv r_p^2 \pmod{m}$
Therefore:

$$a^{s_p+1} \equiv a^{2s_p+b_{p+1}}$$

$$\equiv a^{2s_p}$$

$$\equiv a^{s_p} \times a^{s_p}$$

$$\equiv r_p \times r_p$$

$$\equiv r_p^2 \pmod{m}$$

Case
$$b_{i+1} = 1$$

$$a^{s_p+1} \equiv a^{2s_p+b_{p+1}}$$

$$\equiv a^{s_p} \times a^{s_p} \times a^{b_{p+1}}$$

$$\equiv r_p^2 \times a^{b_{p+1}}$$

$$\equiv r_p^2 \times a \pmod{m}$$

Therefore, $r_{p+1} \equiv a^{p+1} \pmod{m}$ and $r_i \equiv a^i \pmod{m}$

iii. *Proof.* Given proof of (ii), we can say that $a^n \equiv r_k \pmod{m}$, where $n = s_k$. Therefore,

$$a^{s_k} \equiv a^{2s_{k-1}+b_k}$$

$$\equiv (a^{s_{k-1}})^2 \times a^{b_k}$$

$$\equiv (r_{k-1})^2 \times a^{b_k}$$

$$\equiv r_k \pmod{m}$$

Problem 3 — Fast RSA decryption using Chinese remaindering, 8 marks

Let p and q be distinct large primes and (e, n) be RSA public key with corresponding private key d. Given $d_p \equiv d \pmod{p-1}$ and $d_q \equiv d \pmod{q-1}$

$$M_p \equiv C^{dp} \equiv C^d (C^{p-1})^k$$

$$\equiv C^d$$

$$\equiv M^{ed} \pmod{p}$$

$$M_q \equiv C^{dq} \equiv C^d (C^{q-1})^k$$

$$\equiv C^d$$

$$\equiv M^{ed} \pmod{q}$$

Therefore,

$$M_p \equiv M^{ed} \pmod{p}$$

$$M_q \equiv M^{ed} \pmod{q}$$

$$M_p = M^{ed} + qt$$

$$M_q = M^{ed} + pk$$

For some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let $M' \equiv pxM_q + qyM_p \pmod{n}$ be the plaintext obtained using Chinese remainer theorem and $M \equiv C^d \pmod{n}$ be the message obtained using normal RSA way.

$$M \equiv C^d \equiv (M^e)^d \equiv M^{ed}$$
 Where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$ Therefore $ed = 1 + m\phi(n)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$
$$M \equiv M^{1+m\phi(n)} \equiv MM^{m\phi(n)} \equiv M(M^{\phi(n)})^m \pmod{n}$$
 by Euler's theorem:
$$M^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$$
 which gives us:
$$M \equiv M(M^{\phi(n)})^m \equiv M \pmod{n}$$

Plaintext M', obtained using Chinese remainer theorem:

$$M' \equiv pxM_q + qyM_p$$

$$\equiv px(M^{ed} + qt) + qy(M^{ed} + pk)$$

$$\equiv pxM^{ed} + pxqt + qyM^{ed} + qypk$$

$$\equiv pxM^{ed} + qyM^{ed}$$

$$\equiv M^{ed}(px + qy)$$
where $(px + qy) = 1$ because $gcd(p, q) = 1$

$$\equiv M^{ed} \pmod{n}$$

$$\equiv M \pmod{n}$$

Therefore M = M'

Problem 4 — The ElGamal public key cryptosystem is not semantically secure, 10 marks

Proof. By definition, a PKC is polynomially secure if no passive attacker can in expected polynomial time select two plaintexts M_1 and M_2 and then correctly distinguish between $E(M_1)$ and $E(M_2)$, where $E(M_1)$ and $E(M_2)$ are encryptions of M_1 and M_2 respectively with probability $p > \frac{1}{2}$.

However, it is given that Mallory can assert whether $C = E(M_1)$ or $C = E(M_2)$ in polynomial time using modular exponentiation by Euler's Criterion with probability p' = 1, p' > p. It contradicts the definition of polynomially secure PKC, and therefore shows that ElGamal is not semantically secure.

To further prove that statement, using the fact that $y \equiv g^x \pmod{p}$ and g is a primitive root of p, we can show that:

We can see that Mallory only nees to compute $(\frac{y}{p})$ and $(\frac{C_2}{p})$ to find $E(M_1)$ and $E(M_2)$. \square

Problem 5 — An IND-CPA, but not IND-CCA secure version of RSA, 10 marks

Proof. Given encryption of message M, C = (s||t), where $s \equiv r^e \pmod{n}$, $t = H(r) \oplus M$ and $H : \{0,1\}^k \mapsto \{0,1\}^m$, and decryption of C, $M \equiv H(s^d \pmod{n}) \oplus t$, we can consider two plaintexts M_1 and M_2 with following enryption process: $C = (s||t) = (r^e \pmod{n}) ||H(r) \oplus M_i)$, where i = 1 or 2.

We can mount CCA using $C' = (s||t \oplus M_1)$:

$$C' = (s||t \oplus M_1)$$

= $(r^e (\pmod{n})||H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1)$

Decryption of M_i :

$$M_i \equiv H(s^d(\pmod{n})) \oplus t$$

$$\equiv H(r^{ed}(\pmod{n})) \oplus H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1$$

$$\equiv H(r \pmod{n}) \oplus H(r) \oplus M_i \oplus M_1$$

$$= M_i \oplus M_1$$

Where $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$

Therefore, $M_i = 0$, C is an encryption of M_1 , because $M_1 \oplus M_1 = 0$, otherwise $M_i = M_2$