

# GPU Project 3: Parallel Radix Partition

Instructor: Dr. Yi-Cheng Tu

Course: CIS6930/4930 Massive Parallel Computing

Submission Deadline: Dec 4, 2019 at 11:30 PM

## 1 Overview

In this project you will implement a GPU version of the parallel radix partition using CUDA. Radix partition is a partition mechanism commonly used in parallel hash join. It reorders the input keys so that the keys that have the same radix value (or hash value) are gathered into a contiguous memory space that forms a partition. The output array consists of all the partitions and the partitions with smaller radix values are located in front of those with larger radix values.

To begin with, each key of the input array needs to determine its radix value using hash function  $h1$ .  $h1$  can be as simple as a bit field extraction which takes little time but does the job fairly. As a result, the keys that have the same radix value are clustered consecutively in the memory space. In order to efficiently partition the input in parallel, first we need to compute a histogram of the radix values by scanning the array of keys so that we know the number of keys that belong to each partition. This is similar to computing the histogram of distance in project 1 and 2. After we obtain the histogram, we perform an exclusive prefix scan on the histogram to get the prefix sum of the bucket counters. The prefix sum serves as the output offset of each partition for each thread block so the threads can work independently to reorder the keys they processed when building the histogram.

The main objective of this project is to practice your CUDA programming skills. You have the freedom to choose any techniques you learned from class to improve your program, these include (but are not limited to): using shared memory to hold input data, manipulating input data layout for coalesced memory access, managing thread workflow to reduce code divergence, atomic operations, private copies of output, and shuffle instructions. You can use a combination of different techniques that may help improve the performance. Therefore, your goal is to try all you can think of to optimize your program. The following papers address the implementation and optimization of parallel hash join algorithms that use the parallel radix partition. They may help you in understanding the basic idea and designing your code

Bingsheng He, Mian Lu, Ke Yang, Rui Fang, Naga K. Govindaraju, Qiong Luo, and Pedro V. Sander. “*Relational Query Coprocessing on Graphics Processors*”. ACM Trans. Database Systems, 34(4), Article 21, Dec. 2009.

C. Balkesen, J. Teubner, G. Alonso, and M. T. Oszu. “*Main-memory hash joins on multi-core CPUs: Tuning to the underlying hardware*”. In Procs. of ICDE. pp. 362373, 2013.

C. Kim, T. Kaldewey, V. W. Lee, E. Sedlar, A. D. Nguyen, N. Satish, J. Chhugani, A. Di Blas, and P. Dubey. “*Sort vs. Hash Revisited: Fast Join Implementation on Modern Multi-core CPUs*”.

Ran Rui and Yi-Cheng Tu. “*Fast Equi-Join Algorithms on GPUs: Design and Implementation*”. In Proceedings of the 29th International Conference on Scientific and Statistical Database Management.

## 2 Tasks to Perform

Write a CUDA program to implement the radix partition, perform different experiments, and write a short report about your project. There should be Three kernels implemented in your code:

### 1) histogram

Input: the input array of keys, the target number of partitions.

Output: the histogram of the partitions.

---

**Algorithm 1** histogram

---

```
for each k in keys do
    h = bit_extract(k,number_of_bits);
    histogram[h]++;
end for
return histogram;
```

---

### 2) prefix scan

Input: the histogram of the partitions.

Output: the exclusive prefix sum of the histogram.

---

**Algorithm 2** prefix\_scan

---

```
for i from 0 to number_of_partitions do
    if i == 0 then
        sum[i] = 0;
    else
        sum[i] = histogram[i-1]+sum[i-1];
    end if
end for
return sum;
```

---

### 3) reorder

Input: the array of keys, the prefix sum of the histogram.

Output: the reordered array of keys.

To lower the overall difficulty of the project, 2) is optional as you may choose to borrow the prefix scan code from the CUDA sample code located in `/apps/cuda/7.5/samples/6_Advanced/shfl_scan`. There are a few kernels in it so please read the code and have a good understanding before you use it. Compiler options `-arch=compute_52 -code=sm_52` are necessary to compile these kernels since they use shuffle instructions. You will receive a 10% extra credit on this project if

---

**Algorithm 3** reorder

---

```
for each k in keys do
    h = bit_extract(k,number_of_bits);
    offset = atomicAdd(&sum[h],1);
    output[offset] = k;
end for
```

---

you implement the prefix scan by yourself. However, **your own prefix scan implementation should contain at least two optimization techniques** in order to receive the full extra credit, and **it cannot be an imitation of the sample code**. The final partition results and running time of the kernel(s) should be displayed as output. The input array consists of uniform-distributed random integers. We will provide the data generator and the GPU bit extraction function in the code template. You may also implement your own function to calculate the radix value. Your program should work with a minimum input array size of one million elements and be able to process 2 to 1024 partitions (your code only need to support the power of 2). In addition, your program should also include the following features.

**Input/Output of Your Program:** Your program should take care of bad or missing inputs and throw appropriate errors in a reasonable way. In particular, here is what we expect in launching your program:

```
./proj3 {#of_elements_in_array} {#of_partitions}
```

where `proj3` is assumed to be the executable after compiling your project. The two arguments should be the size of the input array and the number of partitions respectively. Unlike project 2, we don't need the block size here. You have to determine the optimal block size in your code by experiments.

The output of your program should print out the pointer offset and the number of keys of each partition as the final result. Following the result, you should add a line to report the performance of your kernel, it should look like the following sample.

```
***** Total Running Time of All Kernels = 2.0043 sec *****
```

The time measurement mechanism is the same as Project 2.

**Project Report** Write a report to explain clearly how you implemented the GPU kernels, with a focus on what techniques you used to optimize performance.

### 3 Environment

All projects will be tested on CUDA 7.5 on one machine in the C4 lab. If you prefer to work on your own computer, make sure your project can be executed on the C4 lab computers.

### 4 Instructions to Submit Project

You should submit one .cu file containing your implementation of the SDH algorithm and a separate .pdf file containing the report. Put both files in a folder, zip the folder and name the zipped file

`proj3-xxx.zip` (or `proj3-xxx.tar` if you prefer using TAR for compression), where `xxx` is your USF NetID. Submit the zipped file only via assignment link in Canvas. E-mail or any other form of submission will not be graded. Once you submit your file to Canvas, try to download the submitted file and open it in your machine to make sure no data transmission problems occurred. For that, we suggest you finish the project and submit the file way before the deadline.

## 5 Rules for Grading

Following are some of the rules that you should keep in mind while submitting the project. Functionality of project will be graded by a set of test cases we run against your code.

- All programs that fail to compile will get zero points. We expect your submission can be compiled by running a simple line of command as in Project 1&2.
- If, after the submission deadline, any changes are to be made to make the main code work, they should be done within 3 lines of code. This will incur a 30% penalty.
- All the required kernels must run on GPU. Use of any tricks to make it run on one thread or only on CPU will result in zero points.
- We will check the code related to performance measurement carefully. Any tricks played to report shorter running time than the actual one will be treated as cheating and incur a heavy penalty.