# Übung 2

# **Table of Contents**

1. Race conditions	. 2
1.1. Create an simple race condition	
1.2. Find the race condition	
2. Synchronisation primitives	. 6
3. Toilet simulation	. 8
3.1. FIFO queue	. 8
3.2. Priority queue	. 8
3.2.1. Code	. 9

Table of Contents 1

### 1. Race conditions

## 1.1. Create an simple race condition

Simple race condition where x gets incremented. Because twice threads increment x at the same time, a race condition occurs and x is 1 instead of two. To prevent this a lock object gets created and the lock operation gets called each time x is incremented.

Listing 1. Program with simple race condition

```
static readonly DateTime startTime = DateTime.Now.AddSeconds(1);
private static void RaceCondition()
    Console.WriteLine("With race condition");
    int x = 0;
    void incrementX()
        while (DateTime.Now < startTime)</pre>
            //do nothing
        X++;
    }
    Thread worker1 = new(incrementX);
    Thread worker2 = new(incrementX);
    worker1.Start();
    worker2.Start();
    worker1.Join();
    worker2.Join();
    Console.WriteLine($"x => {x}");
}
```

1. Race conditions 2

Listing 2. Fixed race condition with lock object

```
static readonly DateTime startTime = DateTime.Now.AddSeconds(1);
private static void FixedRaceCondition()
    Console.WriteLine("With fixed race condition");
    int x = 0;
    object locker = new();
    void incrementX()
        while (DateTime.Now < startTime)</pre>
            //do nothing
        // Locking for x to prevent race condition
        lock (locker)
            x++;
        }
    }
    Thread worker1 = new(incrementX);
    Thread worker2 = new(incrementX);
    worker1.Start();
    worker2.Start();
    worker1.Join();
    worker2.Join();
   Console.WriteLine($"x => {x}");
}
```

#### 1.2. Find the race condition

The race condition occurs because the writer continuously adds new values to the buffer and old ones gets overwritten, because the writer doesn't wait for the reader.

One possible solution would be to use two events, one for the reader and one for the writer. Here both threads could be synchronized. The buffer would be useless with this solution, thats why two semaphores were used instead. One to signal the reader, that items are available to read and one to signal that an item was read successful and the writer can continue writing. Both semaphores are initialized with a capacity of 10 to work correctly with the buffer.

Listing 3. Semaphores

```
using System;
using System.Threading;
namespace RaceConditions2
    internal class Program
        class RaceConditionExample
            private const int N = 1000;
            private const int BUFFER_SIZE = 10;
            private double[] buffer;
            private Semaphore empty, full;
            public void Run()
                buffer = new double[BUFFER_SIZE];
                empty = new Semaphore(BUFFER_SIZE, BUFFER_SIZE);
                full = new Semaphore(0, BUFFER_SIZE);
                // start threads
                var t1 = new Thread(Reader);
                var t2 = new Thread(Writer);
                t1.Start();
                t2.Start();
                // wait for threads
                t1.Join();
                t2.Join();
            private void Reader()
                var readerIndex = 0;
                for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)</pre>
                {
                    full.WaitOne(); // Wait until item available
                    lock (buffer)
```

```
Console.WriteLine(buffer[readerIndex]);
                        readerIndex = (readerIndex + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
                    empty.Release(); // Signal place is free
                }
            }
            private void Writer()
                var writerIndex = 0;
                for (int i = 0; i < N; i++)</pre>
                    empty.WaitOne(); // Wait until place is free
                    lock (buffer)
                        buffer[writerIndex] = i;
                        writerIndex = (writerIndex + 1) % BUFFER_SIZE;
                    full.Release(); // Signal item available
                }
            }
        }
        static void Main(string[] args)
            var condition = new RaceConditionExample();
            condition.Run();
   }
}
```

# 2. Synchronisation primitives

The synchronisation is possible by using a semaphore with capacity 10 and initial count of 10. In the DownloadFile-Method Release() gets called for each call. To prevent the method from blocking the main thread, an additional thread is placed around the foreach-Loop.

Listing 4. Combine 10 downloads

Instead of placing a thread around, every started thread gets added to a list. In a second loop all threads of the list get joined with the main thread, which synchronizes them.

Listing 5. Combine all downloads

```
public void DownloadFiles(IEnumerable<string> urls)
{
    ICollection<Thread> threads = new List<Thread>();

    foreach (var url in urls)
    {
        semaphore.WaitOne();
        Thread thread = new(DownloadFile);
        threads.Add(thread);
        thread.Start(url);
    }

    foreach (var thread in threads)
    {
        thread.Join();
    }
}
```

#### Listing 6. DownloadFile

```
private void DownloadFile(object url)
{
    Thread.Sleep(1000);
    Console.WriteLine($"Download file from {url}");
    semaphore.Release();
}
```

## 3. Toilet simulation

# 3.1. FIFO queue

A and b were already implemented during the lesson. In the following table you can see the test results:

	Jobs	Starved Jobs	Starvation Ratio	Mean Waiting Time	<b>Total Waiting Time</b>
1	400	66	16.50%	0:00:00	0:01:20
2	400	260	65.00%	0:00:01	0:03:22
3	400	350	87.50%	0:00:01	0:07:21
4	400	237	59.25%	0:00:01	0:05:05
5	400	181	45.25%	0:00:00	0:02:30
STDDEV	0	104.9032888	0.262258222	0.000004083724694	0.001633114993

Figure 1. Test results

# 3.2. Priority queue

Instead of a fifo queue, a priority queue is used. Jobs that are due earlier get prioritized and jobs where the due date is in the past get executed last because it's already to late.

Here you can see that the starvation rate is way lower than with the fifo queue.

	Jobs	Starved Jobs	Starvation Ratio	Mean Waiting Time	<b>Total Waiting Time</b>
1	400	54	13.50%	0:03:14	0:00:00
2	400	103	25.75%	0:02:43	0:00:00
3	400	101	25,25%	0:03:19	0:00:00
4	400	25	6,25%	0:01:18	0:00:00
5	400	69	17,25%	0:03:58	0:00:01
STDDEV	0	32.90592652	0.0866205807	0.0006945679733	0.000001732353186

Figure 2. Test results

3. Toilet simulation 8

#### 3.2.1. Code

```
using System;
using System.Threading;
namespace VPS.ToiletSimulation {
  public class ToiletQueue : Queue {
        private readonly SemaphoreSlim items = new SemaphoreSlim(0, Parameters.JobsPerProducer *
Parameters.Producers + Parameters.Consumers);
        public override void CompleteAdding()
             base.CompleteAdding();
             items.Release(Parameters.Consumers);
        public override void Enqueue(IJob job)
             lock (queue)
             {
                 int i = 0;
                 \label{eq:while} \textbf{while} (\texttt{i} < \texttt{queue.Count \&\& job.DueDate.CompareTo}(\texttt{queue[i].DueDate}) >= 0) ~ \{ \texttt{i++;} ~ \}
                 queue.Insert(i, job); // Insert sorted
             items.Release(); // Semaphore is thread safe
        }
        public override bool TryDequeue(out IJob job)
             items.Wait();
             lock (queue)
                 if (queue.Count > 0)
                      for (int i = 0; i < queue.Count; i++)</pre>
                          if(queue[i].DueDate <= DateTime.Now)</pre>
                          {
                               continue;
                          job = queue[i];
                          queue.RemoveAt(i);
                          return true;
                      }
                      job = queue[0];
                      queue.RemoveAt(0);
                      return true;
                 }
             }
             job = null;
             return false;
        }
   }
```