APPENDIX.

HAAR MEASURE.

G IS A LOCALLY COMPACT GROUP. In this section we prove the existence and the essential uniqueness of the left Haar measure.

measure. By E we denote  $C_{00}^+(G) \setminus \{0\}$ . For  $f \in E$ ,  $\|f\|$  denotes the sup-norm of f. We first prove that there exists a map  $m : E \to (0, \infty)$  which is additive (i.e.  $m(f_1 + f_2) = m(f_1) + m(f_2)$  for all  $f_1, f_2 \in E$ ), positive homogeneous (i.e. m(Af) = Am(f) if A > 0,  $f \in E$ ) and left invariant (i.e.  $m(f_a) = m(f)$  for all  $f \in E$ ,  $a \in G$ ).

Let  $f,g \in E$ . There exist  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_n > 0$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G$  such that  $f \leq \sum c_i g_a$ . (For every  $x \in G$  there exist c > 0 and  $a \in G$  such that  $f \leq c_i g_a$  on some neighborhood of x. Now use the compactness of supp f.) By (f:g) we denote the infimum of all sums  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i$  for which  $c_i > 0$  and  $f \leq \sum c_i g_a$  for certain  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in G$ .

Thus we obtain a function (:) on EXE with the following properties.

Thes.
$$(f:g) \ge \frac{\|f\|}{\|g\|} > 0$$

$$(f_a:g) = (f:g)$$

$$(Af:g) = A(f:g)$$

$$(f_1 + f_2:g) \le (f_1:g) + (f_2:g)$$

$$(f_1 \le f_2 \text{ then } (f_1:g) \le (f_2:g)$$

$$(f:h) \le (f:g)(g:h)$$

These assertions are all fairly evident, except maybe the first and the last one. For the first, observe that there exists an  $\mathbf{x}_0$  for which  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}_0) = \|\mathbf{f}\|$ . If now  $\mathbf{f} \leq \sum \mathbf{c_i} \mathbf{g_a_i}$  then  $\|\mathbf{f}\| \leq \sum \mathbf{c_i} \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{a_i}^{-1} \mathbf{x_0}) \leq \sum \mathbf{c_i} \|\mathbf{g}\|.$  For the last one, note that, if  $\mathbf{f} \leq \sum \mathbf{c_i} \mathbf{g_a_i} \text{ and } \mathbf{g} \leq \sum \mathbf{d_j} \mathbf{h_b_j}, \text{ then } \mathbf{f} \leq \sum \mathbf{c_i} \mathbf{d_j} \mathbf{h_{a_i} b_j}.$  Choose an  $\mathbf{h} \in \mathbf{E}$ . Put

$$m_g(f) := \frac{(f:g)}{(h:g)}$$
  $(f,g \in E)$ .

Every  $m_g$  is left invariant, positive homogeneous and subadditive (i.e.  $m_g(f_1 + f_2) \le m_g(f_1) + m_g(f_2)$ ). Further,

$$\frac{1}{(h:f)} \le m_g(f) \le (f:h) \qquad (f,g \in E).$$

LEMMA. For all  $f_1, f_2 \in E$  and E > 0 there exists a neighborhood V of 1 such that  $(1+E) m_g(f_1 + f_2) \ge m_g(f_1) + m_g(f_2)$  for every  $g \in E$  whose support is contained in V.

For the moment let us assume that this lemma is correct. Then it is not difficult to prove the existence of a left Haar measure on  ${\tt G}$ .

For every  $f \in E$  the closed interval  $\left[\frac{1}{(h:f)}, (f:h)\right]$  is compact. Therefore the cartesian product of these intervals is a compact subset S of  $E^R$ . This S contains all the  $m_g$ . For every neighborhood V of 1  $\in$  G let  $K_V$  be the closure of  $\{m_g: g \in E, \sup g \subset V\}$ . Then  $K_V$  is non-empty and if  $V_1, V_2$  are neighborhoods of 1 such that  $V_1 \subset V_2$ , then  $K_V \subset K_V$ . By compactness, the intersection of all these  $K_V$  contains an element m. Obviously, m is a function  $E \longrightarrow (0, \infty)$ .

If V is any neighborhood of 1  $\epsilon$  G there exists a net  $(g_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of elements  $g_{\lambda}$  of E having their supports in V, such that  $m = \lim_{g_{\lambda}} f_{\lambda}$ , i.e.

$$m(f) = \lim_{S_{A}} m(f)$$
 (fe E).

It follows that m is left invariant, positive homogeneous and subadditive. For given  $\mathcal{E} > 0$  and  $f_1, f_2 \in E$  choosing V as in the lemma we find  $(1+\mathcal{E})m(f_1+f_2) \geq m(f_1) + m(f_2)$ . It follows that m is additive. Thus m extends linearly to a left Haar integral on  $C_{00}(G)$ .

It remains to prove the lemma. Let  $f_1, f_2 \in E$  and E > 0. Set  $f = f_1 + f_2$ . Let  $f_0 \in E$  be such that  $f_0 = 1$  on supp f and let

 $h_i$  is continuous and  $\neq 0$  at every point of G where  $f_i$  is not 0 while  $0 \le h_i \le 5^{-1}f_i$ . Thus,  $h_i \in E$  and  $supp h_i = supp f_i$ . Choose a neighborhood V of  $1 \in G$  such that

 $\begin{aligned} & |\mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{y})| < \frac{\mathcal{E}}{6} & (\mathbf{i} = 1, 2; \ \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{G} \ \text{so that} \ \mathbf{x}^{-1} \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{V}). \end{aligned}$  Now let  $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbf{E}$  have its support in  $\mathbf{V}$ . If  $\mathbf{f} \leq \sum \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}}$  then  $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}} = \mathbf{f} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{i}} \leq \mathbf{f} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \leq \sum \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{j}}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \leq \sum \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \leq \sum \mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{j}} \mathbf{h}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{j}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} + \sum \mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g}_{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{g$ 

We now have an existence proof. The uniqueness is easy to prove for the abelian case. More generally (without a commutativity condition on G), let n be a non-zero left invariant and m a non-zero two-sided invariant Radon measure on G: we prove that they are multiples of each other. For all  $f,h\in C_{00}(G)$  a few applications of the Fubini Theorem lead to

$$\begin{split} m(f)n(h) &= \int h(y) [\int f(x) dm(x)] dn(y) = \\ &= \int h(y) [\int f(xy) dm(x)] dn(y) = \\ &= \int [\int h(y) f(xy) dn(y)] dm(x) = \\ &= \int [\int h(x^{-1}y) f(y) dn(y)] dm(x) = \\ &= \int f(y) [\int h(x^{-1}y) dm(x)] dn(y) = \\ &= \int f(y) [\int h(x^{-1}) dm(x)] dn(y) = n(f) m(h^0) \end{split}$$
 (where  $h^0(x) = h(x^{-1})$ ). Choosing h so that  $m(h) \neq 0$  we see

that m = cn where  $c = \frac{m(h^{\circ})}{m(h)}$ .

The general case requires more caution. Let m,n be left Haar measures (or integrals) on G. By Lemma 3.12, if  $f \in C_{00}^{-1}(G)$ 

and  $f \neq 0$  then m(f) > 0 and n(f) > 0. Out of the set  $\mathcal{H} := \{h \in C_{00}^+(G) : h(1) \neq 0, h^0 = h\}$  we make a net by defining

$$h_1 \leq h_2$$
 if  $supp h_2 \subset supp h_1$ .

We prove that

$$\frac{n(f)}{m(f)} = \lim_{h \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{n(h)}{m(h)} \quad (f \in C_{00}^+(G), f \neq 0).$$

Then clearly n will be a multiple of m.

Take  $f \in C_{00}^{-+}(G)$ ,  $f \neq 0$  and take E > 0. For every  $h \in C_{00}(G)$  we have

$$m(h)n(f) = \iint h(y)f(x)dn(x)dm(y) =$$

$$= \iint h(y)f(yx)dn(x)dm(y)$$

while

$$n(h)m(f) = \iint h(x)f(y)dn(x)dm(y) = = \iint h(y^{-1}x)f(y)dn(x)dm(y) = = \iint h^{0}(x^{-1}y)f(y)dm(y)dn(x) = = \iint h^{0}(y)f(xy)dm(y)dn(x).$$

Thus, for h $\in\mathcal{H}$  we obtain

$$m(h)n(f) - n(h)m(f) = \iint h(y)[f(yx) - f(xy)] dn(x)dm(y)$$

and

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{f})}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{f})} - \frac{\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{h})}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{h})} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{f})\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{h})} \iint \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{y}) |\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y})| \, d\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{y}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{f})} \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{supp h}} \iint \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{y}\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{y}) |\mathbf{d}\mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{f})} \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{supp h}} \iint \mathbf{L}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{f} - \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}} \mathbf{f} |\mathbf{d}\mathbf{n}. \end{split}$$

We know  $y \to L_y f$  and  $y \to R_y f$  to be continuous as maps  $G \to L^1(n)$ . (See Theorem 3.13 for "L"; "R" can be proved similarly.) It follows that there exists a neighborhood U of  $1 \in G$  such that  $\int |L_y f - R_y f| dn \leq \int |L_y f - f| dn + \int |R_y f - f| dn \leq \epsilon m(f)$  for all  $y \in U$ . Then

$$\left|\frac{n(f)}{m(f)} - \frac{n(h)}{m(h)}\right| \le \varepsilon$$
 for all  $h \in \mathcal{H}$  for which supph  $< U$ .

We have proved (\*).