

Variable Processor Cup Games

Alek Westover

Belmont High School

June 1, 2020

WHAT IS THE CUP GAME?

The p -processor cup game on n cups is a multi-round game in which two players take turns emptying and removing water from the cups. On each round,

- ▶ The *filler* distributes p units of water among the cups (with at most 1 unit to any particular cup).
- ▶ Then the *emptier* chooses p cups to remove (at most) one unit of water from.

The *backlog* of the system is the amount of water in the fullest cup; The emptier aims to minimize backlog whereas the filler aims to maximize backlog.

Note: The emptier's resources must be allocated discretely whereas the filler can continuously distribute resources.

WHY IS IT IMPORTANT?

The cup game models *work scheduling*:

- ▶ The n cups represent tasks that must be performed.
- ▶ At each time step:
 - ▶ p new units of work come in, distributed arbitrarily among the n tasks (with the constraint that no task gets more than 1 unit of work)
 - ▶ p processors must be allocated to a subset the tasks, on which they will achieve 1 unit of progress.

The cup game is also an interesting mathematical object.

PREVIOUS WORK ON THE PROBLEM

- ▶ The Single-Processor cup game ($p = 1$) has been tightly analysed with *oblivious* and *adaptive* fillers (i.e. fillers that can't and can observe the emptier's actions).
- ▶ The Multi-Processor cup game ($p > 1$) is substantially more difficult. With an adaptive filler:
 - ▶ Kuszmaul established upper bound of $O(\log n)$.¹
 - ▶ We established a matching lower bound of $\Omega(\log n)$.
- ▶ The multi-processor cup game with an oblivious filler has not yet been tightly analyzed.
- ▶ Variants where valid moves depend on a graph have been studied.
- ▶ Variants with resource augmentation have been studied.
- ▶ Variants with clairvoyance have been studied.

¹William Kuszmaul. Achieving optimal backlog in the vanilla multi-processor cup game. SIAM, 2020.

SINGLE-PROCESSOR LOWER BOUND

Filling strategy: distribute water equally amongst cups not yet emptied by the emptier.

Achieves backlog:

$$\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n-1} + \dots = \Omega(\log n).$$

SINGLE-PROCESSOR UPPER BOUND

A *greedy* emptier (always empty from the fullest cup) never lets backlog exceed $O(\log n)$.

Inductively prove a set of invariants: $\mu_k(S_t) \leq \frac{1}{k+1} + \dots \frac{1}{n}$.

Let a be the cup that the emptier empties from in state S_t .

Case 1: a is the fullest cup in S_{t+1}

Then $\mu_k(S_{t+1}) \leq \mu_k(S_t)$, as 1 unit of water was removed from the k fullest cups, while at most 1 unit of water was added to them.

Case 2: a is not the fullest cup in S_{t+1}

$$\mu_k(S_{t+1}) \leq \mu_{k+1}(I_t) \leq \mu_{k+1}(S_{t+1}) + \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

OUR VARIANT OF THE CUP GAME

We investigate a variant of the classic multi-processor cup game, the *variable-processor cup game*, in which the resources are variable: the filler is allowed to change p .

Although the modification to allow variable resources seems small, we will show that it drastically alters the outcome of the game.

AMPLIFICATION LEMMA

Lemma

Given a filling strategy for achieving backlog $f(n)$ on n cups, we can construct a new filling strategy that achieves backlog

$$f'(n) \geq (1 - \delta) \sum_{\ell=0}^L f((1 - \delta)\delta^\ell n)$$

for any parameter δ with $0 < \delta \ll 1/2$ of our choice, where L is the largest integer such that we can achieve backlog 1 on $(1 - \delta)\delta^L n$ cups.

Remark: WLOG the number of cups in the recursive calls is an integer.

PROOF SKETCH OF AMPLIFICATION LEMMA

- ▶ Let A be the δn fullest cups and B be the $(1 - \delta)n$ other cups.
- ▶ By repeatedly applying f to each cup in B , and transferring over the cup generated in B with backlog $f((1 - \delta)n)$ to A , while maintaining the fill of cups in A , we make $\mu(A) - \mu(B) \geq f((1 - \delta)n)$. This is accomplished while maintaining $\mu(A \cup B)$. The mass of A is guaranteed to be above $a\mu(A \cup B)$ by the same amount that the mass of B is guaranteed to be depressed from $b\mu(A \cup B)$, thus fraction of the difference that $\mu(A)$ gets is $|B|/|A \cup B| = (1 - \delta)$. So $\mu(A)$ is at least $(1 - \delta)f((1 - \delta)n)$ above $\mu(A \cup B)$.
- ▶ We then recursively apply this procedure to A . Summing over $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, L$ we have the desired result.

Note: we are ignoring a lot of details here, e.g. ensuring that we actually are playing a cup game on B when applying f to it.

LOWER BOUND AGAINST ADAPTIVE FILLER

Setting $\delta = O(1/n)$ and recursively applying the Amplification Lemma we have

Corollary

The filler can achieve backlog as high as

$$\Omega(n)$$

UPPER BOUND AGAINST ADAPTIVE FILLER

We prove a novel set of invariants that the greedy emptier maintains:

Theorem

$$\mu_k(S_t) \leq n - k.$$

In particular this implies that backlog is

$$O(n).$$

Note that this matches our lowerbound!

STRATEGY EVEN WORKS WITH OBLIVIOUS FILLER!

Using Hoeffding's Inequality², we can surprisingly prove the same lower-bound for an Oblivious filler as for an Adaptive filler, although only against *greedy-like* emptiers.

²Wassily Hoeffding. Probability inequalities for sums of bounded random variables. Journal of the American Statistical Association, page 28, 1962.

OPEN QUESTIONS

- ▶ Can we extend the Oblivious lower-bound construction to work against a broader class of emptiers?
- ▶ Can we extend the Oblivious lower-bound construction to work against arbitrary emptiers?

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- ▶ My mentor, William Kuszmaul!
- ▶ MIT PRIMES
- ▶ My Parents