

Cool Math Questions

Alek Westover

October 30, 2019

Contents

1	Fun Walking Questions	1
1.1	Hats	1
2	Analysis	1
2.1	Function not equal to its Taylor Series, even though it's Taylor series doesn't blow up	1
2.2	Vitali Set Is not measurable	1

1 Fun Walking Questions

1.1 Hats

Binary: You have 100 ppl with black or white hats on. Line them up by height. Each will in turn say their number. How many people can definitely say their number if they decide on a strategy before hand?

A more colorful question: Now there are 3 colors. Same question, (each person will say a color in turn from tallest to shortest, what's the most people that can survive?)

2 Analysis

2.1 Function not equal to its Taylor Series, even though it's Taylor series doesn't blow up

Let

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x=0 \\ e^{-1/x} & x>0 \end{cases}$$

Note that by L'Hospital's rule:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} x^{-n} e^{-1/x} = 0$$

So the Taylor Series of f around 0 is 0. The Taylor series has an infinite radius of convergence, but converges only at a single point to the function.

2.2 Vitali Set Is not measurable

Consider

$$\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q}$$

Take a point from each equivalence class that lies in the interval $[0,1]$. Combining these points form the set V .

Define V_q for all $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1,1]$ as

$$V_q := \{v + q : v \in V\}.$$

Now consider

$$U := \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [-1,1]} V_q$$

Assume for contradiction that U is measurable.

Because $[0,1] \subset U \subset [-1,2]$, we have

$$1 \leq \mu(U) \leq 3.$$

However, it is also true that the V_q are disjoint (imagine $x \in V_q \cap V_p$, then $x = p + v_1 = q + v_2$ for some $v_1, v_2 \in V$, but then $v_1 - v_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$ so $v_1 = v_2$ because we chose a single representative from each equivalence class. Thus $p = q$, i.e. no x can belong to multiple V_q 's.) But then, the killer blow is countable additivity of measure,

$$\mu(U) = \sum_{q \in \mathbb{Q}} \mu(V_q).$$

$$\mu(V_q) = \mu(V) \quad \forall q \in \mathbb{Q}$$

But this is really sus, because either we are adding up countably many zeros and getting 0 or the terms are nonzero in which case the sum is infinite. But this contradicts our bound on $\mu(U)$.

Thus U is not measurable.