Student ID 1 : E1800176

Student ID 2 : E1800182

A. Follow-up (CLO1)

LO	Assessment Area	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent	Marks
	Identification of Problems and Solutions [3]					
CLO1						
	Analysis/Design Updates [3]					

Sub-total (/6 marks)

B. Tests Document (individual)

LO	Assessment Area	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent	Marks	
CLO1	Screen Shots [3]					Student 1	Student 1
CLO2	Test objectives (Iteration 2)[3] [3] • Test Plan (updated) includes - Tests methods specification evaluation - Tools (if any) - Schedule • Unit Testing [4] • Integration Testing [4] • System (func and nonfunc) [4]						
	Tests analysis report [4] Conclusion/Review [5]						
Sub-total (/30 marks)							

C. Documentation, Presentation and Collaboration

LO	Assessment Area	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent	Ma	Marks	
CLO3	Documentation (Design and Testing) [6]							
CLO2	Project Management [6] • Updated Gantt Chart					Student 1	Student 2	
CLO1	Collaboration via Git[12] -push/pull/merge/issue (evidence of collaboration) – not uploads							
CLO3	Presentation[10]							

Sub-total (/34 marks)

D. System (CLO2)

LO	Assessment Area	Poor	Satisfactory	Good	Excellent	Ma	rks
CLO1	 Application operation success rate [15] System functional/partially functional /not working Adequacy of the levels of complexity of the design Validations in place 					Student 1	Student 2
	Quality of software produced:[15]						
	Sub-total (/30 marks)						

		Student 1	Student 2
Total ($A + B + C + D + E$)			
No Turnitin Report	(-5 marks)	(-)
Late Submission	5 marks per day (days)	(-)
	TOTAL		

Comments:							

Feedback

A. Follow up

Ana	alysis / System design:	
	Analysis	not clearly defined / not updated
	Design	not clearly defined / not updated
	Problems/Solutions	not found
В.	Tests Document	
	Screen Shots	not consistent with the design/not found
	Tests objectives	not clearly defined / insufficient / lacking in proper labelling
	Test methods, specs.	not clearly defined / insufficient / not found/No automated tools used
	Tests results	not clearly defined / insufficient / not found
	Tests analysis report	not clearly defined / insufficient / not found
	Conclusion	no reflection on objectives / not found
C. I	Documentation:	
	Format	table of contents not found/inconsistent font size/no proper headings
	Spelling/Grammer	spelling mistakes/sentences are not comprehensible/sentences too long
	Turnitin	not found
	Gantt Chart	not updated
Pre	sentation and Collaboration:	
	Use of GIT	no evidence of use/minimum use
	!	
D. S	System	
	Prototype	did not meet functional req/did not meet non functional req/not working/

Attempt to be deployed on Oracle cloud/GitHub

RUBRIC FOR ORAL PRESENTATION - PROTOTYPE II

Category	Exemplary (5 Points)	Advanced (4 points)	Proficient (3)	Developing (2 points)	Not evident (1 point)	Marks Allocated
Layout Does your website have An attractive and usable layout? Is it well organized?	 The website has an exceptionally attractive and usable layout. It is easy to locate important elements. 	 The website has an attractive and usable layout. It is easy to locate important elements. 	 The website a usable layout but boring. It is easy to locate important elements. 	 The website has a cluttered or confusing layout. It is difficult to locate important elements. 	 The website has an unusable layout. It is difficult to locate important elements. 	
Navigation Is your website easy to navigate forward and backwards with working links?	Links are clearly labelled, consistently placed, and allow the reader to easily move from page to page	Links are labelled, and allow the reader to easily move from page to page	Links allow the reader to move from page to page but some links seems to be missing.	Links are labelled but are broken	Missing links	
Theme and Consistency and Creativity Does the prototype have a consistent font, colour and style theme?	 Website uses a consistent colour scheme throughout the website. Pages are all related Ideas are creative and innovative 	 Website has a colour scheme. Less than 3 fonts are used Graphic elements enhance and support presentation of the content 	 Website has a colour scheme but not all pages are the same. Less than 3 fonts are used Good use of graphics/desig n elements 	 Website has randomly chosen elements throughout. More than 3 fonts are used. Minimal use of design elements, lots of text 	 Website has no colour scheme Pages are unrelated More than 3 fonts are used. Use of elements does not support the content of the website 	
Functionality Does the prototype meet user requirements?	All functionalities are in place and are working	All functionalities in place but with minor errors	 Partial functionalities are working 	Only 1 functionality is working	None of the functionalities are working	

Oral Presentation Communicate effectively with the audience and lecturer?	 Presenter was passionate about the topic, energetic, relaxed and confident with a clear delivery Presentation was well-rehearsed Voice, eye contact and pacing held the attention of the audience 	 Delivery was clear Communication of ideas was good Voice projection was good Good eye contact was made 	 Relatively interesting, rehearsed with a fairly smooth delivery that holds audience attention Voice projection was adequate with very few mispronounce d words, or "um" and "likes" 	 Communication of the idea was broken and hard to follow Voice projection was low with very few mispronounced words, or "um" and "likes" Eye contact was infrequent 	 Presenter struggled in the presentation with poor voice projection, no eye contact with the audience and no introduction Communication of the idea was broken and hard to follow 	
					(/10)	