Four Factors: 2022-2023 (AVERAGE)

	3PM/3PA	TO	FTM/FTA	OREB
Rutgers:	114/351 (5.7/17.6)	226 (11.3)	208/306 (10.4/15.3)	215 (10.8)
Opponents:	163/479 (8.2/24.0)	254 (12.7)	206/300 (10.3/15.0)	198 (9.9)
Michigan:	152/434 (7.6/21.7)	210 (10.5)	246/341 (12.3/17.0)	180 (9.0)
Opponents:	123/387 (6.2/19.4)	190 (9.5)	235/330 (11.8/16.5)	176 (8.8)

LAST 5 GAMES.

FASTSCOUT FACTORS

	RUTGERS OFF	RUTGERS DEF	BIG TEN AVG	D-I AVG
eFG%	45.6%	49.1%	51.3%	50.7%
TS%	47.3%	51.0%	54.1%	53.9%
TO%	17.6%	17.0%	16.3%	18.4%
AST%	57.7%	62.2%	52.7%	51.4%
REB%	26.1%	72.3%	28.4% 73.2%	28.8% 71.5%
FT-R	27.8%	25.4%	29.3%	31.2%
FT%	57.3%	63.8%	71.1%	71.4%

POINTS PER PERIOD

	1ST	2ND	GAME
Rutgers	28.2	29.6	57.8
All Opponents	29.8	32.4	62.2

PACE (POSSESSIONS PER GAME)

	RUTGERS	D-I
	62.9	69

Michigan Offense vs Rutgers:

What type of man defense do they play?

2021-2022: Rutgers played M2M 95.3% of their possessions for a 0.865 PPP "Average"

2022-2023: Rutgers played M2M 92.5% of their possessions for a 0.775 PPP "Excellent"

Rutgers 2022-23:

38.3% of their points given up are from three

45.6% of their points given up are from two

16.1% of their points given up are from the FT line

Michigan 2022-23:

31.1% of their points scored are from three

52.2% of their points scored are from two

16.8% of their points scored are from the FT line

Michigan and Rutgers Conf. Scoring 2022-2023

Rutgers Box Score 2022-23:

1. **DFG% - 48.7%**
2. **3FG Defense% - 34.0%**
3. **Blocks - 12.6% (3.9 per game)**
4. **Steals - 11.7% (7.6 per game)**

Rutgers Transition Points Given Up Per Game in Last 5 Games:

7.8 PPG (Michigan Trans Points per game: 3.8 PPG)

Rutgers Points in Paint Given Up Per Game in Last 5 Games:

20.8 PPG (Michigan Points in the Paint per game: 26.8 PPG)

Rutgers 2nd Chance Points Given Up Per Game in Last 5 Games:

6.0 PPG (Michigan 2nd Chance Points Per Game: 10.0 PPG)

What types of zone do they play? 1-3-1, 2-3

2021-2022: Rutgers played Zone D 4.7% of their possessions for a 0.950 ppp "Average"

2022-2023: Rutgers played Zone D 7.5% of their possessions for a 0.856 ppp "Very Good"

Do they play any pressure on defense? 2-2-1

**2021-2022:** Rutgers played Press D 11.5% of their possessions for a 0.663 ppp Excellent""

2022-2023: Rutgers played Press D 16.2% of their possessions for a 0.814 ppp "Very Good"

Scouting Report ❓
Data through games of **Sunday, March 5**
☑ **Conference only**

Category	Offense	Defense	D-I Avg
Efficiency	99.3 11	98.1 1	105.4
Tempo	64.7 9		67.6
Avg. Poss. Length	17.7 4	19.0 13	17.6
Four Factors			
Effective FG%:	47.2 14	48.7 5	50.5
Turnover %:	17.4 10	19.5 2	18.3
Off. Reb. %:	30.0 3	28.5 9	28.5
FTA/FGA:	26.6 10	27.3 4	31.6
Miscellaneous Components			
3P%:	32.5 12	34.0 7	34.1
2P%:	46.5 14	46.9 2	50.2
FT%:	68.0 11	68.7 3	71.6
Block%:	10.2 10	12.6 2	8.8
Steal%:	8.9 10	11.7 2	9.3
Non-Stl TO%:	8.5 11	7.8 7	9.0
Style Components			
3PA/FGA:	30.5 12	43.6 13	37.4
A/FGM:	57.9 4	57.7 12	51.0
Point Distribution (% of total points)			
3-Pointers:	26.5 13	38.3 2	30.9
2-Pointers:	57.4 2	45.6 14	50.8
Free Throws:	16.1 8	16.1 9	18.3
Strength of Schedule			
Components:	110.0 33	102.7 74	105.4
Overall:	+7.29 55		0.00
Non-conference:	-7.33 340		0.00
Personnel			
Bench Minutes:	29.7% 209		30.6%
D-1 Experience:	2.33 yrs 99		1.91
Minutes Continuity:	59.3% 45		41.5%
Average Height:	78.7" 15		77.1"
2-Foul Participation:	16.7% 220		22.4%

Notes:

-In their last matchup, Rutgers significantly outscored Michigan in the paint and transition

-Free Throws played a significant part in the last matchup

Michigan Defense vs Rutgers:

What type of offense do they play vs. man/zone?

2022-23: Rutgers has faced M2M 91.0% of their possessions averaging 0.845 ppp "Below Average"

2022-23: Rutgers has faced Zone D 9.0% of their possessions averaging 0.973 ppp "Good"

Rutgers 2022-23

26.5% of their points scored are from three

57.4% of their points scored are from two

16.1% of their points scored are from the FT line

Michigan 2022-23

26.8% of their points given up are from three

56.2% of their points given up are from two

17.1% of their points given up are from the FT line

Rutgers Box Score 2022-23:

1. **FG% - 47.2%**
2. **3FG% - 32.5%**
3. **FTs - 68.0%**
4. **ORB - 30.0% (10.8 per game)**
5. **Asst - 14.0 per game**

Rutgers Transition Points Per Game in Last 5 Games:

8.0 PPG (Michigan Trans Points given up: 7.2 PPG)

Rutgers Points in Paint Per Game in Last 5 Games:

33.2 PPG (Michigan Points in the Paint given up: 35.6 PPG)

Rutgers 2nd Chance Points in Last 5 Games:

11.6 PPG (Michigan 2CP given up: 9.6 PPG)

Michigan and Rutgers Conf. Scoring 2022-2023

Scouting Report

Data through games of **Sunday, March 5**

☑ Conference only

Category	Offense	Defense	D-I Avg
Efficiency	99.3 11	98.1 1	105.4
Tempo	64.7 9		67.6
Avg. Poss. Length	17.7 4	19.0 13	17.6
Four Factors			
Effective FG%:	47.2 14	48.7 5	50.5
Turnover %:	17.4 10	19.5 2	18.3
Off. Reb. %:	30.0 3	28.5 9	28.5
FTA/FGA:	26.6 10	27.3 4	31.6
Miscellaneous Components			
3P%:	32.5 12	34.0 7	34.1
2P%:	46.5 14	46.9 2	50.2
FT%:	68.0 11	68.7 3	71.6
Block%:	10.2 10	12.6 2	8.8
Steal%:	8.9 10	11.7 2	9.3
Non-Stl TO%:	8.5 11	7.8 7	9.0
Style Components			
3PA/FGA:	30.5 12	43.6 13	37.4
A/FGM:	57.9 4	57.7 12	51.0
Point Distribution (% of total points)			
3-Pointers:	26.5 13	38.3 2	30.9
2-Pointers:	57.4 2	45.6 14	50.8
Free Throws:	16.1 8	16.1 9	18.3
Strength of Schedule			
Components:	110.0 33	102.7 74	105.4
Overall:	+7.29 55		0.00
Non-conference:	-7.33 340		0.00
Personnel			
Bench Minutes:	29.7% 209		30.6%
D-1 Experience:	2.33 yrs 99		1.91
Minutes Continuity:	59.3% 45		41.5%
Average Height:	78.7" 15		77.1"
2-Foul Participation:	16.7% 220		22.4%

How should we guard ball screens? White - Normal up to touch - Under and up.
#4 Paul Mulcahy is the team's leading ball handler this season in B/S actions. He creates for himself 47.9% of the time for a 0.696 PPP. He tends to dribble off the pick and take the ball to the basket. He passes to spot-up shooters 32.7% of the time.

STAT	POSS	%TIME	%TIME RANK	PTS	PPP	PPP RANK	PPP RATING	FG ATT	FG MADE	FG MISS	FG%	
▽ P&R Including Passes	165	57.5%	76%	134	0.812	38%	Average	123	46	77	37.4%	4
▽ P&R Ball Handler	79	47.9%	-	55	0.696	-	-	52	20	32	38.5%	3
▷ High P&R	58	73.4%	-	43	0.741	-	-	37	16	21	43.2%	4
▷ Right P&R	12	15.2%	-	6	0.500	-	-	9	2	7	22.2%	2
▷ Left P&R	9	11.4%	-	6	0.667	-	-	6	2	4	33.3%	3
▷ Spot-Up	54	32.7%	-	42	0.778	-	-	49	14	35	28.6%	3

#10 Cam Spencer is the team's second leading ball handler this season in B/S actions. He creates for himself 40.9% of the time for a 0.806 PPP. He tends to dribble off the pick for a jump shot. He passes to spot-up shooters 39.6% of the time.

STAT	POSS	%TIME	%TIME RANK	PTS	PPP	PPP RANK	PPP RATING	FG ATT	FG MADE	FG MISS	FG%
▽ P&R Including Passes	164	43.6%	61%	122	0.744	24%	Below Average	139	49	90	35.3%
▽ P&R Ball Handler	67	40.9%	-	54	0.806	-	-	51	20	31	39.2%
▷ High P&R	35	52.2%	-	31	0.886	-	-	29	12	17	41.4%
▷ Left P&R	17	25.4%	-	14	0.824	-	-	13	6	7	46.2%
▷ Right P&R	15	22.4%	-	9	0.600	-	-	9	2	7	22.2%
▷ Spot-Up	65	39.6%	-	36	0.554	-	-	59	15	44	25.4%

How should we guard the post? Hard 3/4 drop and mix in a blue baseline vs Cliff
#11 Clifford Omoruyi is the team's most utilized post player this season. He is used in the post 78.3% of the time for a 0.815 PPP. He is used more on the right block than the left and will tend to turn to his right shoulder for a dribble move. He tends to pass to spot-up shooters 15.3% of the time.

STAT	POSS	%TIME	%TIME RANK	PTS	PPP	PPP RANK	PPP RATING	FG ATT	FG MADE	FG MISS	FG%
▽ Post-Ups Including Passes	249	56.1%	94%	222	0.892	52%	Good	190	84	106	44.2%
▽ Post-Up	195	78.3%	-	159	0.815	-	-	144	62	82	43.1%
▷ Right Block	106	54.4%	-	83	0.783	-	-	81	35	46	43.2%
▷ Left Block	59	30.3%	-	49	0.831	-	-	39	17	22	43.6%
▷ Flash Middle	30	15.4%	-	27	0.900	-	-	24	10	14	41.7%
▷ Spot-Up	38	15.3%	-	45	1.184	-	-	35	15	20	42.9%

Do they really look to run in transition? YES (4th in the B10)

RANK	TEAM	GP	%TIME	POSS	PTS	PPP	FG ATT	FG%	EFG%	TO%	%FT
1	Iowa Hawkeyes	31	17.9%	454	486	1.070	350	50.3%	57.9%	11.9%	14.1%
2	Illinois Fighting Illini	31	17.4%	437	439	1.005	316	50.9%	56.6%	16.7%	14.6%
3	Indiana Hoosiers	30	15.7%	365	375	1.027	259	55.2%	60.0%	17.5%	13.2%
4	Rutgers Scarlet Knights	31	15.1%	362	350	0.967	276	47.5%	51.8%	13.3%	11.9%
5	Ohio State Buckeyes	31	14.7%	350	366	1.046	258	50.8%	57.4%	14.0%	14.9%
6	Michigan State Spartans	30	14.6%	327	343	1.049	256	50.8%	59.6%	15.6%	8.6%
7	Maryland Terrapins	31	12.5%	283	335	1.184	223	54.3%	61.7%	9.2%	16.6%
8	Nebraska Cornhuskers	31	11.6%	272	283	1.040	206	50.0%	57.3%	12.1%	15.1%
9	Northwestern Wildcats	31	11.3%	264	290	1.098	199	52.8%	57.0%	10.6%	17.0%
10	Penn State Nittany Lions	31	10.8%	236	296	1.254	194	55.7%	69.6%	10.2%	8.9%
11	Michigan Wolverines	30	9.9%	228	234	1.026	181	49.7%	55.2%	12.7%	11.8%
12	Purdue Boilermakers	31	9.4%	221	246	1.113	166	53.0%	61.1%	13.1%	13.1%
13	Minnesota Golden Gophers	29	7.3%	154	174	1.130	121	56.2%	62.8%	12.3%	13.6%
14	Wisconsin Badgers	30	6.2%	134	146	1.090	107	49.5%	57.0%	9.0%	14.2%

2022-23: Rutgers has run in transition 15.1% of their possessions for a 0.967 PPP "Below Average"

STAT	POSS	%TIME	%TIME RANK	PTS	PPP	PPP RANK	PPP RATING
Spot Up	605	25.2%	50%	524	0.866	15%	Below Average
Transition	362	15.1%	52%	350	0.967	20%	Below Average
Post-Up	332	13.8%	96%	259	0.780	27%	Below Average
P&R Ball Handler	255	10.6%	18%	172	0.675	12%	Poor
Cut	213	8.9%	69%	252	1.183	55%	Good
Miscellaneous Plays	168	7.0%	38%	105	0.625	80%	Very Good
Offensive Rebounds (Put Backs)	163	6.8%	72%	185	1.135	67%	Very Good
Isolation	101	4.2%	47%	75	0.743	33%	Average
Off Screen	93	3.9%	52%	88	0.946	62%	Good
P&R Roll Man	63	2.6%	10%	62	0.984	44%	Average
Handoffs	49	2.0%	12%	27	0.551	1%	Poor

Rutgers Lineup 2022-2023

Most frequent lineups over the past 5 games ❓

	PG	SG	SF	PF	C	Pct
1	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	22 Caleb McConnell 6-7 200 Sr	5 Aundre Hyatt 6-6 227 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	25.8
2	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	5 Aundre Hyatt 6-6 227 Jr	1 Oskar Palmquist 6-8 220 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	11.5
3	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	0 Derek Simpson 6-3 170 Fr	22 Caleb McConnell 6-7 200 Sr	1 Oskar Palmquist 6-8 220 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	6.6
4	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	0 Derek Simpson 6-3 170 Fr	22 Caleb McConnell 6-7 200 Sr	5 Aundre Hyatt 6-6 227 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	6.6
5	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	0 Derek Simpson 6-3 170 Fr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	22 Caleb McConnell 6-7 200 Sr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	6.6
6	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	0 Derek Simpson 6-3 170 Fr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	5 Aundre Hyatt 6-6 227 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	5.5
7	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	0 Derek Simpson 6-3 170 Fr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	1 Oskar Palmquist 6-8 220 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	4.4
8	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	22 Caleb McConnell 6-7 200 Sr	1 Oskar Palmquist 6-8 220 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	3.8
9	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	5 Aundre Hyatt 6-6 227 Jr	21 Dean Reiber 6-10 225 Jr	11 Clifford Omoruyi 6-11 240 Jr	3.2
10	4 Paul Mulcahy 6-7 213 Sr	10 Cam Spencer 6-4 207 Sr	22 Caleb McConnell 6-7 200 Sr	5 Aundre Hyatt 6-6 227 Jr	21 Dean Reiber 6-10 225 Jr	2.4
					UNKNOWN	2.5

Border thickness proportional to player %Poss, green shading proportional to 3PA/(.475*FTA+FGA)

Position designation is estimated by an algorithm and may not reflect reality.

Rutgers Shot Chart 2022-2023

OFFENSIVE SHOT CHART - CONF.