

*I was pretty incoherent today because I procrastinated graph theory until 3AM*

## Social Efficiency

Is the competitive equilibrium the first best allocation?

hat - planner problem

start - equilibrium

Socila planner problem

Max utility such that  $c+g < zf(1-l, \bar{k})$

$L(c, l, \lambda) = U(c, l) + \lambda(zf(1-l, \bar{k}) - c - g)$

FOC(c)  $U_c = \lambda$

FOC(l)  $U_l = \lambda z_{f_n}(1-l, \bar{k})$

$MRS_{cl} = U_l/U_c = z_{f_n}(1-l, \bar{k})$

So  $MRS_{cl} = MRT_{cl}$  in the static equilibrium.

Optimality of the household or firm means  $wage = MPL$  and tangency to budget constraint. For social planner, there is only the preferences (U) and feasibility / technology (PPF). So the optimality for the planner is always  $MRS = MRT$ . Not always does the competitive equilibrium hold this.

Wedges in the optimality conditions for households and firms cause social inefficiency

## 2 agent Economy

Given  $\sum_i \alpha_i \leq 1, \forall i, \alpha_i \in [0, 1]$ , optimize  $\max_{c_i, l_i} \sum_i \alpha_i U(c_i, l_i)$ , such that  $\sum_i c_i + g \leq f\left(\sum_i (1-l_i), \bar{k}\right)$  and where  $\forall i, c_i \geq 0, l_i \in [0, 1]$ .

The Pareto Frontier is the curve of solutions of the planner problem and is parametrized by  $\alpha$ .

It is so called because

## Welfare Theorems

Under technical conditions...

1. Every competitive equilibrium is pareto optimal
2. Every pareto optimal allocation can be decentralized as a competitive equilibrium with the appropriate initial transfers of wealth.

Homework: Characterize the solution above for the competitive equilibrium, and identify the mapping between  $\alpha$  in the pareto problem and the initial wealth transfer.

Welfare function is an aggregation of agent utilities

$W(U_i) = \sum \alpha_i U_i$

$\alpha_i = 1/N$  utilitarian

Rawlsian: all the weight on the poorest