Title: What controls the range of hosts a fish parasite infects?

Authors: Tad Dallas^{1,2}, Andrew Park ¹, and John M. Drake¹

Affiliations:

1. University of Georgia, Odum School of Ecology, 140 E. Green Street, Athens GA, 30602.

2. Corresponding author: tdallas@uga.edu

₁ Abstract

² Keywords

3 Take-home messages

- 1. It is possible to predict parasite niche breadth using either host traits/geographic
- location, or parasite community similarity (best).
- 2. Predictive accuracy does not vary as a function of host specificity (though I only
- consider parasites with 20 or more occurrence records, but these could all be on
- the same host species).
- 3. It may be possible to predict parasite spillover from invasive hosts to native host
- communities, or to predict biotic resistance of a community to invasion.

11 Needs

- 2 1. Conceptual diagram?
- 13 2.

14 Introduction

- 15 Host-parasite relationships are complex, intimate interactions with lots of
- $_{16}$ impacts

- Being able to predict parasite occurrence is pretty important, for a number of reasons (species invasions/biotic resistance, spillover to human hosts, etc.
- Previous work and knowledge gap What has been done in fish parasite prediction? Cite some Kennedy, some Poulin, and then talk about what Strona has done with regards to predicting parasite co-occurrence. Point out that predictive power wasn't great, and that co-occurrence is a different question from predicting parasite niche breadth. Discuss the differences in my approach from Strona's approach, and set up the question as both a prediction question, and a "what's important" question. Specifically, I trained models on geographic variables and host traits, and on just parasite information. Parasite information performed better, regardless of geographic information exclusion. This suggests that patch quality and geographic location may not matter as much as the existing community of parasites you harbor. In other words, prediction is better when only considering the parasite community of a host, rather than anything about the host.
- Thesis (what I did, what I found) Here, I trained several predictive models to
 determine parasite host breadth using data on host traits, parasite community information, geographic location. To do this, I examined a large dataset on interactions between freshwater fish and their parasite communities [@strona2013]. The number and
 identity of host species that a given parasite could infect may be constrained by space
 (geographic location), patch quality (host characteristics), or through interactions with
 competing parasites (parasite community structure).

${ m Methods}$

Data and processing We use an existing global database of fish-parasite associations [Strona et al., 2013] consisting of over 38000 parasite records spanning a large diversity of parasites (Acanthocephala, Cestoda, Monogenea, Nematoda, Trematoda). In order to allow for cross-validation and accurate prediction, we constrained our analy-

- ses to parasites with a minimum of 20 host records. In other words, we only examined
- parasites that had been recorded more than 20 times, but these occurrences could be
- on fewer than 20 host species. The inclusion of duplicate occurrences was only permit-
- ted if the parasite was recorded on a host in a different geographic location, based on
- latitude and longitude values. Our response variable was parasite occurrence (binary),
- and was predicted using only host life history traits, and geographic location of host
- 49 capture. Host trait information was obtained through the FishPest database [Strona
- and Lafferty, 2012, Strona et al., 2013, and FishBase [Froese and Pauly, 2010]. Host
- traits descriptions are provided in Table 1.
- Predictor variables Areas of occupancy were calculated as follows: for each species,
- we plotted all available point records on a global grid of 1x1 degrees Lat-Lon and then
- we counted the number of grid cells where the species is known to occur.
- 55 Model formulation We trained a series of models in order to compare predictive
- performance of different techniques. Each model was trained on 70% of the data, and
- ⁵⁷ accuracy was determined from the remaining 30%. We generated background data by
- randomly sampling host species where parasite i was not recorded. To maintain pro-
- ₅₉ portional training data, the number of random samples was selected to be five times
- ₆₀ greater than the occurrence records.
- 61 Models used Discuss null predictions scenario, and then go into other algorithms
- used (brt, svm, lr, rf)
- 63 Or do I focus on BRT? BRT is a bit old hat. Perhaps I could report on
- 64 BRT, but include analyses with SVM, LR, and RF in the supplement?

65 Results

66 Discussion

67 Acknowledgements

References

- 69 Rainer Froese and Daniel Pauly. Fishbase, 2010.
- 70 Giovanni Strona and Kevin D Lafferty. FishPEST: an innovative software suite for fish
- parasitologists. Trends in parasitology, 28(4):123, 2012.
- Giovanni Strona, Maria Lourdes D Palomares, Nicolas Bailly, Paolo Galli, and Kevin D
- Lafferty. Host range, host ecology, and distribution of more than 11 800 fish parasite
- species: Ecological archives e094-045. *Ecology*, 94(2):544-544, 2013.

75 Tables

Table 1: Description and units of variables used to predict parasite occurrences.

Variable	Units	Description	Range
Max length	cm	Maximum fish species length	1 - 2000
Trophic level	_	1 + mean trophic level of food items	2-5
Age at maturity	years	Age at sexual maturity	0.1 - 34
Life span	years	Estimated maximum age	0 - 145
Growth rate	$years^{-1}$	Rate to approach asymptotic length	0.02 - 9.87
Marine	_	Is host found in marine habitat?	binary
Freshwater	_	Is host found in freshwater habitat?	binary
Brackish	_	Is host found in brackish habitat?	binary
Geographic region	_		7 unique regions
Area of occupancy	_	Area of suitable habitat occupied	
Latitude	degrees		1 - 148 ?????
Longitude	degrees		1 - 359 ?????

76 Figures

Figure 1: Image plot with brt model results sorted by parasite type.

Figure 2: BRT RC contributions for each variable, or some kind of plot comparing the results from models trained with host traits/geography, and those trained with parasite community measures?

Figure 3: