

## 4.7: Improper Integrals

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Total Change revisited</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Improper Integrals</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Infinite Bounds . . . . .	2
2.2	Systematic study of cases . . . . .	3

### 1 Total Change revisited

- Definite integrals are net change. If  $\frac{dy}{dt} = f(t)$ , this says in English that the function  $f(t)$  is a rate, and integrating a rate produces a net change in that quantity.
- $\int_0^b f(t) dt$  is the net change from the initial value of  $y$ .
- What if we want to know the rate of change in the long run? Meaning, if we go nearly forever?
- Ex: Suppose that a bunch of bacteria are given some food, but that they end up eating most of the food and become static afterwards. Let's model this by saying that the population  $P(t)$  satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = \frac{3.5}{(1+t)^2}$$

- population measured in millions.
- Question: given the initial drop of food, how much can the population grow by?

- No obvious end in time. One option, use a huge time value.

- 

$$\text{net change} = \int_0^{\text{big}} \frac{3.5}{(1+t)^2} dt = \left. \frac{-3.5}{(1+t)} \right|_0^{\text{big}} = \frac{-3.5}{(\text{big})} + \frac{3.5}{1}.$$

- A useful mathematical trick is to replace something (big) with  $\infty$ .
- Any time we write  $\infty$ , we technically mean “take the limit as the upper bound goes to  $\infty$ .”
- Then

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{3.5}{(1+t)^2} dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{-3.5}{(1+N)} - (-3.5) \right) = 3.5$$

- The trick gives us a nicer round number, like 3.5, instead of something awful, like 3.4698.

## 2 Improper Integrals

### 2.1 Infinite Bounds

- We can interpret infinite bounds as saying “the net change in the long run.”

$$\boxed{\text{net change in the long run} = \int_0^{\infty} f(t) dt}$$

- Caveat: This only works if the function being integrated goes to zero fast enough. Otherwise we’re adding up infinite area, and the integral is said to *diverge*.
- Ex: Suppose that our bacteria colony instead grew according to the rate

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{3.5}{1+t}.$$

Then you get that the net change in the long run is

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{3.5}{1+t} dt = 3.5 \ln|x+1| \Big|_0^{\infty} = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} 3.5 \ln(N+1) - 3.5 \ln(0+1) = \infty.$$

We call this integral *Divergent*. We can still get meaning from this: it just means that in this case our bacteria will never stop growing with the food supply it received.

## 2.2 Systematic study of cases

- Some cases we know really well:

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{1}{x^p} dx$$

- This converges if  $p > 1$ , and diverges if  $p \leq 1$ . Here,  $a$  is any positive number.
- The way to tell is just by integrating, and seeing if you get a sensible limit or not.
- Ex:  $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x^2} dx = 1$ . here,  $p = 2$ , illustrating the above point.
- Ex:  $\int_1^\infty \frac{1}{x} dx = \infty$ , and here  $p = 1$ . So this again illustrates the above point; it diverges.
- Ex:  $\int_1^\infty x dx = \infty$  certainly (just look at a picture!). Here, you can think of this as  $p = -1$ , so it still diverges. The above fact still stands.
- $\int_2^\infty \frac{17x}{x^2 + 1} dx$  is not exactly, but similar to,  $\frac{17}{x}$ , because in the long run, the  $+1$  doesn't matter. So we expect this integral to diverge. You can check this by doing a sub  $u = x^2 + 1$ . It will turn into something like  $\int \frac{1}{u} du$ , which sits in the case  $p = 1$ . So it diverges.
- The bizarre thing here is that some functions go to 0 quickly enough, and some don't.  $\frac{1}{x}$  goes to 0, but it does so too slowly, and integrating it gives you an infinite amount of area!
- Another one that is well known:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{ax} dx$$

This integral converges for  $a < 0$ , and diverges for  $a \geq 0$ . Again, just do some examples to get a feel for it.

- Ex:  $\int_0^\infty e^{-2x} dx = -\frac{1}{2}e^{-2x}\Big|_0^\infty = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} e^{-2N}\right) + \frac{1}{2}e^0 = \frac{1}{2}$ .
- Moral of the story: if you aren't sure or you can't remember the general rule of thumb, just integrate it and evaluate limits.