

Peer Evaluation Sheet

Please make a copy of this document and submit it along with your Final Supporting documents. Preferably as a PDF.

Please evaluate each presentation based on the criteria below. On the back of this page select each item from 1 to 3. Also evaluate your own presentation in the same way.

Criterion	1: Needs Improvement	2: Meets Expectations	3: Exceeds Expectations
Visual Aids	Unclear or missing visuals	Basic visuals, somewhat helpful	Well-designed, creative, and enhances understanding of the topic
Communication	Hard to follow, disorganized, unclear topic	Generally clear and on topic, minor lapses in clarity	Very clear, articulate, stayed on topic
Presentation Skills	Low energy, monotone expression	Somewhat engaging, energetic at times	Engaging, dynamic expression, energetic

Name “Preferred”	Visual Aids			Communication			Presentation		
Kit Ying Tong “Tommie”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Harsh Parmar	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
“Mohith” Krishnamoorthy Jeganathan	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Kexin Sun “Kelly”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Genevieve Awa Awa	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Veerpal Kaur	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Wan-Chan Lin “Joyce”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Isha Raleshbhai Shah	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Aniketkumar Ram Narayan Sharma	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Srivignesh Kavle Sathyanarayanan “Vicky”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
“Neeraj” Kumar Ramsamujh	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Tianrui Zhu “Cary”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Dhruv Jugal Shah	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Tashrif Ali	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Bhavya Arvindbhai Patel	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Himani Bansal	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Man Kwan Karen Chung “Audrey”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Peiyu Han “Rita”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Seranilavan Sivanesan “Nilavan”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Heather Boden	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Yik Kan Sze “Ken”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
“Bruce” Wyatt Placathose	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Ramanpreet Pannu “Raman”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
Himanshu Shrikishan Agarwal “Hima”	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3

Gabrielle Catalan

1

2

3

1

2

3

1

2

3