## 9 Independence of choice and omiscience principles over $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$

## 9.1 The topological model over Cantor space

**Theorem 9.1.** Markov's principle does not hold in the standard topological model of  $\mathbf{HA}_{\omega}$  on Cantor space.

*Proof.* We first construct a global element of the sort  $N \to N$ . It suffices to find a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to S(\mathbb{N})$ . So for each n, we need g(n) to be a function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to the open subsets of  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ . We take this to be the "generic" function

$$g(n)(m) := \{ f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid f(n) = m \}$$

We can then calculate

However, we also have

$$[\![ \exists n \ g(n) = 1 ]\!] = \{ f \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \exists n \ f(n) = 1 \}$$

$$= 2^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus \{ \lambda n.0 \}$$

Hence

Corollary 9.2. LPO does not hold in the standard topological model of  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$  on Cantor space.

## 9.2 Independence of countable choice

To show that the axiom of countable choice does not hold in  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$ , we first consider a weaker version of the result, that has a simpler proof. We extend the signature of  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$  in include a binary relation symbol A of sort N, N. Write  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}^{+}$  for the theory with the same axioms as  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$  over the larger signature.

We note that the axiom scheme of countable choice now includes some extra formulas, that do not occur for countable choice over  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$ , namely those formulas where A occurs somewhere. In particular  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{C}^{N,N}$  now includes the following formula.

$$\forall x^N \, \exists y^N \, Axy \, \rightarrow \, \exists f^{N \to N} \, \forall x^N \, Axf(x)$$

Theorem 9.3.  $AC^{N,N}$  is not provable in  $HA^+_{\omega}$ .

*Proof.* We work in the standard topological model of  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$  over  $I^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

In order to make this a Heyting valued model over the extended signature, we need to show how to interpret the binary relation symbol A. We define it as follows:

$$\llbracket Anm \rrbracket := \begin{cases} \{f: \mathbb{N} \to I \mid f(n) = 0 \text{ or } f(n) = 1\} & m = 0 \\ \{f: \mathbb{N} \to I \mid f(n) = 2 \text{ or } f(n) = 1\} & m = 1 \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We clearly have

$$\llbracket \forall x \, \exists y \, Axy \rrbracket = \top$$

Hence, if  $\mathbf{AC}^{N,N}$  held, we would have a global element f of  $N \to N$  such that

$$[\![\forall x\,Axf(x)]\!] = \top$$

It follows from that for all n, we have  $\llbracket f(n) = 0 \lor f(n) = 1 \rrbracket = \top$ , and  $\llbracket f(n) = 0 \land f(n) = 1 \rrbracket = \bot$ . Hence  $I^{\mathbb{N}} = \llbracket f(n) = 0 \rrbracket \cup \llbracket f(n) = 1 \rrbracket$  and  $\llbracket f(n) = 0 \rrbracket \cap \llbracket f(n) = 1 \rrbracket = \emptyset$ . Since  $I^{\mathbb{N}}$  is connected we can deduce that for each n, either  $\llbracket f(n) = 0 \rrbracket = \top$  or  $\llbracket f(n) = 1 \rrbracket = \top$ . That is, f has to correspond to an actual function  $\mathbb{N} \to 2$  in the metatheory where we are working.

To get a contradiction from the assumption, we need to show

$$[\![ \forall x \, Axf(x) ]\!] \neq \top$$

We will show in fact that

$$\lambda n.1 \notin \llbracket \forall x \, Ax \, f(x) \rrbracket$$

Suppose that  $\lambda n.1 \in \llbracket \forall x \, Axf(x) \rrbracket$ . In this case it would have a basic open neighbourhood  $U_{\sigma} \subseteq \llbracket \forall x \, Axf(x) \rrbracket$  for some finite sequence  $\sigma$  of elements of I. Let n be any number greater than the length of  $\sigma$ .

We assume that  $\llbracket f(n) = 0 \rrbracket = \top$ , with a similar proof applying for the case  $\llbracket f(n) = 1 \rrbracket = \top$ .

Note that we can easily define a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to I$  such that  $g(i) = \sigma(i)$  for  $i < |\sigma|$  and such that g(n) = 2. Since  $[\![f(n) = 0]\!]$ , we have  $g \in [\![f(n) = 0]\!]$ . But since  $[\![f(n) = 0]\!] \le [\![An0]\!]$ , this implies  $g \in [\![An0]\!]$ , which contradicts the definition of  $[\![A]\!]$ .

We will now show that  $\mathbf{AC}^{N,N}$  also fails for  $\mathbf{HA}_{\omega}$  itself. The rough idea is to combine the above proof for  $\mathbf{HA}_{\omega}^+$  with an idea based on the omniscience principle  $\mathbf{LLPO}$ .  $\mathbf{LLPO}$  says that given a binary sequence f with at most one 1, either f(2n)=0 for all n or f(2n+1)=0. However, if f(n)=0 for all n, then both cases hold, and there is no canonical way to choose one. This leads us to consider the following instance of countable choice. Suppose we have a countable family of binary sequences  $f_m: \mathbb{N} \to 2$  for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and for each m there exists  $i \in \{0,1\}$  such that for all n,  $f_m(2n+i)=0$ . Countable choice would imply there is a function  $g: \mathbb{N} \to 2$  such that for all m and for all n,  $f_m(n+g(m))=0$ . We will show that this is not provable in  $\mathbf{HA}_{\omega}$ .

The proof also uses some less precise general rules of thumb:

- 1. If we want to find a topological model where an implication does not hold, it is often helpful to consider a topological space that "looks similar" to the antecedent of the implication.
- 2. If we want to combine the ideas of two constructions together, it can be useful to combine the topological spaces together in a very simple way, such as binary product.

**Theorem 9.4.** The following instance of countable choice is not provable in **HA**...

$$\forall f^{N \times N \to N} \, \forall m^N \, \exists i^N \, (i = 0 \lor i = 1) \land \forall n^N \, f(m, 2n + i) = 0 \, \rightarrow \\ \exists g^{N \to N} \, \forall m^N \, (g(m) = 0 \lor g(m) = 1) \land \forall n^N \, f(m, 2n + g(m)) = 0$$

*Proof.* We take X to be the topological space defined as the following subspace of  $2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times I^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

$$X:=\{(h,k)\in 2^{\mathbb{N}}\times I^{\mathbb{N}}\mid \forall m\, k(m)\geq 0 \rightarrow \forall n\, h(m,2n)=0 \land k(m)\geq 2 \rightarrow \forall n\, h(m,2n+1)=0\}$$

We work over the standard topological model of  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{A}_{\omega}$  on X and define a global element f of  $\mathcal{M}_{N\times N\to N}$  as follows.

$$[f(m,n) = i] := \{(h,k) \in X \mid h(n,m) = i\}$$

Note that the above does give a functional relation from  $\mathcal{M}_N \times \mathcal{M}_N$  to  $\mathcal{M}_N$ . In particular we can see that each  $[\![f(m,n)=i]\!]$  is an open set, since it is the intersection of X with an open set of  $2^{\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{N}}\times I^{\mathbb{N}}$ . Hence there is a global element f of  $\mathcal{M}_{N\times N\to N}$  satisfying it.

Furthermore, we have the following equalities for all m

$$\label{eq:continuous_section} \begin{split} [\![ \forall n \, f(m,2n) = 0 ]\!] &= \{ (h,k) \in X \mid k(m) \geq 0 \} \\ &= X \ \cap \ 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times \{ k \in I^{\mathbb{N}} \mid k(m) \geq 0 \} \\ [\![ \forall n \, f(m,2n+1) = 0 ]\!] &= \{ (h,k) \in X \mid k(m) \geq 2 \} \\ &= X \ \cap \ 2^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}} \times \{ k \in I^{\mathbb{N}} \mid k(m) \geq 2 \} \end{split}$$

However, we can now show there is no global element g such that  $\llbracket \forall m^N \ (g(m) = 0 \lor g(m) = 1) \land \forall n^N \ f(m, 2n + g(m)) = 0 \rrbracket = \top$  by a similar argument to theorem 9.3. In fact we can show that for any global element g we have

$$(\lambda m.\lambda n.0,\lambda m.1)\notin \llbracket \forall m^N\left(g(m)=0\vee g(m)=1\right)\wedge \forall n^N \ f(m,2n+g(m))=0\rrbracket$$