

# 80818 Intuitionistic Logic - Exercise Sheet 8

October 28, 2021

1. We write  $t \rightarrow_n r$  to mean  $t$  reduces to  $r$  at stage  $n$ , as in definition 11.17 of the notes. Verify the following.
  - (a)  $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{ks})\mathbf{ss} \rightarrow_0 \mathbf{s}$
  - (b)  $\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{ss})\mathbf{ks} \rightarrow_2 \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{ks})(\mathbf{s}(\mathbf{ks}))$
  - (c)  $\mathbf{kk}(\mathbf{skks}) \rightarrow_1 \mathbf{k}$
  - (d) It is false that  $\mathbf{kk}(\mathbf{skks}) \rightarrow_0 \mathbf{k}$
2. Define  $\top := \lambda x.\lambda y.x$  and  $\perp := \lambda x.\lambda y.y$ .
  - (a) Let  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$  be a pca. Let  $d$  be any element of  $\mathcal{A}$  with the following properties:
    - i. For all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $da \downarrow$  and either  $da = \perp$  or  $da = \top$ .
    - ii. If  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$  are such that for all  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $ab \simeq a'b$ , then  $da = da'$ .
 Show that  $d$  is constant, i.e.  $da = da'$  for all  $a, a' \in \mathcal{A}$ . (Hint: You might find it useful to consider the term  $\mathbf{y}(\lambda x.dxa'a)$  when  $a = \top$  and  $a' = \perp$ .)
  - (b) Suppose there is a term  $d$  such that  $dab = \top$  if  $ab \downarrow$  and  $dab = \perp$  if  $ab \uparrow$ . Show, by part (a) or otherwise that  $ab \downarrow$  for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  (we say  $\mathcal{A}$  is a *total pca* or just *ca*).
  - (c) Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a non trivial pca with *decidable equality*. That is, there is  $e \in \mathcal{A}$  such that for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $eab \downarrow$  and  $eab = \top$  when  $a = b$  and  $eab = \perp$  when  $a \neq b$ . Define  $c := \lambda x.e\mathbf{k}(xx)\mathbf{sk}$ . Show that  $cc$  is not defined.
  - (d) An  $\omega$ -pca is a  $\text{pca}^+ \mathcal{A}$  such that for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a = \underline{n}$ . Show there is no total  $\omega$ -pca.

*Remark: The argument in part (a) is known in computability theory as Rice's theorem.*
3. Suppose we are given two extended pcas with the same underlying partial applicative structure, say  $(\mathcal{A}, \cdot)$ . Write the respective  $\text{pca}^+$  structures as  $\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}_0, \mathbf{p}_1, 0, S, \mathbf{d}$  and  $\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{k}', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}'_0, \mathbf{p}'_1, 0', S', \mathbf{d}'$ . Write  $N$  for the smallest subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $0 \in N$  and  $Sx \in N$  whenever  $x \in N$  and  $N'$  for the smallest subset of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $0' \in N'$  and  $S'x \in N'$  whenever  $x \in N'$ .

- (a) Show there is a *representable bijection* between  $N$  and  $N'$ . That is,  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $ac \in N'$ , for all  $c \in N$ ,  $bc' \in N$  for all  $c' \in N'$ , and  $a(bc') = c'$  and  $b(ac) = c$  for all such  $c, c'$ .
- (b) Suppose we are given two extended pcas  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}'$  with the same underlying partial applicative structure. Show a partial function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is  $\mathcal{A}$ -computable if and only if it is  $\mathcal{A}'$ -computable.