Homework 7

In this assignment, you'll deploy your Python data science skills to perform a few analyses on the topics of equity and algorithmic bias.

Fill in your name and the names of any students who helped you below.

I affirm that I personally wrote the text, code, and comments in this homework assignment.

I received help from Jake and Vivek Arora who gave me suggestions regarding how to reapproach Problem 1 using the groupby methods to split up the data.

Austin Wuthrich 5/25/22

Comments are not required for problem 1. They are required for problem 2.

Problem 1

In this problem, you will create a visualization of gender representation in artwork in the Tate Art Museum. Run the code block below to acquire and prepare the data. There's a lot of information that I've removed in the data preparation below, including the name of the artist, their birth and death dates, and various details about each piece. You may wish to explore the full data sets later, but for now, I thought you'd prefer to be able to focus on only the columns needed for today.

```
import pandas as pd
artwork = pd.read_csv('https://raw.githubusercontent.com/rfordatascience/tidytuesday/master/data/2021/2021-01-12/artwork.csv')
artists = pd.read_csv("https://github.com/tategallery/collection/raw/master/artist_data.csv")
artwork.to_csv("artwork.csv", index = False)
artists.to_csv("artists.csv", index = False)

In [32]:
import pandas as pd
artwork = pd.read_csv('https://raw.githubusercontent.com/rfordatascience/tidytuesday/master/data/2021/2021-01-12/artwork.csv')
```

```
artists = pd.read csv("https://github.com/tategallery/collection/raw/master/artist data.csv")
artwork["id"] = artwork["artistId"]
artwork = artwork[["id", "year", "acquisitionYear", "title", "medium"]]
artists = artists[["id", "gender"]]
df = pd.merge(artwork, artists)
def dimension(med string):
    Assign a dimension to a given piece of artwork based on the description
    of the medium, supplied as a string.
   Media that include the words "paper", "canvas", "oil", or "paint" are assumed
    2D.
    Media that are not 2d and include the words "bronze", "stone", or "ceramic" are
    assumed 3D.
    Otherwise, the media is "Other/Unknown"
    @param med string: str, the original medium
    @return dim: one of "2D", "3D", or "Other/Unknown" according to the rules above.
    if type(med string) != str:
        med string = str(med string)
    med string = med string.lower()
    if any([w in med string for w in ["paper", "canvas", "oil", "paint"]]):
    elif any([w in med string for w in ["bronze", "stone", "ceramic"]]):
        return "3D"
    else:
        return "Other/Unknown"
df["dimension"] = [dimension(m) for m in df["medium"]]
df = df[["title","acquisitionYear", "gender", "dimension"]]
```

- The title column gives the title of each piece.
- The acquisitionYear states the year in which the artwork was acquired by the Tate.
- The gender column gives the gender of the artist.
- The dimension column states whether the piece is two-dimensional (like a drawing or a painting) or three-dimensional (like a sculpture or ceramic). This is determined from a more thorough description of the medium using the simple dimension() function from above, although a more careful classification might be beneficial. A number of pieces have "Other/Unknown" in this column.

In [33]:

use this block to inspect the data if you'd like

Out[33]:		title	acquisitionYear	gender	dimension
	0	A Figure Bowing before a Seated Old Man with h	1922.0	Male	2D
	1	Two Drawings of Frightened Figures, Probably f	1922.0	Male	2D
	2	The Preaching of Warning. Verso: An Old Man En	1922.0	Male	2D
	3 Six Drawings of Figures with Outstretched Arm		1922.0	Male	2D
	4	The Circle of the Lustful: Francesca da Rimini	1919.0	Male	2D
	•••				
	69190	Venus Mound (from Tampax Romana)	2013.0	Male	Other/Unknown
	69191	It's That Time Of The Month (from Tampax Romana)	2013.0	Male	Other/Unknown
	69192	Larvae (from Tampax Romana)	2013.0	Male	Other/Unknown
	69193	Living Womb (from Tampax Romana)	2013.0	Male	2D
	69194	Dancing Scene in the West Indies	2013.0	Male	2D


69195 rows × 4 columns

What You Should Do

Create a plot to answer the following question:

How has the amount of artwork **by female artists** increased with time, as a fraction of all artwork owned by the Tate? Are women better represented in the Tate through certain forms of artistic expression than others?

To answer this question, create the following plot:



The vertical axis is the percentage of all artwork created by female artists which was acquired on or before the stated date. You may assume that artwork, once acquired, remains permanently with the Tate (i.e. it is not lost or sold).

Specs

- There are multiple good approaches. A solution using a for or while -loop can receive partial credit. For full credit, no explicit loops!
- It is not necessary for your output to exactly match mine -- feel free to change colors, modify the labels, etc. However, you should ensure that you include axis labels and the legend.
- Comments and docstrings are not necessary in this problem.
- You are free to use any Python tools you find helpful in order to create this plot.

"What if my plot looks different?"


Your final product should closely resemble the supplied example. You may make reasonable alternative choices that lead your plot to look slightly different in small details. You can receive full credit as long as your result looks quantitatively similar and has the same qualitative interpretation.

Hints

np.cumsum() . You'll need to appropriately sort df first in order to get a good result.

```
In [54]:
          dfcopy = df.copy()
          #convert male and female to 0,1
          conversionDict = {"Male":0,
                           "Female":1}
          dfcopy['gender'] = dfcopy['gender'].map(conversionDict)
          fig.ax=plt.subplots(1)
          def graph(df):
              Passes in dataframe that is grouped by dimension
              Param df: dataframe grouped by dimension of art (2D,3D,other)
              Returns: DNE. Prints axis plot
              #group by aquisitionYear
              dfYear = df.groupby(["acquisitionYear"])["gender"].aggregate([sum,len]).reset index()
              #print(dfYear)
              #sum
              proportion = np.cumsum(dfYear["sum"])/np.cumsum(dfYear["len"])
              #print(proportion)
              #plotting and labeling
              copydf=df['dimension']
              lab = copydf.reset index().loc[0,'dimension']
              ax.plot(dfYear['acquisitionYear'],proportion,
                          label=lab)
          dfcopy.groupby(['dimension']).apply(graph)
          #Plot Annotations
          ax.set(xlabel="Year of Acquisition",
                ylabel="Proportion of Work by Female Artists",
                 title="Representation of Female Artists in the Tate Art Museum"
                );
          ax.legend()
```

Out[54]: <matplotlib.legend.Legend at 0x2194db830d0>



Problem 2

This problem is based on the article

Obermeyer, Z., Powers, B., Vogeli, C., & Mullainathan, S. (2019). Dissecting racial bias in an algorithm used to manage the health of populations. *Science*, 366(6464), 447-453.

In this article, the authors use patient medical records, demographics, and insurance claims to study bias in a machine learning model used to predict patient risk. This model has been used to make recommendations about which patients should be admitted to more intensive care programs on the basis of their health.

In this problem, you will replicate several of the qualitative findings from this study.

The results presented in this article were discussed by Dr. Ruha Benjamin in the video "Are We Automating Racism," which was one of the videos we watched as part of our discussion of algorithmic bias in Week 8. You are free to consult either the article or the video when completing this assignment. While doing so may be interesting, it is not likely to concretely help you in the problems below.

Data Access

In order to protect patient privacy, the authors did not share the "real" data used in their study. Instead, they created a randomized version of the data that preserves many of the same patterns and trends. Run the cell below to access the data. I have also uploaded the CSV file directly to CCLE in case you have issues using this URL.

```
import pandas as pd
url = "https://gitlab.com/labsysmed/dissecting-bias/-/raw/master/data/data_new.csv?inline=false"
df = pd.read_csv(url)
```

There are 48,784 patients represented as rows in the data, and 160 pieces of information about each patient represented as columns. Run the code below to check this:

```
In [28]: df.shape
Out[28]: (48784, 160)
```

A few of the columns are going to be especially important in our analysis:

- risk score t is the algorithm's risk score assigned to a given patient.
- cost t is the patient's medical costs in the study period.
- race is the patient's self-reported race. The authors filtered the data to include only white and black patients.
- gagne_sum_t is the total number of chronic illnesses presented by the patient during the study period.
- dem_female is a patient sex indicator, with 1 indicating female patients and 0 indicating male patients.

Run the code below to take a look at these columns.

```
cols = ['risk_score_t', 'cost_t', 'gagne_sum_t','race', 'dem_female']
df[cols].head()
```

Out[29]:		risk_score_t	cost_t	gagne_sum_t	race	dem_female
	0	1.987430	1200.0	0	white	0
	1	7.677934	2600.0	3	white	1
	2	0.407678	500.0	0	white	1
	3	0.798369	1300.0	0	white	1

	risk_score_t	cost_t	gagne_sum_t	race	dem_female
4	17.513165	1100.0	1	white	1

Part A

Here's how the algorithm was used in the medical setting:


Patients with higher scores from the algorithm were more likely to be enrolled in "high-risk care management" programs.

A high-risk care management program offers additional health resources to patients, including trained healthcare providers to help them manage complex health needs. In other words,

If you are very sick, getting a **high** score on the algorithm can help you receive **more** medical attention.

One of the major findings of the study above was that the algorithm tended to give lower scores to Black patients, even when those Black patients were equally sick as White patients. In this part, you will replicate this finding.

To do so, create the following plot:



Key Points

- The vertical axis gives the *percentile risk* of patients assigned by the algorithm, rounded to the nearest percentage point. A patient in the 85th percentile, for example, received a risk score from the algorithm higher than 84% of all patients and lower than 15% of all patients. The raw risk score (not the percentile) of each patient is contained in the risk_score_t column.
- The horizontal axis gives the average number of chronic illnesses presented by patients in the corresponding risk percentile. For example, White men in the 80th risk score percentile presented, on average, approximately two chronic illnesses. The number of chronic illnesses presented by a patient is contained in the "gagne_sum_t" column of the data.
- Different colors segment Black and White patients (race). Two panels distinguish between male and female patients. The dem_female column gives the sex of each patient, with 0 representing male and 1 representing female.

Specs

Please attend to the following details:

- It is important that you **round the percentile risk scores to the nearest percentile**, and compute the average number of conditions within each rounded percentile. This means that, for example, there should be 101 data points (percentiles 0%-100%) corresponding to Black women, 101 other data points corresponding to White women, etc. Failure to round and compute the mean will result in your plot containing an unreadable number of points.
- The horizontal axis, vertical axis, and axis titles are all appropriately *capitalized*: the first letter of the first word is capitalized.
- The legend title, as well as the legend entries, are also appropriately capitalized.
- Beyond these specs, you are free to modify the colors, transparency, etc, and get creative with the text. You are not required to replicate the exact size or aspect ratio of the figure.

Hints


- The only columns you need to work with in this problem are: risk_score_t , race , gagne_sum_t (containing the number of chronic illnesses per patient), and dem female
- The plotting aspect problem can be solved using either standard matplotlib or seaborn. Correct approaches using either set of tools will receive full credit.
- The percentiles of an data frame column df["x"] can be computed by df["x"].rank()/len(df). The results will be values between 0 and 1. One should then multiply by 100 and round() the results to obtain the percentiles as integers between 0 and 100.
- To compute the mean number of chronic illnesses per percentile, group by the integer percentiles (as well as race and sex) and then compute the mean of the gagne sum t column.

• The plotted points should correspond to average number of chronic conditions, grouped by percentiles and demographic variables.

```
In [30]:
          # vour solution
          import seaborn as sns
          from matplotlib import pyplot as plt
          import numpy as np
          df = pd.read csv(url)
          cols = ['risk score t', 'cost t', 'gagne sum t', 'race', 'dem female']
          df = df[cols]
          #Changing race column to be capitalized for legend label
          df['Race']=df['race']
          df = df.drop(labels='race',axis=1)
          #necessary for later when plotting so that race labels are capitalized
          df = df.replace({"white":"White","black":"Black"})
          #qet percentile risk score from raw score
          percentile = np.round((df["risk score t"].rank()/len(df))*100)
          #creating new column for each patient called percentile with their percentile out of data set
          df["percentile"] = percentile
          #group by integer percentiles, race, and gender. Compute mean for
          #each group to get mean number of chronic illnesses per group
          meanIllness = df.groupby(by=['percentile','Race','dem_female'])[['gagne sum t']].apply(np.mean)
          #plotting using seaborn
          fgrid = sns.relplot(data=meanIllness,
                                  x="gagne sum t",
                                  v="percentile",
                              col="dem female",
                                 hue="Race",
                                 alpha=.7,
                                 height=5)
          #setting labels
          fgrid.set(xlabel="Mean number of chronic illnesses",
                    ylabel="Percentile risk score (from algorithm)",
```

```
fgrid.axes[0][0].set(title='Male')
fgrid.axes[0][1].set(title="Female")
```

Out[30]: [Text(0.5, 1.0, 'Female')]



Part B

In no more than four sentences, describe the meaning of the plot you produced in Part A. For example, suppose that Patient A is Black, that Patient B is White, and that both Patient A and Patient B have exactly the same chronic illnesses. Are Patient A and Patient B equally likely to be referred to the high-risk care management program?

Discussion:

White patients with the same number of chronic illnesses regardless of gender are more likely to be referred to the high-risk care program than black patients because of the disparity between the two patient groups' percentile risk score for a given number of chronic illnesses. Comparable white patients to black patients by number of chronic illnesses receive higher risk scores than black patients. If the program solely determines admission

based off of percentile risk score, then white people with a given number of chronic conditions are more likely to be enrolled in the program than black people with the same level of chronic conditions.

Part C

Next, you'll perform an analysis to identify the source of this disparity in Black and White patients. You might imagine that the model was trained to base its risk scores on an "overall level of health" in the training data. However, it is very difficult to get data on such a concept.

For this reason, the algorithm studied was trained instead using total medical costs as the target variable. That is:

The risk score an agent receives is a function of the model's prediction of the total medical costs which will be incurred by that individual.

This is a superficially logical choice, since (a) total medical costs are generally correlated with health and (b) costs are regularly recorded in insurance claims data.

In this problem, you'll use linear regression to estimate the difference in generated medical costs between White and Black patients in this data set, and comment on this result in the context of Part A and B.

What You Should Do

- 1. If you modified the data frame df in any way, you should re-run the code in which you load the data frame.
- 2. Run the supplied cell in order to limit the columns in the data frame to the ones you will use in this analysis.
- 3. The race column of the data is currently a string. Encode it using integer labels.
- 4. Partition the data into a target data y consisting of the cost_t column of df . Let the predictor data X contain all other columns, excluding cost t .
- 5. Perform a train-test split of X and y, using 20% of the data as test data. Please pass the argument random_state = 2021 to your split function in order to ensure reproducibility. **Important**: you should do this using only one function call.
- 6. Create a **linear** regression model and fit it to the training data. Evaluate the score of the model on the training and testing data. Here are the scores that I got -- it's ok if yours are a little different.
 - Training score: 0.12629789734544883
 - Testing score: 0.12415443228313183

- 7. Based these results, comment on whether you are concerned about overfitting. **Note**: these are not "accuracy" scores but rather "coefficient of determination" scores. They are relatively low, but low scores on statistical tasks are common in medical and biological applications.
- 8. Examine the coef_ attribute of the fitted linear regression model. The race column is the first one in the data frame. This means that the very first entry of the coef_ array gives the model's estimate of the difference in costs between White and Black patients when controlling for sex, age, and medical conditions. Here's what I got -- it's ok if your answer is a little different:
 - Coefficient of race: 579.9031747777375.
- 9. Black patients in the US tend to generate *lower* medical costs than their equally-sick White counterparts, due to long-standing disparities in access to medical resources. Using your result from Step 8:
 - State your estimate of the difference in medical costs between White and Black patients.
 - Describe in no more than 4 sentences how your result would explain the disparities in risk scores from Part A.

Note: The estimated cost disparity in the published paper is higher, over twice the result given here. This may reflect a methodological difference in their modeling or possibly be a byproduct of their data randomization.

Step 1

If you modified the data frame df in any way in Part A, you should run the code below to reload the data frame.

```
In [21]:
# Step 1: run, do not modify
df = pd.read_csv(url)
```

Step 2

Run this cell in order to limit the columns in the data frame to the ones you will use in this analysis.

```
'anemia elixhauser tm1',
        'arrhythmia elixhauser tm1',
        'arthritis elixhauser tm1',
        'bloodlossanemia elixhauser tm1',
         'coagulopathy elixhauser tm1',
        'compdiabetes elixhauser tm1',
        'depression elixhauser tm1',
        'drugabuse elixhauser tm1',
        'electrolytes elixhauser tm1',
        'hypertension elixhauser tm1',
        'hypothyroid elixhauser tm1',
        'liver elixhauser tm1',
        'neurodegen elixhauser tm1',
        'obesity elixhauser tm1',
        'paralysis elixhauser tm1',
        'psychosis elixhauser tm1',
        'pulmcirc elixhauser tm1',
        'pvd elixhauser tm1',
        'renal elixhauser tm1',
        'uncompdiabetes elixhauser tm1',
        'valvulardz elixhauser tm1',
        'wtloss_elixhauser_tm1',
        'cerebrovasculardz romano tm1',
        'chf romano tm1',
        'dementia romano tm1',
        'hemiplegia_romano_tm1',
        'hivaids romano tm1',
        'metastatic romano tm1',
        'myocardialinfarct romano tm1',
        'pulmonarydz romano tm1',
        'tumor romano tm1',
        'ulcer romano tm1']
df = df[cols]
```

Step 3

The race column of the data is currently a string. Encode it using integer labels.

```
# Step 3: your code here
df['race'] = df['race'].replace(['white','black'],[1,0])
df['race']
```

```
Out[23]: 0 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 3 1 4 1 1 48779 1 48781 1 48782 1 48783 1 Name: race, Length: 48784, dtype: int64
```

Step 4

Partition the data into a target data y consisting of the cost_t column of df . Let the predictor data X contain all other columns, excluding cost t .

```
In [8]: # Step 4: your code here
y=df['cost_t']
X=df.drop(['cost_t'],axis=1)
```

Step 5

Perform a train-test split of X and y, using 20% of the data as test data. Please pass the argument random_state = 2021 to your split function in order to ensure reproducibility.

Important: you should do this using only one function call.

```
# Step 5: your code here
from sklearn.model_selection import train_test_split

X_train,X_test,y_train,y_test = train_test_split(X,y,test_size=.2,random_state = 2021)
```

Step 6

Create a **linear** regression model and fit it to the training data. Evaluate the score of the model on the training and testing data. Here are the scores that I got -- it's ok if yours are a little different.

Training score: 0.12629789734544883Testing score: 0.12415443228313183

```
In [25]: # Step 6: your code here
    from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression

LR=LinearRegression()
    LR.fit(X_train,y_train)
    print("Training score: ", LR.score(X_train,y_train), '\n')
    print("Testing score: ", LR.score(X_test,y_test), '\n')
```

Training score: 0.12629789734544872

Testing score: 0.12415443228313183

Step 7

Based the results above, comment on whether you are concerned about overfitting.

Note: these are not "accuracy" scores but rather "coefficient of determination" scores. They are relatively low, but low scores on statistical tasks are common in medical and biological applications.

Comment on overfitting:

I am not concerned about overfitting because the training score and the testing score were very similar (off by only a few thousandths). If the model were overfit to the training data, then I would expect the performance on the testing data to be significantly worse.

Step 8

Examine the coef_ attribute of the fitted linear regression model. The race column is the first one in the predictor data frame. This means that the very first entry of the coef_ array gives the model's estimate of the difference in costs between White and Black patients when controlling for sex, age, and medical conditions. Here's what I got -- it's ok if your answer is a little different:

• Coefficient of race: 579.9031747777375.

```
In [26]:
# Step 8: your code here
coef = pd.DataFrame({"Variable:":X.columns, "Coefficient:":LR.coef_})
```

```
print("Coefficient of race: ",'\n',coef.loc[0],'\n')
coef.head()
```

Coefficient of race:

Variable: race Coefficient: -579.903175
Name: 0, dtype: object

Out[26]:		Variable:	Coefficient:
	0	race	-579.903175
	1	dem_female	1547.891118
	2	dem_age_band_18-24_tm1	342.519566
	3	dem_age_band_25-34_tm1	1253.682014

4 dem_age_band_35-44_tm1 293.511546

Step 9

Black patients in the US tend to generate *lower* medical costs than their equally-sick White counterparts, due to long-standing disparities in access to medical resources. Using your result from Step 8:

- State your estimate of the difference in medical costs between White and Black patients.
- Describe in no more than 4 sentences how your result would explain the disparities in risk scores from Part A.

Discussion of results:

The correlation coefficient of race suggests that race somewhat plays into the medical costs of patients in the US. Compared to other variables like gender which has a correlation coefficient of roughly 1547, race is less correlated with medical costs. Nevertheless, race plays a role in the outcome of medical costs for otherwise the same person on metrics of all other variables. Hypothetically, that means that two identical clones with one being black and the other being white would have different medical costs (the black clone would experience lower medical costs than the white clone), and in context of the risk score model, the difference in medical cost explains why the model scores white patients with higher scores compared to equivalent black patients.

```
In [ ]:
```