## Cyber-Physical Programming TPC-1

## Renato Neves

nevrenato@di.uminho.pt

**Exercise 1.** Consider the CCS processes  $c.(a.0 \parallel b.0)$  and rec X.(a.X + a.b.X).

Part 1.1. Informally describe what they do.

Part 1.2. Present their transition systems using the semantic rules provided in the lectures.

Exercise 2. Consider the following scenario. There exist four processes  $P_1, \ldots, P_4$ , each of them responsible for performing a certain task repetitively. For example  $P_1$  might read the current velocity,  $P_2$  the current altitude,  $P_3$  current radiation levels, etc... These processes (re)start their tasks in increasing order ( $P_1$  then  $P_2$  etc...) but can finish in any order. Note as well that process  $P_1$  can restart its task only when all processes  $P_1, \ldots, P_4$  finish their current tasks. Let us thus consider the process  $P_1 = (I \parallel S \parallel P_1 \parallel \cdots \parallel P_4) \setminus \{st_1, \ldots, st_4, end\}$  where,

$$egin{aligned} I &= \overline{st_1} \dots \overline{st_4}.0 \ S &= \operatorname{rec} X.\ end.end.end.\overline{st_1} \dots \overline{st_4}.X \ P_i &= \operatorname{rec} Y_i.\ st_i.a_i.b_i.\overline{end}.Y_i \end{aligned} \qquad (1 \leq i \leq 4)$$

Part 2.1. Explain why process P corresponds (or not) to the description above.

Part 2.2. Process S acts a *central scheduler* that coordinates the processes  $P_1, \ldots, P_4$ . Rewrite P so that it does not rely on a central scheduler and explain the reasoning behind your refactoring. Part 2.3 (\*\*\*). Use the tool mCRL2 to further explore this scenario, *formally* discussing properties that the system already has as well as limitations and possible improvements.

What to submit: A report in PDF with the solutions of the exercises. Please send it by email (nevrenato@di.uminho.pt) with the name "cpp-N.pdf", where "N" is your student number. The subject of the email should be "cpp-N-TPC-1".