# **Case Study: Finding Primes**

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS AT URBANA-CHAMPAIGN

### **Example: List of Primes**

- Consider the problem of finding the first million prime numbers
  - We want to output an array containing the first million primes

Sequential Code:

```
x = 3; j = 1; primes[0] = 2;
while(j < 1000000) {
   if(isPrime(x)) {
      primes[j] = x;
      j++;
      }
   x = x + 2;
}</pre>
```

- Note that parallel for requires that the loop bounds need to be known before the loop starts
- So, how can we parallelize this?

L.V.Kale

# Ideas for Parallelizing PrimesList

- We can have each thread explore the next unexplored odd integer beginning with 3
- Both x and j need to be protected because multiple threads want to read and write them
- We can use locks or atomic variables for this purpose

```
x = 3; j = 1; primes[0] = 2;
while(j < 1000000) {
    if(isPrime(x)) {
        primes[j] = x;
        j++;
        }
        x = x + 2;
}</pre>
```

L.V.Kale

# Version 1: Parallelizing PrimesList

- We protect writes to j and x using atomic
- Note that between the time a thread starts testing for x and the time it increments x, x may have been changed by other threads
  - So, after finishing testing 3, a thread may start working on testing 21, if other threads have already taken the number in between

```
int x, j;
x = 3; j = 1; primes[0] = 2;
#pragma omp parallel
while (j < 1000000) {
    if(isPrime(x)){
         primes[j] = x;
#pragma omp atomic
         j++;
#pragma omp atomic
      x = x + 2;
```

#### Does this work?

# Version 1: Parallelizing PrimesList

- The problem is between the time we test the primality of a number (x), and the time that it executes the assignment statement, some other thread might have changed the value of x
- Also, two different threads may try to assign with the same value of j

```
int x, j;
x = 3; j = 1; primes[0] = 2;
#pragma omp parallel
while (j < 1000000) {
    if(isPrime(x)){
         primes[j] = x;
#pragma omp atomic
         j++;
#pragma omp atomic
      x = x + 2;
```

#### Does this work?

# Version 2: Parallelizing PrimesList

- A thread saves the current value of x and increases it by 2 in a single critical section
  - No other thread can interfere
- A thread atomically increments j but saves the new value in its private variable k

```
int x, j, myX, k;
x = 3; j = 0; primes[0] = 2;
#pragma omp parallel private(myX,k)
while (j < 1000000) {
#pragma omp atomic capture
  \{ \text{ myX} = x; x = x + 2; \}
  if(isPrime(myX)){
#pragma omp atomic capture
       k = j++;
       primes[k] = myX;
```

#### Does this work?

### Version 2: Parallelizing PrimesList

- This almost works
- What are the problems?
- Is the primes array sorted?
  - No, although it is almost sorted
  - Some threads might run ahead
- How do we stop after the first one million primes?
  - While one thread is working on testing the millionth prime, another thread might finish testing the next prime and add it to the list

```
int x,j,myX,k;
x = 3; j = 0; primes[0] = 2;
#pragma omp parallel private(myX,k)
while (j < 1000000) {
#pragma omp atomic capture
  \{ \text{ myX} = x; x = x + 2; \}
  if(isPrime(myX)){
#pragma omp atomic capture
       k = j++;
       primes[k] = myX;
```

Fixing this is left as an exercise for you

### Parallelizing PrimesList: Ideas for Fixes

- Let the loop go further for a few more iterations
- How many?
  - Maybe j < 1000000 + numThreads?
- Sort the array at the end?
  - Too expensive
  - And it's mostly sorted

```
int x,j,myX,k;
x = 3; j = 0; primes[0] = 2;
#pragma omp parallel private(myX,k)
while (j < 1000000) {
#pragma omp atomic capture
  \{ myX = x; x = x + 2; \}
  if(isPrime(myX)){
#pragma omp atomic capture
       k = j++;
       primes[k] = myX;
```

L.V.Kale