

# Métodos de primer orden

Axel Sirota

Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales

Departamento de Matemática

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Delayed systems
- 3 Periodic solutions, existence and...
- 4 ...nonexistence: 0 is a global attractor
- 5 References

# Motivation

Nicholson equation

$$x'(t) = -dx(t) + px(t - \tau)e^{-x(t-\tau)} \quad (1)$$

with  $p, d > 0$ .

The IVP for (1) reads

$$x(t) = \varphi(t) \quad \varphi \in C([-\tau, 0]).$$

# The whole truth about Nicholson

- ①  $p \leq d \implies$  no positive equilibrium points. Furthermore, 0 is a global attractor of the solutions with  $\varphi > 0$ .

# The whole truth about Nicholson

- ①  $p \leq d \implies$  no positive equilibrium points. Furthermore, 0 is a global attractor of the solutions with  $\varphi > 0$ .
- ②  $p > d \implies$  unique equilibrium point, which is locally asymptotically stable:
  - ▶ for all  $\tau$  when  $p < de^2$ ,
  - ▶ for  $\tau < \tau^*(p)$  when  $p \geq de^2$ .

Moreover if  $\varphi > 0$  then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \geq \min\left\{\ln\left(\frac{p}{d}\right), e^{-\tau d}\right\}.$$

# The whole truth about Nicholson

- ①  $p \leq d \implies$  no positive equilibrium points. Furthermore, 0 is a global attractor of the solutions with  $\varphi > 0$ .
- ②  $p > d \implies$  unique equilibrium point, which is locally asymptotically stable:
  - ▶ for all  $\tau$  when  $p < de^2$ ,
  - ▶ for  $\tau < \tau^*(p)$  when  $p \geq de^2$ .

Moreover if  $\varphi > 0$  then

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} x(t) \geq \min\left\{\ln\left(\frac{p}{d}\right), e^{-\tau d}\right\}.$$

- ③  $p, d \in C_T \implies$  positive  $T$ -periodic solutions if  $p(t) > d(t)$  for all  $t$  and no  $T$ -periodic solutions if  $p(t) \leq d(t)$  for all  $t$  (furthermore, 0 is a global attractor).

## Generalisation: Nicholson system

$$\begin{cases} x_1'(t) = -d_1x_1(t) + b_1x_2(t) + p_1x_1(t-\tau)e^{-x_1(t-\tau)} \\ x_2'(t) = -d_2x_2(t) + b_2x_1(t) + p_2x_2(t-\tau)e^{-x_2(t-\tau)} \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

- ①  $p_i + b_i \leq d_i \implies 0$  is a global attractor of positive solutions.
- ② Uniform persistence if  $p_i + b_i > d_i$ .
- ③  $T$ -periodic solutions  $b_i(t) < d_i(t) < p_i(t) + d_i(t)$  for all  $t$ .

# Persistence definitions

Let  $X \neq \emptyset$  and  $\rho : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ . A semiflow  $\Phi : J \times X \rightarrow X$  is called

- weakly  $\rho$ -persistent, if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\Phi(t, x)) > 0 \quad \forall x \in X, \rho(x) > 0.$$

- strongly  $\rho$ -persistent, if

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\Phi(t, x)) > 0 \quad \forall x \in X, \rho(x) > 0.$$

- uniformly weakly (strongly)  $\rho$ -persistent, if there exists some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \rho(\Phi(t, x)) > \varepsilon \quad \forall x \in X, \rho(x) > 0 \quad (\text{resp. } \liminf).$$

In our case  $\rho(x) = |x|$  (persistence of some species).



Clearly,

$$(\text{USP}) \implies (\text{UWP}) \implies (\text{WP}) \text{ and } (\text{SP}) \implies (\text{WP}).$$

**Results for  $X$  locally compact,  $X \setminus \{0\}$  positively invariant:**

$$(\text{UWP}) \implies (\text{USP}), \text{ but } (\text{WP}) \not\implies (\text{SP}) \text{ and } (\text{SP}) \not\implies (\text{USP}).$$

Extra conditions  $\implies$  all definitions are equivalent (see [2]).

Clearly,

$$(\text{USP}) \implies (\text{UWP}) \implies (\text{WP}) \text{ and } (\text{SP}) \implies (\text{WP}).$$

**Results for  $X$  locally compact,  $X \setminus \{0\}$  positively invariant:**

$$(\text{UWP}) \implies (\text{USP}), \text{ but } (\text{WP}) \not\implies (\text{SP}) \text{ and } (\text{SP}) \not\implies (\text{USP}).$$

Extra conditions  $\implies$  all definitions are equivalent (see [2]).

Fonda [3]:

$(\text{USP}) \iff \exists U \ni 0$  open and  $V : U \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  continuous such that:

- 1  $V(x) = 0 \iff x = 0.$
- 2  $\forall x \neq 0 \exists t_x > 0$  such that  $V(\Phi(t_x, x)) > V(x).$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Delayed systems
- 3 Periodic solutions, existence and...
- 4 ...nonexistence: 0 is a global attractor
- 5 References

Our system reads

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) \quad (3)$$

with  $f : [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  continuous.

Initial condition:

$$x(t) = \varphi(t) \quad -\tau \leq t \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\varphi \in X := \text{positive cone of } C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^N),$$

which is **not** locally compact.

**Basic assumption:**

**(H1)** If  $x_j = 0$  for some  $j$  and  $y \neq 0$  then  $f_j(t, x, y) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

Our system reads

$$x'(t) = f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) \quad (3)$$

with  $f : [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty)^{2N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  continuous.

Initial condition:

$$x(t) = \varphi(t) \quad -\tau \leq t \leq 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\varphi \in X := \text{positive cone of } C([-\tau, 0], \mathbb{R}^N),$$

which is **not** locally compact.

**Basic assumption:**

**(H1)** If  $x_j = 0$  for some  $j$  and  $y \neq 0$  then  $f_j(t, x, y) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ .

**(H1)**  $\implies X^\circ$  pos. invariant, i.e.:  $\varphi > 0 \implies x > 0$ , but **(H1)**  $\not\implies$  (WP).

Consider  $V : (0, +\infty)^N \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  smooth such that  $V(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  in  $(0, +\infty)^N$ .

Obvious choice:  $V(x) = |x|^2$ . Nicholson system  $V = \min x_i$  (nonsmooth).

Consider  $V : (0, +\infty)^N \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  smooth such that  $V(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  in  $(0, +\infty)^N$ .

Obvious choice:  $V(x) = |x|^2$ . Nicholson system  $V = \min x_i$  (nonsmooth).

**Idea:** find conditions that guarantee  $\dot{V} > 0$  for  $x(t)$  close to 0, where  $\dot{V}(t) = v'(t) := (V \circ x)'(t)$ .

Consider  $V : (0, +\infty)^N \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  smooth such that  $V(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow 0$  in  $(0, +\infty)^N$ .

Obvious choice:  $V(x) = |x|^2$ . Nicholson system  $V = \min x_i$  (nonsmooth).

**Idea:** find conditions that guarantee  $\dot{V} > 0$  for  $x(t)$  close to 0, where  $\dot{V}(t) = v'(t) := (V \circ x)'(t)$ .

**Easy case:**

**(H2)** There exist  $t_0, r > 0$  such that

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for } t > t_0, V(x), V(y) < r.$$

$$\mathbf{(H1)} + \mathbf{(H2)} \implies \mathbf{(WP)}$$

**(H2)**  $\implies$  (Fonda) when  $\tau = 0$ . However, **(H2)** is not satisfied e.g. in (1).



More realistic:

**(H2')** There exist  $t_0, r > 0$  such that

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, x) \rangle > 0 \quad \text{for } t > t_0 \text{ and } V(x) < r.$$

**(H1) + (H2')** do not suffice because  $x(t) - x(t - \tau)$  may be large.

**Proposition:** (SP) holds if we also assume monotonicity:

**(H3)**

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle \geq \langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, x) \rangle$$

whenever  $V(x) \leq V(y)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $x(s_n) \rightarrow 0 \implies v(s_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

Set  $t_n$  such that  $v(t_n) = \min_{t \leq s_n} v(t)$ . For  $n \gg 0$ ,

$$v'(t_n) \leq 0 \text{ and } v(t_n - \tau) \geq v(t_n).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq v'(t_n) &= \langle \nabla V(x(t_n)), f(t_n, x(t_n), x(t_n - \tau)) \rangle \\ &\geq \langle \nabla V(x(t_n)), f(t_n, x(t_n), x(t_n)) \rangle > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

*Proof.* Suppose  $s_n \rightarrow +\infty$ ,  $x(s_n) \rightarrow 0 \implies v(s_n) \rightarrow 0$ .

Set  $t_n$  such that  $v(t_n) = \min_{t \leq s_n} v(t)$ . For  $n \gg 0$ ,

$$v'(t_n) \leq 0 \text{ and } v(t_n - \tau) \geq v(t_n).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \geq v'(t_n) &= \langle \nabla V(x(t_n)), f(t_n, x(t_n), x(t_n - \tau)) \rangle \\ &\geq \langle \nabla V(x(t_n)), f(t_n, x(t_n), x(t_n)) \rangle > 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Nicholson does not satisfy **(H3)**, then: why is it (USP)?

**(H3')** There exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle \geq \langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, x) \rangle$$

whenever  $V(x) \leq V(y) \leq \eta$ .

**Nicholson:**  $f(x, y) = -dx + pye^{-y} \implies \textbf{(H3')}$  with  $\eta = 1$  and  $V(x) = x$ .

**(H3')** There exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle \geq \langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, x) \rangle$$

whenever  $V(x) \leq V(y) \leq \eta$ .

**Nicholson:**  $f(x, y) = -dx + pye^{-y} \implies$  **(H3')** with  $\eta = 1$  and  $V(x) = x$ .

**(H3')** is not enough! Reason:  $x(t) - x(t - \tau)$  may be large.

# A standard assumption in population models

**(H4)**  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle \geq -kV(x)$  for some constant  $k$ .

Thus,

$$v'(t) = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) \rangle \geq -kv(t),$$

whence

$$v(t - \tau) \leq e^{k\tau} v(t) \text{ for all } t \geq \tau.$$

Consequence:

$$\textbf{(H1)} + \textbf{(H2')} + \textbf{(H3')} + \textbf{(H4)} \implies \textbf{(SP)}.$$

## But... why is Nicholson (USP)?

Assume **(H1)** + **(H2')** + **(H3')** + **(H4)** and  $i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t) \ll 1$ .  
Then  $i > 0$  and there are 3 cases:

- 1  $v(t) \geq i$  for all  $t \gg 0$ . Then choose as before  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $v(t_n) \rightarrow i$ ,  $v(t_n - \tau) \geq v(t_n)$  and  $v'(t_n) \leq 0$  and a contradiction yields.

## But... why is Nicholson (USP)?

Assume **(H1)** + **(H2')** + **(H3')** + **(H4)** and  $i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t) \ll 1$ . Then  $i > 0$  and there are 3 cases:

- 1  $v(t) \geq i$  for all  $t \gg 0$ . Then choose as before  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $v(t_n) \rightarrow i$ ,  $v(t_n - \tau) \geq v(t_n)$  and  $v'(t_n) \leq 0$  and a contradiction yields.
- 2  $v(t)$  oscillates around  $i$ . Then we may choose a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $v(t_n) \rightarrow i^-$  and  $v'(t_n) \leq 0$ . However, it might happen that  $v(t_n - \tau) < v(t_n)$  for  $n$  large, then **(H3')** + **(H4)** are not of any help.



## But... why is Nicholson (USP)?

Assume **(H1)** + **(H2')** + **(H3')** + **(H4)** and  $i = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t) \ll 1$ . Then  $i > 0$  and there are 3 cases:

- ①  $v(t) \geq i$  for all  $t \gg 0$ . Then choose as before  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $v(t_n) \rightarrow i$ ,  $v(t_n - \tau) \geq v(t_n)$  and  $v'(t_n) \leq 0$  and a contradiction yields.
- ②  $v(t)$  oscillates around  $i$ . Then we may choose a sequence  $t_n \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $v(t_n) \rightarrow i^-$  and  $v'(t_n) \leq 0$ . However, it might happen that  $v(t_n - \tau) < v(t_n)$  for  $n$  large, then **(H3')** + **(H4)** are not of any help.
- ③  $v(t) \rightarrow i^-$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ : same situation.

Wouldn't it be great if

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle \geq c(i) > 0$$

whenever  $V(x), V(y)$  are close to  $i$ ?

For example, if  $v(t) \rightarrow i^-$ , then

$$v'(t) = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) \rangle \geq c$$

for  $t$  large, a contradiction.

Wouldn't it be great if

$$\langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle \geq c(i) > 0$$

whenever  $V(x), V(y)$  are close to  $i$ ?

For example, if  $v(t) \rightarrow i^-$ , then

$$v'(t) = \langle \nabla V(x(t)), f(t, x(t), x(t - \tau)) \rangle \geq c$$

for  $t$  large, a contradiction.

**OK** for (1) but too ambitious when  $N > 1$ : the condition fails for example if  $V(x) = |x|^2$  and  $f(x, y) = y$ .

# And yet it works

Let

$$\theta_i := \limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty, V(x), V(y) \rightarrow i^-} |f(t, x, y)|$$

and observe that if  $v(t) \rightarrow i^-$  then

$$|x(t) - x(t - \tau)| \leq \tau |x'(\xi)| \quad \xi \in [t - \tau, t]$$

and hence:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |x(t) - x(t - \tau)| \leq \tau \theta_i.$$

## At last we get (USP)

**(H2''')**  $\exists r > 0$  such that,  $\forall i \in (0, r)$  and some  $C_i > \tau\theta_i$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty, V(x), V(y) \rightarrow i^-, |y-x| \leq C_i} \langle x, f(t, x, y) \rangle > 0.$$

### Teorema

$$(\mathbf{H1}) + (\mathbf{H2''}) + (\mathbf{H3'}) + (\mathbf{H4}) \implies (\mathbf{USP}).$$

*More precisely, all solutions of (3)-(4) with  $\varphi_j(t) > 0$  for all  $j$  and  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$  satisfy*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(x(t)) \geq \min\{r, e^{-k\tau}\eta\}.$$

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Delayed systems
- 3 Periodic solutions, existence and...
- 4 ...nonexistence: 0 is a global attractor
- 5 References

# Periodic solutions

Consider a continuous function  $a : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$  and define

$$K(t, x, y) := \langle \nabla V(x), f(t, x, y) \rangle + a(t)V(x),$$

$$\phi(t, r) := \sup_{V(x), V(y) \leq r} \frac{K(t, x, y)}{a(t)}.$$

Autonomous system: **(H1)** + **(H2')** and  $\phi(R) < R$  for some  $R$ , then there exists a positive equilibrium point in the region

$$V_\varepsilon^R := \{\varepsilon < V(x) < R\}.$$

Indeed, the field  $f(x, x)$  points outwards over  $\partial V_\varepsilon^R$ .

Faces  $\overline{V_\varepsilon^R} \cap \{x_i = 0\}$  : use **(H1)**.

Bottom cap  $\{V = \varepsilon\}$ : the outer normal is  $-\nabla V$  and **(H2')** guarantees  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, x) \rangle > 0$ .

Top cap  $\{V = R\}$ : the outer normal is  $\nabla V$  and the inequality  $\phi(R) < R$  implies  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, x) \rangle < 0$ .



Faces  $\overline{V_\varepsilon^R} \cap \{x_i = 0\}$  : use **(H1)**.

Bottom cap  $\{V = \varepsilon\}$ : the outer normal is  $-\nabla V$  and **(H2')** guarantees  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, x) \rangle > 0$ .

Top cap  $\{V = R\}$ : the outer normal is  $\nabla V$  and the inequality  $\phi(R) < R$  implies  $\langle \nabla V(x), f(x, x) \rangle < 0$ .

**Important condition:**  $\chi(V_\varepsilon^R) \neq 0$  (e.g.  $\overline{V_\varepsilon^R}$  is a retract of  $C \simeq \overline{B}$ ).

# Closed orbits for the non-autonomous system

Let  $T \geq \tau$  and consider the assumption:

**(H5)** There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\phi(t, R) < R$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Teorema

**(H1) + (H2') + (H3') + (H4) + (H5)  $\implies$  Positive  $T$ -periodic solutions.**

# Closed orbits for the non-autonomous system

Let  $T \geq \tau$  and consider the assumption:

**(H5)** There exists  $R > 0$  such that  $\phi(t, R) < R$  for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Teorema

**(H1) + (H2') + (H3') + (H4) + (H5)  $\implies$  Positive  $T$ -periodic solutions.**

*Idea of the proof:  $\deg(I - K) = \chi(V_\varepsilon^R)$ .*

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Delayed systems
- 3 Periodic solutions, existence and...
- 4 ...nonexistence: 0 is a global attractor**
- 5 References

## Conversely...

Assume that

$$\phi^*(r) := \sup_{t \geq 0} \phi(t, r).$$

is continuous and

**(H6)** For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $V^{-1}(0, \mu) \subset B_\varepsilon(0)$ .

### Teorema

*Assume **(H6)** and suppose there exists  $R_0$  such that  $\phi^*(r) < r$  for  $0 < r < R_0$ . Then every solution with initial data  $\varphi(t) \in (0, R_0)$  for all  $t \in [-\tau, 0]$  tends to 0 as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .*

# Sketch of the proof

If  $v \leq r$  on  $[t - \tau, t]$  and  $v'(t) \geq 0$ , then

$$v(t) \leq \phi(r).$$

Let  $R_{j+1} := \phi^*(R_j) < R_j$ , then two different situations may occur:

- 1 There exists  $t_j \rightarrow +\infty$  such that  $v(t) \in (0, R_j]$  for  $t \geq t_j$ . Then  $v(t) \rightarrow 0$  because

$$\phi^*\left(\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} R_j\right) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \phi^*(R_j) = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} R_j,$$

- 2 There exist  $j$  and  $t_j$  such that  $v(t) \in (R_{j+1}, R_j]$  and decreases strictly for  $t \geq t_j$ . Let  $r := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t)$ , fix  $\tilde{r} > r$  such that  $\phi^*(\tilde{r}) < r$  and  $\tilde{t}$  such that  $v(t) \leq \tilde{r}$  for  $t \geq \tilde{t}$ . Thus  $v(t) \leq \phi^*(\tilde{r}) < r$  for  $t \geq \tilde{t} + \tau$ , a contradiction.

# Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Delayed systems
- 3 Periodic solutions, existence and...
- 4 ...nonexistence: 0 is a global attractor
- 5 References

# References I



L. Berezansky, E. Braverman, L. Idels, *Nicholson's blowflies differential equation revisited: main results and open problems*. Appl. Math. Model, **34**, (2010) 1405–1417.



H. Freedman, P. Moson, *Persistence definitions and their connections*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 109, 4 (1990), 1025–1033.



A. Fonda, *Uniformly persistent semidynamical systems* Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 104, 1 (1988)



H. Smith, H. Thieme, *Dynamical Systems and Population Persistence*. American Mathematical Society, 2011.



J. So, J. S. Yu, *Global attractivity and uniform persistence in Nicholson's blowflies*, Diff. Eqns. Dynam. Syst. **2** (1) (1994) 11–18



**Thanks for your attention!**