

The recent outbreaks of human coronaviruses: A medicinal chemistry perspective

Thanigaimalai Pillaiyar¹ | Lukas L. Wendt¹ |
 Manoj Manickam² | Maheswaran Easwaran³

¹PharmaCenter Bonn, Pharmaceutical Institute, Pharmaceutical & Medicinal Chemistry, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

²Department of Chemistry, PSG Institute of Technology and Applied Research, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India

³Department of Biomedical Engineering, Sethu Institute of Technology, Virudhunagar, Tamilnadu, India

Correspondence

Thanigaimalai Pillaiyar, PharmaCenter Bonn, Pharmaceutical Institute, Pharmaceutical & Medicinal Chemistry, University of Bonn, An der Immenburg 4, D-53121 Bonn, Germany.
 Email: thanigai@uni-bonn.de

Abstract

Coronaviruses (CoVs) infect both humans and animals. In humans, CoVs can cause respiratory, kidney, heart, brain, and intestinal infections that can range from mild to lethal. Since the start of the 21st century, three β-coronaviruses have crossed the species barrier to infect humans: severe-acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV-1, Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 (2019-nCoV). These viruses are dangerous and can easily be transmitted from human to human. Therefore, the development of anticonviral therapies is urgently needed. However, to date, no approved vaccines or drugs against CoV infections are available. In this review, we focus on the medicinal chemistry efforts toward the development of antiviral agents against SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, targeting biochemical events important for viral replication and its life cycle. These targets include the spike glycoprotein and its host-receptors for viral entry, proteases that are essential for cleaving

Abbreviations: 3CL^{pro}, 3C-like protease; ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; ACEi, ACE-inhibitor; ADA, adenosine deaminase; Ang, angiotensin; ARB, angiotensin receptor blocker; ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; CoV, coronaviruses; DPP, dipeptidyl peptidase; ESI-MS, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry; HKU1, HCoV-Hong Kong University 1; HR, heptad repeats; HTS, high throughput screening; ICTV, International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses; IL, interleukin; kDa, kiloDalton; MERS, Middle East respiratory syndrome; MHV2, mouse hepatitis virus-2; M^{pro}, main protease; NIH, National Institutes of Health; ORF, open reading frame; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; PL^{pro}, papain-like protease; pp, polyprotein; RBD, receptor-binding domain; RdRP, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; SARS, severe-acute respiratory syndrome; TACE, TNF-α converting enzyme; TGEV, transmissible gastroenteritis virus; TMPRSS, transmembrane serine protease.

Thanigaimalai Pillaiyar, Lukas L. Wendt, and Manoj Manickam contributed equally to this work.

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

© 2020 The Authors. *Medicinal Research Reviews* published by Wiley Periodicals LLC

polyproteins to produce functional proteins, and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase for viral RNA replication.

KEY WORDS

antivirals, COVID-19, human coronavirus, main protease inhibitors, MERS-CoV, SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2

1 | INTRODUCTION

Coronaviruses (CoVs) infect humans and animals. In humans, CoVs cause primarily multiple respiratory and intestinal infections that can range from mild to lethal.^{1–3} According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV), CoVs constitute the family *Coronaviridae* under the order *Nidovirales*. *Coronaviridae* comprise two subfamilies, *Torovirinae* and *Coronavirinae*, the latter being further divided into four main genera: α -, β -, γ -, and δ -coronaviruses (Figure 1).⁴ The history of human CoVs began in the 1930s, but only in the 1960s, the first human CoVs were identified in patients with mild respiratory infections, which were later named HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43, belonging to α -coronaviruses.^{5–7} Since then, virologists have discovered new viruses, studying their infection mechanisms, as well as their replication, and pathogenesis. This led to the identification of five novel CoVs belonging to β -coronaviruses that have crossed the species barrier to infect humans: HCoV-Hong Kong University 1 (HKU1), HCoV-NL63, severe-acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-CoV-1, Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS)-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19).

The three last-mentioned viruses are extremely dangerous because of their rapid transmission between humans. SARS-CoV-1, which emerged in 2002, affected 8096 in 32 countries, 774 of whom died (fatality rate 10%–15%).⁸ MERS-CoV, which appeared in 2012, affected a total of 1841 individuals, 652 of whom died with the mortality rate of ~35% worldwide.⁹




FIGURE 1 Schematic representation of the taxonomy of Coronaviridae (according to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses). The seven human-infecting coronaviruses belong to the α - or β -coronavirus genus (highly infectious pathogens are highlighted red) [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

The new coronavirus, known as SARS-CoV-2 or 2019-nCoV has been identified as an etiological agent for the current epidemic with a contagious pneumonia-like illness, spreading incredibly rapidly. As of July 15, 2020, the outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 has claimed more than 573 752 lives and infected more than 13 119 239 people around the planet.¹⁰ Public life has come to a halt, as many governments impose social distancing strategies and lockdown to prevent further spread of the virus. To date, no targeted therapeutics or vaccines are approved, and effective treatment options against any human-infecting CoVs remain very limited.

1.1 | Infection cycle of human CoVs and their druggable targets

Human-infecting CoVs belonging to the α - and β -CoV genera infect only mammals. According to the sequence database, all human CoVs have animal origins; HCoV-NL63, HCoV-229E, SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-CoV are suggested to have originated from bats; HCoV-OC43 and HKU1-CoV likely originated from rodents.^{11,12}

CoVs are enveloped, single-stranded, positive-sense RNA viruses featuring the largest viral RNA genomes known to date, ranging roughly from 26 to 32 kilobases. The SARS-CoV-2 genome comprises ~30 000 nucleotides.¹³ For the virus to spread, the information of its structural and functional proteins must be replicated and packed into new virus particles. Since the virus lacks the necessary infrastructure for this process, it is entirely dependent on its host organism to translate its RNA into proteins and make more RNA copies.

To infect its desired host cell, the virus uses its many spike (S) glycoproteins protruding from its membrane.¹³ In general, the life cycle of CoVs can be classified into four main steps, including entry, replication, assembly, and release.

The infection cycle of a CoV (Figure 2) begins with its entry. Using the S glycoprotein, it attaches itself to a surface receptor of the host cell. The host cell receptor and its distribution determine which tissues get infected. The specificity of the S protein to a particular receptor influences viral tropism. CoVs use different human receptors as points of entry: SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2, and HCoV-NL63 use angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2);^{14–16} MERS-CoV uses dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4);¹⁷ CoV-22E uses aminopeptidase N;¹⁸ and HCoV-OC43 as well as HCoV-HKU1 use O-acetylated sialic acid (see Table 1).^{19,20}

When the spike protein attaches to its host cellular receptor, it is cleaved into two parts (S1 and S2) by extracellular proteases. While S1 remains attached to its target, S2 is further cleaved by the host cell's own transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2). This process induces the fusion of the viral membrane with the host cell's membrane.¹⁵

Upon fusion, the contents of the virus particle are released into the host cell's cytoplasm. The virus's genomic positive-sense RNA, which comprises two overlapping open reading frames (ORFs), ORF1a and ORF1b, is quickly translated into two polyproteins, pp1a and pp1ab. These proteins are the so-called replicase-transcriptase-complex, because of their role in replication and further transcription. The newly formed polyproteins are immediately autocatalytically proteolyzed into smaller proteins by two viral proteases, 3C-like protease (3CL^{pro}), otherwise known as main protease (M^{pro}), and papain-like protease (PL^{pro}).^{21,22}

The cleavage products include 16 nonstructural proteins (nsp) like the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP) that facilitates the production of antisense RNA, as well as 4 structural proteins like the S glycoprotein, envelope (E) proteins, membrane proteins (M), and nucleocapsid (N) proteins.^{21–23} Newly generated antisense RNA is used as a template for new copies of viral positive-sense RNA as well as for the production of differently sized subgenomic mRNAs, which can be translated into new viral proteins at the endoplasmic reticulum. Finally, proteins and genomic RNA are assembled, packed into vesicles in the Golgi apparatus and exocytosed to the outside to repeat the process in surrounding cells.²³

This process does not pass unnoticed by the host organism, as infected cells present viral structures on their surface. As a response, many defensive pathways are initiated, such as the production of different cytokines and chemokines like interleukin 1 (IL-1), IL-6, IL-8, IL-21, TNF- β , and MCP-1. The release of these mediators and their effector cells activate inflammatory mechanisms to destroy the intruder.²⁴




FIGURE 2 Infection cycle of coronaviruses, for example, SARS-CoV-2. The figure was adapted with permission from Invivogen (<https://www.invivogen.com/spotlight-covid-19-infection>). SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

The interruption of any stage of the viral life cycle can become an important therapeutic approach for treating CoV-related diseases. A recent SARS-CoV-2-human protein-protein interaction analysis showed that SARS-CoV-2 contains approximately 66 druggable proteins, each of which has several ligand binding sites.²⁵ The most interesting coronavirus proteins are the S glycoprotein, proteases M^{pro} and PL^{pro}, RdRP, and helicase. In this

TABLE 1 Classification, discovery, cellular receptor, and natural intermediate host of the coronaviruses

HCoV genera	Coronaviruses	Discovery	Cellular receptor	Natural host(s)
α -Coronaviruses	HCoV-229E	1966	Human aminopeptidase N (CD13)	Bats
	HCoV-NL63	2004	ACE2	Palm civets, bats
β -Coronaviruses	HCoV-OC43	1967	9-O-Acetylated sialic acid	Cattle
	HCoV-HKU1	2005	9-O-Acetylated sialic acid	Mice
	SARS-CoV-1	2003	ACE2	Palm civets
	MERS-CoV	2012	DPP4	Bats, camels
	SARS-CoV-2	2019	ACE2	Bats, (?)

Note: ? indicate other possible hosts of SARS-CoV-2, besides bats - if they exist - have not been conclusively identified yet.

review, we highlight these targets with potential therapeutic development against the highly dangerous pathogens SARS-CoV-1 and 2, and MERS-CoV. Medicinal chemistry efforts toward the evolution of molecules with drug-like properties is additionally discussed. In addition, broad-spectrum antivirals targeting the major viruses are reviewed in detail, since they represent a highly promising strategy for treating these often fatal respiratory illnesses.

2 | VIRUS ENTRY INHIBITORS

Binding of spike protein (S) to its receptor represents the host's first confrontation with the virus and its life cycle, thus providing prophylactic intervention opportunities. The genome of SARS-CoV-2 has been recently determined to have an 80% identity to that of SARS-CoV-1 and 96% identity to the bat-CoV RaTG13.²⁶ The SARS-CoV-2 S protein shows nucleotide sequence identities of 75% or less to all other previously described CoVs. However, again, the new SARS-CoV-2 S protein shares a 93.1% identity to the S protein of RaTG13. SARS-CoV-2 spike (S) recognizes, with its receptor-binding domain (RBD), the cellular ACE2 receptor with high affinity (K_d , 14.7 nM)²⁷ as judged by surface plasmin resonance spectrometry; and intervention at the RBD-ACE2 interface can potentially disrupt infection efficiency. It was observed that the RBDs of the SARS-CoV-2-ACE2 and SARS-CoV-1-ACE2 complexes are quite similar (Figure 3).²⁹

As mentioned previously, Zhang et al.³⁰ determined the full-length genome sequence of SARS-CoV-2 and revealed that the virus is remarkably similar (89.1% sequence identity) to a group of SARS-like CoVs. Simultaneously, Shi et al.²⁶ reported that SARS-CoV-2 shares 96% sequence identity at a whole-genome level with a bat coronavirus—and importantly, like SARS-CoV-1, SARS-CoV-2 utilizes ACE2 receptor for viral entry. Recently, Yan et al. solved the cryo-EM structure of full-length human ACE2 bound to the RBD of SARS-CoV-2, providing important structural information for therapeutic intervention strategies.²⁹

The sequence identity of the spike protein between SARS-CoV-1 (1273 aa) and SARS-CoV-2 (1253 aa) is 76%. The spike protein has two regions, S1 and S2. The S1 region of the SARS-CoV-1 has a RBD that forms high-affinity interactions with ACE2. The prevailing understanding is that SARS-CoV-2 employs this RBD to enter its human host cell as well. Aligning the two different RBDs revealed a sequence identity of 73.5%. However, many non-conserved mutations that interact directly with ACE2 are located in the two structural regions.³¹ And both crystal and cryo-EM structures of the SARS-CoV-1 spike-ACE2 complex have shown that merely residues of regions 1 and 2 form hydrogen bonds and hydrophobic interactions with ACE2. The mutations in these two regions of SARS-CoV-2 will, therefore, likely reduce the number of those interactions.³²

Studies also have shown that the RdRP, and the M^{pro} are highly conserved between SARS-CoV-2 and SARS-CoV-1.^{33,34} Therefore, it is widely accepted that SARS-CoV-2 behaves similarly to SARS-CoV-1 with regard to viral entry and replication. Since the general genomic layout and replication kinetics are so conserved among MERS, SARS-1, and SARS-2 CoVs, investigating inhibitors of common structures is a logical step.

The inhibitory strength against viral enzyme was expressed as IC₅₀, which is the concentration of the inhibitor needed to inhibit half of the enzyme activity in the tested condition. The K_i value is reflective of ligand-binding affinity to the enzyme. The inhibitory activity for cell-based bioassays is expressed as EC₅₀, which is a half maximal effective concentration required to induce the biological response. The warhead group means a "reactive group" of the inhibitors that can form both covalent and noncovalent interactions with amino acids in the active site of the enzyme.

2.1 | Targeting the RBD

Structural investigations of the RBD-ACE2 complex provided information about essential residues for viral entry. Hsiang et al.³⁵ reported a number of peptides that significantly blocked the interaction of the S protein with ACE2 with IC₅₀ values as low as 1.88 nM. Michael et al. found charged residues between positions 22 and 57 crucial for




FIGURE 3 Comparison of the SARS-CoV-2 S and SARS-CoV-1 S structures: ribbon diagrams of the (A) SARS-CoV-2 S and (D) SARS-CoV-1 S [PDB 6NB6] ectodomain cryo-EM structures. S1 subunits of (B) SARS-CoV-2 S and (E) SARS-CoV-1 S. S2 subunits of (C) SARS-CoV-2 S and (F) SARS-CoV-1 S.²⁸ cryo-EM, cryogenic electron microscopy; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

SARS-CoV-1 viral entry. Based on this, they designed peptides P4 (IC_{50} , 50 μ M) and P5 (IC_{50} , 6.0 μ M) with significant inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-1. The antiviral activity was further improved when they introduced the glycine binding linkage of peptide P4 (residues 22–47) with an ACE2-derived peptide (residues 351–357) against a SARS-CoV-1 pseudovirus with an IC_{50} of 100 nM and devoid of cytotoxicity up to 200 μ M.³⁶ It is worth highlighting that a similar strategy could work for the new SARS-CoV-2. The recently solved cryo-EM structure of SARS-CoV-2 in complex with human ACE2 can provide a structural rationale for the peptide design.²⁹

For viral entry, MERS-CoV uses its spike protein (S) to interact with the host-receptor DPP4,^{37–39} also known as adenosine deaminase-complexing protein-2 or CD26.³⁷ MERS-CoV was also the first virus reported to use this particular path.^{35,37} DPP4 is a type II transmembrane glycoprotein, that forms homodimers on the cell surface, and it is involved in the cleavage of dipeptides.^{37,40} In humans, DPP4 is predominantly found on the bronchial epithelial and alveolar cells in the lower lungs.^{40,41}

MERS-4 and MERS-27 are monoclonal antibodies targeting the RBD of MERS-CoV S that were discovered in a nonimmune yeast-display scFv library screening. The more active MERS-4 potently blocked the infection of DPP4-expressing Huh-7 cells with pseudotyped MERS-CoV (IC_{50} , 0.056 μ g/mL). It also prevented MERS-CoV-induced cytopathogenic effects in MERS-infected Vero E6 cells (IC_{50} , 0.5 μ g/mL).⁴²

A heptad repeat (HR) is a repeating structural pattern of seven amino acids. A crucial membrane fusion framework of SARS-CoV is the 6-helix-bundle (6-HB) that is formed by HR1 and HR2 of the viral S protein. Enfuvirtide (T-20) is an FDA approved HR2 peptide and the first HIV fusion inhibitor. It has opened up new avenues toward identifying and developing peptides as viral entry inhibitors. Such molecules represent a promising strategy against enveloped viruses with class 1 fusion proteins such as Nipah virus, Hendra virus, Ebola virus, and other paramyxoviruses, simian immunodeficiency virus, feline immunodeficiency virus, and respiratory syncytial virus.^{43–46} The HR regions of SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 S protein share a high degree of conservation, and such fusion inhibitors have potential applications in preventing SARS-CoV-2 entry.

Small molecule entry inhibitors, on the other hand, are reported to target the RBD. Compared to peptides, proteins, and biologics, small molecules have several advantages due to lower production costs, improved pharmacokinetics, stability, and dosage accuracy. Sarafianos et al. identified the oxazole-carboxamide derivative SSAA09E2 (**1**; Figure 4) as an entry inhibitor against SARS-CoV-1 by screening a chemical library composed of 3000 compounds.⁴⁷ This inhibitor directly blocks ACE2 recognition by interfering with the RBD with an EC₅₀ value of 3.1 μM and a 50% cytotoxic concentration (CC₅₀) value of greater than 100 μM, not affecting ACE2 expression levels.⁴⁸

Xu et al.⁴⁹ identified two small molecules, TGG (**2**; Figure 4) and luteolin (**3**; Figure 4), that can bind avidly to the SARS-CoV-1 S2 protein and inhibit its entry into Vero E6 cells (EC₅₀: 4.5 μM, 10.6 μM; respectively). Compounds **2** and **3** showed cytotoxicity (CC₅₀) of 1.08 and 0.155 mM, and the selectivity index (SI) values of **2** and **3** were 240.0 and 14.62, respectively. Further studies regarding acute toxicity revealed that the 50% lethal doses of **2** and **3** were ~456 and 232 mg/kg, respectively. These results indicate that these small molecules could be used at relatively high concentrations in mice.⁴⁹ Quercetin (**4**; Figure 4), an analog of **3**, also showed antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-1, with an EC₅₀ value of 83.4 μM and a CC₅₀ value of 3.32 mM.⁵⁰

Ngai et al. reported ADS-J1 (**5**; Figure 4) as a potential SARS-CoV-1 viral entry inhibitor with an EC₅₀ of 3.89 μM. Molecular docking studies predicted that **5** can bind into a deep pocket of the SARS-CoV-1 S HR region




FIGURE 4 Inhibitors targeting the receptor-binding domain

and block viral entry into host cells.⁵¹ Imatinib (6; Figure 4), an Abelson kinase inhibitor, could inhibit CoV S protein-induced fusion with an EC₅₀ value of 10 µM and showed no cytotoxic effects in Vero cells up to 100 µM concentration.^{52,53}

2.2 | Inhibitors targeting the cellular receptor

The genetic code of SARS-CoV-2 shares noticeable similarities with SARS-CoV-1, which caused the SARS epidemic in 2002.^{26,54} More importantly, both viruses have identical mechanisms of infection. SARS-CoV-1 uses the host's ACE2 as a portal to infect cells, which has high expression in the vascular endothelium⁵⁵ and the lung, particularly in type 2 alveolar epithelial cells.⁵⁶ SARS-CoV-2 shares 76% of its spike (S) protein with SARS-CoV-1. Despite a few amino acid differences in its RBD compared to the SARS-CoV-1 S protein, the SARS-CoV-2 S protein binds to ACE2 with even greater affinity²⁷ offering an explanation for its greater virulence and preference for the lung.

ACE, a highly glycosylated type I integral membrane protein, is an essential component of the renin-angiotensin (Ang) system, which controls blood pressure homeostasis. Both ACE1 and ACE2 cleave Ang peptides. However, they differ markedly: ACE1 cuts and converts the inactive decapeptide Ang I into the octapeptide Ang II by removing the dipeptide His-Leu. This Ang II induces vaso- and bronchoconstriction, increased vascular permeability, inflammation, and fibrosis, thus promoting acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and lung failure in patients infected with SARS-CoV-1 or SARS-CoV-2⁵⁷ (Figure 5). Therefore, ACE-inhibitors (ACEis) and angiotensin II receptor blockers (ARBs) could block the disease-propagating effect of Ang II.^{58–60}

ACE2, on the other hand, is a zinc-containing metalloenzyme, and shares merely 42% of its amino acid sequence with ACE1.⁶¹ It cleaves only one amino acid residue (Leu or Phe) from Ang I and Ang II, respectively, generating Ang (1–9) and Ang (1–7) (a vasodilator) (Figure 5). Thus, ACE2 has been considered a potential therapeutic target for cardiovascular diseases.

Virtual screening combined with a molecular docking approach targeting the ACE2 catalytic site with around 140 000 compounds led to the identification of inhibitor N-(2-aminoethyl)-1 aziridine-ethanamine (7; Figure 6) with an IC₅₀ value of 57 µM and a K_i of 459 µM. However, no information about the cytotoxicity of this compound is available so far.⁶²

Chloroquine (8; Figure 6) is a relatively safe, cheap, and effective medication for the treatment of malaria and amebiasis. Savarino et al.⁶³ reported its antiviral effects. At a molecular level, it increases late endosomal and lysosomal pH, resulting in impaired liberation of virions from endosomes or lysosomes. The virus is therefore unable to release its genetic material into the cell and replicate.^{64,65} Furthermore, they hypothesize that chloroquine might block the production of proinflammatory cytokines (such as IL-6), thereby blocking the pathway that subsequently leads to ARDS.⁶³

Chloroquine is reasonably active in vitro against SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-2. It was found to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 with an EC₅₀ value of 5.47 µM in vitro.⁶⁶ Antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-1 was reported with an IC₅₀ of 8.8 µM in Vero cells, but it is unclear how this translates into activity in respiratory epithelial cells and in vivo.^{67,68} Mechanistic studies of chloroquine for SARS-CoV-1 infection revealed that it could also weaken the interaction between the RBD of SARS-CoV-1 and ACE2 by interfering with terminal glycosylation of ACE2, thereby reducing its affinity to SARS-CoV-1 S.⁶⁹

During the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, chloroquine has been recommended by Chinese, South Korean, and Italian health authorities for the experimental treatment of COVID-19,^{70,71} despite contraindications for patients with heart disease or diabetes.⁷² However, health experts and agencies like the US FDA and European Medicines Agency warned against broad uncontrolled use after reports of misuse of low-quality versions of chloroquine phosphate intended for fish.

Hydroxychloroquine (9; Figure 6) is being studied as an experimental treatment for COVID-19.⁷³ However, the benefits of treatment with this drug are unclear.⁷⁴




FIGURE 5 The roles of ACE1 and 2 in the renin-angiotensin system. A, Chemical structures of angiotensin-related peptides and B, Schematic diagram of roles of ACE1 and 2 in renin-angiotensin system. ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Hydroxychloroquine was found to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 with an EC₅₀ value of 0.74 μM in vitro.⁶⁶ Some studies imply synergistic effects of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin. Azithromycin is active in vitro against Zika and Ebola virus^{75,76} and can be used to guard against life-threatening bacterial superinfections when administered to patients suffering from viral infections.⁷⁷ A small study that compared hydroxychloroquine monotherapy and combination treatment with azithromycin found a significant advantage of the combination. While evaluating the efficacy of therapeutic intervention with hydroxychloroquine as monotherapy and its impact in combination with azithromycin, the number of patients testing negative in polymerase chain reaction (PCR) tests was substantially different in the two groups with 100% of patients cured (6 days post inclusion) in the combination arm of the study versus 57% in the monotherapy group. At the same time, 12% of patients in the control group receiving only standard care were cured.^{78,79}

The WHO declared on 18 March that chloroquine and its derivative hydroxychloroquine will be among the four medicines studied in the solidarity clinical trial⁸⁰ for the treatment of COVID-19. In April 2020, the US National Institutes of Health (NIH) also commenced a study with the drug for treating COVID-19 patients.⁸¹

The recent clinical trial involving 96 032 patients with COVID-19 concluded that it was unable to confirm a benefit of hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine, when used alone or in combination with a macrolide such as




FIGURE 6 Inhibitors for SARS-CoV-1 and 2 targeting ACE2. ACE, angiotensin-converting enzyme; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

azithromycin (or clarithromycin).⁸² The study actually reported decreased survival rates for patients treated with each of these drug regimens. Additionally, patients had an increased risk of developing ventricular arrhythmia under treatment. However, still more evidence is needed to adequately assess the drugs' risks or benefits for the treatment or prevention of COVID-19 (it is important to note that chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine are still considered safe treatment options in certain autoimmune diseases and malaria). Besides, the WHO announced the premature pause of its clinical trials using hydroxychloroquine as a safety precaution on 24 May 2020.

On a different note, it was found that ACE2 undergoes proteolytic shedding; releasing an enzymatic ecto-domain during viral entry.⁸³ A disintegrin and metalloproteinase (ADAM), also known as TNF- α converting enzyme (TACE), assisted the shedding regulation of ACE2. Inhibition of this enzyme led to reduced shedding of ACE2. GW280264X (**10**; Figure 6) was found to be a specific inhibitor of ADAM-induced shedding of ACE2 at 1 nM.⁸⁴ Two TACE inhibitors, TAPI-0 (**11**) and TAPI-2 (**12**; Figure 6), reduced ACE2 shedding, with IC_{50} values of 100 and 200 nM, respectively.⁸³

MLN-4760 (**13**; Figure 6) inhibited the catalytic activity of ACE2 with an IC_{50} of around 440 pM.⁸⁵ This is the most potent and selective small-molecule inhibitor against soluble human ACE2 described to date, thus making it a very promising candidate for SARS-CoV-2 interference. It binds to the active site zinc and emulates the transition state peptide. However, no antiviral data for this compound is available at this time.

The interference of a virus-host cell fusion, which is mediated by the viral S protein to its receptor ACE2 on host cells, may be a viable prevention strategy. Umifenovir (**14**; brand name Arbidol), a broad spectrum antiviral drug used against influenza, prevents viral entry by inhibiting virus-host cell fusion.⁸⁶ It is currently being investigated in a clinical trial for the treatment of SARS-CoV-2.^{87,88}

Do ACEis or ARBs amplify SARS-CoV-2 pathogenicity and aggravate the clinical course of COVID-19? After ACE2 was recognized as the SARS-CoV-2 receptor,^{14,29} speculations emerged about potentially negative consequences

of ACEi or ARB therapy in COVID-19 patients. This theory caused confusion in the public and alarmed patients taking these medicines. One report said that the expression of ACE2 was increased in patients with heart disease compared to healthy individuals. It was also insisted that ACE2 expression could be increased by taking ACEis and ARBs,⁸⁹ although there is no supporting report of this happening in the lungs.

In another report, it was suggested that patients suffering from high blood pressure receiving "ACE2-increasing drugs" have a higher risk for severe COVID-19, since ACEis and ARBs could elevate levels of ACE2.⁹⁰

A joint declaration by the presidents of the HFSA/ACC/AHA on 17 March 2020,⁹¹ followed by a similar statement of the European Medicines Agency,⁹² clarified that there was no scientific basis for stopping ACEi or ARB therapy.^{93–95} This was in accordance with the editors of the New England Journal of Medicine.⁹⁶

In case of SARS-CoV, the experimental data showed that such medications may be beneficial rather than damaging, which led to a new therapeutic approach for lung diseases.⁹⁷

2.3 | Proteolytic processing inhibitors

CoVs enter the host cells via both clathrin (endosomal) and nonclathrin pathways (nonendosomal); however, both pathways are dependent upon receptor binding.^{98,99}

The clathrin-mediated pathway involves the binding of CoV S protein to the host receptor followed by the internalization of vesicles that mature to late endosomes. Acidification of the endosome promotes the H⁺-dependent activation of cellular cathepsin L proteinase in late endosomes and lysosomes, which cleaves and activates the S protein, thus initiating viral fusion. Recent research shows that in addition to ACE2 SARS-CoV-2 can also use the host cell receptor CD147 to gain access into host cells.¹⁰⁰

Membrane fusion is also the crucial step for the CoV life cycle in the nonclathrin/endosomal route, in which host proteases such as cathepsin L, TMPRSS2, and TMPRSS11D (airway trypsin-like protease) cut the S protein at the S1/S2 cleavage site to activate the S protein for membrane fusion.¹⁰¹ Interference with this process by targeting these proteases could become an attractive strategy for combating CoV infections. A recent study confirms the role of TMPRSS2 for the viral life cycle in SARS-CoV-2-infected VeroE6 cells.⁵ Furin (a serine endoprotease) activates MERS-CoV to initiate the nonclathrin mediated membrane fusion event.¹⁰²



The neurotransmitter receptor blockers chlorpromazine (15), promethazine (16), and fluphenazine (17; Figure 7), were reported to inhibit MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-1 most probably by impeding S protein-induced fusion.¹⁰³ Chlorpromazine, a clathrin-mediated viral entry inhibitor, was already described to inhibit human CoV-229E, hepatitis C virus, infectious bronchitis virus, as well as mouse hepatitis virus-2 (MHV2).^{104–108}

Matsuyama et al. identified the commercially available serine protease inhibitor camostat (18; Figure 8) to be a SARS-CoV-1 inhibitor, blocking TMPRSS2 activity at 10 μM. However, at a higher concentration (100 μM), inhibition of viral entry via SARS-CoV-1 S protein-mediated cell fusion never exceeded 65% (inhibition efficiency), indicating that 35% of entry events take place via the endosomal cathepsin pathway. Interestingly, treatment with a combination of EST (a cathepsin inhibitor) and 18 resulted in remarkably blocked infection (>95%) activity of pseudotyped viruses.¹⁰⁹

A similar approach has been investigated to prevent viral entry of SARS-CoV-2. Pöhlmann et al. reported the attainment of full inhibition efficiency with a combination of both 18 and E-64d (a cathepsin inhibitor). Both studies indicate that SARS-CoV-1 and 2 enter cells in a similar manner showing the potential of 18 as a candidate for further development.¹⁵

Recently, K11777 (19; Figure 8), a cysteine protease inhibitor, was shown in tissue cultures to inhibit SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV replication in the subnanomolar range.^{110,111} Future tissue culture and animal model studies should be conducted to clarify, whether its antiviral activity is mediated by targeting TMPRSS2.

Teicoplanin is a glycopeptide antibiotic used to prevent infections with Gram-positive bacteria like methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Enterococcus faecalis*. It was found that teicoplanin inhibits the entry of

**FIGURE 7** Neurotransmitter inhibitors targeting clathrin/nonclathrin pathways**FIGURE 8** Inhibitors targeting TMPRSS2, TMPRSS, transmembrane serine protease

SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and Ebola virus by specifically targeting cathepsin L.¹¹² This knowledge has also been used to block the entry of new SARS-CoV-2 pseudoviruses with an IC₅₀ value of 1.66 μM. Therefore, teicoplanin could be considered a potential candidate for the treatment of COVID-19.¹¹³

2.4 | Small-molecules as cathepsin L inhibitors

Human cathepsin L is a cysteine endopeptidase and plays a key role for infection efficiency by activation of the S protein into a fusogenic state to escape the late endosomes. Targeting this protease with small molecules could interfere with virus-cell entry and therefore be a possible intervention strategy for CoV infection.¹¹⁴ Bates et al. identified MDL28170 (20; Figure 9) as an antiviral compound that specifically inhibited cathepsin-L-mediated substrate cleavage, with an IC₅₀ value of 2.5 nM and EC₅₀ value in the range of 100 nM. However, despite its potent inhibitory activity, no cytotoxicity data for **20** is currently available.¹¹⁵

Diamond et al. reported CID 16725315 (21) and CID 23631927 (22; Figure 9) as viral entry inhibitors of SARS-CoV in a cathepsin L inhibition assay. Compound **21** could block cathepsin L with an IC₅₀ value of 6.9 nM, while **22** showed slightly weaker potency (IC₅₀, 56 nM). Compound **22** was also found to inhibit Ebola virus infection (EC₅₀, 193 nM) of human embryonic kidney 293T cells. This compound did not show any sign of toxicity to human aortic endothelial cells up to 100 μM. This data offers a new promising point for the treatment of SARS and Ebola virus infections.¹¹⁶

Screening of ~14 000 compounds in a cell-based assay resulted in the identification of SSAA09E1 (23; Figure 9) as inhibitor of cathepsin L proteinase, with an IC₅₀ value of 5.33 μM. In a pseudotype-based assay in 293T cells, the EC₅₀ value of **23** was around 6.4 μM, and no cytotoxicity was detected below 100 μM.⁴⁸




FIGURE 9 Cathepsin L inhibitors with antiviral activity

Phenotypic screening approaches led to the identification of several viral entry inhibitors. This approach has the advantage of finding cellular-active compounds, providing information on drug solubility and cell uptake.¹¹⁷ On the other hand, it is limited in terms of capacity compared to in silico target-based screening. Hsiang et al. identified emodin (24; Figure 9), the active component from *Polygonum multiflorum* and *Rheum officinale*, could block the interaction of S protein with ACE2, with an IC_{50} value of 10 μ M and an EC_{50} value of 200 μ M in an S protein-pseudotyped retrovirus assay using Vero E6 cells. However, the mechanism of action of this compound still needs to be determined.¹¹⁸ Sarafianos et al.⁴⁸ found that SSAA09E3 (25), a benzamide derivative of 24, could prevent virus-cell membrane fusion in pseudotype-based and antiviral-based assays, with an EC_{50} value of 9.7 μ M, but a CC_{50} value of 20 μ M indicates additional unknown cellular targets.

VE607 (26) was identified among 50 240 structurally diverse small molecules to specifically inhibit SARS-CoV-1 entry into cells using a phenotype-based screening. Its EC_{50} value was reported at 3.0 μ M and it inhibited SARS-CoV-1 plaque formation with an EC_{50} of 1.6 μ M.¹¹⁹ Cathepsin inhibitor E-64-D (27) blocked MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-1 infection as well.^{120,121}

3 | PROTEASES AS A DRUG TARGETS

Papain-like protease (PL^{Pro}), and, predominantly, M^{Pro} are required for the proteolytic cleavage of polyproteins produced by the virus. Together they produce 16 nsp that are involved in viral replication and transcription.¹²² PL^{Pro} is responsible for cleavage at the first three positions of its polyprotein to produce three nsp, while M^{Pro} cleaves at no less than 11 conserved sites, releasing nsp4 to nsp16. M^{Pro} -mediated cleavage generates functional proteins like RdRP, RNA binding proteins, exoribonuclease, helicase, and methyltransferase.¹²³ The indispensable role of M^{Pro} for the viral life cycle and infection process makes M^{Pro} an ideal target for anti-coronaviral therapy.

3.1 | M^{pro} inhibitors

3.1.1 | Structure and function of CoV M^{pro}

M^{pro} is a homodimeric cysteine protease. The SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} consists of three domains: I (residues 8–101), and II (residues 102–184), which are β-barrel domains that shape the chymotrypsin-like structure, while domain III (residues 201–306) is made up by α-helices.¹²⁴ The CoV M^{pro} active site uses a catalytic dyad (Cys145-His41), in which cysteine acts as the nucleophile in the proteolysis while histidine behaves as general acid-base. The peptide substrate or inhibitor binds in a cleft between domains I and II.¹²⁵

As far as the development of new therapeutics against SARS- and MERS-CoV infection is concerned, efforts have mainly focused on protease inhibitors. These enzymes are highly attractive drug targets because they are so essential to the virus. Peptides, peptidomimetics, and even small molecules can inhibit them, which leads to markedly reduced viral transmission and pathogenicity. Although most of the reported molecules display only weak anti-CoV activity, several of studies elucidated structure–activity relationships that can be used to further improve their activity.^{100,126–128}

3.1.2 | Substrate-derived M^{pro} inhibitors


To date, no approved drugs or vaccines are available for treating a coronavirus infection. In a race to identify chemotherapeutic options, various approaches, such as chemical synthesis, testing of natural products, and virtual screening of compound libraries, have been used. The systematic design of inhibitors of CoV M^{pro} was essentially based on the enzyme's substrate. In general, a substrate can be transformed into a good inhibitor by modifying part of its sequence such that it binds to the catalytic cysteine in either a reversible or an irreversible manner. Peptide inhibitors are designed by attaching a reactive group (also known as warhead group) to peptides that mimic the natural substrate. The partial peptide substrate sequence for SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} is mentioned in Figure 10, indicating the specific subsite of each amino acid residue.

3.1.3 | Inhibitors with Michael acceptor as a warhead group

The disclosure of the first crystal structure of the SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} in complex with a peptidic inhibitor Cbz-Val-Asn-Ser-Thr-Leu-Gln-chloromethyl ketone (also known as hexapeptide chloromethyl ketone; 28)¹²⁵ provided clues for the substrate-based design. Although it is a substrate analog for the porcine transmissible gastroenteritis CoV (TGEV) M^{pro}, it offers a structural explanation for the P1-Gln entering into the specific subsite S1 pocket and decreased P2-leucine specificity in the hydrophobic S2 site of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}. Additionally, rupintrivir (29; AG7088), a peptidomimetic inhibitor of human rhinovirus 3C protease is oriented similar to inhibitor 28 in the binding pocket of TGEV M^{pro}.¹²⁹ These two molecules became prototype compounds for the development of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors.

Compound 29 was only weakly active against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} (IC_{50} , 800 μM) also in cellular antiviral assays.¹³⁰ However, systematic structural modifications led to a series of analogs that show moderate to good activity.¹³¹ For example, compound 30 (Figure 11), in which the P1-lactam was replaced by a phenyl ring, showed moderate activity. Compound 31, in which the larger P2 p-fluorophenyl was replaced with a phenyl group, was even more effective. By taking 29 as a lead, Ghosh et al. designed new molecules mainly focusing on the replacement of the large P2 p-fluorobenzyl group. Two of the resulting structures with P2-benzyl (32) and prenyl (33) moieties showed decent inhibitory potencies at both enzymatic (K_{inact} , 0.014 and 0.045 min⁻¹, respectively) and cell-based (IC_{50} , 45 and 70 μM) assays.¹³² Besides, no cytotoxicity was observed for these compounds up to

(A) Substrate sequence



(B)




FIGURE 10 A, SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} partial substrate sequence. B, (Overlay) structures of SARS-CoV M^{pro} inhibitors. M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

100 μM concentration. However, 32 and 33 were inactive at MERS-CoV M^{pro}.¹³³ The same research group further modified the molecule with the introduction of P4 Boc-serine, to establish additional hydrogen bond interactions as described in compound 34 (IC₅₀, 75 μM). Unfortunately, the activity of the resulting compound was not improved. Further modification of the isobutyl group in compound 34 to isoprenyl group in compound 35 displayed potent activity with K_i = 3.6 μM (Figure 11).¹⁴

On the other hand, Yang et al.¹³⁴ reported a series of peptide inhibitors with a greater inhibitory potency. In general, they systematically changed the backbone of inhibitor 29. As a result, they were able to identify more specific residues for each subsite (compounds 36–38; Figure 12): At first, the P1-lactam ring was identified as a more specific moiety for the S1-site, forming multiple hydrogen-bond interactions with the enzyme as can be seen in the crystal structure (36); P2-leucine showed a fourfold increased inhibitory activity when compared to the P2-phenylalanine or -4-fluorophenylalanine (37). A lipophilic *tert*-butyl residue was recognized to be a better P3-moiety than the P3-valine (38). Finally, the replacement of P4-methylisoxazole with a benzyloxy group was the best option for activity enhancement (compare 29 vs 36). They all showed moderate to high antiviral activity against HCoV-229E in cell-based assays.

Shie et al.¹³¹ reported another series of peptide inhibitors with comparatively reduced molecular weight to increase drug-like properties. These pseudo-C2-symmetric inhibitors consist of a Phe-Phe-dipeptidic α,β-unsaturated ester. One of these inhibitors (39) had an outstanding inhibitory activity with an EC₅₀ value of 0.52 μM (see Figure 12). Besides, it displayed remarkable antiviral activity with an EC₅₀ value of 0.18 μM. Structurally, the presence of 4-dimethylamine on the phenyl ring was found to be crucial for activity enhancement.

Another peptidic drug with a Michaelis acceptor was N3 (40), which was reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-1 3CL^{pro} (K_i, 9.0 μM) by Yang et al. It was observed to be a broad-spectrum antiviral compound, also inhibiting other CoVs, such as MERS-CoV M^{pro} (IC₅₀, 0.28 μM),¹³⁵ HCoV-229E, HCoV-NL63, and HCoV-HKU1 M^{pro}.^{135–138} It has also




FIGURE 11 SARS-CoV M^{pro} inhibitors containing Michael acceptor as a warhead group. M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

exhibited high antiviral activity in an animal model of infectious bronchitis virus.¹³⁷ The CC_{50} of **40** is greater than $133 \mu\text{M}$.

SARS-CoV-2 shares only 82% of its genome with its relative SARS-CoV-1. However, essential viral enzymes of both species show sequence similarities of greater than 90%.^{137,139-142} SARS-CoV-2 3CL^{pro} is highly similar to SARS-CoV-1 3CL^{pro} , sharing 96% of its sequence. Therefore, one could expect that SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors are active against SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} . Compound **40** was found to be active against SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} and its value of $k_{\text{obs}}/[I]$ for the COVID-19 virus M^{pro} was determined to be $11\,300 \pm 880 \text{ M}^{-1}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$.¹⁴³ Peptide N3 was co-crystallized with SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} at 2.1 \AA resolution (see Figure 13). Its binding mode to SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} is highly similar to that of other CoV main proteases. Some key features include the Cys-His catalytic dyad and the substrate-binding pocket situated in a gap between domain I and II.

In general, inhibitors possessing a Michael acceptor group as a warhead moiety could form an irreversible (covalent) bond with the catalytic cysteine residue in the following manner (Figure 14): First, the cysteine residue undergoes 1,4-addition at the inhibitor's Michael acceptor group (warhead). Rapid protonation of the α -carbanion from $\text{His}-\text{H}^+$ leads to the covalent bond formation between the warhead of the inhibitor and the cysteine residue.

3.1.4 | Inhibitors with aldehyde as a warhead group

Although the above-described inhibitors with 1,4-Michael acceptors (e.g., α,β -vinyl ethyl ester, $-\text{CH}=\text{CH}-\text{C}(\text{O})-\text{OEt}$) showed enzymatic or cell-based in-vitro activities, they can be cleaved to their carboxylic acids by plasma esterases; for instance, AG7088 (**29**) was inactive in the plasma of rodents and rabbits.^{144,145} Therefore, scientists explored different reactive groups that are stable in vivo.




FIGURE 12 Broad-spectral antiviral compounds containing a Michael acceptor




FIGURE 13 The crystal structure of COVID-19 virus M^{pro} in complex with N3. (A) Representation of the dimeric M^{pro}-inhibitor complex. (B) Surface representation of the homodimer of M^{pro}. Protomer A (blue), protomer B (salmon), compound N3 is presented as green sticks. (C) Schematic view of compound N3 (40) in the substrate-binding pocket.¹⁴³ M^{pro}, main protease [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 14 Mechanism of inhibitors with Michael acceptor group [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Based on the highly potent 1,4-Michael-acceptor-based inhibitor **38**, which they had previously developed (see Figure 15), Yang et al.¹³⁴ designed a peptide with a new efficient cysteine-reactive group, using an aldehyde moiety. In addition, the P2-leucine and the Michael groups of **38** were modified by a cyclohexyl unit and aldehyde group respectively to improve cellular activity. Indeed, the resulting peptide-aldehyde **41** (Figure 15) showed remarkable activity against SARS-CoV-1 and HCoV-229E M^{pro}.¹³⁴ It displayed promising antiviral activities decreasing viral load by 4.7 log (at 5 μ M) for SARS-CoV-1 and 5.2 log (at 1.2 μ M) for HCoV-229E. This compound was stable in rat, mouse, and human plasma (even after 120 min, more than 70% of it remained in respective cells).

Kumar et al.¹⁴⁶ reported another series of peptide-aldehyde inhibitors with reduced molecular weight. Selected examples (**42**, **43**) are depicted in Figure 15. They were potent, cell-membrane permeable, dual M^{pro} inhibitors of SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV, without cytotoxicity (CC₅₀ > 100 μ M). Compound **43**, in particular, revealed highly potent activity against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} (IC₅₀, 0.2 μ M) and MERS-CoV M^{pro} (IC₅₀, 1.7 μ M). It displayed antiviral activity (EC₅₀, 0.06 μ M) lowering the viral load and the secretion of virus particles in MERS-CoV-infected cells. Also, it displayed broad-spectrum antiviral activity against other human α - and β -CoVs.

Akaji et al. discovered a series of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors derived from its natural peptide substrate. Initially, they designed a pentapeptide (Ac-Ser-Val-Leu-N(CH₃)₂Gln-CHO, **44**) with M^{pro} inhibitory activity of 37 μ M.¹⁴⁷ SAR studies of **44** led to inhibitor containing P1-imidazole with improved potency (**45**; IC₅₀, 5.7 μ M).




FIGURE 15 SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV M^{pro} inhibitors with peptide aldehyde functionality. M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

Further systematic structural modifications, primarily concentrating on P1-, P2-, and P4-moieties, driven by X-ray structure-based analyses of the M^{pro}-inhibitor complex, led to the identification of inhibitor **46** with remarkable inhibitory activity (IC_{50} , 98 nM). The crystal structure of M^{pro} with **46** revealed significant binding interactions in the active site. The P1-imidazole nitrogen atom created a hydrogen bond with the histidine residue's imidazole nitrogen, and the P2-cyclohexyl moiety fitted well into the S2-subsite. This compound was characterized as a competitive inhibitor without covalent bond formation.

The same research group disclosed a novel series of peptide inhibitors containing a decahydroisoquinoline moiety in place of P2-cyclohexyl of **46** to reduce the peptidic nature of the inhibitors. A few examples (**47–51**) are shown in Figure 16. Among them, **49** was moderately more active against SARS-CoV M^{pro} when compared to **46**.¹⁴⁸ The X-ray structure of M^{pro} in complex with **49** revealed that the P2-decahydroisoquinoline moiety was fittingly placed in the S2-subsite, while the P1-imidazole moiety occupied the S1-subsite. With these key residues located appropriately in their respective pockets, the terminal functional group fits tightly into the active site.

This group further extended their study to find inhibitors that interact with S2 to S4 subsites. Taking **49** as a lead, they designed a new compound, by combining a nonprime substituent at the decahydroisoquinoline moiety,




FIGURE 16 Peptide inhibitors containing cyclohexyl and decahydroisoquinoline groups [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

as shown in example **52**.¹⁴⁹ The resulting **52** showed more than twofold increased M^{pro} inhibitory activity compared to **49**. This indicates that the additional interactions at S2–S4 sites enhance inhibitory activity.

Rather recently, the same research group explored the ability of octahydroisochromene to interact with the hydrophobic S2 pocket as an innovative P2-moiety.¹⁵⁰ To identify the best specific configuration, all possible diastereomers were evaluated. It was found that the molecule with (1*S*,3*S*)-octahydroisochromene **53–56** could secure the optimal position of the P1-imidazole as well as the aldehyde functional group at the active site. Additionally, the N-butyl side chain attached to the 1-position of the fused ring system was recognized to be important for establishing hydrophobic interactions.

In 2018, Groutas et al.¹⁵¹ disclosed a novel class of dual MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors that contain a P3-piperidine moiety (**58–59**; Figure 17). These inhibitors were derived from the dipeptidic-aldehyde bisulfite adduct **57** (GC376), which was clinically studied as a protease inhibitor for its efficacy against CoVs such as the feline infectious peritonitis virus (FIPV). Compounds **58** and **59** showed potent antiviral activity toward MERS-CoV in cell-based bioassays (EC₅₀, 0.5 μM for **58** and 0.8 μM for **59**). SAR studies revealed that the piperidine moiety engaged in favorable hydrophobic interactions at the S3 and S4 pockets of the protease.

The X-ray crystal structures of MERS-CoV 3CL^{pro} in complex with inhibitor **59** showed that the piperidine ring is likely projecting toward the S4 subsite. Additionally, **59** was engaged in backbone H-bonds with Gln192, Gln167, and Glu169.

Azapeptide epoxides (APEs) are another class of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors, although they were originally developed for clan CD cysteine peptidases.^{152,153} The epoxide *S,S*-diastereomer **60** (K_i_{act}/K_i, 1900 (±400) M⁻¹·s⁻¹; Figure 17) exhibited the best inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV M^{pro}.¹⁵⁴ The X-ray structure of M^{pro} in complex with **60** confirmed the formation of a covalent bond between the cysteine-S atom and the epoxide C-3. It is worth noting that the *S,S*-configured epoxide is required for the activity.

Very recently, Dai et al. designed and synthesized two novel peptidomimetic SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} inhibitors **61** and **62** (Figure 18) which exhibited extremely high inhibitory activity on purified M^{pro} with IC₅₀ values of 50 and 40 nM, respectively. Furthermore, the group observed high antiviral activity of both compounds in cell-based assays (**61**: EC₅₀, 0.42 μM; **62**: EC₅₀, 0.33 μM). X-ray structures were determined for both derivatives in complex with SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} at 1.5 Å, providing detailed information about the binding pockets. Similar to related molecules that employ the aldehyde moiety as a warhead, a covalent bond with the active-site Cys145 was demonstrated for both structures. Cytotoxicity assays revealed CC₅₀ values greater than 100 μM.¹⁵⁵

3.1.5 | Ketoamide inhibitors

Liu et al. reported dipeptidic α-ketoamides as broad-spectrum antiviral agents against the main proteases of human α and β-CoVs as well as the 3C protease of enterovirus. The α-ketoamide warhead group was promising, as it provides two hydrogen bond acceptors—one from the keto and one from the amide oxygen—whereas other warhead groups, such as Michael acceptor esters and aldehydes, provide only one hydrogen bond acceptor. Compound **63** was identified as SARS-CoV M^{pro} inhibitor with an IC₅₀ value of 1.95 μM (Figure 19).¹⁵⁶

Taking **63** as a lead, aided by its X-ray structure in complex with SARS-CoV-1, HCoV-NL63, and coxsackievirus M^{pro}s, systematic structural modifications were investigated, focusing on the P2-moiety. As a result, the replacement of P2-phenyl with P2-cyclohexyl (**64**) was found to be the best substitution, while P2-cyclopentyl (**65**) showed similar potency against the enzyme SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}. In Huh7 cells, **64** also showed strong antiviral activity with an EC₅₀ of 400 pM, but in Vero cells the antiviral activity of **64** was drastically reduced to 5 μM. This compound also exhibited antiviral activity against a range of enteroviruses in various cell lines.

Due to the high similarity between SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} and SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} authors speculated that **64** was likely to inhibit the new virus as well. Zhang et al. recently reported this molecule as a SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} inhibitor with an IC₅₀ value of 0.18 μM. They first resolved the unliganded crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}




FIGURE 17 Inhibitors with aldehyde, aldehyde bisulfite adduct, and epoxide warhead group

(Figure 20),¹⁵⁷ which is largely identical to that of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} with a 96% sequence identity. Compound 64 was docked to SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} , and a series of structural modifications were performed to improve its pharmacokinetic properties. Specifically, masking the P2-P3 amide bond with the pyridone ring could improve plasma half-life; and exchanging the lipophilic cinnamoyl residue for the less lipophilic Boc group, could increase plasma solubility and reduce its binding to plasma proteins.




FIGURE 18 Peptidomimetic SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} inhibitors with P3-indole moiety. M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus




FIGURE 19 Ketoamide inhibitors targeting SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} . M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus




FIGURE 20 Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} .¹⁵⁷ M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

Indeed, the resulting **66** had a ~3-fold improved plasma half-life in mice when compared to the lead **65** (from 18 min to 1 h). The in vitro kinetic plasma solubility has been increased by a factor of ~19 (from 6 μM for the lead to 112 μM for best derivative), and the thermodynamic solubility by a factor of ~13 (from 41 to 530 μM). Compound **66** also showed reduced binding to mouse plasma protein. However, compared to the lead (IC_{50} , 0.18 μM), the structural modifications caused a reduction of activity against SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} (IC_{50} , 2.39 μM) and enteroviral 3C proteases. Nevertheless, the introduction of a cyclopropyl group as in **67** instead of P2-cyclohexyl enhanced the antiviral activity against β -coronaviruses.

Compound **67** (Figure 19) inhibited purified SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} with an IC_{50} of 0.67 μM . It also inhibited SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} (IC_{50} , 0.90 μM) and MERS-CoV M^{pro} (IC_{50} , 0.58 μM) with similar potency. It was effective against SARS-CoV-1 replication with an EC_{50} value of 1.75 μM . In SARS-CoV-2 infected human Calu3 cells, it inhibited the viral replication with an EC_{50} of 4–5 μM , when in fact the Boc-unprotected **68** was inactive, suggesting a bulky hydrophobic group is necessary for cellular membrane penetration. On the other hand, increasing hydrophobicity of molecules should be pondered carefully, as it can increase plasma protein binding as it was described for **64**. The pharmacokinetic properties of **67** revealed striking lung tropism and was suitable for inhalation in mice without any perceived adverse effects.

Compound **67** was cocrystallized with the enzyme in two different forms at 1.95 and 2.20 \AA (Figure 21). The key feature observed from this crystal structure was that the inhibitor binds to the shallow substrate-binding site




FIGURE 21 Crystal structure of **67** with SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} . M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

at the surface of each protomer, between domains I and II. The thioketal that resulted from the nucleophilic Cys145 attacking the inhibitor, is stabilized by a H-bond from His41, whereas the amide oxygen of **67** accepts a H-bond from the main-chain amides of Gly143, Cys145, and in part, Ser144 that make up the cysteine protease's canonical oxyanion hole.¹⁵⁷ The P1 lactam moiety is deeply embedded in the S1 pocket where the lactam nitrogen donates a three-center H-bond to the main chain oxygen of the Phe140 and the carboxylate of Glu166. The carbonyl oxygen forms a H-bond to His163. The P2-cyclopropyl moiety fits into the S2 subsite. The P3-P2 pyridone moiety occupies the space normally filled by the substrate's main chain. The Boc group is not situated in the canonical S4 site, rather it is located near Pro168, which explains why the removal of the Boc group as in **68** weakened the inhibitory activity.

3.1.6 | Inhibitors with electrophilic ketone

It was envisioned that a fluorinated ketone moiety could be utilized as a warhead for targeting proteases, because it forms a thermodynamically stable hemiketal or hemithioketal after nucleophilic attack by Ser-OH or Cys-SH residues, which are present in the active sites of serine or cysteine proteases, respectively (see Figure 22).

Initially, Hayashi et al. reported a series of natural-substrate-derived peptide inhibitors containing a trifluoromethyl ketone warhead targeting SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}. Compound **69** (Figure 22) was the best of the series with a K_i value of 116 μM against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}.¹⁵⁸ It was sequentially modified mainly focusing on the warhead moiety since the formation of a cyclic structure prevented the nucleophilic attack by cysteine at the active site. This study led to the discovery of **70** containing a P1-lactam and P1'-thiazole moiety with a >50-fold increase in inhibitory activity compared to **69**.¹⁵⁹ Docking studies of **70** to M^{pro} highlighted key H-bond interactions with backbone amino acid residues Cys143, Ser144, and Cys145. The nitrogen atom of the thiazole warhead moiety also engaged in H-bond interactions, and the P1-lactam nicely fitted into the S1-pocket.

Continued computer-assisted structural design led to a tripeptide containing benzothiazole as a warhead group and an *m*-N,N-dimethylaminophenyl group as P4-moiety (**71**).¹⁶⁰ This compound was extremely potent in inhibiting M^{pro} of SARS-CoV-1 with a K_i value of 3.1 nM. Docking studies of **71** confirmed that the benzothiazole group was tightly bound to the active site. Consequently, the same research group disclosed a series of dipeptides with reduced molecular weight in an attempt to improve drug-like properties. The P3-valine in the tripeptide **71** was exchanged for a variety of functional groups.¹⁶¹ The study determined N-arylglycyl to be the optimal P3-moiety. Compound **72** displayed the best inhibitory activity. Docking studies of **72** to the protease highlighted the amino hydrogen of the P3-N-phenyl glycyl forming a H-bond with backbone Glu166 of M^{pro}, in addition to the best P2-leucine and P1'-benzthiazole moieties (see Figure 23A). Further structural optimization at the P3-N-arylglycyl moiety found the indole-2 carbonyl group to be one of the best P3-moieties, thus reaching inhibitors with low nanomolar potency, for example **73** (K_i, 0.006 μM) against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}.¹⁶² Docking studies of compound **73** to the protease revealed that the indole amino hydrogen and the carbonyl group attached to the 2-position formed H-bond interactions with the backbone Glu166 (see Figure 23B). These interactions are of great importance, seeing as shifting the position of the carbonyl group from position 2 to 3, or replacing the indole with benzofuran drastically reduced inhibitory potency.

Zhao et al. reported a series of trifluoromethyl ketones. Among them, **74**, which has the same sequence as the peptide substrate from sites P1 to P4, exhibited moderate inhibitory activity with an IC₅₀ value of 10 μM. Inhibitor **74** also displayed time-dependent inhibition (K_i, 0.3 μM).¹⁶³

Zhang et al. described a series of dipeptides containing difluoromethyl ketone as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. Compound **75** displayed the best inhibitory activity in infected Vero and Caco-2 cell cultures with an IC₅₀ value of 2.5 μM. It also exhibited little toxicity.¹⁶⁴

A library of small peptide-anilides was developed as anti-SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} agents (**77–80**; Figure 23). These inhibitors were basically designed from niclosamide (**76**) which was inactive at M^{pro} of SARS-CoV-1. Proper structural




FIGURE 22 Peptide inhibitors containing electrophilic ketone warheads [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]




FIGURE 23 (A) Docking poses of **72** and (B) **73** with SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} . M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

modifications led to the discovery of **77** (IC_{50} , 0.06 μ M). It behaved as a competitive, noncovalent inhibitor (K_i , 0.03 μ M). SAR investigations pointed out that the *N,N*-dimethyl group on the phenyl ring, and electron-withdrawing groups at the warhead phenyl are important. Structural modification of **77** resulted in compounds **78**–**80** displaying reduced potency.¹⁶⁵

A novel series of ketoglutamide tripeptides bearing a phthalhydrazido warhead group were identified as reversible SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors (**81**–**84**; Figure 24).¹⁶⁶ Among them, compound **83** showed the best inhibition (IC_{50} , 0.6 μ M). SAR studies revealed the presence of β and β' -amino functionality adjacent to the keto and the intramolecular hydrogen bond to the carbonyl group made the keto center more electrophilic and inclined to build a hemithioacetal with Cys-SH at the active site. Additionally, the hydrophobic P3-benzyloxy moiety, the P1-lactam, and the nitro group significantly contributed to the activity increment.

Wang et al.¹⁶⁷ described the development of selective and reversible SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors derived from HIV proteases inhibitors (Figure 25). The compound **85** as a SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} lead inhibitor was continuously modified to obtain **86** and **87**. These derivatives were highly selective toward SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} versus HIV protease. Docking studies of **87** to M^{pro} demonstrated that both indole amino hydrogens establish H-bond networks with side chain His142 and His41.

3.1.7 | Small molecule inhibitors of M^{pro}

Benzotriazole esters (**88**–**91**; Figure 26) were discovered as novel nonpeptidic irreversible inhibitors of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} .¹⁶⁸ Among them, **91** exhibited the best enzymatic inhibitory activity, but no antiviral activity in cell-based assays. The covalent binding mode of **91** was confirmed by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) analyses.

With a slight structural modification from benzotriazole ester, Zhang et al. reported a series of active halo-pyridyl esters containing thiophene, furan, and indole moieties (**92**–**95**; Figure 26). Among them, **93** displayed the highest enzymatic inhibitory activity at SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} .¹⁶⁹ However, no antiviral activity for this compound was communicated. The irreversible binding mode of **93** was confirmed by ESI-MS analysis.^{170,171}




FIGURE 24 Small peptide anilides and ketoglutamide tripeptides as SARS-CoV-1 inhibitors. SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus




FIGURE 25 SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors derived from HIV proteases inhibitors. HIV, human immunodeficiency virus; M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

Ghosh et al.¹⁷² studied the SARs of halopyridinyl indole carboxylates and identified a series of analogs (**96–101**; Figure 27) as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors in the nanomolar potency range. The best derivative (**100**) had high enzymatic inhibitory potency (IC_{50} , 0.030 μ M) and antiviral activity (EC_{50} , 6.9 μ M). Compound **97** was also observed to inhibit the MERS-CoV M^{pro} both in enzymatic and cell-based (EC_{50} , 12.5 μ M) bioassays.¹⁷³ This molecule covalently modified M^{pro}, which was confirmed by MALDI-TOF studies.

5-Halopyridinyl esters are troublesome drug candidates because of their potential for rapid hydrolysis by various esterases and other enzymes in mammalian cells. They can potentially also react nonspecifically with other thiols and nucleophiles, a recipe for cytotoxicity. To bypass this problem by developing stable noncovalent inhibitors, Zhang et al.¹⁷⁴ reported a group of methylene ketones and analogous mono- and di-fluorinated methylene ketones based on pyridinyl esters (**102** and **103**; Figure 28) as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. Enzymatic investigations and ESI-MS experiments illustrate that those inhibitors bind to their target in a noncovalent, reversible manner.





FIGURE 26 Active esters as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus




FIGURE 27 SAR of halopyridinyl indole carboxylates as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

An HPLC-based screening of electrophilic compounds identified the etacrynic acid-derived amide **106** and ester **107** as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors with moderate potency.¹⁷⁵ Etacrynic carboxamide (**105**; K_i, 35.3 μM) bound more strongly to SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} than to papain protease, while etacrynic acid ester **104** was more active at papain protease (K_i, 3.2 μM) than at SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} (K_i, 45.8 μM; Figure 28). SAR studies suggested that chloro substituents were necessary for protease inhibition. Docking studies of **105** to M^{pro} revealed that it forms hydrogen bonds with Gln189, Glu166, Thr190, and Gln192 with its terminal amino group. The Michael system carbonyl group interacts with Gly143, and the reactive double bond remained next to the Cys145 sulfur.

Previously, isatin (2,3-dioxoindole) derivatives were observed to inhibit rhinovirus 3C protease.¹⁷⁶ Due to the structural similarity between the rhinovirus 3C protease and SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}, these derivatives were tested against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}. Among them, **106** (IC₅₀, 0.95 μM) and **107** (IC₅₀, 0.98 μM) exhibited the best SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitory activity in the low micromolar range.¹⁷⁶ SAR studies suggested that the inhibition efficiency was mainly reliant on hydrophobic and electronic properties of the isatin core substitution pattern. Docking studies revealed that the molecules fit well in the active site of the protease. Both carbonyl groups of the isatin core engaged in H-bonds with NH of Gly143, Ser144, Cys145, and His41. Compounds **106** and **107**¹⁷⁶ were more selective for SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} than other proteases like papain (**106**, 103 μM; **107**, 87.24 μM), chymotrypsin (**106**, ~1 mM; **107**, 10.4 μM), and trypsin (**106**, 362 μM; **107**, 243 μM; Figure 28).

Zhou et al. extended the SAR studies for further activity improvement. Compound **108** bearing carboxamide showed the best SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitory activity. However, this derivative did not bind covalently to the Cys145 residue of the active site.¹⁷⁷ Further structural investigations at the carboxamide of **108** with a variety of substituted sulfonamides did not improve the activity. Compound **109** was the best one of that series (Figure 28).¹⁷⁸




FIGURE 28 Etacrylic acid and isatin derivatives as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

The modification of **110**, identified by high-throughput screening (HTS; Figure 29), led to pyrazolone and pyrazole derivatives **111** and **112** as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors.^{179,180} Taking these as leads, Ramajeyam et al.¹⁸¹ reported compounds **112–114** to be the best-performing inhibitors of the series (IC_{50} 5.5, 6.8, 8.4 μM , respectively). They also observed moderate inhibitory activity against CVB3 3C $^{\text{pro}}$. Structure-functionality analyses illustrated that the benzylidene ring next to pyrazolone C4 in addition to electron-withdrawing groups, favors inhibitory activity. Molecular modeling studies of **112** predicted that for its inhibitory function, the N1-phenyl residue in the M^{pro} S1 site as well as the carboxyl benzylidene moiety in the S3 pocket are important.

Kumar et al. described furan-inserted pyrazolone derivatives as dual SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} and MERS-CoV M^{pro} inhibitors (**115–118**; Figure 29).¹⁸² Compounds **115**, **117**, and **118** exhibited the best dual inhibitory activities. Compounds **115** and **116** also displayed inhibitory activity against H5N1 neuraminidase (IC_{50} 2.8, 2.9 μM , respectively).¹⁸³ Ramajeyam et al. also disclosed a range of pyrimidine derivatives as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors (**119–121**). Compound **121** showed high inhibitory potency with an IC_{50} value 6.1 μM .¹⁸¹

HTS of NIH molecular libraries (~293 000 substances) yielded the dipeptide **122** containing 3-pyridyl as hit compound against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} with an IC_{50} value of 2.2 μM (Figure 30). Preliminary SAR studies identified **123** and **124** as the most promising inhibitors of the series.^{184,185}

The X-ray crystal structure of **123** attached to SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} highlighted the compound's identical orientation in the pocket to that of established covalent peptidomimetic inhibitors (Figure 31). The compound with an R-configuration occupied the S3-S1' subsites of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} . Indeed, only (R)-**123** was able to inhibit the M^{pro} enzyme with an IC_{50} value of 1.5 μM , while the (S)-enantiomer was inactive. (R)-**123** inhibited SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} in a competitive manner (K_i , 1.6 μM) with a noncovalent mode of inhibition. (R)-**123** also showed antiviral activity (12.9 μM) in mock infected and SARS-CoV-1 infected Vero E6 cells.

To enhance the inhibitory activity, SAR study efforts around P1' of **123** provided compounds containing imidazole (**125**) and 5-chlorofuran (**126**) with equipotent activity to lead **123** (Figure 30). Next, the exploration of




FIGURE 29 Pyrazoles and pyrimidines as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

P1 3-pyridyl unit of **123** revealed pyridazine (**127**) and pyrazine (**128**) which were only tolerated, albeit without any improvement.

The same group of researchers discovered potent, noncovalent SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} blockers based on a benzotriazole scaffold in an MLPCN screening,¹⁸⁶ resulting in hit compound **129** (Figure 32) with a SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} IC₅₀ value of 6.2 μM.

SAR studies focusing on the benzotriazole moiety of **129** were performed to improve activity. The replacement of this group with 4-phenyl-1,2,3-triazole (as in **130**) was somewhat tolerated (IC₅₀ of 11 μM; Figure 32).




FIGURE 30 Simple dipeptide derivatives as SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors. M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

Further modifications to the acetamide (P2-P1' region) resulted in molecules bearing a thiophene ring on one side and a branched *i*-propyl amide (**131**) or cyclobutylamide (**132**) on the other—reaching IC_{50} values below 5 μM .

To cut overall molecular weight of the inhibitors, P3-truncation was performed, which led to potent derivatives (**133–137**; Figure 32). Compound **137** displayed extremely high inhibition (IC_{50} , 51 nM).

SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors were also discovered from medicinal plants. In 2011, Ryu et al.¹⁸⁷ disclosed a range of inhibitors obtained from *Torreya nucifera* leaves. Of all the isolated chemicals, the biflavone, amentoflavone (**138**; Figure 33), was identified as a potent noncompetitive inhibitor with an IC_{50} of 8.3 μM . Docking studies of **138** identified the interactions of Val186 and Gln192 as major sites at the target.

They also isolated a series of terpenoids from *T. nucifera* as anti-SARS-CoV M^{pro} agents (Figure 33).¹⁸⁷ Among them, ferruginol (**139**; IC_{50} 49.6 μM) was the most active compound. Additionally, they isolated quinone-methide triterpenoids celastrol (**140**), pritimererin (**141**), and tingenone (**142**) from methanol extracts of *Tripterygium regelii*




FIGURE 31 The X-ray crystal structure of **123** bound to the binding pocket of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} (PDB ID: 3V3M). Pockets S1'-S3 are highlighted. M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus [Color figure can be viewed at wileyonlinelibrary.com]

which exhibited fair inhibition activity (IC_{50} 2.6, 9.9, 5.5 μM , respectively). SAR studies indicated that for effective inhibition, the quinone-methide group in ring A and the more lipophilic ring E were critical. All compounds were characterized as competitive inhibitors using kinetic analyses.

Wen et al.¹⁸⁸ reported abietane-type diterpenoids and lignoids with a powerful anti-SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} effect. Especially betulinic acid (**143**) and savinin (**144**) effectively inhibited SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} (K_i 8.2 μM , 9.1 μM , respectively) (Figure 33). These inhibitors acted in a competitive manner.

Lu et al. discovered two hit SARS-CoV-1 3CL pro inhibitors, sulfone **145** and dihydroimidazole **146**, by structure-based virtual screening of a compound library of 58 855 chemicals (Figure 34).¹⁸⁹ The central structural elements of the hits, determined in docking experiments, were then used for additional analog searches.

Computational similarity screening discovered 21 analogs from these hits. Among them, the two best compounds **147** and **148** display IC_{50} values of 0.3 and 3 μM , respectively. A variety of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors have been identified through virtual screening (VS) as an alternative to HTS. VS of 50 240 structurally diverse small molecules allowed to identify 104 molecules with anti-SARS-CoV-1 activity. Compound **149** (Figure 34) demonstrated potent enzyme inhibition (IC_{50} , 2.5 μM) and an EC_{50} of 7 μM in Vero cell-based SARS-CoV-1 plaque reduction assays

Virtual screening identified the serotonin antagonist cinanserin (**150**, Figure 35) as a potential inhibitor of M^{pro} . It had previously shown activity against SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} with an IC_{50} value of 5 μM .¹⁹⁰ Subsequent tests revealed its anti-SARS-CoV-2 activity (EC_{50} , 20.6 μM) and an IC_{50} value of 125 μM (SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro}).

Their HTS yielded seven primary hits including the approved drugs disulfiram (**151**) and carmofur (**152**), as well as ebselen (**153**), shikonin (**154**), tideglusib (**155**), and PX-12 (**156**) (Figure 35).

Using MS/MS analysis, they deduced that ebselen (**153**) and **156** are irreversible inhibitors of M^{pro} by covalently attaching to Cys145 of the catalytic dyad. Molecular docking was used to illustrate how **151**, **154**, and **155** bind to M^{pro} . Antiviral activity assays, using real-time reverse transcription-PCR, indicated that ebselen and inhibitor "N3" (**40**; Figure 12) had the strongest antiviral effects. Ebselen displayed an EC_{50} value of 4.67 μM , and "N3" showed an EC_{50} value of 16.77 μM in a plaque-reduction assay. Ebselen's IC_{50} value for SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} was reported at 0.67 μM . The activity data of remaining compounds is summarized in Figure 35.

Ebselen has been studied for an array of diseases and has a very low toxicity.¹⁹¹⁻¹⁹³ Its safety has been demonstrated in clinical trials.^{191,192,194} It can therefore be considered a promising molecule for the treatment or prevention of CoV infections.




FIGURE 32 SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors containing the benzotriazole scaffold. M^{pro} , main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

3.2 | Coronavirus PL^{pro} inhibitors

Along with the M^{pro} , papain-like protease (PL^{pro}) also cleaves polyproteins which is an important process for viral replication. PL^{pro} cleaves at the first three positions creating three nonstructural functional proteins (nsp1-nsp3). In particular, nsp3 is central for the generation of the viral replication complex. The multifunctionality of PL^{pro} in deubiquitinating, de-ISGylation (ISG: interferon-stimulated gene),^{195,196} and in the evasion of the innate immune response make PL^{pro} an attractive antiviral drug target.

PL^{pro} is a cysteine protease and its active site contains a catalytic triad composing of Cys112-His273-Asp287. Cys112 behaves as a nucleophile, and His273 is a general acid-base. Asp287 helps His273 to align perfectly, thus promoting His to deprotonate Cys-SH.

Ghosh et al.¹⁹⁷ contributed significantly to the development of SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} inhibitors based on the naphthalene scaffold. Two lead compounds **157** and **158** (Figure 36) were identified by an HTS of a chemical library containing greater than 50 000 compounds. They both inhibit PL^{pro} of SARS-CoV-1 at a moderate potency




FIGURE 33 Flavone and terpenoid derivatives with inhibitory activity against SARS-CoV-1 3CL^{pro}. 3CL^{pro}, 3C-like protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

(IC_{50} 20.1 and 59 μM , respectively). The (R)-enantiomer of compound 157 was found to be a greater than twofold more potent inhibitor of PL^{pro} when compared with its racemic mixture (157). Subsequent SAR studies highlighted the 2-naphthyl substitution as an important structural requirement rather than at the position 1 of the naphthyl ring in addition to the presence of *o*-methyl and *m*-amino groups, in the other phenyl ring. Compound 159




FIGURE 34 Structure of SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitors 145–149. M^{pro}, main protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus




FIGURE 35 Covalent bond inhibitors of M^{pro} . M^{pro} , main protease

displayed the best inhibitory activity of PL^{pro} (IC₅₀, 0.6 μ M) and acts in a noncovalent reversible manner with a K_i value of 0.49 μ M.¹⁹⁸ Compound **159** also showed moderate antiviral activity in Vero cells with an EC₅₀ value of 14.5 μ M.

Compound **159** was further scrutinized by investigating the importance of the amide NH, and the effect of the substituent on the benzamide ring (**160–164**). Among them, compounds **163** and **164** exhibited the most potent enzymatic (**163**: IC₅₀, 0.46 μ M; **164**: IC₅₀, 1.23 μ M) and cell-based antiviral (**163**: EC₅₀, 6.0 μ M; **164**: EC₅₀, 5.2 μ M) activities.

Next, the same group studied the SARs for compound **158** further. This led to the discovery of compound **165** with high PL^{pro} inhibitory activity of SARS-CoV-1 (IC₅₀, 0.32 μ M) and antiviral activity (EC₅₀, 9.1 μ M) in Vero cells.¹⁹⁹ The mode of action of **165** was found to be a noncovalent, competitive inhibition of PL^{pro} . Unlike the previous series, the stereochemistry at the α -methyl group did not make a significant difference in inhibition of PL^{pro} . For example, both (S)- and (R)-methyl inhibitors, **165** (IC₅₀, 0.32 μ M; EC₅₀, 9.1 μ M) and **166** (IC₅₀, 0.56 μ M; EC₅₀, 9.1 μ M), respectively, shared equipotent inhibitory activity in enzymatic and cell-based assays.

Further SARs of **159** and **165** were investigated to improve the activity. However, no significant improvement in the activity was observed for the prepared compounds either in the enzymatic or cell-based bioassay. Compounds **167–169** (Figure 36) displayed the best inhibitory activities. Especially, the *m*-fluoro-substituted benzamide derivative **168** (IC₅₀, 0.15 μ M; EC₅₀, 5.4 μ M) showed the best inhibition activity against PL^{pro} . It also inhibited SARS-CoV-1 in the cell-based bioassay. Both compounds **168** and **169** were metabolically more stable when compared to **167**.

HTS of a chemical library of 25000 molecules identified **170** (Figure 37) as a dual SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} (IC₅₀, 10.9 μ M) and MERS-CoV PL^{pro} (IC₅₀, 6.2 μ M) inhibitor.²⁰⁰ This compound acts via competitive inhibition against MERS-CoV PL^{pro} , yet via allosteric inhibition against SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} . This compound also exhibited a preference for SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} and MERS-CoV PL^{pro} versus two human homologs of the PL^{pro} , ubiquitin C-terminal hydrolase, (hUCH-L1) and (hUCH-L3).

Chou et al.²⁰¹ identified thiopurine (**171**) and 6-thioguanine (**172**) as SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} inhibitors by the screening of a library containing 160 compounds. The thiocarbonyl group was important for PL^{pro} inhibition. However, the toxicity of these anticancer agents limits their therapeutic utility as anti-SARS agents.




FIGURE 36 SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} inhibitors based on naphthalene scaffold. PL^{pro} , papain-like protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus




FIGURE 37 Broad spectral PL^{pro} inhibitors from different sources. PL^{pro}, papain-like protease; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

In 2012, Park et al.²⁰² reported a tanshinone derivative **173** as a SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} inhibitor with an IC₅₀ value of 0.8 μM. The same research group also described diarylheptanoids blocking SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro}. In particular compound **174** performed as the best inhibitor of SARS-CoV PL^{pro} with an IC₅₀ value of 4.1 μM. An α,β-unsaturated carbonyl functionality was crucial for effective inhibition. The geranylated flavonoid **175** was another plant-derived natural product, which displayed SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} inhibition with an IC₅₀ value of 5.0 μM.²⁰³

In 2017, Park et al.²⁰⁴ assessed the inhibitory activity of polyphenols isolated from *B. Papyrifera* against SARS-CoV PL^{pro} and MERS-CoV PL^{pro}. Two of them (**176** and **177** Figure 37) displayed moderate inhibition at both SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} and MERS-CoV PL^{pro} with a noncompetitive mechanism of action.

Disulfiram (**151**; Figure 35) was also reported as a SARS-CoV-1 PL^{pro} inhibitor (IC₅₀, 24.1 μM),²⁰⁵ probably by reacting with the active site cysteine, thereby covalently modifying the enzyme target, as was reported for other targets.

4 | RdRP AND ITS INHIBITORS

The ability to produce new RNA copies from available template molecules is necessary for life on earth. RNA polymerases are therefore found in all living cells as well as many viruses. RdRP are essential enzymes to all RNA viruses, as they catalyze the synthesis of new RNA from a given RNA template.²⁰⁶ Due to their importance for viral life cycles, and their high conservation among different RNA viruses, they have been attractive drug targets for antiviral therapy for a long time.

SARS-CoV-2 also uses an RdRP to replicate its genome within the host cell. Three nonstructural viral proteins (nsp) form its replication/transcription complex, with nsp12 forming the catalytic subunit. Bound to it are nsp7 and nsp8—accessory factors that facilitate template binding.^{207–209} Their individual structures and that of the complex have been solved.^{210–214} Interestingly, the only nsp that interacts directly with RNA seems to be nsp12, whereas nsp7 and nsp8 are needed to increase its efficiency.^{210,215}

RdRP is the target of inhibitors like remdesivir (178), galidesivir (179), ribavirin (180), favipiravir (181), and EIDD-2801 (182). These molecules have shown promise for the treatment of COVID-19 patients.^{87,216–218} (For structures and biological data see Figure 38)

Remdesivir is a 1'-cyano-substituted adenine C-nucleoside analog prodrug. The prodrug strategy used is similar to that of the FDA-approved anti-hepatitis C drug sofosbuvir (183; see Figure 38). Upon its diffusion into cells, the phosphoramidate undergoes an intracellular conversion process that results in the formation of the triphosphate active metabolite (RTP). The triphosphate is recognized as adenine by viral RdRP, which causes heavy disruptions in RNA synthesis.

The exact molecular mechanism of remdesivir's action against SARS-CoV-2 has recently been elucidated by Yin et al.²¹⁹ who reported cryo-EM structures of SARS-CoV-2 RdRP with remdesivir monophosphate (RMP) covalently bound to the primer strand. As only a single RMP was incorporated in each observed primer strand the




FIGURE 38 Nucleoside analogs with inhibition activity against SARS-CoV-2 RdRP (adenosine, guanosine, and sofosbuvir are included for comparison). RdRP, RNA-dependent RNA polymerase; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

inhibition mechanism was shown to be nonobligate RNA chain termination. The addition of RTP led to a complete inhibition of RNA polymerization activity at a concentration of 1 mM, even in the presence of ATP in high concentrations of 100 mM. The authors further highlight the high conservation level of catalytic sites of RdRPs in different RNA viruses, which makes the discovery of future broad-spectrum antiviral RdRP inhibitors seem likely.

Remdesivir was previously reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV replication in multiple *in vitro* systems, with submicromolar IC₅₀ values.²²⁰ In primary human airway epithelial (HAE) cell cultures, the antiviral activity assessment of remdesivir against SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV showed a dose-dependent reduction in replication with average IC₅₀ values of 0.069 μM (SARS-CoV-1) and 0.074 μM (MERS-CoV). In a mouse model of SARS-CoV-1 pathogenesis, remdesivir greatly decreased the virus concentration in the lung and mitigated clinical symptoms of infection and restored respiratory function.

Galidesivir is another C-nucleoside analog that resembles adenosine. However, the base is not linked to a ribose, but to an aza-sugar. Although it is recognized as adenosine by RdRP, its properties are different enough to cause a disruption in chain elongation. Galidesivir has been used in the treatment of Ebola and Marburg virus infections, and *in vitro* studies against SARS- and MERS-CoVs have suggested efficacy against CoVs.²²¹ Therefore, it is a likely future anti SARS-CoV-2 agent, and currently being studied in clinical trials.^{222,223}

Ribavirin is a nucleoside analog, which shows structural similarity to guanosine. But guanosine's 6-membered ring is only hinted at by the amide group. As such, it is incorporated by viral RdRPs, but interrupts RNA polymerization.²²⁴ It is an approved drug in most countries and used against a variety of viral infections. Although its efficacy against SARS-CoV-2 has not been determined in large clinical trials, ribavirin has shown some promise in the treatment of COVID-19 patients.²²⁵

Favipiravir (Avigan®) is an approved antiviral drug for the treatment of influenza in Japan and China. It is a pyrazinamide derivative that has shown some activity against a variety of RNA viruses.²²⁶ Favipiravir inhibits viral RdRP via its similarity to guanine. After biotransformation into its active metabolite, favipiravir-ribofuranosyl-5'-triphosphate, it is incorporated into newly synthesized RNA by RdRP, leading to premature chain termination²²⁷ similar to remdesivir's mode of action. Favipiravir is currently being studied around the world as a treatment option against COVID-19.

Very recently, Sheahan et al.²²⁸ reported the discovery of EIDD-1931 and its orally bioavailable prodrug EIDD-2801. These nucleoside analogs have shown remarkable potency against SARS-CoV-2 and other related CoVs *in vitro* and *in vivo*, with IC₅₀ values in the low nanomolar range, outperforming remdesivir 3–10-fold. The reason for this increased potency could be additional interactions with viral RdRP involving the N4-hydroxyl group of the cytidine ring.²¹⁹ The efficacy of EIDD-2801 in COVID-19 patients is being evaluated in a clinical trial.²²⁹

Remdesivir and other potential RdRP inhibitors²³⁰ are currently being studied in clinical trials around the world, but even though preliminary results appear promising, it is too early to assess their clinical value against COVID-19.

5 | DRUGS REPOSITIONING APPROACH

Drug repurposing is an attractive strategy for finding new indications for already well-established, marketed drugs or highly characterized compounds. It is a fast way to identify of new therapeutic options directly available for clinical use or eligible for accelerated approval for various diseases and disorders. An extensive effort has been made in repurposing approved drugs since the outbreak of SARS-CoV-1. Below, we summarize selected drug repositioning strategies for anticonoraviral therapy and their results.

The host's innate interferon (IFN) response is one key for controlling viral replication. The IFN response can be increased by administering artificial IFNs and IFN inducers. The recombinant IFN-α and -β inhibited the replication of SARS- and MERS-CoVs in animal models.²³¹ Several studies also described the combination of IFNs with antiviral drugs like ribavirin (180) or lopinavir-ritonavir for treating SARS.^{232,233}

In 2004, SARS patients in an open-label study had better clinical outcomes when treated with ribavirin in combination with lopinavir-ritonavir (400 and 100 mg, respectively) than the control group receiving only ribavirin.²²⁷ A study in SARS patients found that viral replication could not be blocked at ribavirin concentrations achievable in human serum.²³⁴ Nevertheless, the combination of ribavirin with IFN- β had a synergistic effect on the inhibition of SARS-CoV-1 replication. The effects of PEGylated IFN together with ribavirin against SARS-CoV-2 are being studied in clinical trials.⁸⁷

Nitazoxanide (**178**; Figure 39), a broad-spectrum antiparasitic drug, was reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 (EC₅₀, 2.12 μ M in Vero E6 cells).²¹⁵ It is also an IFN-inducing agent, and it is being studied for treating a wide range of infections.

The antiarrhythmic drug amiodarone (**179**, Figure 39) also inhibited SARS-CoV-1 replication in infected Vero cells.²³⁵ The drug appears to alter the endocytotic pathway, thus inhibiting endosomal viral entry.

Glycyrrhizin inhibited viral replication in Vero cells with an EC₅₀ value of 300 mg/L, possibly by blocking viral entry as well.²³²

As nitric oxide (NO) has been associated with antiviral activity, the NO donor, S-nitroso-N-acetylpenicillamine (**180**; Figure 39) was reported to inhibit SARS-CoV-1 replication in a dose-dependent manner.²³⁶

In a search for potential antiviral agents against SARS-CoV-1, the screening of a library of 8000 approved drugs identified cinanserin (**150**; Figure 39), a serotonin antagonist, as a potential inhibitor of SARS-CoV-1 targeting its M^{pro} with IC₅₀ value 4.0 μ M.¹⁸⁹

A virtual screening and docking study identified the calmodulin antagonist calmidazolium as a SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro} inhibitor (K_i, 61 μ M).²³⁷

In 2014, Dyall et al. reported an array of pharmaceutical drugs with antiviral activity against MERS-CoV, and SARS-CoV-1 (Table 2, chemical structure of all drugs were indicated in Figure S1).¹²¹ The agents were grouped according to their modes of action. Hits inhibited both investigated CoVs.

In particular, the protein-processing inhibitors cycloheximide and anisomycin showed strong inhibitory activities against both CoVs. The HIV protease inhibitor lopinavir was more effective against SARS-CoV-1 than against MERS-CoV. The antidiarrheal agent loperamide showed moderate inhibitory activity against both CoVs.








FIGURE 39 Selected structures of drugs suitable for repositioning against SARS-CoV-1 and 2. SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

TABLE 2 Compounds with inhibitory activity at MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-1

Drugs	Class	MERS-CoV EC ₅₀ (μM)	SARS-CoV-1 EC ₅₀ (μM)
Emetine	Antibacterial agent	0.014	0.051
Chloroquine	Antiparasitic agent	6.27	6.53
Hydroxychloroquine	Antiparasitic agent	8.27	7.96
Mefloquine	Antiparasitic agent	7.41	15.55
Amodiaquine	Antiparasitic agent	6.21	1.27
Loperamide	Antidiarrheal agent	4.8	5.90
Lopinavir	HIV-1 inhibitor	8.0	24.4
E-64-D	Cathepsin inhibitor	1.27	0.76
Gemcitabine	DNA metabolism inhibitor	1.21	4.95
Tamoxifen	Estrogen receptor inhibitor	10.11	92.88
Toremifene	Estrogen receptor inhibitor	12.91	11.96
Terconazole	Sterol metabolism inhibitor	12.20	15.32
Triparanol	Sterol metabolism inhibitor	5.28	-
Anisomycin	Protein-processing inhibitor	0.003	0.19
Cycloheximide	Protein-processing inhibitor	0.189	0.04
Homoharringtonine	Protein-processing inhibitor	0.071	-
Benztropine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	16.62	21.61
Fluspirilene	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	7.47	5.96
Thiothixene	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	9.29	5.31
Chlorpromazine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	9.51	12.97
Fluphenazine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	5.86	21.43
Promethazine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	11.80	7.54
Astemizole	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	4.88	5.59
Chlorphenoxamine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	12.64	20.03
Thiethylperazine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	7.86	-
Triflupromazine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	5.75	6.39
Clomipramine	Neurotransmitter inhibitor	9.33	13.23
Imatinib	Kinase signaling inhibitor	17.68	9.82
Dasatinib	Kinase signaling inhibitor	5.46	2.10

The anti-protozoal and emetic alkaloid with antibacterial properties, emetine, showed strong antiviral activity against MERS-CoV. The antiparasitic drugs chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, and mefloquine showed moderate antiviral activities against both CoVs. Cathepsin inhibitor, E-64-D, inhibited both as well. Two of the neurotransmitter inhibitors, chlorpromazine and triflupromazine also blocked both viruses (see Section 2). The DNA synthesis inhibitor gemcitabine was able to inhibit SARS-CoV-1 and MERS-CoV with an EC₅₀ value of 1.2 and




FIGURE 40 Drugs repurposed for MERS- and SARS-CoV infections. MERS, Middle East respiratory syndrome; SARS-CoV, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus

4.9 μM , respectively. Toremifene is an estrogen receptor 1 antagonist that inhibited both MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-1 (EC_{50} , 12.9 and 11.97 μM , respectively).

Kinase signaling pathway inhibitors imatinib and dasatinib were active against both MERS-CoV and SARS-CoV-1. Imatinib was reported to act at an early stage of viral infection by hampering the fusion of viral particles with the endosome.⁵³

Niclosamide (181; Figure 39), an anthelmintic drug, exhibited very potent antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-1 replication and stopped viral antigen synthesis at 1.56 μM concentrations.²³⁸ It prevented the cytopathic effect of SARS-CoV-1 at low concentrations of 1 μM and halted SARS-CoV-1 replication with an EC_{50} less than 0.1 μM in Vero E6 cells.¹⁸⁸ Gassen et al. demonstrated that niclosamide inhibits SKP2 activity, increases the lysine-48-linked polyubiquitination of the Benclin 1 level, boosts autophagy, and effectively impedes MERS-CoV replication.²³⁹ Niclosamide inhibited MERS-CoV replication by up to 1000-fold at 48 h p.i. at 10 μM .²³⁹

Jeon et al. conducted a screening of FDA approved drugs in Vero cells to discover promising antiviral drug candidates against SARS-CoV-2 infection.²⁴⁰ They reported 24 drugs that exhibited antiviral efficacy with IC_{50} values between 0.1 and 10 μM .

Among them two approved drugs, niclosamide (181) and ciclesonide (182; Figure 39), exhibited notable inhibitory activities against virus replication in Vero cells. Niclosamide exhibited very potent antiviral activity against SARS-CoV-2 (IC_{50} , 0.28 μM). The action of niclosamide might be attributed to autophagy as it was reported for MERS-CoV.²³⁹ Ciclesonide (182; Figure 39) is another interesting drug candidate with far lower antiviral potency (IC_{50} , 4.33 μM) compared to niclosamide. It is a cortisol derivative used to treat asthma and allergic rhinitis.²⁴¹ A recent report by Matsuyama et al. confirmed ciclesonide as a possible antiviral drug against SARS-CoV-2.²⁴² A treatment report of three COVID-19 patients (https://www3.nhk.or.jp/nhkworld/en/news/20200303_20/) merits further clinical investigation of this drug. The molecular target of ciclesonide's antiviral activity was revealed to be NSP15, a viral ribonucleic acid-dependent ribonuclease. Together with its well-established anti-inflammatory effects, ciclesonide could offer an interesting option for the control of COVID-19 symptoms.

Azithromycin showed a synergistic effect in combination with hydroxychloroquine in vitro against SARS-CoV-2 at realistic concentrations reachable in the human lung. Clinical trials with this antibiotic were initiated in New York on 24 March 2020.²⁴³ Very recently, however, the clinical benefit of the drug in COVID-19 patients was called into question.⁸²

Studies for colchicine as an anti-SARS-CoV-2 agent are currently ongoing with the aim of curtailing inflammation and lung complications in mild COVID-19 cases.²⁴⁴

Famotidine has been proposed as a therapeutic against COVID-19, and a clinical trial is underway.²⁴⁵ It is used to treat peptic ulcers and gastroesophageal reflux disease, among others. Cimetidine is a similar drug and has also been suggested as a treatment for COVID-19.

Dipyridamole was proposed as a treatment for COVID-19 as well, and a clinical study is being conducted.²⁴⁶ It is a nucleoside transport and PDE3 inhibitor that prevents blood clot formation.

Sildenafil was proposed as treatment for COVID-19, and it is currently being investigated in a small trial.²⁴⁷ It is a medication used to treat erectile dysfunction and pulmonary arterial hypertension.

Fenofibrate and bezafibrate have been suggested for the treatment of COVID-19. Fenofibrate is a blood lipid-lowering medicine of the fibrate class.^{248,249} Bezafibrate is a related lipid-lowering agent.

The HIV-protease inhibitor nelfinavir (183; Figure 39) strongly inhibited replication of SARS-CoV-1 in Vero cells with an EC_{50} value of 0.048 μM . It was suggested to exert its effect at the post-entry step of SARS-CoV-1 infection.²⁵⁰ Recently, Yamamoto et al reported that nelfinavir also potently inhibited replication of SARS-CoV-2 among nine other Anti-HIV drugs tested (IC_{50} , 1.13 μM ; CC_{50} , 24.32 μM ; $\text{SI} = 21.52$).²⁵¹ The measured serum concentrations of nelfinavir were 3–6 times higher than the reported EC_{50} of this drug. This indicates that it is a promising drug candidate for the management of COVID-19. Other drugs tested against SARS-CoV-2 replication were amprenavir (EC_{50} , 31.32 μM ; $\text{CC}_{50} > 81 \mu\text{M}$; $\text{SI} > 2.59$), darunavir (EC_{50} , 46.41 μM ; $\text{CC}_{50} > 81 \mu\text{M}$; $\text{SI} > 1.75$), and indinavir (EC_{50} , 59.14 μM ; $\text{CC}_{50} > 81 \mu\text{M}$; $\text{SI} > 1.37$). Tipranavir inhibited SARS-CoV-2 replication as well (EC_{50} ,

3.34 μ M; CC₅₀, 76.80 μ M; SI = 5.76). Ritonavir (EC₅₀, 8.63 μ M; CC₅₀, 74.11 μ M, SI = 8.59), saquinavir (EC₅₀, 8.83 μ M; CC₅₀, 44.43 μ M; SI = 5.03), and atazanavir (EC₅₀, 9.36 μ M; CC₅₀ > 81 μ M; SI > 8.65) suppressed SARS-CoV-2 at less than 10 μ M. Lopinavir, which was studied in SARS and COVID-19 patients, also potently inhibited SARS-CoV-2 replication with the highest selectivity index (EC₅₀, 5.73 μ M; CC₅₀, 74.44 μ M; SI = 12.99).

De Wilde et al. identified four drugs—chloroquine (8), chlorpromazine (15), loperamide (184), and lopinavir (185)—by screening of an FDA approved drugs library (for structures, see Figure 40).²⁵² All of them blocked SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and HCoV-229E replication at small concentrations, suggesting potential as broad-spectrum virostatic agents.

Chloroquine (8) was able to inhibit SARS-CoV-2 viral replication in vitro, but a recent study found no clinical benefit for COVID-19 patients who had received the drug⁸² (the drug is discussed in detail in the section *viral entry inhibitors*).

Chlorpromazine (15) stopped the replication of SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and HCoV-229E. It is a neuroleptic drug used against schizophrenia,²⁵³ here, it interferes with clathrin-mediated endocytosis. Since, clathrin-mediated endocytosis is a crucial port for viral entry into the host cell, used by MHV,^{254–256} SARS-CoV-1,⁹⁹ and MERS-CoV,¹⁰² future clinical trials could help elucidate this drug's therapeutic potential against COVID-19.

Loperamide (184), an opioid receptor agonist against diarrhea,²⁵⁷ inhibited the replication of SARS-CoV-1, MERS-CoV, and HCoV-229E.

Lopinavir (185) is an HIV protease inhibitor and was previously shown to block SARS-CoV-1 M^{pro}.²⁵⁸

Shin et al. analyzed a library of 2334 approved medications and bioactive molecules to find possible antiviral compounds against MERS-CoV.²⁵⁹ A series of hit compounds was identified, categorized as anticancer (189, 190), antipsychotics (191, 192), and antidepressant (193) with inhibition activity between 2.1 and 14.4 μ M (Figure 38). Saracatinib (189) was especially interesting, as it had remarkable anti-MERS-CoV activity (EC₅₀ of 2.9 μ M, CC₅₀ > 50 μ M). It is a small molecule drug with oral bioavailability used in the management of malignant neoplasms via Src-family tyrosine kinases (SFKs) inhibition. It also suppressed other CoVs such as SARS-CoV-1 (EC₅₀, 2.4 μ M), HCoV-229E (EC₅₀, 5.1 μ M), and FIPV (EC₅₀, 7.0 μ M) at nontoxic concentrations. Drugs 190 to 193 showed moderate antiviral activities.

6 | Conclusions and future directions





The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak has caused worldwide disruption and was recently declared a global pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) owing to its rapid spread and high fatality rate. As there is no effective treatment to date, the number of infections continues to rise globally. This has led numerous research groups around the world to prioritize the identification and development of new therapeutics against COVID-19.

Although it is often considered the most promising method to prevent or contain future coronavirus outbreaks, an all-round anti-CoV vaccine is possibly a long way away. Small molecule drugs have the potential to be effective, rapidly produced, and widely available. Indeed, several small molecules have been investigated and advanced to clinical trials for the treatment of COVID-19, selected drug candidates are indicated in Table 3 (https://covid-19.height.org/clinical_trials.html).

As outlined in this review, inhibitors of important viral enzymes or structures, such as M^{pro}, PL^{pro}, or RdRP have displayed encouraging activity against various human-infecting CoVs. Since, both contagious viruses, SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2, have a similar mechanism of infection; and both share the same human receptor, ACE2, for viral entry, for example—already developed inhibitors against the former could potentially be used to combat the latter. But despite the efficacy demonstrated by many inhibitors of SARS-CoV-1, no specific prophylactic or postexposure therapy is currently available.

The first step in the viral life cycle is the viral entry. It represents an attractive intervention point by blocking the RBD-ACE2 interaction or the virus-cell membrane fusion event. A large number of inhibitors, including peptides, antibodies, small-molecule compounds, and natural products have been identified to hamper viral entry. Some of the peptides and antibodies displayed substantial anti-SARS activity and are therefore considered promising entry inhibitors with high potencies in the low micromolar range.

TABLE 3 Selection of molecules investigated in ongoing clinical studies (July, 2020)

Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
Azithromycin		Macrolide antibiotic	IRCT20200428047228N2 NCT04405921 EUCTR2020-001605-23-ES
Favipiravir		Broad spectrum antiviral drug	NCT04434248 ChiCTR2000033491 NCT04425460
Triazavirin		Broad-spectrum antiviral drug	ChiCTR2000030001
Umifenovir		Antiviral drug	IRCT20200523047550N1 IRCT20151227025728N15 IRCT20200325046853N2

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)




Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
Baloxavir marboxil		Antiviral drug	ChiCTR2000029548 ChiCTR2000029544
Remdesivir		Antiviral drug	NCT04431453 NCT04409262 NCT04410354
Ribavirin		Antiviral drug	IRCT20200324046850N2 ChiCTR2000030922

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
Lopinavir/ritonavir		Anti-HIV combination medication	NCT04403100 NCT04376814
Celecoxib		COX-2 selective NSAID	ChiCTR2000031630
Chloroquine		Antimalarial drug	EUCTR2020-001441-39-IT NCT04447534 NCT04443270
Hydroxychloroquine		Antimalarial drug	EUCTR2020-001558-23-IT EUCTR2020-001441-39-IT EUCTR2020-001501-24-IT

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)






Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
Mefloquine		Antimalarial drug	EUCTR2020-001194-69-ES
Ivermectin		Broad-spectrum antiparasitic drug	NCT04445311 NCT04435587 NCT04431466
Colchicine		Broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory drug	NCT04416334 EUCTR2020-001841-38-ES IRCT20190810044500N5

TABLE 3 (Continued)


Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
Corticosteroids (misc.)		Broad-spectrum anti-inflammatory drug	IRCT20151227025726N17 NCT04395105 IRCT20120215009014N354
Pirfenidone		Antifibrotic, anti-inflammatory drug	ChiCTR20000314046764N1 ChiCTR2000031138
Tranilast		Anti-inflammatory drug	ChiCTR2000030002
Selinexor		Anticancer drug	NCT04355676 NCT04349098 EUCTR2020-001411-25-GB

(Continues)

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
Valsartan		Angiotensin II receptor antagonist	DRKS00021732 NCT04335786
Dipyridamole		PDE3 inhibitor	NCT04424901 NCT04391179
Vidoflumidus (IMU-838)		Investigational drug	EUCTR2020-001264-28-HU
Azvudine		Investigational antiviral	ChiCTR2000032769 NCT04425772 ChiCTR2000030487

TABLE 3 (Continued)

Name	Structure	Description	Recent trials
EIDD-2801		Investigational antiviral	NCT04405570 NCT04405739 NCT04392219

Despite the apparent match of SARS-CoV-2 S and ACE2, other possible viral entry receptors should not be left unexplored. The glucose-regulated protein 78 (GRP-78, aka HSPA5), for instance, is employed as a coreceptor for entry by several viruses, including bat-CoVs and MERS-CoV,²⁶⁰ and a study predicted that SARS-CoV-2 S might utilize this mechanism as well.²⁶¹ Elevated levels of GRP-78 in COVID-19 patients suggest a supplementary link.²⁶² Although as of yet unconfirmed, the development of therapeutics against additional targets like GRP-78 should receive due attention.

Viral proteases are another very important target for the development of antiviral therapies, as they are directly involved in the viral replication processes. Especially the M^{pro} is one of the best-characterized viral targets, and numerous medicinal chemistry efforts have been already reported for the past outbreaks of SARS-1 and MERS. Main proteases are highly conserved among other CoVs, which allows the development of broad spectral antiviral agents. Moreover, no human protease analog to the M^{pro} is known. Thus, drugs targeting M^{pro} could be highly virus-selective and safe.

In light of the urgency of the current outbreak, repositioning of already approved drugs is becoming a popular approach due to the availability of toxicity and safety data. Drug repurposing has become fashionable, promising quick solutions to complicated questions. Old and, presumably, safe drugs are proclaimed miracle cures. The reality is a different one: Widely employed broad-spectrum antiviral drugs, such as (hydroxy)chloroquine, favipiravir, ribavirin, or umifenovir were reported to be effective against SARS-CoV-2, but could not convince in clinical trials yet. Clinicians are faced with an avalanche of contraindications and a myriad of case reports to choose the right drug. The drug repositioning strategy is, therefore, not a sound scientific path to a cure. At best, it can provide a basis for extensive future research in all related fields, including synthetic organic medicinal chemistry.

A new problem with the current COVID-19 outbreak is related to the spread of scientific information. When initial unfounded speculations about the alleged dangers of antihypertensive therapies with ACEis and ARBs were widely publicized in the media they caused great uncertainty among patients. Impetuous communications such as these can have serious consequences and should not be proclaimed carelessly. As it turns out, the benefits of continued antihypertensive therapy with these medicines in COVID-19 patients far outweigh their risks. There is even evidence of additional protective effects of ACEis and ARBs in this cohort, although the clinical relevance of this has yet to be investigated.

It is clear that governments and societies all over the world have been surprised by the recent coronavirus outbreak—as they were by the SARS outbreak in 2003 and the MERS epidemic in 2013. Human-infecting CoVs are on the rise, but quickly forgotten once life returns to normal. However, this problem will not disappear by itself, but likely increase in intensity. Viral spillover events are expected to increase in frequency as humans continue to invade new territories. We hope that, this time, the world will heed nature's warning to finance and conduct groundbreaking research on CoVs and their disease patterns. Only with a profound understanding of the viral life cycle and the affected human physiology we can prevent and control future outbreaks.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Manoj Manickam thanks PSG management for their moral support. Open access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

The authors declare there is no conflict of interest.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

TP, LLW, MM, and ME collected the data. TP wrote the manuscript, which was revised by all.

ORCID

Thanigaimalai Pillaiyar  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5575-8896>

Maheswaran Easwaran  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1186-6454>

REFERENCES

1. Pillaiyar T, Meenakshisundaram S, Manickam M. Recent discovery and development of inhibitors targeting coronaviruses. *Drug Discov Today* 2020;25(4):668-688.
2. Pillaiyar T, Manickam M, Namasivayam V, Hayashi Y., Jung S.H. An overview of severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (SARS-CoV) 3CL protease inhibitors: peptidomimetics and small molecule chemotherapy. *J Med Chem*. 2016;59(14):6595-6628.
3. Pillaiyar T, Manickam M, Jung SH. Middle East respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (MERS-CoV): an updated overview and pharmacotherapeutics. *Med Chem* 2015;5(8):361-372.
4. de Groot RJ, et al. Family Coronaviridae. In: King AMQ, ed. *Ninth Report of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses*. Oxford: Elsevier; 2011:806-828.
5. Geller C, Varbanov M, Duval RE. Human coronaviruses: insights into environmental resistance and its influence on the development of new antiseptic strategies. *Viruses* 2012;4(11):3044-3068.
6. Hamre D, Procknow JJ. A new virus isolated from the human respiratory tract. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med*. 1966;121(1):190-193.
7. McIntosh K, Dees JH, Becker WB, Kapikian A.Z., Chanock R.M. Recovery in tracheal organ cultures of novel viruses from patients with respiratory disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1967;57(4):933-940.
8. Summary of probable SARS cases with onset of illness from 1 November 2002 to 31 July 2003. https://www.who.int/csr/sars/country/table2004_04_21/en/ (Based on data as of the 31 December).
9. WHO MERS-CoV Global Summary and risk assessment. 2006. <https://www.who.int/emergencies/mers-cov/mers-summary-2016.pdf> (Based on data as of 6 December).
10. WHO Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19). Dashboard. Accessed 15 July 2020.
11. Su S, Wong G, Shi W, et al. Epidemiology, genetic recombination, and pathogenesis of coronaviruses. *Trends Microbiol* 2016;24(6):490-502.
12. Forni D, Cagliani R, Clerici M, Sironi M. Molecular evolution of human coronavirus genomes. *Trends Microbiol* 2017;25(1):35-48.
13. Liu C, Zhou Q, Li Y, et al. Research and development on therapeutic agents and vaccines for COVID-19 and related human coronavirus diseases. *ACS Cent Sci* 2020;6(3):315-331.
14. Li W, Moore MJ, Vasilieva N, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 is a functional receptor for the SARS coronavirus. *Nature* 2003;426(6965):450-454.
15. Hoffmann M, Kleine-Weber H, Schroeder S, et al. SARS-CoV-2 cell entry depends on ACE2 and TMPRSS2 and is blocked by a clinically proven protease inhibitor. *Cell* 2020;181(2):271-280.
16. Kai H, Kai M. Interactions of coronaviruses with ACE2, angiotensin II, and RAS inhibitors—lessons from available evidence and insights into COVID-19. *Hypertens Res* 2020;43:648-654.
17. Van Doremalen N, Miazgowicz KL, Milne-Price S, et al. Host species restriction of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus through its receptor, dipeptidyl peptidase 4. *J Virol* 2014;88(16):9220-32.
18. Yeager CL, Ashmun RA, Williams RK, et al. Human aminopeptidase N is a receptor for human coronavirus 229E. *Nature* 1992;357(6377):420-422.
19. Huang X, Dong W, Milewska A, et al. Human coronavirus HKU1 spike protein uses O-acetylated sialic acid as an attachment receptor determinant and employs hemagglutinin-esterase protein as a receptor-destroying enzyme. *J Virol* 2015;89(14):7202-7213.
20. Butler N, Peele L, Trandem K, Perlman S. Murine encephalitis caused by HCoV-OC43, a human coronavirus with broad species specificity, is partly immune-mediated. *Virology* 2006;347(2):410-421.
21. Cui J, Li F, Shi ZL. Origin and evolution of pathogenic coronaviruses. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2019;17(3):181-192.
22. Zhu N, Zhang D, Wang W, et al. A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382:727-733.
23. Fehr AR, Perlman S. Coronaviruses: an overview of their replication and pathogenesis. In: Maier H, Bickerton E, Britton P, eds. *Coronaviruses*. Vol 1282. New York, NY: Humana Press; 2015:1-23.
24. Li G, Fan Y, Lai Y, et al. Coronavirus infections and immune responses. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92(4):424-432.
25. Gordon DE, Jang GM, Bouhaddou M, et al. A SARS-CoV-2 protein interaction map reveals targets for drug repurposing. *Nature* 2020;583:459-468.
26. Zhou P, Yang XL, Wang XG, et al. A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* 2020;579(7798):270-273.
27. Wrapp D, Wang N, Corbett KS, et al. Cryo-EM structure of the 2019-nCoV spike in the prefusion conformation. *Science* 2020;367(6483):1260-1263.
28. Walls AC, Park YJ, Tortorici MA, Wall A., McGuire A.T., Veesler D. Structure, function, and antigenicity of the SARS-CoV-2 spike glycoprotein. *Cell* 2020;180:281-292.

29. Yan R, Zhang Y, Li Y, Xia L, Guo Y, Zhou Q. Structural basis for the recognition of SARS-CoV-2 by full-length human ACE2. *Science* 2020;367(6485):1444-1448.
30. Wu F, Zhao S, Yu B, et al. A new coronavirus associated with human respiratory disease in China. *Nature* 2020; 579(7798):265-269.
31. Li F, Li W, Farzan M, Harrison SC. Structure of SARS coronavirus spike receptor-binding domain complexed with receptor. *Science* 2005;309(5742):1864-1868.
32. Dong N, Yang X, Ye L, et al. Genomic and protein structure modelling analysis depicts the origin and infectivity of 2019-nCoV, a new coronavirus which caused a pneumonia outbreak in Wuhan, China. *bioRxiv*. 2020;9 121. <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.01.20.913368v2>
33. Morse JS, Lalonde T, Xu S, Liu WR. Learning from the past: possible urgent prevention and treatment options for severe acute respiratory infections caused by 2019-nCoV. *ChemBioChem* 2020;21(5):730-738.
34. Mirza MU, Froeyen M. Structural elucidation of SARS-CoV-2 vital proteins: computational methods reveal potential drug candidates against main protease, nsp12 polymerase and nsp13 helicase. *J Pharm Anal* 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpha.2020.04.008>
35. Ho TY, Wu SL, Chen JC, et al. Design and biological activities of novel inhibitory peptides for SARS-CoV spike protein and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 interaction. *Antiviral Res* 2006;69(2):70-76.
36. Han DP, Penn-Nicholson A, Cho MW. Identification of critical determinants on ACE2 for SARS-CoV entry and development of a potent entry inhibitor. *Virology* 2006;35(1):15-25.
37. Raj VS, Mou H, Smits SL, et al. Dipeptidyl peptidase 4 is a functional receptor for the emerging human coronavirus-EMC. *Nature* 2013;495:251-254.
38. Lu G, Hu Y, Wang Q, et al. Molecular basis of binding between novel human coronavirus MERS-CoV and its receptor CD26. *Nature* 2013;500:227-231.
39. Mou H, Raj VS, van Kuppeveld FJ, Rottier P.J., Haagmans B.L., Bosch B.J. The receptor binding domain of the new Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus maps to a 231-residue region in the spike protein that efficiently elicits neutralizing antibodies. *J Virol* 2013;87(16):9379-9383.
40. Lambeir AM, Durinx C, Scharpé S, De Meester I. Dipeptidyl-peptidase IV from bench to bedside: an update on structural properties, functions, and clinical aspects of the enzyme DPP IV. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci*. 2003;40(3):209-294.
41. Boonacker E, Van Noorden CJ. The multifunctional or moonlighting protein CD26/DPPIV. *Eur J Cell Biol*. 2003;82(2): 53-73.
42. Jiang L, Wang N, Zuo T, et al. Potent neutralization of MERS-CoV by human neutralizing monoclonal antibodies to the viral spike glycoprotein. *Sci Transl Med*. 2014;6(234):234ra59.
43. Blacklow SC, Lu M, Kim PS. Trimeric subdomain of the simian immunodeficiency virus envelope glycoprotein. *Biochemistry* 1995;34(46):14955-14962.
44. Lombardi S, Massi C, Indino E, et al. Inhibition of feline immunodeficiency virus infection in vitro by envelope glycoprotein synthetic peptides. *Virology* 1996;220(2):274-284.
45. Watanabe S, Takada A, Watanabe T, Ito H., Kida H., Kawaoka Y. Functional importance of the coiled-coil of the Ebola virus glycoprotein. *J Virol* 2000;74(21):10194-10201.
46. Wang N, Shi X, Jiang L, et al. Structure of MERS-CoV spike receptor-binding domain complexed with human receptor DPP4. *Cell Res* 2013;23(8):986-993.
47. Lipinski CA, Lombardo F, Dominy BW, Feeney PJ. Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings. *Adv Drug Delivery Rev*. 1997;23(1-3):3-25.
48. Adedeji AO, Severson W, Jonsson C, Singh K., Weiss S.R., Sarafianos S.G. Novel inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry that act by three distinct mechanisms. *J Virol* 2013;87(14):8017-8028.
49. Van Dongen MJP, Kadam RU, Juraszek J, et al. A small-molecule fusion inhibitor of influenza virus is orally active in mice. *Science* 2019;363(6431):eaar6221.
50. Yi L, Li Z, Yuan K, et al. Small molecules blocking the entry of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus into host cells. *J Virol*. 2004;78(20):11334-11339.
51. Coughlin M, Lou G, Martinez O, et al. Generation and characterization of human monoclonal neutralizing antibodies with distinct binding and sequence features against SARS coronavirus using XenoMouse. *Virology* 2007;361(1): 93-102.
52. Sisk JM, Frieman MB, Machamer CE. Coronavirus S protein-induced fusion is blocked prior to hemifusion by Ab1 kinase inhibitors. *J Gen Virol*. 2018;99(5):619-630.
53. Coleman CM, Sisk JM, Mingo RM, Nelson E.A., White J.M., Frieman M.B. Abelson kinase inhibitors are potent inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus and Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus fusion. *J Virol* 2016;90(19):8924-8933.
54. Xu X, Chen P, Wang J, et al. Evolution of the novel coronavirus from the ongoing Wuhan outbreak and modeling of its spike protein for risk of human transmission. *Sci China: Life Sci* 2020;63(3):457-60.

55. Kuba K, Imai Y, Penninger JM. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 in lung diseases. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2006;6(3):271-276.
56. Hamming I, Timens W, Bulthuis ML, Lely A.T., Navis G., van Goor H. Tissue distribution of ACE2 protein, the functional receptor for SARS coronavirus. A first step in understanding SARS pathogenesis. *J Pathol* 2004;203(2):631-637.
57. Yang P, Gu H, Zhao Z, et al. Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) mediates influenza H7N9 virus-induced acute lung injury. *Sci Rep* 2014;4:7027. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep07027>
58. Dhawale VS, Amara VR, Karpe PA, Malek V., Patel D., Tikoo K. Activation of angiotensin converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) attenuates allergic airway inflammation in rat asthma model. *Toxico Appl Pharmacol.* 2016;306:17-26.
59. Imai Y, Kuba K, Rao S, et al. Angiotensin converting enzyme 2 protects from severe acute lung failure. *Nature* 2005; 436:112-116.
60. Kaparianos A, Argyropoulou E. Local renin-angiotensin II systems, angiotensin-converting enzyme and its homologue ACE2: their potential role in the pathogenesis of chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases, pulmonary hypertension and acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Curr Med Chem.* 2011;18(23):3506-3515.
61. Harmer D, Gilbert M, Borman R, Clark KL. Quantitative mRNA expression profiling of ACE 2, a 11 novel homologue of angiotensin converting enzyme. *FEBS Lett.* 2002;532(1-2):107-110.
62. Huentelman MJ, Zubcevic J, Hernández Prada JA, et al. Structure-based discovery of a novel angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 inhibitor. *Hypertension* 2004;44(6):903-906.
63. Savarino A, Boelaert JR, Cassone A, Majori G., Cauda R. Effects of chloroquine on viral infections: an old drug against today's diseases. *Lancet Infect Dis.* 2003;3(11):722-727.
64. Al-Bari MA. Targeting endosomal acidification by chloroquine analogs as a promising strategy for the treatment of emerging viral diseases. *Pharmacol Res Perspect* 2017;5(1):e00293.
65. Frederickson BL, Wei BL, Yao J, Luo T., Garcia J.V. Inhibition of endosomal/lysosomal degradation increases the infectivity of human immunodeficiency virus. *J Virol.* 2002;76(22):11440-11446.
66. Yao X, Ye F, Zhang M, et al. In vitro antiviral activity and projection of optimized dosing design of hydroxy-chloroquine for the treatment of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). *Clin Infect Dis.* 2020;71:732-739. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciaa237>
67. Keyaerts E, Vijgen L, Maes P, Neyts J., Van Ranst M. In vitro inhibition of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus by chloroquine. *Biochem Biophy Res Commun.* 2004;323(1):264-268.
68. Devaux CA, Rolain JM, Colson P, Raoult D. New insights on the antiviral effects of chloroquine against coronavirus: what to expect for COVID-19? *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2020;55(5):105938.
69. Vincent MJ, Bergeron E, Benjannet S, et al. Chloroquine is a potent inhibitor of SARS coronavirus infection and spread. *Virol J* 2005;2:69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-2-69>
70. "Physicians work out treatment guidelines for coronavirus." m.koreabiomed.com (in Korean). 13 February 2020. Archived from the original on 17 March 2020. Accessed 18 March 2020.
71. "Azioni intraprese per favorire la ricerca e l'accesso a inuovi farmaci per il trattamento del COVID-19." aifa.gov.it (in Italian). Accessed 18 2020.
72. "Plaquenil (hydroxychloroquine sulfate) dose, indications, adverse effects, interactions. from PDR.net." www.pdr.net. Archived from the original on 18 March 2020. Accessed 19 March 2020.
73. Cortegiani A, Ingoglia G, Ippolito M, Giarratano A., Einav S. A systematic review on the efficacy and safety of chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19. *J Crit Care.* 2020;57:279-283.
74. Mahase E. Covid-19: six million doses of hydroxychloroquine donated to US despite lack of evidence. *BMJ*, 2020, 368:m1166. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1166>
75. Retallack H, Di Lullo E, Arias C, et al. Zika virus cell tropism in the developing human brain and inhibition by azithromycin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2016;113(50):14408-14413.
76. Madrid PB, Panchal RG, Warren TK, et al. Evaluation of Ebola virus inhibitors for drug repurposing. *ACS Infect Dis.* 2015;1(7):317-326.
77. Bacharier LB, Guilbert TW, Mauger DT, et al. Early administration of azithromycin and prevention of severe lower respiratory tract illnesses in preschool children with a history of such illnesses: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2015;314(19):2034-2044.
78. Rosendaal F.R., Hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin as a treatment of COVID-19: results of an open-label non-randomized clinical trial. *Int J Antimicrob Agents.* 2020, 56:106063. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106063>
79. Harrison C. Coronavirus puts drug repurposing on the fast track. *Nat Biotechnol* 2020;38(4):379-81.
80. What are the prospects for a Covid-19 treatment? The Guardian. Accessed 19 March 2020.
81. NIH clinical trial of hydroxychloroquine, a potential therapy for COVID-19, begins. National Institutes of Health (NIH) (Press release). 9 April 2020. Accessed 11 April 2020.

82. Barnabas RV, Brown E, Bershteyn A, et al. Efficacy of hydroxychloroquine for post-exposure prophylaxis to prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection among adults exposed to coronavirus disease (COVID-19): a structured summary of a study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. *Trials* 2020;21(1):475.
83. Lambert DW, Yarski M, Warner FJ, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha convertase (ADAM17) mediates regulated ectodomain shedding of the severe-acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus (SARS-CoV) receptor, angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2). *J Biolumin Chemilumin*. 2005;280(34):30113-30119.
84. Haga S, Nagata N, Okamura T, et al. TACE antagonists blocking ACE2 shedding caused by the spike protein of SARS-CoV are candidate antiviral compounds. *Antiviral Res* 2010;85(3):551-555.
85. Towler P, Staker B, Prasad SG, et al. ACE2 X-ray structures reveal a large hinge-bending motion important for inhibitor binding and catalysis. *J Biolumin Chemilumin*. 2004;279(17):17996-18007.
86. Kadam RU, Wilson IA. Structural basis of influenza virus inhibition by the antiviral drug Arbidol. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017;114(2):206-214.
87. Li G, De Clercq E. Therapeutic options for the 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV). *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2020;19: 149-150.
88. The efficacy of lopinavir plus ritonavir and arbidol against novel coronavirus infection (ELACO1). <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04252885>
89. Zheng YY, Ma YT, Zhang JY, Xie X. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2020;17(5):259-260.
90. Fang L, Karakiulakis G, Roth M. Are patients with hypertension and diabetes mellitus at increased risk for COVID-19 infection? *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020;8(4):e21.
91. Bozkurt B, Kovacs R, Harrington B. HFSA/ACC/AHA Statement Addresses Concerns Re: using RAAS antagonists in COVID-19 2020. <https://www.acc.org/latest-in-cardiology/articles/2020/03/17/08/59/hfsa-acc-aha-statement-addresses-concerns-re-using-raas-antagonists-in-covid-19>
92. European Medicines Agency. EMA advises continued use of medicines for hypertension, heart or kidney disease during COVID-19 pandemic. 31 March 2020. pp. 1-2.
93. Danser AHJ, Epstein M, Batlle D. Renin-angiotensin system blockers and the COVID-19 pandemic: At present there is no evidence to abandon renin-angiotensin system blockers. *Hypertension* 2020;75(6):1382-1385.
94. Greene SJ, Gheorghiade M, Vaduganathan M, et al. Haemoconcentration, renal function, and post-discharge outcomes among patients hospitalized for heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: insights from the EVEREST trial. *Eur J Heart Fail*. 2013;15(12):1401-1411.
95. Perico L, Benigni A, Remuzzi G. Should COVID-19 concern nephrologists? Why and to what extent? The emerging impasse of angiotensin blockade. *Nephron* 2020;144(5):213-231.
96. Rubin EJ, Baden LR, Morrissey S. New research on possible treatments for Covid-19. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(12):e30.
97. Tan WSD, Liao W, Zhou S, Mei D, Wong W.F. Targeting the renin-angiotensin system as novel therapeutic strategy for pulmonary diseases. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2018;40:9-17.
98. Inoue Y, Tanaka N, Tanaka Y, et al. Clathrin-dependent entry of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus into target cells expressing ACE2 with the cytoplasmic tail deleted. *J Virol*. 2007;81(16):8722-8729.
99. Wang H, Yang P, Liu K, et al. SARS coronavirus entry into host cells through a novel clathrin-and caveolae-independent endocytic pathway. *Cell Res* 2008;18(2):290-301.
100. Wang K, Chen W, Zhou Y, et al. SARS-CoV-2 invades host cells via a novel route: CD147-spike protein. *BioRxiv*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.14.988345>
101. Shirato K, Kawase M, Matsuyama S. Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection mediated by the transmembrane serine protease TMPRSS2. *J Virol* 2013;87(23):12552-1256.
102. Burkard C, Verheijen MH, Wicht O, et al. Coronavirus cell entry occurs through the endo-/lysosomal pathway in a proteolysis-dependent manner. *PLoS Pathog* 2014;10(11):e1004709.
103. Liu Q, Xia S, Sun Z, et al. Testing of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication inhibitors for the ability to block viral entry. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;59(1):742-744.
104. Chu JJ, Ng ML. Infectious entry of West Nile virus occurs through a clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway. *J Virol*. 2004;78(19):10543-10555.
105. Joki-Korpela P, Marjomäki V, Krogerus C, Heino J., Hyypiä T. Entry of human parechovirus. *J Virol* 2001;75(4):1958-1967.
106. Krizanova O, Ciampor F, Veber P. Influence of chlorpromazine on the replication of influenza virus in chick embryo cells. *Acta Virol* 1982;26(4):209-216.
107. Nawa M, Takasaki T, Yamada K.I., Kurane I., Akatsuka T. Interference in Japanese encephalitis virus infection of Vero cells by a cationic amphiphilic drug, chlorpromazine. *J Gen Virol*. 2003;84(pt 7):1737-1741.
108. Pho MT, Ashok A, Atwood WJ. JC virus enters human glial cells by clathrin-dependent receptor-mediated endocytosis. *J Virol* 2000;74(5):2288-2292.

109. Kawase M, Shirato K, van der Hoek L, Taguchi F., Matsuyama S. Simultaneous treatment of human bronchial epithelial cells with serine and cysteine protease inhibitors prevents severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. *J Virol* 2012;86(12):6537-6545.
110. Zhou Y, Vedantham P, Lu K, et al. Protease inhibitors targeting coronavirus and filovirus entry. *Antiviral Res* 2015; 116:76-84.
111. Maurya VK, Kumar S, Bhatt ML, Saxena SK. Therapeutic development and drugs for the treatment of COVID-19. In: Saxena S, ed. *Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Medical virology: from pathogenesis to disease control*. Singapore: Springer; 2020:109-126. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-4814-7_10
112. Zhou N, Pan T, Zhang J, et al. Glycopeptide antibiotics potently inhibit cathepsin L in the late endosome/lysosome and block the entry of Ebola virus, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV), and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV). *J Biol Chem*. 2016;291(17):9218-9232.
113. Zhang JS, Ma C, Yu F, et al. Teicoplanin potently blocks the cell entry of 2019-nCoV. *BioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.05.935387>
114. Dana D, Pathak SK. A review of small molecule inhibitors and functional probes of human cathepsin L. *Molecules* 2020;25:e698.
115. Simmons G, Gosalia DN, Rennekamp AJ, Reeves J.D., Diamond S.L., Bates P. Inhibitors of cathepsin L prevent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus entry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2005;102(33):11876-11881.
116. Shah PP, Wang T, Kaletsky RL, et al. A small-molecule oxocarbazate inhibitor of human cathepsin L blocks severe acute respiratory syndrome and Ebola pseudotype virus infection into human embryonic kidney 293T cells. *Mol Pharmacol* 2010;78(2):319-324.
117. Swinney DC. Phenotypic vs. target-based drug discovery for first-in-class medicines. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2013; 93(4):299-301.
118. Ho TY, Wu SL, Chen JC, Li C.C., Hsiang C.Y. Emodin blocks the SARS coronavirus spike protein and angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 interaction. *Antiviral Res* 2007;74(2):92-101.
119. Kao RY, Tsui WH, Lee TS, et al. Identification of novel small-molecule inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome-associated coronavirus by chemical genetics. *Chem Biol*. 2004;11(9):1293-1299.
120. Lu L, Liu Q, Zhu Y, et al. Structure-based discovery of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus fusion inhibitor. *Nat Commun* 2014;5:3067.
121. Dyall J, Coleman CM, Hart BJ, et al. Repurposing of clinically developed drugs for treatment of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infection. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(8):4885-4893.
122. Ziebuhr J, Snijder EJ, Gorbalenya AE. Virus-encoded proteinases and proteolytic processing in the Nidovirales. *J Gen Virol*. 2000;81(4):853-879.
123. Thiel V, Ivanov KA, Putics Á, et al. Mechanisms and enzymes involved in SARS coronavirus genome expression. *J Gen Virol*. 2003;84(9):2305-15.
124. Anand K, Palm GJ, Mesters JR, Siddell S.G., Ziebuhr J., Hilgenfeld R. Structure of coronavirus main proteinase reveals combination of a chymotrypsin fold with an extra α -helical domain. *EMBO J*. 2002;21(13):3213-3224.
125. Yang H, Yang M, Ding Y, et al. The crystal structures of severe acute respiratory syndrome virus main protease and its complex with an inhibitor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2003;100(23):13190-13195.
126. Chen X, Chou CY, Chang GG. Thiopurine analogue inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus papain-like protease, a deubiquitinating and deISGylating enzyme. *Antivir Chem Chemother*. 2009;19(4): 151-156.
127. Park JY, Ko JA, Kim DW, et al. Chalcones isolated from Angelica keiskei inhibit cysteine proteases of SARS-CoV. *J Enzyme Inhib Med Chem*. 2016;31(1):23-30.
128. Kumar V, Jung YS, Liang PH. Anti-SARS coronavirus agents: a patent review (2008-present). *Expert Opin Ther Pat*. 2013;23(10):1337-1348.
129. Anand K, Ziebuhr J, Wadhwani P, Mesters J.R., Hilgenfeld R. Coronavirus main proteinase ($3CL^{pro}$) structure: basis for design of anti-SARS drugs. *Science* 2003;300(5626):1763-1767.
130. Seipelt J, Guarné A, Bergmann E, et al. The structures of picornaviral proteinases. *Virus Res* 1999;62(2):159-68.
131. Shie JJ, Fang JM, Kuo TH, et al. Inhibition of the severe acute respiratory syndrome 3CL protease by peptidomimetic α , β -unsaturated esters. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2005;13(17):5240-5252.
132. Ghosh AK, Xi K, Ratia K, et al. Design and synthesis of peptidomimetic severe acute respiratory syndrome chymotrypsin-like protease inhibitors. *J Med Chem*. 2005;48(22):6767-6771.
133. Tomar S, Johnston ML, St. John SE, et al. Ligand-induced dimerization of Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus nsp5 protease ($3CL^{pro}$) implications for nsp5 regulation and the development of antivirals. *J Biol Chem*. 2015;290(32):19403-19422.
134. Yang S, Chen SJ, Hsu MF, et al. Synthesis, crystal structure, structure-activity relationships, and antiviral activity of a potent SARS coronavirus 3CL protease inhibitor. *J Med Chem*. 2006;49(16):4971-4980.

135. Ren Z, Yan L, Zhang N, et al. The newly emerged SARS-like coronavirus HCoV-EMC also has an "Achilles' heel": current effective inhibitor targeting a 3C-like protease. *Protein Cell* 2013;4(4):248-250.
136. Yang H, Xie W, Xue X, et al. Design of wide-spectrum inhibitors targeting coronavirus main proteases. *PLOS Biol* 2005;3(10):2044-2044.
137. Xue X, Yu H, Yang H, et al. Structures of two coronavirus main proteases: implications for substrate binding and antiviral drug design. *J Virol*. 2008;82(5):2515-2527.
138. Wang F, Chen C, Tan W, Yang K, Yang H. Structure of main protease from human coronavirus NL63: insights for wide spectrum anti-coronavirus drug design. *Sci Rep* 2016;6:22677.
139. Hilgenfeld R, Peiris M. From SARS to MERS: 10 years of research on highly pathogenic human coronaviruses. *Antivir Res*. 2013;100(1):286-95.
140. Eckerle I, Müller MA, Kallies S, Gotthardt D.N., Drosten C. In-vitro renal epithelial cell infection reveals a viral kidney tropism as a potential mechanism for acute renal failure during Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) coronavirus infection. *Vir J*. 2013;10(1):359.
141. Butler D. MERS cases spotlight lack of research: outbreak of Middle East respiratory syndrome in South Korea is controllable, but how it infects humans is a puzzle. *Nature* 2015;522(7555):139-141.
142. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19. Accessed March 14 2020. <https://www.who.int/dg/speeches/detail/who-directorgeneral-s-opening-remarks-at-the-mission-briefing-on-covid-2019--2013-march-2020>
143. Jin Z, Du X, Xu Y, et al. Structure of M pro from SARS-CoV-2 and discovery of its inhibitors. *Nature* 2020;582(7811):289-293.
144. Hsyu PH, Pithavala YK, Gersten M, Penning C.A., Kerr B.M. Pharmacokinetics and safety of an antirhinoviral agent, ruprintrivir, in healthy volunteers. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2002;46(2):392-397.
145. Zhang KE, Hee B, Lee CA, Liang B., Potts B.C. Liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry and liquid chromatography-NMR characterization of in vitro metabolites of a potent and irreversible peptidomimetic inhibitor of rhinovirus 3C protease. *Drug Metab Dispos*. 2001;29(5):729-734.
146. Kumar V, Shin JS, Shie JJ, et al. Identification and evaluation of potent Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) 3CL^{pro} inhibitors. *Antivir Res*. 2017;141:101-106.
147. Akaji K, Konno H, Mitsui H, et al. Structure-based design, synthesis, and evaluation of peptide-mimetic SARS 3CL protease inhibitors. *J Med Chem*. 2011;54(23):7962-7973.
148. Shimamoto Y, Hattori Y, Kobayashi K, et al. Fused-ring structure of decahydroisoquinolin as a novel scaffold for SARS 3CL protease inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2015;23(4):876-890.
149. Ohnishi K, Hattori Y, Kobayashi K, Akaji K. Evaluation of a non-prime site substituent and warheads combined with a decahydroisoquinolin scaffold as a SARS 3CL protease inhibitor. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2019;27(2):425-435.
150. Yoshizawa SI, Hattori Y, Kobayashi K, Akaji K. Evaluation of an octahydroisochromene scaffold used as a novel SARS 3CL protease inhibitor. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2020;28(4):115273.
151. Galasiti Kankamalage AC, Kim Y, Damalanka VC, et al. Structure-guided design of potent and permeable inhibitors of MERS coronavirus 3CL protease that utilize a piperidine moiety as a novel design element. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2018;150:334-346.
152. Asgian JL, James KE, Li ZZ, et al. Aza-peptide epoxides: a new class of inhibitors selective for clan CD cysteine proteases. *J Med Chem*. 2002;45(23):4958-4960.
153. Lee TW, Cherney MM, Huitema C, et al. Crystal structures of the main peptidase from the SARS coronavirus inhibited by a substrate-like aza-peptide epoxide. *J Mol Biol*. 2005;353(5):1137-1151.
154. Lee TW, Cherney MM, Liu J, et al. Crystal structures reveal an induced-fit binding of a substrate-like Aza-peptide epoxide to SARS coronavirus main peptidase. *J Mol Biol*. 2007;366(3):916-932.
155. Dai W, Zhang B, Jiang X.M., et al. Structure-based design of antiviral drug candidates targeting the SARS-CoV-2 main protease. *Science* 2020;368(6497):1331-1335.
156. Zhang L, Lin D, Kusov Y, et al. α -Ketoamides as broad-spectrum inhibitors of coronavirus and enterovirus replication: structure-based design, synthesis, and activity assessment. *J Med Chem*. 2020;63(9):4562-4578.
157. Zhang L, Lin D, Sun X, et al. Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 main protease provides a basis for design of improved α -ketoamide inhibitors. *Science* 2020;368(6489):409-412.
158. Sydnes MO, Hayashi Y, Sharma VK, et al. Synthesis of glutamic acid and glutamine peptides possessing a trifluoromethyl ketone group as SARS-CoV 3CL protease inhibitors. *Tetrahedron* 2006;62(36):8601-8609.
159. Regnier T, Sarma D, Hidaka K, et al. New developments for the design, synthesis and biological evaluation of potent SARS-CoV 3CLpro inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2009;19(10):2722-2727.
160. Konno S, Thanigaimalai P, Yamamoto T, et al. Design and synthesis of new tripeptide-type SARS-CoV 3CL protease inhibitors containing an electrophilic arylketone moiety. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2013;21(2):412-424.

161. Thanigaimalai P, Konno S, Yamamoto T, et al. Development of potent dipeptide-type SARS-CoV 3CL protease inhibitors with novel P3 scaffolds: Design, synthesis, biological evaluation, and docking studies. *Eur. J Med Chem* 2013;68:372-384.
162. Thanigaimalai P, Konno S, Yamamoto T, et al. Hayashi Y. Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of novel dipeptide-type SARS-CoV 3CL protease inhibitors: structure-activity relationship study. *Eur. J Med Chem* 2013;65: 436-447.
163. Shao YM, Yang WB, Kuo TH, et al. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of trifluoromethyl ketones as inhibitors of SARS-CoV 3CL protease. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2008;16(8):4652-4660.
164. Zhang HZ, Zhang H, Kemnitzer W, et al. Design and synthesis of dipeptidyl glutaminyl fluoromethyl ketones as potent severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) inhibitors. *J Med Chem*. 2006;49(3):1198-1201.
165. Shie JJ, Fang JM, Kuo CJ, et al. Discovery of potent anilide inhibitors against the severe acute respiratory syndrome 3CL protease. *J Med Chem*. 2005;48(13):4469-4473.
166. Jain RP, Pettersson HI, Zhang J, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of keto-glutamine analogues as potent inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome 3CL^{PRO}. *J Med Chem*. 2004;47(25):6113-6116.
167. Shao YM, Yang WB, Peng HP, et al. Structure-based design and synthesis of highly potent SARS-CoV 3CL protease inhibitors. *Chem Bio Chem*. 2007;8(14):1654-1657.
168. Wu CY, King KY, Kuo CJ, et al. Stable benzotriazole esters as mechanism-based inactivators of the severe acute respiratory syndrome 3CL protease. *Chem Biol*. 2006;13(3):261-268.
169. Zhang J, Pettersson HI, Huitema C, et al. Design, synthesis, and evaluation of inhibitors for severe acute respiratory syndrome 3C-like protease based on phthalhydrazide ketones or heteroaromatic esters. *J Med Chem*. 2007;50(8): 1850-1864.
170. Blanchard JE, Elowe NH, Huitema C, et al. High-throughput screening identifies inhibitors of the SARS coronavirus main proteinase. *Chem Biol* 2004;11(10):1445-1453.
171. Niu C, Yin J, Zhang J, Vederas JC, James MN. Molecular docking identifies the binding of 3-chloropyridine moieties specifically to the S1 pocket of SARS-CoV Mpro. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2008;16(1):293-302.
172. Ghosh AK, Gong G, Grum-Tokars V, et al. Design, synthesis and antiviral efficacy of a series of potent chloropyridyl ester-derived SARS-CoV 3CLpro inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2008;18(20):5684-5688.
173. Kilianski A, Mielech A, Deng X, Baker SC. Assessing activity and inhibition of MERS-CoV papain-like and 3C-like proteases using luciferase-based biosensors. *J Virol* 2013; 87:JVI-02105-62.
174. Zhang J, Huitema C, Niu C, et al. Aryl methylene ketones and fluorinated methylene ketones as reversible inhibitors for severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) 3C-like proteinase. *Bioorg Chem* 2008;36(5):229-240.
175. Kaepller U, Stiefl N, Schiller M, et al. A new lead for nonpeptidic active-site-directed inhibitors of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus main protease discovered by a combination of screening and docking methods. *J Med Chem*. 2005;48(22):6832-6842.
176. Chen LR, Wang YC, Lin YW, et al. Synthesis and evaluation of isatin derivatives as effective SARS coronavirus 3CL protease inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2005;15(12):3058-3062.
177. Zhou L, Liu Y, Zhang W, et al. Isatin compounds as noncovalent SARS coronavirus 3C-like protease inhibitors. *J Med Chem*. 2006;49(12):3440-3443.
178. Liu W, Zhu HM, Niu GJ, et al. Synthesis, modification and docking studies of 5-sulfonyl isatin derivatives as SARS-CoV 3C-like protease inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2014;22(1):292-302.
179. Chen L, Chen S, Gui C, Shen J, Shen X, Jiang H. Discovering severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 3CL protease inhibitors: virtual screening, surface plasmon resonance, and fluorescence resonance energy transfer assays. *J Biomol Screening* 2006;11(8):915-921.
180. Kuo CJ, Liu HG, Lo YK, et al. Individual and common inhibitors of coronavirus and picornavirus main proteases. *FEBS Lett* 2009;583(3):549-555.
181. Ramajayam R, Tan KP, Liu HG, Liang PH. Synthesis and evaluation of pyrazolone compounds as SARS-coronavirus 3C-like protease inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2010;18(22):7849-7854.
182. Kumar V, Tan KP, Wang YM, Lin S.W., Liang P.H. Identification, synthesis and evaluation of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV 3C-like protease inhibitors. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2016;24(13):3035-3042.
183. Kumar V, Chang CK, Tan KP, et al. Identification, synthesis, and evaluation of new neuraminidase inhibitors. *Org Lett* 2014;16(19):5060-5063.
184. Jacobs J, Grum-Tokars V, Zhou Y, et al. Discovery, synthesis, and structure-based optimization of a series of N-(tert-butyl)-2-(N-arylamido)-2-(pyridin-3-yl) acetamides (ML188) as potent noncovalent small molecule inhibitors of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) 3CL protease. *J Med Chem*. 2013;56(2):534-546.
185. Barreto N, Jukneliene D, Ratia K, Chen Z., Mesecar A.D., Baker S.C. The papain-like protease of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus has deubiquitinating activity. *J Virol*. 2005;79(24):15189-15198.

186. Turlington M, Chun A, Tomar S, et al. Discovery of N-(benzo[1,2,3]triazol-1-yl)-N-(benzyl) acetamido) phenyl) carboxamides as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) 3CLpro inhibitors: identification of ML300 and noncovalent nanomolar inhibitors with an induced-fit binding. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2013;23(22):6172-6177.
187. Ryu YB, Jeong HJ, Kim JH, et al. Biflavonoids from *Torreya nucifera* displaying SARS-CoV 3CL^{pro} inhibition. *Bioorg Med Chem*. 2010;18(22):7940-7947.
188. Wen CC, Kuo YH, Jan JT, et al. Specific plant terpenoids and lignoids possess potent antiviral activities against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *J Med Chem*. 2007;50(17):4087-4095.
189. Lu IL, Mahindroo N, Liang PH, et al. Structure-based drug design and structural biology study of novel nonpeptide inhibitors of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus main protease. *J Med Chem*. 2006;49(17):5154-5161.
190. Chen L, Gui C, Luo X, et al. Cinanserin is an inhibitor of the 3C-like proteinase of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus and strongly reduces virus replication in vitro. *J Virol*. 2005;79(11):7095-7103.
191. Singh N, Halliday AC, Thomas JM, et al. A safe lithium mimetic for bipolar disorder. *Nature Commun*. 2013;4(1332):1-7.
192. Kil J, Pierce C, Tran H, Gu R, Lynch E.D. Development of ebselen, a glutathione peroxidase mimic, for the prevention and treatment of noise-induced hearing loss. *Hear Res* 2007;226(1-2):44-51.
193. Kil J, Lobarinas E, Spankovich C, et al. Safety and efficacy of ebselen for the prevention of noise-induced hearing loss: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 2017;390(10098):969-979.
194. Renson M, Etschenberg E, Winkelmann J. 2-Phenyl-1, 2-benziselenazol-3 (2H)-one containing pharmaceutical preparations and process for the treatment of rheumatic diseases. US4352799A. August 05 1982.
195. Masaki C, Sharpley AL, Cooper CM, et al. Effects of the potential lithium-mimetic, ebselen, on impulsivity and emotional processing. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)*. 2016;233(14):2655-61.
196. Baez-Santos YM, Mesecar AD, Baker SC. MERS-CoV papain-like protease has deISGylating and deubiquitinating activities. *Virology*. 2014;450:64-70.
197. Mielech AM, Chen Y, Mesecar AD, Baker SC. Nidovirus papain-like proteases: multifunctional enzymes with protease, deubiquitinating and deISGylating activities. *Virus Res* 2014;194:184-90.
198. Ratia K, Pegan S, Takayama J, et al. A noncovalent class of papain-like protease/deubiquitinase inhibitors blocks SARS virus replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008;105(42):16119-16124.
199. Ghosh AK, Takayama J, Aubin Y, et al. Structure-based design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of a series of novel and reversible inhibitors for the severe acute respiratory syndrome-coronavirus papain-like protease. *J Med Chem*. 2009;52(16):5228-5240.
200. Ghosh AK, Takayama J, Rao KV, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus papain-like novel protease inhibitors: design, synthesis, protein-ligand X-ray structure and biological evaluation. *J Med Chem*. 2010;53(13):4968-4979.
201. Lee H, Lei H, Santarsiero BD, et al. Inhibitor recognition specificity of MERS-CoV papain-like protease may differ from that of SARS-CoV. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2015;10(6):1456-1465.
202. Cheng KW, Cheng SC, Chen WY, et al. Thiopurine analogs and mycophenolic acid synergistically inhibit the papain-like protease of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus. *Antiviral Res* 2015;115:9-16.
203. Park JY, Kim JH, Kim YM, et al. Tanshinones as selective and slow-binding inhibitors for SARS-CoV cysteine proteases. *Bioorg Med Chem* 2012;20(19):5928-5935.
204. Park JY, Yuk HJ, Ryu HW, et al. Evaluation of polyphenols from *Broussonetia papyrifera* as coronavirus protease inhibitors. *J Enzym Inhib Med Chem*. 2017;32(1):504-512.
205. Lin MH, Moses DC, Hsieh CH, et al. Disulfiram can inhibit MERS and SARS coronavirus papain-like proteases via different modes. *Antivir Res*. 2018;150:155-63.
206. Koonin EV, Gorbunova AE, Chumakov KM. Tentative identification of RNA-dependent RNA polymerases of dsRNA viruses and their relationship to positive strand RNA viral polymerases. *FEBS Lett*. 1989;252(1-2):42-46.
207. Ziebuhr J. The coronavirus replicase. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol*. 2005;287:57-94.
208. Ahn DG, Choi JK, Taylor DR, Oh JW. Biochemical characterization of a recombinant SARS coronavirus nsp12 RNA-dependent RNA polymerase capable of copying viral RNA templates. *Arch Virol* 2012;157(11):2095-2104.
209. te Velthuis AJ, Arnold JJ, Cameron CE, van den Worm S.H., Snijder E.J. The RNA polymerase activity of SARS-coronavirus nsp12 is primer dependent. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010;38(1):203-214.
210. Zhai Y, Sun F, Li X, et al. Insights into SARS-CoV transcription and replication from the structure of the nsp7-nsp8 hexadecamer. *Nat Struct Mol Biol*. 2005;12(11):980-986.
211. Peti W, Johnson MA, Herrmann T, et al. Structural genomics of the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus: nuclear magnetic resonance structure of the protein nsp7. *J Virol*. 2005;79(20):12905-12913.
212. Johnson MA, Jaudzems K, Wüthrich K. NMR structure of the SARS-CoV nonstructural protein 7 in solution at pH 6.5. *J Mol Biol*. 2010;402(4):619-628.

213. Gao Y, Yan L, Huang Y, et al. Structure of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from COVID-19 virus. *Science* 2020; 368(6492):779-782.
214. Subissi L, Posthuma CC, Collet A, et al. One severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus protein complex integrates processive RNA polymerase and exonuclease activities. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2014;111:E3900-E3909.
215. Wang M, Cao R, Zhang L, et al. Remdesivir and chloroquine effectively inhibit the recently emerged novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in vitro. *Cell Res* 2020;30(3):269-271.
216. Holshue ML, DeBolt C, Lindquist S, et al. Washington state 2019-nCoV case investigation team, first case of 2019 novel coronavirus in the United States. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:929-936.
217. Warren TK, Jordan R, Lo MK, et al. Therapeutic efficacy of the small molecule GS-5734 against Ebola virus in rhesus monkeys. *Nature* 2016;531(7594):381-385.
218. Cho A, Saunders OL, Butler T, et al. Synthesis and antiviral activity of a series of 1'-substituted 4-aza-7,9-dideazaadenosine C-nucleosides. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 2012;22(8):2705-2707.
219. Yin W, Mao C, Luan X, et al. Structural basis for inhibition of the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase from SARS-CoV-2 by remdesivir. *Science* 2020;368(6498):1499-1504.
220. Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Graham RL, et al. Broad-spectrum antiviral GS-5734 inhibits both epidemic and zoonotic coronaviruses. *Sci Transl Med*. 2017;9(396):eaal3653.
221. Taylor R, Kotian P, Warren T, et al. BCX4430—a broad-spectrum antiviral adenosine nucleoside analog under development for the treatment of Ebola virus disease. *J Infect Public Heal*. 2016;9(3):220-226.
222. Westover JB, Mathis A, Taylor R, et al. Galidesivir limits Rift Valley fever virus infection and disease in Syrian golden hamsters. *Antiviral Res* 2018;156:38-45.
223. Dömling A, Gao L. Chemistry and biology of SARS-CoV-2. *Chem*. 2020;6(6):1283-1295.
224. Graci JD, Cameron CE. Mechanisms of action of ribavirin against distinct viruses. *Rev Med Virol*. 2006;16(1):37-48.
225. Chu CM, Cheng V.C., Hung I.F., et al. Role of lopinavir/ritonavir in the treatment of SARS: initial virological and clinical findings. *Thorax* 2004;59(3):252-256.
226. Xia S, Yan L, Xu W, et al. A pan-coronavirus fusion inhibitor targeting the HR1 domain of human coronavirus spike. *Sci Adv* 2019;5(4):eaav4580.
227. Furuta Y, Takahashi K, Shiraki K, et al. T-705 (favipiravir) and related compounds: novel broad-spectrum inhibitors of RNA viral infections. *Antiviral Res* 2009;82:95-102.
228. Sheahan TP, Sims AC, Zhou S, et al. An orally bioavailable broad-spectrum antiviral inhibits SARS-CoV-2 in human airway epithelial cell cultures and multiple coronaviruses in mice. *Sci Transl Med* 2020;12(541):eabb5883.
229. COVID-19 first in human study to evaluate safety, tolerability, and pharmacokinetics of EIDD-2801 in healthy volunteers. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT04392219>
230. Jarvis LM. Emory-discovered antiviral is poised for COVID-19 clinical trials. *Chem Eng News*. 2020;98(12). <https://cen.acs.org/biological-chemistry/infectious-disease/Emory-discovered-antiviral-poised-COVID/98/i12>
231. Pillaiyay T, Meenakshisundaram S, Manickam M, Sankaranarayanan M. A medicinal chemistry perspective of drug repositioning: Recent advances and challenges in drug discovery. *Eur J Med Chem*. 2020;195:112275.
232. Cinatl J, Morgenstern B, Bauer G, Chandra P., Rabenau H., Doerr H.W. Treatment of SARS with human interferons. *Lancet* 2003;362(9380):293-294.
233. Channappanavar R, Lu L, Xia S, et al. Protective effect of intranasal regimens containing peptidic Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus fusion inhibitor against MERS-CoV infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2015;212(12): 1894-1903.
234. Koren G, King S, Knowles S, Phillips E. Ribavirin in the treatment of SARS: A new trick for an old drug? *CMAJ* 2003; 168(10):1289-1292.
235. Stadler K, Ha HR, Cimini V, et al. Amiodarone alters late endosomes and inhibits SARS coronavirus infection at a post-endosomal level. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*. 2008;39(2):142-149.
236. Lane TE, Paoletti AD, Buchmeier MJ. Disassociation between the in vitro and in vivo effects of nitric oxide on a neurotropic murine coronavirus. *J Virol*. 1997;71(3):2202-2210.
237. Ströher U, DiCaro A, Li Y, et al. Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus is inhibited by interferon- α . *J Infect Dis*. 2004;189(7):1164-1167.
238. Wu CJ, Jan JT, Chen CM, et al. Inhibition of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication by niclosamide. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2004;48(7):2693-2696.
239. Gassen NC, Niemeyer D, Muth D, et al. SKP2 attenuates autophagy through Beclin1-ubiquitination and its inhibition reduces MERS-Coronavirus infection. *Nat Commun* 2019;10(1):1-6.
240. Jeon S, Ko M, Lee J, et al. Identification of antiviral drug candidates against SARS-CoV-2 from FDA-approved drugs. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(7):e00819-20.
241. Schaffner TJ, Skoner DP. Ciclesonide: a safe and effective inhaled corticosteroid for the treatment of asthma. *J Asthma Aller*. 2009;2:25-32.

242. Matsuyama S, Kawase M, Nao N, et al. The inhaled corticosteroid ciclesonide blocks coronavirus RNA replication by targeting viral NSP15. *bioRxiv*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.03.11.987016>
243. Amid ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, governor Cuomo accepts recommendation of army corps of engineers for four temporary hospital sites in New York. governor.ny.gov. Accessed March 22 2020.
244. ICI.Radio-Canada.ca, Zone Santé-. Début d'uneétudeclinique pour tester un médicamentcontre les effets de la COVID-19 | Coronavirus. Radio-Canada.ca.
245. New York clinical trial quietly tests heartburn remedy against coronavirus. *Science*. 26 April 2020.
246. Chinese Clinical Trial Register (ChiCTR). The world health organization international clinical trials registered organization registered platform. www.chictr.org.cn
247. A Pilot Study Of Sildenafil In COVID-19—full text view. ClinicalTrials.gov.
248. Rogosnitzky M, Berkowitz E, Jadad AR. Delivering benefits at speed through real-world repurposing of off-patent drugs: the COVID-19 pandemic as a case in point. *JMIR Public Health and Surveill*. 2020;6(2):e19199.
249. Rogosnitzky M, Berkowitz E, Jadad AR. No time to waste: real-world repurposing of generic drugs as a multifaceted strategy against COVID-19. *JMIR*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.2196/preprints.19583>
250. Yamamoto N, Yang R, Yoshinaka Y, et al. HIV protease inhibitor nelfinavir inhibits replication of SARS-associated coronavirus. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2004;318(3):719-725.
251. Yamamoto N, Matsuyama S, Hoshino T, Yamamoto N. Nelfinavir inhibits replication of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in vitro. *bioRxiv*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.06.026476>
252. de Wilde AH, Jochmans D, Posthuma CC, et al. Screening of an FDA-approved compound library identifies four small-molecule inhibitors of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus replication in cell culture. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2014;58(8):4875-4884.
253. Miyamoto S, Miyake N, Jarskog LF, Fleischhacker W.W., Lieberman J.A. Pharmacological treatment of schizophrenia: a critical review of the pharmacology and clinical effects of current and future therapeutic agents. *Mol Psychiatry* 2012;17(12):1206-1227.
254. Eifart P, Ludwig K, Böttcher C, et al. Role of endocytosis and low pH in murine hepatitis virus strain A59 cell entry. *J Virol*. 2007;81(19):10758-10768.
255. Pu Y, Zhang X. Mouse hepatitis virus type 2 enters cells through a clathrin-mediated endocytic pathway independent of Eps15. *J Virol*. 2008;82(16):8112-8123.
256. Burkard C, Verheije MH, Haagmans BL, et al. ATP1A1-mediated Src signaling inhibits coronavirus entry into host cells. *J Virol* 2015;89(8):4434-4448.
257. Regnard C, Twycross R, Mihalyo M, Wilcock A. Loperamide. *J Pain Symptom Manage*. 2011;42(2):319-323.
258. Wu CY, Jan JT, Ma SH, et al. Small molecules targeting severe acute respiratory syndrome human coronavirus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004;101(27):10012-10017.
259. Shin JS, Jung E, Kim M, Baric R.S., Go Y.Y. Saracatinib inhibits middle east respiratory syndrome-coronavirus replication in vitro. *Viruses* 2018;10(6):283.
260. Ha DP, Krieken RV, Carlos AJ, Lee AS. The stress-inducible molecular chaperone GRP78 as potential therapeutic target for coronavirus infection. *J Infect*. 2020, 81, 452-482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.06.017>
261. Ibrahim, IM, Abdelmalek DH, Elshahat ME, Elfiky AA. COVID-19 spike-host cell receptor GRP78 binding site prediction. *J Infect* 2020, 80, 554-562. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.02.026>
262. Palmeira A, Sousa E, Köseler A, et al. Preliminary virtual screening studies to identify GRP78 inhibitors which may interfere with SARS-CoV-2. *Pharmaceuticals*. 2020;6:132. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph13060132>

AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

Thanigaimalai Pillaiyar received his doctoral degree in Medicinal Chemistry in 2011 under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Sang-Hun Jung at Chungnam National University, South Korea. In 2011, he won a "Japanese Society for the Promotion of Science Postdoctoral Fellowship (JSPS)" for 2 years with Prof. Dr. Yoshio Hayashi at Tokyo University of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Japan. He was awarded an Alexander von Humboldt postdoctoral fellowship (AvH) in 2013 for 2 years with Prof. Dr. Christa E. Müller at University of Bonn, Germany. He worked as a visiting scientist at the Prof. Steven V. Ley's Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, and University of Cambridge. Currently he is working on developing modulators/inhibitors for SARS-CoV-2 M^{pro} and various G-protein-coupled receptors.

Lukas L. Wendt is a PhD student in the research group of Prof. Christa E. Müller at the University of Bonn, Germany. He studied Pharmacy at the University of Frankfurt am Main, Germany. In 2016, he started his PhD in a Medicinal Chemistry PhD program at the University of Bonn, where he is working on the design and preparation of small molecule ligands for G protein-coupled receptors with immunomodulatory properties. He is actively involved in teaching students of medicinal and pharmaceutical chemistry.

Manoj Manickam received his PhD in 2010 from Bharathiar University, Coimbatore, India. He continued to work as a Research Associate at Orchid Chemicals and Pharmaceuticals Ltd. Then he moved to Chungnam National University, South Korea, to continue his research as Postdoctoral Researcher and Research Professor working with Professor Sang-Hun Jung. Currently, he is working in PSG Institute of Technology and Applied Research, Coimbatore, India as Assistant Professor in the Department of Chemistry. He is actively involved in the preparation of small molecules for various therapeutic targets such as heart failure, hypertension and cancer.

Maheswaran Easwaran received his doctoral degree in veterinary medicine in 2016 under the supervision of Prof. Dr. Hyun-Jin Shin at Chungnam National University, South Korea. He continued his research as a postdoctoral researcher in the same lab for 1 year. Then, he moved to Kangwon National University, South Korea, to continue his research as a senior researcher with Prof. Dr. Juhee Ahn. Presently, he is working at the Sethu Institute of Technology, Virudhunagar, India, as Assistant Professor in the Department of Biomedical Engineering. He is actively involved to combat multidrug resistant bacterial pathogens using phage therapy.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article.

How to cite this article: Pillaiyar T, Wendt LL, Manickam M, Easwaran M. The recent outbreaks of human coronaviruses: A medicinal chemistry perspective. *Med Res Rev.* 2021;41:72-135.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21724>