

Grunnatriði stýrikerfa - dæmatímaverkefni 3

January 2017

Processors: 4

Solutions:

Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040

All done

Total time: 1028 ms

1. Sequentially: Don't run the next instance until the one before has returned

Additions:

```
for (int i = 0; i < NUMBER_OF_PROBLEMS; i++) {  
    Solver.findAndPrintSolution(Problematic.nextProblem());  
}
```

Output:

Processors: 4

Solutions:

Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040

Problem: (461,73) - (99,155) - length: 444 - iterations: 204752

Problem: (615,254) - (394,470) - length: 437 - iterations: 188783

Problem: (296,109) - (34,279) - length: 432 - iterations: 243092

Problem: (85,493) - (61,440) - length: 77 - iterations: 11811

Problem: (263,29) - (336,608) - length: 652 - iterations: 329093

Problem: (279,152) - (618,465) - length: 652 - iterations: 383761

Problem: (276,214) - (2,334) - length: 394 - iterations: 263061

Problem: (113,34) - (489,423) - length: 765 - iterations: 342223

Problem: (60,307) - (350,354) - length: 337 - iterations: 149614

Problem: (393,490) - (64,8) - length: 811 - iterations: 406964

Problem: (493,167) - (222,265) - length: 369 - iterations: 183582

Problem: (422,584) - (107,589) - length: 320 - iterations: 125153

Problem: (216,64) - (525,344) - length: 589 - iterations: 304957

Problem: (626,79) - (148,152) - length: 551 - iterations: 200691

Problem: (303,611) - (328,206) - length: 430 - iterations: 191063

Problem: (313,267) - (603,279) - length: 302 - iterations: 181243

Problem: (232,148) - (381,22) - length: 275 - iterations: 133651

Problem: (423,194) - (398,320) - length: 151 - iterations: 45554

Problem: (119,429) - (111,580) - length: 159 - iterations: 48948

Problem: (13,309) - (113,149) - length: 260 - iterations: 74511

Problem: (279,35) - (623,21) - length: 358 - iterations: 147055

Problem: (413,605) - (248,223) - length: 547 - iterations: 260947

Problem: (130,454) - (548,561) - length: 525 - iterations: 296899

Problem: (297,432) - (392,501) - length: 164 - iterations: 53931
Problem: (436,382) - (324,618) - length: 348 - iterations: 213150
Problem: (359,475) - (441,372) - length: 185 - iterations: 68236
Problem: (299,273) - (344,252) - length: 66 - iterations: 8754
Problem: (431,263) - (150,389) - length: 407 - iterations: 270185
Problem: (553,90) - (379,333) - length: 417 - iterations: 160783
All done
Total time: 24009 ms

Comments:

The output was in the same order, except it ran the problem 30 times, The total time was about 24 times as long which leads me to assume that there is a certain amount of constant time involved maybe to do with printing the output, so that the time isn't completely linear.

2. All at once in separate threads. A new thread is created for each instance.

Additions:

In Main Class:

```
final Problem problem = getProblem();

for (int i = 0; i < NUMBER_OF_PROBLEMS; i++) {
    runProblem(makeRunnable(problem));
}
```

Helper Classes:

```
static Problem getProblem() {
    return Problematic.nextProblem();
}

static void runProblem(Runnable runnable) {
    new Thread(runnable).start();
}

static Runnable makeRunnable(Problem problem) {

    Runnable runnable = (new Runnable() {
        @Override
        public void run() {
            Solver.findAndPrintSolution(problem);
        }});

    return runnable;
}
```

Output:

Processors: 4

[illegible]

This time the total time was outputted before the problems started to printout, which implies that the for loop was about to start a thread and move on the the next thread before one of the problems was able to execute. So there was a moment where 30 threads were running simultaneously, before the first was able to finish. And because `Problem.nextProblem()` was constant, and only run once that cut the runtime it would have taken to run 30 times.

```
ExecutorService threadPool = Executors.newFixedThreadPool(POOL_SIZE);
```

[illegible]

All done
Total time: 13981 ms

Pool size 10:

Processors: 4
Solutions:
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
Problem: (557,160) - (110,177) - length: 464 - iterations: 217040
All done
Total time: 7037 ms

Comments:

Using the thread pool the total time has increased because all the threads aren't being run at the same time, but when the pool sizes increases the total time is reduced,

because more threads are allowed to work at the same time. As you can see from the output increasing the amount of threads from 2 to 10 more than halved the runtime. I observed the same effects when I increased the number of instances as well.