Efficient Algorithms for Geometric Partial Matching

Pankaj K. Agarwal Hsien-Chih Chang Allen Xiao

Department of Computer Science, Duke University

June 2019

Geometric (bipartite) matching

A, B



Geometric (bipartite) matching

A, B



Geometric (bipartite) matching



Geometric (bipartite) partial matching

A, B



$k = 3$

Prior work

	approx.	time	valid q
Hungarian algorithm (Kuhn)	exact	$O(km + k^2 \log n)$	$q \geq 1$
		$O(kn \operatorname{polylog} n)$	
Ramshaw, Tarjan 2012	exact[1]	$O(m\sqrt{k} \log(kC))$	$q \geq 1$
	$(1+\varepsilon)$	$O(n\sqrt{k} \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$	
Sharathkumar, Agarwal 2012	$(1+\varepsilon)$	$O(n \operatorname{poly}(\log n, 1/\varepsilon))$	$q = 1$
new (Hungarian)	exact	$O((n+k^2) \operatorname{polylog} n)$	$q \geq 1$
new (cost-scaling)	$(1+\varepsilon)$	$O((n+k\sqrt{k}) \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$	$q \geq 1$

[1] Assuming integer costs $\leq C$.

Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Unit-capacity min-cost flow formulation



Flow terminology



- Reduced cost: $c_\pi(v{\to}w) := c(v{\to}w) - \pi(v) + \pi(w)$
- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v{\to}w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- admissible residual arcs: $c_\pi(v{\to}w) \leq 0$

Flow terminology



- Reduced cost: $c_\pi(v \to w) := c(v \to w) - \pi(v) + \pi(w)$
- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v \to w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- admissible residual arcs: $c_\pi(v \to w) \leq 0$



- Reduced cost: $c_\pi(v \to w) := c(v \to w) - \pi(v) + \pi(w)$
- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v \to w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- admissible residual arcs: $c_\pi(v \to w) \leq 0$

Flow terminology



- Reduced cost: $c_\pi(v \to w) := c(v \to w) - \pi(v) + \pi(w)$
- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v \to w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- admissible residual arcs: $c_\pi(v \to w) \leq 0$

Flow terminology



- Reduced cost: $c_\pi(v \to w) := c(v \to w) - \pi(v) + \pi(w)$
- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v \to w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- admissible residual arcs: $c_\pi(v \to w) \leq 0$

Flow terminology



- Reduced cost: $c_\pi(v \to w) := c(v \to w) - \pi(v) + \pi(w)$
- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v \to w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- admissible residual arcs: $c_\pi(v \to w) \leq 0$

Cost-scaling (Ramshaw-Tarjan)

- θ-optimality: $c_\pi(v \to w) \geq -\theta$ on all residual arcs
- θ-optimal circulation is $+n\theta$ approx. in general ($+6k\theta$ in our graph).
- Find θ-optimal circulations for geometrically decreasing values of θ:
  1. Reduce $\theta \leftarrow \theta/2$, while creating $O(k)$ excess.
  2. Refine this pseudoflow into a circulation, while preserving θ-optimality

Cost-scaling for geometric partial matching

- Compute $\alpha \geq 0$ satisfying:
  1. there exists a k-matching whose longest edge has cost $\leq n^q \cdot \alpha$
  2. an $(\varepsilon\alpha/6k)$-optimal circulation is $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx.



- $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx. geometric partial matching by executing $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ cost scales.

Cost-scaling for geometric partial matching

- Compute $\alpha \geq 0$ satisfying:
  1. there exists a k-matching whose longest edge has cost $\leq n^q \cdot \alpha$
  2. an $(\varepsilon\alpha/6k)$-optimal circulation is $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx.



- $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx. geometric partial matching by executing $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ cost scales.

- Compute $\alpha \geq 0$ satisfying:
  1. there exists a k-matching whose longest edge has cost $\leq n^q \cdot \alpha$
  2. an $(\varepsilon\alpha/6k)$-optimal circulation is $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx.



- $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx. geometric partial matching by executing $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ cost scales.

- Inside Refine:
  1. Hungarian search: raise potentials until an excess-deficit admissible path exists.
  2. Augment by an admissible blocking flow.



- $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows before f becomes a circulation.

Refinement by blocking flows (Ramshaw-Tarjan)

- Inside Refine:
  1. Hungarian search: raise potentials until an excess-deficit admissible path exists.
  2. Augment by an admissible blocking flow.



- $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows before f becomes a circulation.

Refinement by blocking flows (Ramshaw-Tarjan)

- Inside Refine:
  1. Hungarian search: raise potentials until an excess-deficit admissible path exists.
  2. Augment by an admissible blocking flow.



- $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows before f becomes a circulation.

- Inside Refine:
  1. Hungarian search: raise potentials until an excess-deficit admissible path exists.
  2. Augment by an admissible blocking flow.



- $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows before f becomes a circulation.

Refinement by blocking flows (Ramshaw-Tarjan)

- Inside Refine:
  1. Hungarian search: raise potentials until an excess-deficit admissible path exists.
  2. Augment by an admissible blocking flow.



- $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows before f becomes a circulation.

High-level goal per scale

- Inside Refine:
  1. Hungarian search: raise potentials until an excess-deficit admissible path exists.
  2. Augment by an admissible blocking flow.
- After $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n)$-time preprocessing, perform Hungarian search and find each blocking flow in $O(k \operatorname{polylog} n)$ time.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\operatorname{polylog} n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\operatorname{polylog} n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Hungarian search with BCP (Agarwal-Efrat-Sharir)

X: admissible reachable from an excess node



- Dynamic 2D bichromatic closest pair with $O(\operatorname{polylog} n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)
- Possible to batch potential updates (Vaidya) — only need to bound number of relaxations.

Problem: Hungarian search looks at too many nodes



Problem: Hungarian search looks at too many nodes



Problem: Hungarian search looks at too many nodes



Problem: Hungarian search looks at too many nodes



Dead/alive nodes

- Alive nodes: nonzero excess/deficit, or adjoining flow support arcs.
- Dead nodes: ones which aren't alive.



- Alive path: residual path between two alive nodes with no other alive nodes in between.

Dead/alive nodes

- Alive nodes: nonzero excess/deficit, or adjoining flow support arcs.
- Dead nodes: ones which aren't alive.



- Alive path: residual path between two alive nodes with no other alive nodes in between.

Dead/alive nodes

- Alive nodes: nonzero excess/deficit, or adjoining flow support arcs.
- Dead nodes: ones which aren't alive.



- Alive path: residual path between two alive nodes with no other alive nodes in between.

Dead/alive nodes

- Alive nodes: nonzero excess/deficit, or adjoining flow support arcs.
- Dead nodes: ones which aren't alive.



- Alive path: residual path between two alive nodes with no other alive nodes in between.

Dead/alive nodes

- Alive nodes: nonzero excess/deficit, or adjoining flow support arcs.
- Dead nodes: ones which aren't alive.



- Alive path: residual path between two alive nodes with no other alive nodes in between.

Dead/alive nodes

- Alive nodes: nonzero excess/deficit, or adjoining flow support arcs.
- Dead nodes: ones which aren't alive.



- Alive path: residual path between two alive nodes with no other alive nodes in between.

Relaxing alive paths

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

Relaxing alive paths

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

Relaxing alive paths

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

Relaxing alive paths

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

Relaxing alive paths

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

Relaxing alive paths

- Alive paths have length 1, 2, or 3.



- Telescoping: $c_\pi(s \to a \to b) = c(a \to b) - \pi(s) + \pi(b)$ (use BCP)
- Only $O(k)$ relaxations per Hungarian search.
- Also: find a blocking flow in $O(k)$ relaxations (DFS).

Problem: BCP initialization

- Dynamic 2D BCP with $O(\text{polylog}\, n)$ update time, $O(\log^2 n)$ query time (Kaplan *et al.* SODA'17)



- Some BCP may begin a Hungarian search with $\Theta(n)$ vertices.
- Can't afford to construct from scratch for every Hungarian search.

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
  1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
  2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
  1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
  2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
    1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
    2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
  1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
  2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
  1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
  2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
  1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
  2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Initial BCP by rewinding



- Let $\mathcal{D}_t$ be the BCP at the start of the t-th Hungarian search.
- $\mathcal{D}_t$ and $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$ differ by only a few nodes (newly matched, dead/alive changes).
- To generate $\mathcal{D}_{t+1}$:
  1. Rewind the BCP updates of the last Hungarian search to obtain $\mathcal{D}_t$.
  2. Apply the few changes (newly matched, dead/alive).
- Persistence?

Summary

- $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ scales, $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows per scale.
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search uses $O(k)$ relaxations (alive paths).
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search BCP can be initialized using the previous one in $O(k \operatorname{polylog} n)$ time (rewinding). Need to spend $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n)$ once per scale to build data structures for $t = 0$.
- $O((n + k\sqrt{k}) \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$
- Thank you.

Summary

- $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ scales, $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows per scale.
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search uses $O(k)$ relaxations (alive paths).
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search BCP can be initialized using the previous one in $O(k \operatorname{polylog} n)$ time (rewinding). Need to spend $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n)$ once per scale to build data structures for $t = 0$.
- $O((n + k\sqrt{k}) \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$
- Thank you.

Summary

- $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ scales, $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows per scale.
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search uses $O(k)$ relaxations (alive paths).
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search BCP can be initialized using the previous one in $O(k \operatorname{polylog} n)$ time (rewinding). Need to spend $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n)$ once per scale to build data structures for $t = 0$.
- $O((n + k\sqrt{k}) \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$
- Thank you.

Summary

- $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ scales, $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows per scale.
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search uses $O(k)$ relaxations (alive paths).
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search BCP can be initialized using the previous one in $O(k \operatorname{polylog} n)$ time (rewinding). Need to spend $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n)$ once per scale to build data structures for $t = 0$.
- $O((n + k\sqrt{k}) \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$
- Thank you.

Summary

- $O(\log(n^q/\varepsilon))$ scales, $O(\sqrt{k})$ blocking flows per scale.
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search uses $O(k)$ relaxations (alive paths).
- Each blocking flow's Hungarian search BCP can be initialized using the previous one in $O(k \operatorname{polylog} n)$ time (rewinding). Need to spend $O(n \operatorname{polylog} n)$ once per scale to build data structures for $t = 0$.
- $O((n + k\sqrt{k}) \operatorname{polylog} n \log(1/\varepsilon))$
- Thank you.