

## MA 638 - Section 8.1 Homework

1. (The postage stamp problem) Let  $a$  and  $b$  be two relatively prime positive integers. Prove that every sufficiently large positive integer  $N$  can be written as a linear combination  $ax + by$  of  $a$  and  $b$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are both nonnegative. (i.e. there exists an integer  $N_0$  such that for all  $N \geq N_0$  the equation  $ax + by = N$  can be solved with both  $x$  and  $y$  nonnegative integers.) Prove in fact that the integer  $ab - a - b$  cannot be written as a positive linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ , but that every integer greater than  $ab - a - b$  is a positive linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ . (so every “postage” greater than  $ab - a - b$  can be obtained using only stamps in denominations  $a$  and  $b$ .)

*Proof:* To see that  $ab - a - b$  cannot be written as a nonnegative linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$  suppose for contradiction that it can. So let  $ab - a - b = am + bn$  where  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ . First note that, since  $(a, b) = 1$  this implies that in the multiplicative group of integers mod  $a$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{Z}_a^*$ , the element  $b$  has a multiplicative inverse. Likewise in  $\mathbb{Z}_b^*$  the element  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} ab - a - b \pmod{a} &= am + bn \pmod{a} \Rightarrow \\ -b \pmod{a} &= bn \pmod{a} \Rightarrow \\ -1 \pmod{a} &= n \pmod{a} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ab - a - b \pmod{b} &= am + bn \pmod{b} \Rightarrow \\ -a \pmod{b} &= am \pmod{b} \Rightarrow \\ -1 \pmod{b} &= m \pmod{b}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we can infer that  $n = -1 + ap$  and  $m = -1 + bq$  for some integers  $p, q$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  are each positive integers and  $m, n$  each nonnegative, then we must have that both  $p$  and  $q$  are positive.

Next we observe that, from the above,

$$\begin{aligned} ab - a - b &= a(-1 + bq) + b(-1 + ap) \Rightarrow \\ ab &= abq + abp \Rightarrow \\ 1 &= p + q. \end{aligned}$$

But now  $p$  and  $q$  cannot both be positive, a contradiction.  $\nexists$  Hence  $ab - a - b$  cannot be written as a nonnegative linear combination of  $a, b$ .

Next we show that for any positive integer  $k$ , the number  $n = ab - a - b + k$  can be written as a positive linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ . First note that from Bezout's lemma there exist  $x_0, y_0$  such that

$$ax_0 + by_0 = 1.$$

Because of this we have

$$nax_0 + nby_0 = n$$

and so  $x_1 = nx_0$  and  $y_1 = ny_0$  are integer solutions to

$$ax_1 + by_1 = n$$

Moreover, for every integer  $z$  we have

$$a \left( x_1 + z \frac{b}{(a, b)} \right) + b \left( y_1 - z \frac{a}{(a, b)} \right) = ax_1 + by_1 + zab - zab = n.$$

Since this holds for every integer, we can choose  $z$  to be the least integer such that  $x_1 + zb \geq 0$ . Note that the minimality of  $z$  also requires that  $x_1 + zb \leq b - 1$ . Therefore

$$n = a(x_1 + zb) + b(y_1 - za).$$

Further note that

$$(a - 1)(b - 1) = ab - a - b + 1 \leq ab - a - b + k = n.$$

Hence

$$(a - 1)(b - 1) \leq a(x_1 + zb) + b(y_1 - za) \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} b(y_1 - za) &\geq (a - 1)(b - 1) - a(x_1 + zb) \\ &\geq (a - 1)(b - 1) - a(b - 1) \\ &= -(b - 1). \end{aligned}$$

But this implies  $y_1 - za \geq -\frac{b-1}{b}$  and since for all positive integers  $b$  we must have  $\frac{b-1}{b} < 1$ . Therefore  $y_1 - za > -1$  and since  $y_1 - za$  is an integer we must have  $y_1 - za \geq 0$ .

Since we have shown that  $n = a(x_0 + zb) + b(y_0 - za)$  this therefore shows that  $n$  is a nonnegative linear combination of  $a$  and  $b$ . Since  $n$  was selected arbitrarily from all integers  $n \geq ab - a - b + 1$ , therefore all such numbers are nonnegative linear combinations of  $a$  and  $b$ .  $\square$

2. Find a generator for the ideal  $(85, 1 + 13i) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[i]$ , i.e. the gcd for 85 and  $1 + 13i$ , by the Euclidean Algorithm. Do the same for the ideal  $(47 - 13i, 53 + 56i)$ .

*Calculation of  $(85, 1 + 13i)$ :* Since 85 has a greater norm than  $1 + 13i$  we compute

$$\frac{85}{1 + 13i} \cdot \frac{1 - 13i}{1 - 13i} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{13}{2}i$$

and arbitrarily choose to round the first one down, and the second we round up. We therefore set  $p = 0, q = -6$ . We are therefore calling the quotient  $0 - 6i$  and the remainder we compute as

$$(1 + 13i) \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}i \right) = 7 + 6i.$$

Therefore this iteration of the Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\overbrace{85}^b = \overbrace{(1 + 13i)}^a \overbrace{(-6i)}^{q_1} + \overbrace{(7 + 6i)}^{r_1}$$

We next compute the quotient and remainder for the pair  $1 + 13i$  and  $7 + 6i$ . Then

$$\frac{1 + 13i}{7 + 6i} \cdot \frac{7 - 6i}{7 - 6i} = 1 + i.$$

We therefore set  $p = 1, q = 1$ . We are therefore calling the quotient  $1 + i$  and the remainder we compute as

$$(7 + 6i)(0) = 0.$$

Therefore this iteration of the Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\overbrace{1 + 13i}^a = \overbrace{(7 + 6i)}^{r_1} \overbrace{(1 + i)}^{q_2} + \overbrace{(0)}^{r_2}.$$

Since the remainder is zero the algorithm now terminates, and we conclude that a greatest common divisor is  $7 + 6i$ . Therefore the ideal  $(85, 1 + 13i)$  is the same as  $(7 + 6i)$ .

*Calculation of  $(47 - 13i, 53 + 56i)$ :*

Since the norm of  $53 + 56i$  is larger, we compute

$$\frac{53 + 56i}{47 - 13i} \cdot \frac{47 + 13i}{47 + 13i} = \frac{43}{58} + \frac{81}{58}i$$

We therefore set  $p = 1, q = 1$ . We are therefore calling the quotient  $1 + i$  and the remainder we compute as

$$(47 - 13i) \left( -\frac{15}{58} + \frac{23}{58}i \right) = -7 + 22i$$

Therefore this iteration of the Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\overbrace{53 + 56i}^b = \overbrace{(47 - 13i)}^a \overbrace{(1 + i)}^{q_1} + \overbrace{(-7 + 22i)}^{r_1}$$

We next compute the quotient and remainder for the pair  $47 - 13i$  and  $-7 + 22i$ . Then

$$\frac{47 - 13i}{-7 + 22i} \cdot \frac{-7 - 22i}{-7 - 22i} = -\frac{15}{13} - \frac{23}{13}i.$$

We therefore set  $p = -1, q = -2$ . We are therefore calling the quotient  $-1 - 2i$  and the remainder we compute as

$$(-7 + 22i) \left( -\frac{2}{13} + \frac{3}{13}i \right) = -4 - 5i.$$

Therefore this iteration of the Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\overbrace{47 - 13i}^a = \overbrace{(-7 + 22i)}^{r_1} \overbrace{(-1 - 2i)}^{q_2} + \overbrace{(-4 - 5i)}^{r_2}.$$

We next compute the quotient and remainder for the pair  $-7 + 22i$  and  $-4 - 5i$ . Then

$$\frac{-7 + 22i}{-4 - 5i} \cdot \frac{-4 + 5i}{-4 + 5i} = -2 - 3i.$$

We therefore set  $p = -2, q = -3$ . We are therefore calling the quotient  $-2 - 3i$  and the remainder we compute as

$$(-7 - 22i)(0) = 0.$$

Therefore this iteration of the Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\overbrace{-7 + 22i}^{r_1} = \overbrace{(-4 - 5i)}^{r_2} \overbrace{(-2 - 3i)}^{q_3} + \overbrace{(0)}^{r_3}.$$

Since the remainder is zero we conclude that a greatest common divisor of  $47 - 13i$  and  $53 + 56i$  is  $-4 - 5i$ . Hence the ideal  $(47 - 13i, 53 + 56i)$  is the same as  $(-4 - 5i)$ .

3. Prove the quadratic integer ring  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a Euclidean domain with respect to the norm given by the absolute value of the field norm  $N$  given in the last example of section 7.1 from the textbook (i.e. the standard norm).

*Proof:* Let  $\alpha = a + b\sqrt{2}, \beta = c + d\sqrt{2}$ . We use the norm  $N(a + b\sqrt{2}) = |a^2 - 2b^2|$ . Next define

$$r = \frac{ac - 2bd}{c^2 - 2d^2}$$

$$s = \frac{ad + bc}{c^2 - 2d^2}.$$

Note that it is impossible for  $c^2 - 2d^2 = 0$  since this would imply  $(\frac{c}{d})^2 = 2$ . This in turn would imply that  $\sqrt{2}$  is a rational number, which we know it is not. So  $r$  and  $s$  are each rational numbers and

$$\alpha = \beta(r + s\sqrt{2}).$$

Next define  $p$  to be the integer nearest to  $r$  and define  $q$  to be the integer nearest to  $s$ . Set  $\theta = (r - p) + (s - q)\sqrt{2}$  and set  $\gamma = \beta\theta$ . Since  $\beta$  and  $\theta$  each have integer coefficients then  $\gamma$  must also have integer coefficients. Moreover

$$\gamma = \beta(r + s\sqrt{2}) - \beta(p + q\sqrt{2}) = \alpha - \beta(p + q\sqrt{2}) \Rightarrow$$

$$\alpha = \beta(p + q\sqrt{2}) + \gamma$$

so that if we show  $N(\beta) > N(\gamma)$  then  $p + q\sqrt{2}$  is our quotient and  $\gamma$  is our remainder satisfying the properties of a Euclidean norm. But notice that by the triangle inequality

$$N(\theta) = |(r - p)^2 - 2(s - q)^2| \leq |r - p|^2 + 2|s - q|^2$$

and from the construction of  $p$  and  $q$  we have that  $|r - p| \leq \frac{1}{2}$  and  $|s - q| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore

$$N(\theta) \leq \frac{1}{4} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{4} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Since the norm is multiplicative then

$$N(\gamma) = N(\beta\theta) = N(\beta)N(\theta) = \frac{3}{4}N(\beta) < N(\beta).$$

This shows that  $N$  is an appropriate norm to make  $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$  a Euclidean domain.  $\square$