Scope and Product Backlog - Group Task

Team Name: Team Grotle Tutorial Class: Tue 12:30 EN310

Team Members: Tutor: Man Lau

| Group members | Student ID | Comments (Agree, disagree with final outcome, and Why) | | |
|----------------------|------------|---|--|--|
| Hamish | 103607352 | I'm satisfied with the end scope submitted. I feel that it's an excellent summation of all our ideas and inputs. It's concise but not to the point where the scope described is vague. I also think that it addresses what's required by the background. 10/10 | | |
| Dilni | 103616345 | The outcome of the task the group produced was completed to a satisfactory standard which I have no disagreement and issue with. Everyone contributed equally to the final outcome. Where the group took pieces of each our scopes , backlogs and polished off and discussing and added additional information. Therefore coming to a final outcome of the task. | | |
| Kamar | 103607585 | The final result of the group's work I think satisfies all requirements of the task and all members were able to contribute their ideas and individual work as well as voice their opinions and concerns so I agree with the final outcome:) | | |
| Melanie | 103489466 | I agree with the final outcome because we took the best part of each member's individual work and modified them to produce a good submission. | | |
| Justin | 102589705 | As a group we completed the tasks individually and compared each version. In doing this, we gathered elements which we liked and combined them into the product below, creating a satisfactory agreement by all. Personally, I am satisfied with the completion of this task as we worked as a team to reach our goal. | | |
| Tevy | 103139978 | I agree with this submission. We have compared each other's ideas, combined, and finalized them, which I think we are all agree with this outcome. | | |
| Cormac | 102581060 | I am pleased with the team's final verdict on the document. I feel everyone's voice was heard in the writing of it, and the compromises and changes made were valid and valuable to the overall outcome. Personally, I agree with the teams decisions on the content of the background, scope and product backlog and feel that they best represent our collective understanding and plan for the project going | | |

| | forward. |
|--|----------|
| | |

Background

Goto Grocery (GotoGro) Inc is a company based in Hawthorn which provides its customers with a variety of grocery needs. They have come to us, Team Grotle, to create a system that would help manage their stocktake needs. Currently, GotoGro has a paper-based system that records the member's details and sales records. They have found it difficult to manage the member's expectations and to satisfy their customers' needs with their current system.

They would like us to create an application that can help manage the members' records and help GotoGro calculate the customers' grocery needs.

GotoGro has given us a list of requirements. They are:

- Graphical interface.
- CSV generated reports for further processing.

Scope

GotoGro is seeking a new onsite system which would incorporate a graphical interface that will replace the paper-based system that tracks the members' details and their sales records. Within this new system, it would generate a CSV document which is derived from the members' data.

First and foremost, the software needs to be able to track members' details and purchase history which can be edited and viewed by management. The software will show what was purchased by what member, when it was purchased, how much was purchased and all purchase history. This will be displayed in a graphical interface with the option of exporting tables into a CSV text file format as requested. This will solve their problem of under and overstocking certain products, giving management an overview of the quantity of products left and allowing them to easily find the average amount of a particular product being purchased on a weekly or monthly basis. Thus allowing management to have a prediction on the popularity of products, and providing them in a timely manner.

However, features that are not going to be added to the software are any additional add-ons that were not directly specified by the client. Additionally, it is not our responsibility to add new members to the database, nor to manage the software as well as analysing the sales prediction which is ultimately up to GotoGro to view and analyse.

Product backlog

| No. | Item | Dependencies | Business Value | Release Schedule |
|-----|--|--------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | | • | (1 least – 10 most) | (Sprint 1 2 3) |
| F1 | Add a new member | - | 10 | Sprint 1 |
| F2 | Deactivate member | F1 | 8 | Sprint 1 |
| F3 | Search for member | F1 | 2 | Sprint 1 |
| F4 | Add a sales record | F1 | 10 | Sprint 2 |
| F5 | Search for sales record | F4 | 2 | Sprint 2 |
| F6 | Update sales record | F4 | 7 | Sprint 2 |
| F7 | Remove Sales record from view | F4 | 1 | Sprint 2 |
| F8 | Record in spreadsheet of purchase logs and product levels. Downloadable in CSV format | F1 - F7 | 9 | Sprint 3 |
| F9 | Produce a daily sales report on popular items (what was sold the most) Downloadable in CSV format | F4 | 8 | Sprint 3 |
| F10 | Produce a weekly sales report on popular items (what was sold the most) Downloadable in CSV format | F4 | 8 | Sprint 3 |
| F11 | Produce a monthly sales report on popular items (what was sold the most) Downloadable in CSV format | F4 | 8 | Sprint 3 |