Lecture 5.

Let F be a field. We consider the ring of polynomials FCtJ.

Theorem. An arbitrary nontrivial ideal of FIts is of the type (f(t)), des f(t)=1.

Proof. Let I be an ideal of FIts. If

I contains a nongero constant & FF then I contains $1 = d \cdot \frac{1}{d}$ and therefore

We will affume that I does not contain nonzero constant, so the depree of an arbitrary nayers element from I is

Let f(t) be a nousero element from I

of the smallest deper. Again we make use of the fact that every nonempty subset of N= 61,2,...} contains a minimal element.

We will show that every nonzero element from I is divisible by flt).

Indeed, let h(t) =I. There exist

polynomials 9(t) and 2(t), dej 2(t) <

dej f(t) Such that

h(t)= f(t) q(t) + 2(t).

But 2(t) = h(t) - f(t) $g(t) \in T$. Since f(t) has the smallest deper among nonzero elements of T it follows that 2(t) = 0.

We claim that I = (f(t)). Indeed, f(t) EI implies (f(t))=f(t) F(t) = I. On the other hand every element of I is a multiple of ftt), hence I = f(t) FCt). This completes the proof of the theorem. I Exercise- Prove that an arbotrary nontrivial ideal of Z is of the type n Z, n = 2. Hint: argue as in the proof of the Theorem. Remark. Let m, n = 1. Then n Z = m Z if and only if m/n. Indeed, if n=mk then nZ=mkZ = mZ.

Indeed, if n=mk then n2=mk2 ⊆ m2.

On the other hand, if n2⊆m2 then
n∈m2, hence n is a multiple of m.

Maximal ideals.

Let R be a commutative ring with Il Def. In ideal I & R is said to be a maximal ideal if

(1) I is smaller, than R, I = R;

(2) there is no ideal JOR that lies strictly between I and R(that is T = J = R).

Example. Let p be a prime number. Then PZ is a maximal ideal of Z.

Indeed, Suppose that JJZ and PZ = J= Z.

By the Exercise above J=nZ. By the Remark pZ=nZ implies that n is a divisor of P, hence n=1 or P. If n=p then

PZ=J. If n=1 Hum J=Z.

Theorem. Let R be a commutative ring with II. Let I be an ideal of R. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) the factor ring R/I is a field;

(2) the ideal I is maximal.

Proof. (1) => 12). Suppose that the factor zing RI is a field. Our aim is to prove that the ideal I is maximal.

Let $Q \in R \setminus T$, hence Q + T in a nonzero element element of the field R/T. A nonzero element of a field has an inverse . Let $b + T \in R/T$ be the inverse of Q + T, Q + T = A + T = A + T.

Now suppose that the ideal I is not maximal and there exists an ideal $J \triangleleft R$ such that $I \subsetneq J \subsetneq R$. Choose an element $a \in J \backslash I$. We have seen above that there exists an element $b \in R$ such that (a+I)(b+I) = ab+I = 1+I.

Hence there exists an element CCI huch that ab = 1 + C.

The element ab lies in I because at I.

The element C lies in I because CET

and I = J. Therefore

 $1 = ab - c \in \mathcal{J}$, which implies $\mathcal{J} = R$, a contradiction.

(2) => (1). Now Suppose that the ideal I is

maximal. Our aim is to show that the factor-ring R/I is a field. Choose a nonzero element $Q+I\in R/I$, $Q\in R\setminus I$. We have to show that the element Q+I has an inverse.

Consider $J = T + \alpha R - \{c + \alpha x | c \in I, x \in R\}$.

It is easy to see that J is an ideal of D

The ideal J is shirtly bigger than I. Indeed, $\alpha \in J \setminus I$.

Since the ideal I is maximal it follows that J=R. In particular there exist elements $C \in I$, $2 \in R$ that

 $C+a\mathfrak{L}=1.$

Now $(\alpha+T)(x+T) = \alpha x+T = 1-c+T$, = 1+T,

so $\Re + I = (\alpha + I)^{-1}$ We proved that an arbitrary nonzero elemen of R/I has an inverse. In other words

RII is a field. I

Question. Let F be a field and let f(+) be a polynomial over F. when in the ideal (f(t)) maximal!

Def. A polynomial f(t) is called irreducible if

(1) deg f(t) >1, so f(t) is not a constant, (2) f(t) counot be represented as $f(t) = f_1(t) f_2(t)$; des $f_1(t)$, des $f_2(t) \ge 1$. Example. Any polynomial t-d, def, is irreducible.

Proposition. Let f(t) be a polynomial of deper > 1. The following conditions are equivalent:

(1) the ideal (f(t1) is maximal,
(2) the polynomial f(t) à ineducible.

Proof. (1) => (2). Suppose that the ideal (f(t)) is maximal, but -- f(t) = f1(t) f2(t); deg \$1(4), dej \$2(4) ≥ 1.

The ideal (f(H) is strictly contained in the ideal (f1(41) and (f1(41) + F(t), 50 (f(4)) \(\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big)\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big)\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big)\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big)\big(\frac{1}{2}\big(\frac{1}{2}\big)\big(\frac

This contradicts maximality of the ideal (f(ti).

(2) => (1). Suppose that the polynomial f(t) is irreducible. We need to Show that the ideal (f(t1) is maximal. Suppose the contrary: (f(t)) = J = F(t), J > F(t). We showed above that every ideal of Fit] is of the type (g(t)), where g(t) is some polynomial. Let J = (g(t)). The polynomial g(t) is not a nongero constant, otherwise J=F6+J. Hence deg 9(4) =1. The inclusion &(4) \in (941) means that fct) is a multiple of gct), f(t) = g(t) h(t). Since the polynomial f(t) is ineducible

it follows that deph(t)=0, h(t)=x is a nongero constant. But in His case (f(+1) = (f(+). x) = (g(+1) = J, the contradic.

Given a polynomial f(+) how can we decide if f(t) is irreducible or not:

Proposition. Let deg & (t) = 2 or 3. Then & (t)

is ineducible (over the field F) if and only if it does not have roots in F.

Proof. If f(t) has a root dEF then f(t) is divisibly by t-d, hence it is not inedu-

Suppose that the polynomial f(t) is not

inequeible, f(t) = f1(t). f2(t). Then deg f1(t) + deg f2(t) = deg f(t) = 2 on 3. Hence the smaller number of deg &1(t), deg &2(t) is equal to 1. Let deglitt)=1, fi(t)=xt+B, d \$0. Then - by is a root of the polynomial

Example. The polynomial t2-3 is irreducible over the field Z(5).

Indeed, Z(5)={0,1,2,3,4}. The sequences of these elements are equal to:

0, 1, 4, 4, 1.

We see that 3 is not a square. Hence the polynomial t-3 does not have roots in 2(5).

Example. The polynomial t2+t+1 is ineducible over Z(2).

Indeed, $\mathbb{Z}(2) = \{0, 1\}$. We have f(0) = 1, f(1) = 1. Hence $f(t) = t^2 + t + 1$ does not have

roots in Z(2). T

Example. The polynomial t^3+t+1 is irreducible over $\mathbb{Z}(2)$.

The proof is the same at above.

The factor-rings Z(5)[t]/(t23),

Q $Z(2)[t]/(t^2+t+1), <math>Z(2)(t)/(t^3+t+1)$

are fields.

What one their orders?

Jet Ebe a field, $f(t) = t + \cdots + leo$, a polynomial of degreed, the leading coefficient = 1.

Lemma. Every coset of the ideal (f(H) in F(t) in of the type g(t) + (f(H)),

deg g(t) < d. If g(H), h(H) are different polynomials of depree < d then the cosets

g(t) + (f(H)), h(H) + (f(H)) are different.

In other words,

F[t]/(f(t)) \(\begin{align*} \text{polynomials of depree} \(\depres \depres

Proof. Let C be a coset of the ideal (f(H))
in FIt]. We claim that C contains a
polynomial of degree < d. Indeed, choose

a nongero element $p(t) \in C$ and divide p(t) by f(t) with a remainder:

p(t)=f(t)q(t)+2(t), deg 2(t)<d.

The polynomial 2(t) lies in the coset C.

Hence C = E(t) + (f(+1).

If g(t), h(t) are both polynomials of deprees < d and g(t) + (f(t)) = h(t) + (f(t)) deprees < d and g(t) + (f(t)) = h(t) + (f(t)) then g(t) - h(t) in divisible by f(t). Here g(t) - h(t) is deg f(t) it follows Since deg(g(t) - h(t)) < deg(f(t)) it follows

that 941-44=0. I

Corollary. If F = Z(p) then F(t)/(f(t))

contains p élements.

proof. The number of elements in FCt)/(fct1) is equal to the number of polynomials of

deper < d

a + a + t + · · · + a - , t

For each of ao, a, ..., ad., there are p candidates from Z(P). Hence

Corollary. Z(5)[t]/(t-3); Z(2)[t]/(t2+t+1);

2(2)[t] (t3+t+1) have orders

25, 4,8 respectively.