

Names, Scopes, and Bindings exercises

Martin Kozeny
CSCI 4501: Programming Language Structure
Spring 2011 University of New Orleans

March 23, 2011

3 Names, Scopes, and Bindings exercises

3.1

Question Indicate the binding time (e.g., when the language is designed, when the program is linked, when the program begins execution, etc.) for each of the following decisions in your favorite programming language and implementation. Explain any answers you think are open to interpretation

- The number of built-in functions (math, type queries, etc.)
- The variable declaration that corresponds to a particular variable reference (use)
- The maximum length allowed for a constant (literal) character string
- The referencing environment for a subroutine that is passed as a parameter
- The address of a particular library routine
- The total amount of space occupied by program code and data

Answer The number of built-in functions is originally bound at language design time, though it may be increased by certain implementations. C has just a few functions that are truly built-in, notably `sizeof`. A large number of additional functions are defined by the standard library. Several of these, including `printf`, `malloc`, `assert`, and the various `stdarg` routines, are often special-cased by the compiler in order to generate faster or safer code. The variable declaration that corresponds to a particular variable reference (use) is bound at compile time: C uses static scope. The maximum length of a character string (if there is a limit) is bound at language implementation time. Because C does not have nested subroutines, the referencing environment for a subroutine that is passed as a parameter is always the same as the environment in effect when the subroutine was declared. The address of a particular library function is bound by the linker in most systems, though it may not be known until load time or even run time in systems that perform dynamic linking. Note that we're speaking here of virtual addresses; physical addresses are invisible to the running program, and are often changed by the operating system during execution). The total amount of space occupied by program code and data is bound at run time: the amount of stack and heap space needed will often depend on the input.

3.3

Question Give two examples in which it might make sense to delay the binding of an implementation decision, even though sufficient information exists to bind it early.

Answer Local variables in Fortran 77 may be allocated on the stack, rather than in static memory, in order to minimize memory footprint and to facilitate interoperability with standard tools (e.g., debuggers).

Just-in-time compilation allows a system to minimize code size, obtain the most recent implementations of standard abstractions, and avoid the overhead of compiling functions that aren't used.

3.4

Question Give three concrete examples drawn from programming languages with which you are familiar in which a variable is live but not in scope.

Answer

1. C: global variable `x` is still live but not in the scope if a local variable `x` is declared in the scope and here appears so called hole in the scope.
2. C: when we declared static variable inside function, it is not in scope outside function, but is still alive.
3. Java: private member variables of an object of Java class are live but not in scope when execution is not inside a member function of Java.

3.5

Question Consider the following pseudocode.

```
procedure main
  a : integer := 1
  b : integer := 2

  procedure middle
    b : integer := a

    procedure inner
      print a, b

    a : integer := 3

    --body of middle
    inner()
    print a, b

  --body of main
  middle()
  print a, b
```

Suppose this was code for a language with declaration-order rules of C (but with nested subroutines)-that is, names must be declared before use, and the scope of a name extends from its declaration through the end of the block. At each `print` statement, indicate which declarations of `a` and `b` are in the referencing environment. What does the program print (or will the compiler identify static semantic errors)? Repeat the exercise for the declaration-order rules for C# (names must be declared before use, but the scope if a name is the entire block in which it is declared) and Modula-3 (names can be declared in any order, and their scope is the entire block in which they are declared).

Answer Firstly in C after invocation of `main()` are declared and initialized variables `a` and `b`. Then procedure `middle()` is declared and immediately called. Inside procedure `middle()` there is declared variable `b` again, procedure `inner()` and variable `a` also again in that order. After that procedure `inner()` is invoked and inside it is `a` and `b` printed. We know that C uses static scoping and that declaration must be before use. That means that name `b` in procedure `inner()` is bound to declaration in procedure `middle()` and name `a` is bound to declaration in `main()`, because `a` in closest enclosing block (procedure `middle()`) is declared after declaration of `inner()`. So firstly 1, 1 are printed.

After that in procedure `middle()` are bound names of `a` and `b` to declaration in this procedure so 3, 1 is printed.

When printing `a` and `b` in `main()`, also here is declaration in this procedure is used so 1, 2 is printed

In C# we can declare nested functions only using keyword **delegate**, but in this nested function is not possible to declare variable with same name as in enclosing function.

In Modula-3 is situation much more complicated. When calling procedure **inner()**, there is a conflict with assignment of **b**, because in procedure **middle()** is initialized with value of **a**, but **a** has value from closest enclosing block and also from declaration in procedure **middle()**, because names can be declared in any order. So the final value of **b** in procedure **middle()** is 0 because of this conflict. Then variable **a** is in **middle()** initialized on 3, which shadows declaration in **main**, so then in procedure **inner()** 3 is printed as **a** and 0 as **b**, because of conflict in closest enclosing block **middle()**. Same it is in procedure **middle()**, **a** is printed as 3, **b** as 0 and in procedure **main()** have **a** and **b** values from local initialization, so **a**=1 and **b**=2.

3.14

Question Consider the following pseudocode.

```
x : integer -- global

procedure set_x(n : integer)
  x := n

procedure print_x
  write integer(x)

procedure first
  set_x(1)
  print_x

procedure second
  x : integer
  set_x(2)
  print_x

set_x(0)
first()
print_x
second()
print_x
```

What does this program print if the language uses static scoping? What does it print with dynamic scoping? Why?

Answer In static scoping is variable bound to the closest enclosing block, so in procedure **print_x** is variable **x** always bound to global declaration, so the output will be:

```
1
1
2
2
```

In dynamic scoping choose the most recent active binding for **x** at run-time. When calling procedure **second()** and then call inside **print_x**, variable **x** is bound to declaration in procedure **second()** and then printed as 2, but when calling **print_x** outside scope of **second()**, **x** is bound to global declaration and **print_x** prints 1. So the output will be:

```
1
1
2
1
```