Names, Scopes, and Bindings exercises

Martin Kozeny CSCI 4501: Programming Language Structure Spring 2011 University of New Orleans

March 28, 2011

3 Names, Scopes, and Bindings exercises

3.1

Question Indicate the binding time (e.g., when the language is designed, when the program is linked, when the program begins execution, etc.) for each of the following decisions in your favorite programming language and implementation. Explain any answers you think are open to interpretation

- The number of built-in functions (math, type queries, etc.)
- The variable declaration that corresponds to a particular variable reference (use)
- The maximum length allowed for a constant (literal) character string
- The referencing environment for a subroutine that is passed as a parameter
- The address of a particular library routine
- The total amount of space occupied by program code and data

Answers for programming language C

- The number of built-in functions is originally bound at language design time, though it may be increased by certain implementations. C has just a few functions that are truly built-in, notably sizeof. A large number of additional functions are defined by the standard library. Several of these, including printf, malloc, assert, and the various stdarg routines, are often special-cased by the compiler in order to generate faster or safer code.
- The variable declaration that corresponds to a particular variable reference (use) is bound at compile time: C uses static scope and no decisions about scoping are made during run-time.
- The maximum length of a character string (if there is a limit) is bound at language implementation time.
- Because C does not have nested subroutines, the referencing environment for a subroutine that is
 passed as a parameter is always the same as the environment in effect when the subroutine was
 declared.
- The address of a particular library function is bound by the linker in most systems, though it may not be known until load time or even run time in systems that perform dynamic linking. Note that we are speaking here of virtual addresses; physical addresses are invisible to the running program, and are often changed by the operating system during execution.
- The total amount of space occupied by program code and data is bound at run time: the amount of stack and heap space needed will often depend on the input and the static memory allocated by the globals and static area of the program is allocated, when the program starts.

3.3

Question Give two examples in which it might make sense to delay the binding of an implementation decision, even though sufficient information exists to bind it early.

Answer

- 1. It makes sense for local variables in Fortran 77 to allocate them on stack instead of in static memory. It can minimize size of used memory and it allows to implement recursion.
- 2. Just-in-time compilation allows a system to minimize code size, obtain the most recent implementations of standard abstractions, and avoid the overhead of compiling functions that aren't used.

3.4

Question Give three concrete examples drawn from programming languages with which you are familiar in which a variable is live but not in scope.

Answer

- 1. C: global variable x is still live but not in the scope if a local variable x is declared in the scope and here appears so called hole in the scope.
- 2. C: when we declared static variable inside function, it is not in scope outside function, but is still alive
- 3. Java: private member variables of an object of Java class are live but not in scope when execution is not inside a member function of Java.

3.5

Question Consider the following pseudocode.

```
procedure main
   a : integer := 1
   b : integer := 2

procedure middle
   b : integer := a

procedure inner
   print a, b

   a : integer := 3

   --body of middle
   inner()
   print a, b

--body of main
   middle()
   print a, b
```

Suppose this was code for a language with declaration-order rules of C (but with nested subroutines)-that is, names must be declared before use, and the scope of a name extends from its declaration through the end of the block. At each print statement, indicate which declarations of a and b are in the referencing environment. What does the program print (or will the compiler identify static semantic errors)? Repeat the excercise for the declaration-order rules for C# (names must be declared before use, but the scope if a name is the entire block in which it is declared) and Modula-3 (names can be declared in any order, and their scope is the entire block in which they are declared).

Answer

• Firstly in C after invocation of main() are declared and initialized variables a and b. Then procedure middle() is declared and immediately called. Inside procedure middle() there is declared variable b again, procedure inner() and variable a also again in that order. After that procedure inner() is invoked and inside it is a and b printed. We know that C uses static scoping and that declaration must be before use. That means that name b in procedure inner() is bound to declaration in procedure middle() and name a is bound to declaration in main(), because a in closest enclosing block (procedure middle()) is declared after declaration of inner(). So firstly 1, 1 is printed.

After that in procedure middle() are bound names of a and b to declaration in this procedure. When declared b: integer := a, a is bound to the declaration in main() and then a is declared again and initialized with 3. So 3, 1 is printed.

When printing a and b in main(), also here is declaration in this procedure is used so 1, 2 is printed

- In C# we can declare nested functions only using keyword delegate, but in this nested function is not possible to declare variable with same name as in any-level enclosing function so static semantic error occur.
- In Modula-3 is situation much more complicated. When calling procedure inner(), there is a conflict with assignment of b, because in procedure middle() is initialized with value of a, but a has value from closest enclosing block and also from declaration in procedure middle(), because names can be declared in any order. So the final value of b in procedure middle() is 0 because of this conflict. Then variable a is in middle() initialized on 3, which shadows declaration in main, so then in procedure inner() 3 is printed as a and 0 as b, because of conflict in closest enclosing block middle(). Same it is in procedure middle(), a is printed as 3, b as 0 and in procedure main() have a and b values from local initialization, so a=1 and b=2.

3.14

Question Consider the following pseudocode.

```
x : integer -- global
procedure set_x(n : integer)
   x := n
procedure print_x
   write integer(x)
procedure first
   set_x(1)
   print_x
procedure second
   x : integer
   set_x(2)
   print_x
set_x(0)
first()
print_x
second()
print_x
```

What does this program print if the language uses static scoping? What does it print with dynamic scoping? Why?

Answer

• In static scoping is variable bound to the closest enclosing block, so in procedure print_x is variable x always bound to global declaration. Firstly is that global x set to zero, then when calling first() is set to 1 and then printed as 1 and also as 1 in main() scope. After that in procedure second() is x set to 2 and again printed as 2 and also as 2 in main() scope. So the output will be:

1

- 2 2
- In dynamic scoping is chosen the most recent active binding for x at run-time. Firstly the global variable x is set to zero, then when invoking first() is set to 1 and then printed as 1 and also as 1 in main() scope. But when calling procedure second() and then call inside print_x, variable x is bound to declaration in procedure second() and then printed as 2. When calling print_x outside scope of second(), x is bound to global declaration and print_x prints 1. So the output will be:

1 1

2

1