



Quality Assurance Plan

Table of contents

Introduction

- 1.1. Purpose
- 1.2. Scope
- 1.3. References
- 2. Project Objectives and Quality objectives
- 2.1. Quality indicators
- 3. Quality Indicators by Work Package
- 4. Quality evaluation Methods
- 4.1. Reviews, monitoring and audits
- 4.2. Evaluation by on-line questionnaire
- 5. Procedure for non-compliance and corrective actions
- 6. Quality Records
- 7. Risk Management
- 8. Quality Criteria

Introduction

This Guide provides approaches for meeting the quality assurance requirements and criteria required for implementing the Erasmus+ Capacity Building Higher Education project "Transition to University Autonomy in Kazakhstan" / (TRUNAK).

1.1. Purpose

This Quality Assurance Plan (QAP) details the overall approach to quality assurance activities for the TRUNAK project. This QAP documents how the project defines, implements and assures quality during the projects' life period. The QAP is a communication vehicle for the entire project team, including the project managers and key persons from each partner university. The Management Team (MT) of the project will be responsible for the controlling of QAP implementation and monitoring.





1.2. Scope

This document is associated with the management of TRUNAK project. The role of the project's management is to develop the overall project strategy; establish requirements and performance expectations; manage the Grant Agreement; monitor and assess performance; and proactively anticipate and resolve issues that impact on project success. The methods and references described in this QAP do not add, modify, or delete any requirements identified in the TRUNAK proposal.

1.3. References

The QAP refers to the following documents:

The approved TRUNAK project proposal ref 586205-EPP-1-2017-1-KZ-EPPKA2-CBHE-SP, in particular the documents Detailed Description and Detailed Budget.

The European University Association (EUA) report "University Autonomy in Europe III. The Scorecard 2017", by Enora Bennetot Pruvot and Thomas Estermann. http://www.eua.be/Libraries/publications/online-transversal-report-17-05-2017

2. Project Objectives and Quality objectives

The main purpose of the TRUNAK project is to develop a model of university autonomy for Kazakhstan that can be used by Kazakhstani universities and be recognized by the Ministry of Education and Science and the Ministry of Healthcare and Social Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan. The model of autonomy is intended to help the universities to take decisions about the level of fiscal and academic self-governance that is desirable. The proposed model will seek to achieve the following outcomes:

- Extended freedom of governance for the higher education sector as a foundational basis for the development of quality higher education
- Strengthened human resource, educational and research capacity





The specific objectives of TRUNAK are:

- 1. to make an analysis of needs of the Universities, so that the project's partners have a common structured basis for developing a "desirable" model that fits the needs of the Kazakh sector.
- 2. to develop recommendations / suggestions for a governance model suitable to the higher education sector of Kazakhstan, on the basis of the indicators of each of the four dimensions of the EUA University Autonomy Scorecard.
- 3. to develop the Basic Model of Autonomy which is approved by the Discussion Group; the model should follow the EUA model, i.e the four autonomy areas and the indicators for each area.
- 4. to implement the Basic Model of University Autonomy at the Partner Universities (six Universities of the Republic of Kazakhstan).
- 5. to develop a communication and information strategy, which includes a Dissemination Plan for national exploitation of the project's results, and designing of information materials, on paper and digitally.
- 6. to organize a National Conference with participation of 70 representatives of higher education institutions of Kazakhstan and 7 Partner European Universities. The results of the Conference will be development of guidance materials to support reform implementation at institutional level. The guidance material will provide practical elements to support higher education institutions in Kazakhstan in their efforts to implement the governance reform.
- 7. to establish and to achieve a sustainable functioning of the AICG (Autonomy Implementation Consulting Group).





2.1. Quality Indicators

The quality indicators and the corresponding objectives are, in the short term, to encourage the development of improvements in the implementation process of the current project, and in the long term they will be used to evaluate the sustainability of the project results and, presumably, they will be related to an external evaluation.

The targets set by TRUNAK help to monitor progress throughout implementation and provide a basis at the end of the project whether the objectives have been achieved.

All indicators under TRUNAK project will be expressed in quantitative terms in order to be able to measure results and outputs objectively, but they will also be complemented by qualitative aspects. Standardized forms will be used to assess the quality of meetings and other activities carried out as part of TRUNAK.

3. Quality Indicators by Work Package

Work Package 1 Preparation

Survey and analysis: The quality of this work depends on the quality of questionnaires that are used. The management team should be able to control the adequacy of questions and indicators of autonomy. The questionnaires should represent the real needs of universities in any types of autonomy.

Development of recommendations and suggestions for the Kazakhstani universities and ministries: These recommendations can be a departure point for the implementation of the policy and rules of academic autonomy for Kazakhstani universities. They should be based on the methodology and indicators of organizational autonomy (University Autonomy Tool, Autonomy Scorecard) which have been developed by the EUA and should consider the main directions of university autonomy. The quality of such recommendations would be assured by comparing them with the indicators of organizational autonomy mentioned above and by benchmarking against the most successful examples of national policies in this area.



Task	Indicators of Quality	Deadline	Responsible partner		
1.1 Organizing of training	1.1 Organizing of training seminar about University Autonomy Scorecard methodology				
1.1.1 Sending of the letters of invitations for participants	Number of Universities (key persons from each Universities) represented on seminar/ Each Partner University should be presented on Seminar.	19-20 of March 2018	EUA,		
1.1.2 Organizing of Survey about the Quality of Seminar	Feedback of the Participants of seminar about Quality of Seminar: • understanding of participants how to adapt the University Autonomy Scorecard methodology to the approach and objectives of the project.	20 of March 2018	QA Team		
1.2 State of play of unive	ersity governance in Kazakhstan: d	ata collection	and analysis		
1.2.1 Development of a contact database to optimise response rate by identifying potential respondents in the institutions	Number of respondents (75 % of existing number of Universities in Kazakhstan)	15 of April 2018	MT, Ministry of Education		
1.2.2 Development of autonomy survey based on the four dimensions of the EUA Autonomy Scorecard	Draft of Questionnaire	1 of April 2018	EUA		
1.2.3 Discussion and approval of the Questionnaire	Approval Questionnaire Quality of questionnaire (include one question in the questionnaire regarding the quality)	15 of April 2018	EUA		
1.2.4 Dissemination of Survey and monitoring of response rate, actions to increase	Targeted reminders follow-up with potential respondents		MT, QA Team		
response rate	Feedbacks and results	15 of May 2018	Partners Universities, MT		



1.2.5 Data analysis,	Report. Approval of the report by	1 of July	EUA, MT
identification of areas where	members of MT	2018	,
autonomy is deemed most			
important			
1.2.6 Discussion and approval	Minutes of the meeting (Skype	15 of July	EUA, KSMU
the results of the Survey	conference)	2018	
	recommendations for university go		lel (including
st	ructured guidance for the reform J	process)	
1.3 Development of	Number of EU partners who	15 of	MT, European
recommendations/ suggestions	participate in the process of	October	Partner University
for a governance model	developing recommendations and	2018	
suitable to the higher	suggestions		
education sector of Kazakhstan			
1.3.1 Discussion and	Report from the experts of	15 of	Ministry of
development comments by	Ministry of Education and Science	November	Education and
external experts from the	of RK and the Ministry of	2018	Science of RK,
Ministry of Education and	Healthcare of the RK		Ministry of
Science of RK and the	The quality of the report and its		Healthcare of the
Ministry of Healthcare of the	relationship to the discussion at the		RK, MT
RK in response to suggested	seminar		
models of university			
governance that are feasible in			
the Kazakhstani context.			
1.3.2 Development of the final	Minutes of the meeting (Skype	15 of	MT, EUA
list of suggestions and	conference)	December	
recommendations by MT		2018	

Work Package 2 Development

Results of Discussion Group: Kazakh and European partners together analyze the identified needs. EU partners will present the models of autonomy applied by EU countries.





Pilot implementation: The quality of this process is based on the agreed model of autonomy that would be implemented in the partner universities. The main goal of this stage is to collect and to systematize the experience and information gathered during the pilot phase.

Communication and information strategies: The work of the Discussion Group and the agreed model of university autonomy must be communicated to the universities. Such communication would be provided on the basis of the information strategy.

Guidance material to support reform implementation at the institutional level: The guidance material will provide practical material to support higher education institutions in Kazakhstan in their efforts to implement governance reform.

Creation of the University Autonomy Implementation Consulting Group: The aim of the AICG is to provide consulting services to other Kazakh universities during the implementation phase of the project and to provide a basis for sustainability of the project beyond the initial period of funding

Task	Indicators of Quality	Deadline	Responsible partner
	2.1 Discuss	ion Group	
2.1.1 Establishment of Discussion Group	Number of participating members of the Discussion Group (1 - 2 from each Partner University and European University)	30 of May 2018	MT
	Number of meetings of the Discussion Group (once in a 2 months – Skypeconferences). Minutes of meetings.	25 day of each second month	MT
2.1.2 Workshop in EU university	Number of Universities (key persons from each Universities) represented on seminar/ Each Partner University should be presented on Seminar.	15.01.2019	Lund University



2.1.3 Organizing of Survey about the Quality of Seminar 2.1.4 Draft of the Model of University Autonomy	Feedback of the Participants of seminar about Quality of Seminar: understanding of participants how to adapt the Model of Autonomy Report «Alternative scenarios for increased autonomy of the Kazakh universities»	during one week after the seminar 15 of April 2019	QA Team Lund University
	2.2 Development		
2.2.1 Seminar in Kazakhstan University	Number of Universities (key persons from each Universities) represented on seminar/ Each Partner University should be presented on Seminar.	till 15 of April 2019	Atyrau State University, MT
2.2.2 Organizing of Survey about the Quality of Seminar	Feedbacks of the Participants of Seminar about Quality of Seminar	during one week after the Seminar	QA Team
2.2.3 Development of the Basic Model of University Autonomy	Number of stakeholders that are involved into the process of development of Basic Model of University Governance (1-2 from each RK and EU university)	till 15 of April 2019	Lund University, MT
	Existence of the Model of Autonomy, designed, translated and endorsed by all of the Partners. Report	15/04/2019	Lund University
	Agreement on the Basic Model of University Autonomy in accordance with the EUA Autonomy Tool methodology	15.04.2019	Lund University, MT
	2.3 Pilot imp	lementation	
2.3 Implementation of Basic Model of University Autonomy	Number partnersof implementingModelofUniversity	15.10.2020	all Partner Universities from Kazakhstan



	autonomy (7 Partner Universities)		
2.3.1 Systemizing of experiences gained during the implementation phase	Reports about the implementing the Basic Model of University Autonomy from each Kazakhstani Partner Universities, where Partners should describe how far the basic model of university governance is officially integrated into the government policy.	Every 3 months	all Partner Universities from Kazakhstan
	2.4 Communication and	d information strategy	
2.4 Development of the strategy of information	Existence of Strategy for effective communication of the information collected during the Pilot Implementation	Till 15 April of 2019	Lund University
	Existence of a plan for meetings and discussions in the framework of projects, as well as communications via e-mails	30 of May 2018	Lund University, MT
Development of Dissemination Plan for National exploitation of the project's results	Existence of Dissemination Plan	15 of March 2020	Lund University
2.4.1 Dissemination of the results of work of Discussion group	Number of information items such as leaflets, that are disseminated among Kazakhstan Universities (75 % of existing number of Universities in Kazakhstan)	15 of March 2020	Lund University, MT
	Number of Universities which are covered by information strategy (each PU)	15 of March 2020	Lund University, MT
2.5 Guidance material to support reform implementation at institutional level			



2.5 Development of Guidance material about implementation	Existence of Guidance material in English, Russian and Kazakh languages	15 of February 2019	Lund University
of University Autonomy	Number of institutions requesting the guidance material (100 % of HEIs in Kazakhstan)	1 of September 2020	KSMU
2.5.1 Organizing a survey about the Quality among the users of Guidance material	Positive feedbacks from the users on the quality and relevance of the guidance material.	Period from the 15.02.2019 - 1.09.2020	QA Team
2.6 Formation	n of the University Autonomy	Implementation Consulting G	roup (AICG)
2.6 Establishing the University Autonomy Implementation Consulting Group	Existence of the Group, that is proofed by documents formalising the Group.	14 of October 2020	MT, Astana Medical University
2.6.1 Providing successful work of the	Number of institutions contacting the group	5 years after the Project	Astana Medical University
University Autonomy Implementation Consulting Group	The Group explores collaboration with Central Asian countries.	5 years after the Project	Astana Medical University
	The service provided is relevant and the activity is sustainable	5 years after the Project	Astana Medical University

Work Package 3 Quality Assurance

Task	Indicators of Quality	Deadline	Responsible partner
	Quality Ass	urance Plan	
3.1 Development of Quality Assurance Plan	Draft of the QAP	14 of November 2017	Karaganda Economic University, Atyrau State University, Aga Khan University
3.1.2 Discussing the QAP among the Partners	Minutes of kick-off meeting	14 of November 2017	Karaganda Economic University, Atyrau State University, Aga Khan University



3.1.3 Approval of the	Final QAP	15 of March 2018	Karaganda Economic
QAP			University, Atyrau
			State University, Aga
			Khan University
3.2 Reports from	Annual reports	15 of December 2018	Karaganda Economic
Management Team		15 of December 2019	University, Atyrau
regarding QAP		1 of October 2020	State University, Aga
			Khan University

Work Package 4 Dissemination & Exploitation

Task	Indicators of Quality	Deadline	Responsible partner	
	4.1 National Conference on University Autonomy			
4.1 Organizing and	National Conference on	15 of June 2020	Lund University, MT	
marketing of the	University Autonomy			
Conference	Conference held on schedule.	15 of June 2020	MT	
	Relevant presentation materials, speakers and moderators. Active participation of participants	15 of June 2020	MT	
4.1.1. Providing of the participation of big amount of participants	Representative number of participants	15 of June 2020	KSMU	
	Letters of invitation	1 of May 2020	KSMU, Astana Medical University,	
4.1.2 Advertising of	Advertisement in	15 of January till 15 of June	KSMU, MT	
Conference and the	Newspaper, magazines,	2020		
results of Pilot	Internet			
implementation				
4.2 Market	ing and launching of the Auto	nomy Implementation Consul	ting Group	
4.2.1 Official opening	Advertisement on TV	14 of October 2020	MT, Astana Medical	
of the AICG office			University	
4.2.2 Dissemination of	Report about methods and	1 of October 2020	MT, Astana Medical	
the information about	ways of dissemination of the		University	
the consulting service provided by the AICG	information about the			





	consulting service provided by the AICG		
--	---	--	--

Work Package 5 Management

Task	Indicators of Quality	Deadline	Responsible partner
Ensure respect for the responsibilities and obligations stated in the Grant Contract and in the Partnership Agreements, including the rules about eligibility of expenditures.	Elaborated report of MT of each university and KSMU	15 December of each year	MT
Regularly review progress made towards the achievement of the Project objectives and results through the approval of the: a) Internal Monitoring and Quality Plan; b) Internal monitoring, internal quality and external evaluation reports; c) Interim and final reports to the European Commission on the implementation of the Project.	Elaborated report of MT of each university and KSMU	15 December of each year	MT





4. Quality evaluation methods

The quality assessment will include quantitative and qualitative methods. The evaluations will contribute to the quality of the project's implementation. The evaluations will be by means of different survey instruments such as questionnaires, interviews, documentary analysis, etc., as well as site visits.

4.1 Reviews, monitoring and audits

The QAP include evaluations by reviews, monitoring visits by National Erasmus+ Office (NEO) and external audits:

- Peer Review the evaluation of the final product of each project's objective (reports, minutes, questionnaires, etc.), or its performance by peers in order to maintain or enhance the quality or performance of the results. All relevant documents and products elaborated by project participants will be revised by the other members of the TRUNAK project. The peer review method will be used to maintain standards of quality, improve performance, and enhance the credibility of the results. If necessary an additional peer review regarding the national reports can be given by external specialists working in the same field.
- Formal Review a structured examination of results by an assigned formal review team (could be internal and external).

Monitoring visits from the National Erasmus+ Office of Kazakhstan. The purpose of the visits is to learn about the activities realized and products developed so far, as well as to observe if the project has encountered any problems in operational and/or financial implementation that can be discussed and solved.





Audit – external examination and validation of financial and purpose statements of the TRUNAK project to ensure that the records are a fair and accurate representation.

4.2 Evaluation by Online Questionnaire

All participants will be asked to give feedback regarding the results of each work package and communication structure and cooperation within the TRUNAK project as well as an overall assessment.

5. Procedure for non-compliance and corrective actions

After the analysis of the quality of the project and the reports of the partners, the next step will be to identify the areas of non-compliance with the objectives defined in this document. If these areas are identified, the MT will document them and corrective measures will be taken.

Any partner may identify the need for corrective actions and report it to the MT. The problems will be discussed in the MT meetings online and through emails, web conferences, etc. Proposals for corrective actions must be prepared and presented for discussion and approval by the MT. The decisions must be documented.

6. Quality Records

Quality records include documentation established and maintained to provide evidence of conformance to requirements and to the effective operation of the quality management system. Such quality records are meeting agendas, meeting minutes, email correspondence, attendance sheets, training certificates, review results, questionnaires etc., site information, etc.

Quality records shall be archived for three years. Documentation shall be archived for seven years.

7. Risk Management



Name of risk	Measures for minimization and	responsible for the
Riel	/ or risk prevention ss to Specific Project Objective/s	event
Not possible to reach a	is to specific Project Objective/5	
satisfactory agreement between		MT
the Partner Universities and the		
Ministries about the expected		
degree and model of University		
Autonomy.		
Low number of respondents to	Creating a group discussion	MT
the Survey.	Discussion of the model with	
·	partner universities at the	
	seminar	
	The existence of an	
	alternative model for special	
	spheres (for example,	
	medicine), but according to	
	the European University	
	Association technique	
No agreement about the	Search of reserve (additional)	MT
financing of the Consulting	sources of financing	
Group.		
Risks to Outputs	(tangible) and Outcomes (intang	gible)
WP1. Low number of	Use of the administrative	MT
respondents to the survey and	resource of the Ministry of	
low quality of answers.	Education and Science of the	
	Republic of Kazakhstan	
WP2. The parties, due to	Careful analysis and	MT
external political or	selection of the most	



organizational issues, cannot reach an agreement or compromise around a basic model of autonomy. Slow process of approval of the autonomy reform	appropriate model, applying best practices, priority on the development of integration, taking into account the survey results in the development of the basic model based on the Association of European Universities Involvement of relevant Ministries (Education and Health) will increase the interest in the process of promoting reforms	
WP3. Differences in business culture leads to misunderstandings and communication failures.	Involvement of qualified external consultants and partners	MT
WP4. Low interest in introducing modernization reforms.	Holding of a National Conference to share experience and increase the interest in carrying out reforms Development of relevant information materials and media exposure of research results	MT



WP5. Difficulty in finding	Training of project	MT
qualified persons for	management participants	
management and operational	Attraction of the most	
functions.	qualified specialists	
	Risks to Activities	
Lack of political continuity.		MT
Universities not willing or		
committed to start the process		
of transition to increased		
autonomy. Negative reactions		
by external and internal		
university stakeholders		
hindering the reform process.		

8. Quality Criteria

WP	Activities	Output/result	Deadline	Quality	
				Qualitative Indicators	Quantitative
					Indicators
WP1	Analysis of the	National Report	15.10.2017	➤ Quality of questionnaires	➤ Number of
	need of university	(40 - 50 p.)	-	➤ Report about the results of	respondents (50
	autonomy in		15.12.2018	survey	% of existing
	Kazakhstan.			➤ Relevant and usable	number of
				information for the next step	Universities in
				Seminars	Kazakhstan)



				 ➢ Peer review exchange of the reports between the experts from PU and EU ➢ Desk research: Usage of statistics, and reliable and current data ➢ Results of interviews ➢ Clear description of methods regarding surveys and interviews 	report (English, Russian)
WP1.1	Training seminar about University Autonomy Scorecard methodology	Meeting and discussions	15.04.2018	➤ Understanding of the principles of the University Autonomy Scorecard methodology ➤ Roadmap / action plan development ➤ Results of interviews	participants (8 persons from PU, 7 persons from
WP1.2	State of play of university governance in Kazakhstan: data collection and analysis	Meetings and disscussions, Report	15.07.2018	➤ Identification of areas where autonomy is seemed most important and shortcomings of the current governance system	Number of participants (8 PU, 7 EU)
WP1.3	Suggestions and recommendations for university governance model	Report with Suggestions and recommendations (40-50 p)	15-12-2018	 ➢ Performed Analysis and Report with conclusions and recommendations/suggections ➢ Presentation of various good models and suggestions 	Number of EU which are participate in the process of developing



WP2.1	Discussion Group	Minutes of meeting of the Discussion Group Report Agreement on the Basic Model of University Autonomy (10 p)	15.01.2019	 Convergence of the Universities and Ministries on a path for National reform of university governance Common and agreed structure of the DG (all partners) Agreement on the Basic Model of University Autonomy (10p) 	recommendations and suggestions (7 European Universities) Number of participating members of the Discussion Group (1 -2 from each Partner University and European University) Number of meetings of the Discussion Group (??????)
WP2.2	Development of a basic model (included alternative models for special areas, for example Medicine)	Model of University	15.04.2019	➤ Clear description of model(s) including references ➤ Report about development of Basic Model of University Governance	Number of stakeholders that are involved into the process of development of Basic Model of University Governance (1-2 from each RU and EU)



WP2.3	Pilot implementation	Implemented Model of University Governance	15.10.2020	The are Basic Model of University Governance officially integrated into the government policy of universities	Number of Partners implementing the Model (each PU)
WP2.4	Communication and Information strategy	Information Strategy (Report) Material, information, channels of dissemination of information	15.03.2020	> Existence of a plan for communication	Number of information materials, leaflets Number of Universities which are covered by information strategy (each PU and EU)
WP2.5	Guidance material to support reform implementation at institutional level		15.02.2019	Existence of guidance The universities' feedback on the use of the guidance materials is positive Content of Guidance material wa agreed	Number of institutions requesting the guidance materials (100 % of consisting HEI of Kazakstan)
WP2.6	Formation of the University Autonomy Implementation Consulting Group	Established University Autonomy Implementation		The service provided is relevant and the activity is sustainable.	Existence of the AICG.Number of institutions



		Consulting		> The Group explores	contacting the
		Group		collaboration with Central	group
				Asian countries.	
				> The University	
				Autonomy Implementation	
				Consulting Groupis officially	
				integrated into the structure of	
W/D2	O1:4 Dl	Databiliah ad	15 10 2017	the universities	D a se a set
WP3	Quality Plan	Established	15.10.2017-	Discussed Quality Plan	Report
		Quality Plan	14.10.2020	and approved by all partners	from
					Management
					Team regarding
WP4	National	Oncomirad	15.06.2020	Relevant presentation	QAP (40-50 p) Performed
WP4	Conference on	Organized National	13.00.2020	Relevant presentation materials, speakers and	Conference.
	University	Conference		moderators. Active	Good and
	Autonomy	Conference			
	Autonomy			participation of the attendants.	representative number of
				attendants.	attendants
WP4.2	Marketing and	Dissemination of	14.10.2020	> The Group explores	> Number of
,	launching of the	information		collaboration with Central	institutions
	Autonomy	about Autonomy		Asian countries.	contacting the
	Implementation	Implementation		Positive participants'	group
	Consulting Group	Consulting		feedback	Number of
		Group			feedbacks



