Formal Topology in Univalent Foundations

Ayberk Tosun

February 27, 2020

Chalmers University of Technology

Motivation

Topology

 ${\sf understood}\ {\textstyle \bigcup}\ {\sf constructively}$

Pointless topology

 ${\sf understood} \ {\textstyle \bigcup} \ {\sf predicatively}$

Formal topology

What locales are like

- Abstraction of open sets of a topology.
- Logic of "observable properties".
- CS view: logic of "semidecidable properties".

What locales are like

- Abstraction of open sets of a topology.
- Logic of "observable properties".
- CS view: logic of "semidecidable properties".
- "Junior-grade topos theory".

Locales

A poset \mathcal{O} such that

- finite subsets of O have meets,
- all subsets of O have joins, and
- binary meets distribute over arbitrary joins:

$$a \wedge \left(\bigvee_{i \in I} b_i\right) = \bigvee_{i \in I} (a \wedge b_i),$$

for any $a \in \mathcal{O}$ and I-indexed family b over \mathcal{O} .

3

Locales of downward-closed subsets

Given a poset

A: Type_m

 $\sqsubseteq \quad : \quad A \to A \to \mathsf{hProp}_m$

the type of downward-closed subsets of A is:

$$\sum_{(U : \mathcal{P}(A))} \prod_{(x \ y : A)} x \in U \to y \sqsubseteq x \to y \in U$$

where

$$\mathcal{P}: \mathsf{Type}_m \to \mathsf{Type}_{m+1}$$

 $\mathcal{P}(A) :\equiv A \to \mathsf{hProp}_m$

This forms a frame:

$$\top :\equiv \lambda_{-}. 1$$

$$A \wedge B :\equiv \lambda x. \ (x \in A) \times (x \in B)$$

$$\bigvee_{i:I} \mathbf{B}_{i} :\equiv \lambda x. \left\| \sum_{(i:I)} x \in \mathbf{B}_{i} \right\|$$

Nuclei for frames

Question: can we get all frames out of posets in this way?

One way is to employ the notion of a nucleus.

Let F be a frame. A nucleus on F is an endofunction $\mathbf{j}:|F|\to|F|$ such that

(1)
$$\prod_{(x : A)} x \sqsubseteq \mathbf{j}(x)$$
 [extensiveness],

(2)
$$\prod_{(x \ y : A)} \mathbf{j}(x \wedge y) = \mathbf{j}(x) \wedge \mathbf{j}(y) \quad \text{[meet preservation], and}$$

(3)
$$\prod_{(x : A)} \mathbf{j}(\mathbf{j}(x)) \sqsubseteq \mathbf{j}(x)$$
 [idempotence].

6

Closure operators

In the particular case where F is the locale of downward-closed subsets for a poset A: Type_m, the nucleus can be seen as a closure operator—if it can be shown to be propositional.

$$\begin{array}{c} \blacktriangleright : \qquad \underbrace{\mathcal{P}(A) \to \mathcal{P}(A)}_{\text{This is what we want}}$$

7

Closure operators

In the particular case where F is the locale of downward-closed subsets for a poset A: Type_m, the nucleus can be seen as a closure operator—if it can be shown to be propositional.

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \quad : \quad \underbrace{\mathcal{P}(A) \to A \to \mathsf{hProp}_m}_{\mathsf{This} \; \mathsf{is} \; \mathsf{what} \; \mathsf{we} \; \mathsf{want}.} \end{array}$$

Closure operators

In the particular case where F is the locale of downward-closed subsets for a poset A: Type_m, the nucleus can be seen as a closure operator—if it can be shown to be propositional.

$$\qquad \qquad : \qquad \underbrace{\mathcal{P}(A) \to A \to \mathsf{Type}_m}_{\mathsf{This is what we have.}}$$

```
data D : Type<sub>0</sub> where

[] : D

^- : D \rightarrow N \rightarrow D

ISDC : (D \rightarrow Type<sub>0</sub>) \rightarrow Type<sub>0</sub>

ISDC P = (\sigma : D) (n : N) \rightarrow P \sigma \rightarrow P (\sigma \sim n)
```

```
data _{-}(\sigma: D) (P: D \rightarrow Type_0): Type_0 where dir : P \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \blacktriangleleft P
branch: ((n: N) \rightarrow (\sigma \cap n) \blacktriangleleft P) \rightarrow \sigma \blacktriangleleft P
squash: (\phi \psi: \sigma \blacktriangleleft P) \rightarrow \phi \equiv \psi
```

We can now show that this defines a nucleus, without choice!

Using the following, and then *truncating from the outside* does not work.

```
data _{\leftarrow} _{
```

We can now prove the following idempotence law, without using countable choice $(\prod_{(i:f)} ||B_i|| \rightarrow ||\prod_{(i:f)} B_i||)$.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \delta: \sigma \blacktriangleleft P \nrightarrow ((v: \mathbb{D}) \nrightarrow P \lor v \nrightarrow \lor \triangleleft Q) \nrightarrow \sigma \blacktriangleleft Q \\ \delta \text{ (dir } u\epsilon P) & \phi = \phi \_ u\epsilon P \\ \delta \text{ (branch f)} & \phi = \text{branch } (\lambda n \nrightarrow \delta \text{ (f n) } \phi) \\ \delta \text{ (squash } u \blacktriangleleft P_0 u \blacktriangleleft P_1 \text{ i) } \phi = \text{squash } (\delta u \blacktriangleleft P_0 \phi) \text{ } (\delta u \blacktriangleleft P_1 \phi) \text{ i} \\ \text{idempotence : } \sigma \blacktriangleleft (\lambda - \rightarrow - \blacktriangleleft P) \nrightarrow \sigma \blacktriangleleft P \\ \text{idempotence } u \blacktriangleleft P = \delta u \blacktriangleleft P (\lambda \_ v \blacktriangleleft P \nrightarrow v \blacktriangleleft P) \end{array}
```

```
-- \zeta inference à la Brouwer.

\zeta: (n: N) \rightarrow IsDC P \rightarrow \sigma \blacktriangleleft P \rightarrow (\sigma \cap n) \blacktriangleleft P

\zeta n dc (dir \sigma \epsilon P) = dir (dc _ n \sigma \epsilon P)

\zeta n dc (branch f) = branch \lambda m \rightarrow \zeta m dc (f n)

\zeta n dc (squash \sigma \blacktriangleleft P \sigma \blacktriangleleft P' i) = squash (\zeta n dc \sigma \blacktriangleleft P) (\zeta n dc \sigma \blacktriangleleft P') i

\zeta': IsDC P \rightarrow IsDC (\lambda - \rightarrow - \blacktriangleleft P)

\zeta' P-dc \sigma n \sigma \blacktriangleleft P = <math>\zeta n P-dc \sigma \blacktriangleleft P
```

```
lemma : IsDC P \rightarrow P \sigma \rightarrow \sigma \blacktriangleleft (\lambda \rightarrow P - \times 0 \rightarrow)
lemma dc \sigma \epsilon P (dir \sigma \epsilon 0) = dir (\sigma \epsilon P, \sigma \epsilon 0)
lemma dc σεP (branch f) = branch (\lambda n \rightarrow lemma dc (dc _ n σεP) (f n)
lemma dc \sigma \epsilon P (squash \sigma \triangleleft Q \sigma \triangleleft Q' i) = squash (lemma dc \sigma \epsilon P \sigma \triangleleft Q) (lemma
mp: IsDC P \rightarrow IsDC Q \rightarrow \sigma \triangleleft P \rightarrow \sigma \triangleleft Q \rightarrow \sigma \triangleleft (\lambda \rightarrow P \rightarrow \times Q \rightarrow)
mp P-dc Q-dc (dir σεP) σ∢Q = lemma P-dc σεP σ∢Q
mp P-dc Q-dc (branch f) \sigma \triangleleft Q = branch (\lambda n \rightarrow mp P-dc Q-dc (f n) (\zeta n
mp P-dc Q-dc (squash \sigma \triangleleft P \sigma \triangleleft P' i) \sigma \triangleleft Q = \text{squash} (mp P-dc Q-dc \sigma \triangleleft P \sigma \triangleleft P'
```

This example can be accessed at:

https://ayberkt.gitlab.io/msc-thesis/BaireSpace.html