Rubrics for Computer Engineering Undergraduate Capstone Design Project Evaluation (CMPE 406)

I. Report

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion				
Organization and format (whole report)	Report follows the format specified for CMPE projects exactly	Report leaves out 1-2 chapters of the format	Report leaves out 3-4 chapters of the format	Report leaves out more than 4 chapters of the format
Proper citations (whole report)	Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%)	Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is sizable portion of the whole (between 10 and 30%).	Most of the statements are original, but there are some quotations that are not properly cited.	Most of the report is copied from some source, without proper citation.
Writing and English quality (whole report)	No errors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes.	Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes.	Many errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.	Numerous and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage.
Size of the report (whole report)	>30 pages	20-29 pages	10-19 pages	<10 pages
Motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction)	The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained well.	The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.	The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly.	The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are not explained at all.
Project Planning and Management (chapter 2)	13-16 of the items on the Project Planning & Management Checklist have been done.	9-12 of the items on the Project Planning & Management Checklist have been done.	5-8 of the items on the Project Planning & Management Checklist have been done.	Less than 5 items on the Project Planning & Management Checklist have been done.
Requirements Analysis (Chapter 2, sections 2.1 and 2.2)	A thorough requirements analysis has been performed and documented in detail, using IEEE standard and UML tools such as Class diagrams, Associations of classes, Context diagrams, Entity-class diagrams for static modeling, State transition diagrams, Communication and/or Sequence diagrams for dynamic modeling.	A satisfactory level of requirements analysis has been performed and documented, but some details have been left out.	Some requirements analysis has been performed and documented, but major parts have been left out	No formal requirements analysis has been performed or documented

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion Realistic	Economic,	Most of the economic,	Only a few of the	None of the economic,
Constraints	environmental, social,	environmental, social,	economic,	environmental, social,
(Chapter 2,	political, ethical, health	political, ethical, health	environmental, social,	political, ethical,
section 2.3)	and safety, manufacturability, and	and safety, manufacturability, and	political, ethical, health and safety,	health and safety, manufacturability, and
	sustainability constraints	sustainability	manufacturability, and	sustainability
	that the solution must satisfy are identified	constraints that the solution must satisfy	sustainability constraints that the	constraints that the solution must satisfy
	satisty are identified	are identified	solution must satisfy	are identified
			are identified	
Ethical issues	All ethical issues relevant to the project	Most ethical issues	Some ethical issues	No discussion of ethical issues
(Chapter 2, section 2.4)	have been discussed,	relevant to the project have been discussed	relevant to the project have been discussed	etnicai issues
30000011 2147	including effect on the	nave been alseassea	Have been discussed	
	environment, effect in			
	case system fails to			
	function properly,			
	effects on privacy,			
	impact on employment,			
	possibility of crime (hacking and data theft),			
	protection against			
	malware (viruses etc.),			
	usage of pirated			
	software			
System design	Both high level and low	Both high level and low	Although system design	No System design is
(chapter 3)	level system design	level system design	is shown in the report,	shown in the report.
	(overall architecture,	(overall architecture,	it is not informative at	
	database design in the	database design in the	all.	
	form of E-R diagrams, UML diagrams etc.) are	form of E-R diagrams, UML diagrams etc.) are		
	shown in sufficient	shown, but in not		
	detail and clarity in the	enough detail and		
	report.	clarity in the report.		
Implementation	All requirements have	Most but not all of the	Less than half of the	There is only minimal
(chapter 4,	been implemented fully.	requirements have	requirements have	or no implementation
sections 4.1,	Tools, technologies and	been implemented.	been implemented.	of the requirements.
4.2,4.4)	platforms used,	Tools, technologies and	Tools, technologies and	Tools, technologies
	algorithms developed, as well as the details of	platforms used, algorithms developed,	platforms used, algorithms developed,	and platforms used, algorithms developed,
	the implementation	as well as the details of	as well as the details of	as well as the details
	have been described	the implementation	the implementation	of the implementation
	thoroughly and clearly.	have been described at	have been poorly	have not been
		a reasonable level.	described	described at all.
Standards	There is a discussion of	Relevant standards are	Standards that are not	There is no mention of
(chapter 4,	the relevant standards	stated, but their	truly applicable to the	standards at all.
section 4.3)	and the degree to which	utilization is not	project have been	
	they have been used.	discussed.	mentioned.	

Testing (chapter	Includes strategies used	Includes strategies	Some testing has been	No testing has been
5)	for testing, test data employed, results of the testing, as well as corrective actions taken considering the test results. Thorough testing of the solution is evident.	used for testing, test data employed, results of the testing, as well as corrective actions taken considering the test results. Somewhat incomplete testing of the solution is evident.	performed, but not enough to permit its use without reservations.	performed, and no results are reported
User guide for the system (chapter 6)	The system with all its functionality is explained clearly and in sufficient detail	The system with all its functionality is explained, but some explanations are unclear or not in enough detail	Only part of system's functionality is explained, and some are unclear or not in enough detail	No useful explanation of the system's functionality is present
Description of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context. (chapter 7- discussion)	The solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained thoroughly	Most of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained	Only some of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained	None of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained
References	Includes more than 10 major references	Includes 5-10 major references.	Includes 3-4 major references.	Includes less than 3 major references.
Appendices	Report has at least appendices A and B, appendix A explains clearly the instructions for installing the system, and appendix B contains all of the significant code	Report has at least appendices A and B, appendix A explains poorly the instructions for installing the system, or appendix B contains only some of the significant code	Report leaves out one of appendices A or B.	Report has no appendices

II. Cooperation with the supervisor

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion				
Frequency of	Student visited his	Student visited his	Student visited his	Student visited his
interaction with	supervisor 8 or more	supervisor 6-7 times	supervisor 4-5 times	supervisor less than 4
the instructor	times			times
Progress reports	Student presented 4 or	Student 3 progress	Student presented 2	Student presented one or
	more progress reports	reports	progress reports	no progress report
Project	Project was developed	Project developed	Project developed	Project developed with
development	with full supervisor	with supervisor	with minimal	no supervisor
	involvement at each step.	involvement only at	supervisor	involvement except the
		major milestones.	involvement.	initial determination of
				the project topic.

III. Quality and contribution of the project

Score Criterion	4	3	2	1
Multi- disciplinary development Contribution of new ideas	The project was designed/implemented by a team of people from different disciplines and included at least one person outside of engineering Project contains many new and innovative ideas.	Project was designed/implemented by at least one computer/software engineer and a person from another engineering field Project contains some new and innovative ideas.	Project was designed/implemented by at least one software engineer and one computer engineer Project contains few new and innovative ideas.	Project was designed/implemented by person(s) from the computer/software engineering discipline (only one discipline involved) Project contains no new ideas and innovative ideas.
Implementation quality	Project has a solid, robust implementation. It is designed and implemented using well-established engineering principles, and can handle all conceivable error conditions.	Project has an acceptable implementation that works under normal circumstances, but cannot handle all error conditions.	Project has an acceptable implementation that works under normal circumstances, but cannot handle most error conditions.	Project has a shaky implementation that hardly works correctly.
Use of modern implementation tools	State of the art engineering tools and techniques have been used in the design and implementation of the project (languages, frameworks, hardware etc.)	Current, widely used engineering tools and techniques have been used in the design and implementation of the project.	Engineering tools and techniques that are still used, but have been superseded by more up-to-date ones and are about to be retired have been used in the design and implementation of the project.	Outdated, no longer current engineering tools and techniques have been used in the design and implementation of the project.
Project solves a realistic problem	Project solves a real/significant problem	Project solves a simplified version of a	Project solves a vastly simplified version of a	Project solves a toy problem, without any

and can be used without modification.	real/significant problem, but can easily be extended to solve the real-life problem.	real/significant problem, and requires major modification before it can be used to solve the real-life problem.	real-life application.

IV. PRESENTATION

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion Organization	The topic was introduced	The topic was	The topic was not	The topic was not clearly
Organization	clearly and creatively. Focus was maintained on the topic and the contribution was highlighted. The conclusion was logical, effective and relevant.	introduced clearly. Focus was maintained and the contribution was highlighted. The conclusion was satisfactory.	clearly introduced. The contribution was not obvious. Focus on the topic was not steady. There was a conclusion.	introduced. Focus was not maintained on the topic. The contribution was not clear. There was no conclusion.
Time usage	All parts of the presentation were finished, where each part received enough time relative to its importance.	All parts of the presentation were finished, but time allocated to each part was somewhat disproportionate to its significance.	All parts of the presentation were finished, but time allocated to each part was largely disproportionate to its significance.	Major part of the presentation was not finished.
Quality and	Slides were high quality,	Slides were of	Slides were of low	Slides were totally
relevance of the slides	informative, attractive and contained useful graphics.	standard quality, and sufficiently informative.	quality, and less than fully informative.	unattractive and not informative at all.
Communication Skills	The project was presented in an enthusiastic, clearly understandable manner; listener interest in the topic was aroused and maintained.	The project was presented in a reasonable way, with some attention being paid to how it was received.	The project was presented in a dull and boring way, without any enthusiasm.	Presentation was almost incomprehensible and/or uninteresting.
Questions and Answers	The student demonstrated extensive knowledge of the topic by responding confidently, precisely and appropriately to all audience questions and feedback.	The student demonstrated knowledge of the topic by responding accurately and appropriately to questions and feedback.	The student demonstrated some knowledge of the topic by responding accurately and appropriately to questions and feedback.	The student demonstrated incomplete knowledge of the topic by responding inaccurately and inappropriately to questions and feedback.