Rubrics for Computer Engineering Undergraduate Capstone Design Project Evaluation (CMPE 406)

I. Report

Criterion Report follows the format whole report) Report follows the format specified for cMPE projects exactly Report leaves out 1-2 chapters of the format chapters of the format Report leaves out 3-4 chapters of the format Proper citations (whole report) Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is sizable properly cited. Cited material is sizable properly cited. Cited material is sizable protion of the whole (between 10 and 30%). Most of the statements are original, but there are original, but there are some quotations that are not properly cited. Most of the statements are original, but there are original, but the	Score	4	3	2	1
format (whole report)format specified for CMPE projects exactlychapters of the formatchapters of the formatmore than 4 chapters of the formatProper citations (whole report)Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%)Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is sizable portion of the whole (between 10 and 30%).Most of the statements are original, but there are some quotations that are not properly cited.Most of the report is copied from some source, without proper citation.Writing and English quality (whole report)No errors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes.Almost on errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes.Many errors in sentence structure and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.Numerous and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Wery few spelling mistakes.Numerous and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.Size of the report (whole report)The motivation for the project, general background for the project, general background for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly.The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly.Requirements Analysis (Chapter)A thorough requirements analysisA satisfactory level of requirements analysisSome requirements analysis analysis has beenNo formal requirements analysis	Criterion				
Proper citations (whole report) Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%) More rors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes. Size of the report (whole report)	_	-	l •	•	·
Every statement is either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%)	•	·	chapters of the format	chapters of the format	· ·
(whole report)original, or is properly cited. Cited material is only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%)either original, or is properly cited. Cited material is sizable portion of the whole (between 10 and 30%).are original, but there are some quotations that are not properly cited.copied from some source, without proper citation.Writing and English quality (whole report)No errors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes.Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes.Many errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.Size of the report (whole report)>30 pages20-29 pages10-19 pages<10 pagesMotivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction)The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.Some requirements analysisNo formal requirements analysis	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
cited. Cited material is only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%) Writing and English quality (whole report) Size of the report (whole report) Motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction) Requirements Analysis (Chapter 2 Cited. Cited material is sizable portion of the whole (between 10 and 30%). Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Na spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Wany spelling mistakes. Size of the report (whole report) The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. A thorough requirements analysis Properly cited. Cited material is sizable portion of the whole (between 10 and 30%). Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Numerous and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Numerous and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Numerous and the that are not properly cited. Namy errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Numerous and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Numerous and the that are not properly cited. Numerous and sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Numerous and sentence structure and word usage. Numerous and structure and word usage. Numerous and sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly. The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly. The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly. The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly.	-				•
only a small portion of the whole (less than 10%) Writing and English quality (whole report) Size of the report (whole report) Motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction) Requirements Analysis (Chapter) Only a small portion of the whole (between 10 and 30%). Many errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Wany spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Diamost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Diamost no errors in senten	(whole report)		_	• •	•
the whole (less than 10%) Writing and English quality (whole report) Size of the report (whole report) Motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction) Requirements Analysis (Chapter) The whole (less than 10%) No errors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Many errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. Numerous and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes. 10-19 pages 10-19 pages 110-19 pages The motivation for the project, general background for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Requirements Analysis (Chapter) A thorough requirements analysis A thorough requirements analysis			• • • •		•
Writing and English quality (whole report) No errors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes. Size of the report (whole report) Motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction) Requirements Analysis (Chapter 10%) No errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes. Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Wany spelling mistakes. 10-19 pages 10				l	proper citation.
Writing and English quality (whole report)No errors in sentence structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes.Almost no errors in sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes.Many errors in sentence structure and distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.Size of the report (whole report)>30 pages20-29 pages10-19 pages<10 pages		'	F	citea.	
English quality (whole report)structure and word usage. No spelling mistakes.sentence structure and word usage. Very few spelling mistakes.sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.distracting errors in sentence structure and word usage. Many spelling mistakes.Size of the report (whole report)>30 pages20-29 pages10-19 pages<10 pages	Writing and	'	,	Many orrors in	Numorous and
(whole report)usage. No spelling mistakes.word usage. Very few spelling mistakes.word usage. Many spelling mistakes.sentence structure and word usage.Size of the report (whole report)>30 pages20-29 pages10-19 pages<10 pagesMotivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction)The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained well.The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained poorly.Requirements Analysis (Chapter)A thorough requirements analysisA satisfactory level of requirements analysisSome requirements analysis has beenNo formal requirements analysis	_			•	
Size of the report (whole report) >30 pages 20-29 pages 10-19 pages <10 pages					_
Size of the report (whole report) Motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction) The motivation for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Requirements Analysis (Chapter Analysis (Chapter) >30 pages 20-29 pages 10-19 pages The motivation for the project, general background for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Some requirements A thorough requirements analysis	(whole report)		,		
(whole report)The motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction)The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, general background for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.Requirements Analysis (Chapter)A thorough requirements analysisA satisfactory level of requirements analysisSome requirements analysis requirements analysisNo formal requirements analysis		mistakes.	spening mistakes.	Spening mistakes.	and word asage.
(whole report)The motivation for the project (chapter 1 – introduction)The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, general background for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.The motivation for the project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.Requirements Analysis (Chapter)A thorough requirements analysisA satisfactory level of requirements analysisSome requirements analysis requirements analysisNo formal requirements analysis	Size of the report	>30 pages	20-29 pages	10-19 pages	<10 pages
the project (chapter 1 – introduction) project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Requirements Analysis (Chapter) A thorough requirements analysis project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Some requirements analysis project, general background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Some requirements analysis analysis has been No formal requirements analysis	-				
background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out. Chapter 1 - introduction background for the project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out.	Motivation for	The motivation for the	The motivation for the	The motivation for the	The motivation for the
project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out Requirements Analysis (Chapter project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out project, why it is needed are explained but some important aspects are left out Some requirements analysis has been project, why it is needed are explained poorly. Project, why it is needed are explained poorly. Some requirements analysis has been requirements analysis	the project	project, general	project, general	project, general	project, general
are explained well. needed are explained but some important aspects are left out needed are explained poorly. needed are explained poorly. needed are explained poorly. No formal requirements analysis (Chapter requirements analysis requirements analysis	(chapter 1 –	background for the	background for the	background for the	background for the
but some important aspects are left out. Requirements Analysis (Chapter A thorough requirements analysis A thorough requirements analysis A satisfactory level of requirements analysis The poorly. Some requirements analysis analysis has been requirements analysis	introduction)	project, why it is needed	project, why it is	project, why it is	project, why it is
Requirements Analysis (Chapter requirements analysis requirements analysis analysis (Chapter requirements analysis		are explained well.	needed are explained	needed are explained	needed are not
Requirements A thorough A satisfactory level of requirements analysis Some requirements analysis has been No formal requirements analysis			·	poorly.	explained at all.
Analysis (Chapter requirements analysis requirements analysis analysis has been requirements analysis			aspects are left out		
Analysis (Chapter requirements analysis requirements analysis analysis has been requirements analysis					
	Requirements	A thorough	A satisfactory level of	Some requirements	No formal
2, sections 2.1 has been performed and has been performed performed and has been performed	Analysis (Chapter	requirements analysis	requirements analysis	analysis has been	requirements analysis
	2, sections 2.1	has been performed and	has been performed	performed and	has been performed
and 2.2) documented in detail and documented, but documented, but major or documented	and 2.2)	documented in detail	and documented, but	documented, but major	or documented
some details have been parts have been left				parts have been left	
left out out			left out	out	

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion Realistic Constraints (Chapter 2, section 2.3)	Economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability constraints that the solution must satisfy are identified	Most of the economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability constraints that the solution must satisfy are identified	Only a few of the economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability constraints that the solution must satisfy are identified	None of the economic, environmental, social, political, ethical, health and safety, manufacturability, and sustainability constraints that the solution must satisfy are identified
Ethical issues (Chapter 2, section 2.4)	All ethical issues relevant to the project have been discussed, including effect on the environment, effect in case system fails to function properly, effects on privacy, impact on employment, possibility of crime (hacking and data theft), protection against malware (viruses etc.), usage of pirated software	Most ethical issues relevant to the project have been discussed	Some ethical issues relevant to the project have been discussed	No discussion of ethical issues
System design (chapter 3)	Both high level and low level system design (overall architecture, database design in the form of E-R diagrams, UML diagrams etc.) are shown in sufficient detail and clarity in the report.	Both high level and low level system design (overall architecture, database design in the form of E-R diagrams, UML diagrams etc.) are shown, but in not enough detail and clarity in the report.	Although system design is shown in the report, it is not informative at all.	No System design is shown in the report.
Implementation (chapter 4, sections 4.1, 4.2,4.4)	All requirements have been implemented fully. Tools, technologies and platforms used, algorithms developed, as well as the details of the implementation have been described thoroughly and clearly.	Most but not all of the requirements have been implemented. Tools, technologies and platforms used, algorithms developed, as well as the details of the implementation have been described at a reasonable level.	Less than half of the requirements have been implemented. Tools, technologies and platforms used, algorithms developed, as well as the details of the implementation have been poorly described	There is only minimal or no implementation of the requirements. Tools, technologies and platforms used, algorithms developed, as well as the details of the implementation have not been described at all.
Standards (chapter 4, section 4.3)	There is a discussion of the relevant standards and the degree to which they have been used.	Relevant standards are stated, but their utilization is not discussed.	Standards that are not truly applicable to the project have been mentioned.	There is no mention of standards at all.

			•	1
Testing (chapter 5)	Includes strategies used for testing, test data employed, results of the testing, as well as corrective actions taken considering the test results. Thorough testing of the solution is evident.	Includes strategies used for testing, test data employed, results of the testing, as well as corrective actions taken considering the test results. Somewhat incomplete testing of the solution is evident.	Some testing has been performed, but not enough to permit its use without reservations.	No testing has been performed, and no results are reported
User guide for the system (chapter 6)	The system with all its functionality is explained clearly and in sufficient detail	The system with all its functionality is explained, but some explanations are unclear or not in enough detail	Only part of system's functionality is explained, and some are unclear or not in enough detail	No useful explanation of the system's functionality is present
Description of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context. (chapter 7- discussion)	The solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained thoroughly	Most of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained	Only some of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained	None of the solution's impact in the global, economic, environmental and societal context are analyzed and explained
References	Includes more than 10 major references	Includes 5-10 major references.	Includes 3-4 major references.	Includes less than 3 major references.
Appendices	Report has at least appendices A and B, appendix A explains clearly the instructions for installing the system, and appendix B contains all of the significant code	Report has at least appendices A and B, appendix A explains poorly the instructions for installing the system, or appendix B contains only some of the significant code	Report leaves out one of appendices A or B.	Report has no appendices

II. Cooperation with the supervisor

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion				
Frequency of	Student visited his	Student visited his	Student visited his	Student visited his
interaction with	supervisor 8 or more	supervisor 6-7 times	supervisor 4-5 times	supervisor less than 4
the instructor	times			times
Progress reports	Student presented 4 or	Student 3 progress	Student presented 2	Student presented one or
	more progress reports	reports	progress reports	no progress report
Project	Project was developed	Project developed	Project developed	Project developed with
development	with full supervisor	with supervisor	with minimal	no supervisor
	involvement at each step.	involvement only at	supervisor	involvement except the
		major milestones.	involvement.	initial determination of
				the project topic.

III. Quality and contribution of the project

Score	4	3	2	1
Criterion	·	•	_	_
Multi- disciplinary development	The project was designed/implemented by a team of people from different disciplines and included at least one person	Project was designed/implemented by at least one computer/software engineer and a person from another	Project was designed/implemented by at least one software engineer and one computer engineer	Project was designed/implemented by person(s) from the computer/software engineering discipline (only one discipline
Contribution of new ideas	outside of engineering Project contains many new and innovative ideas.	engineering field Project contains some new and innovative ideas.	Project contains few new and innovative ideas.	involved) Project contains no new ideas and innovative ideas.
Implementation quality	Project has a solid, robust implementation. It is designed and implemented using well-established engineering principles, and can handle all conceivable error conditions.	Project has an acceptable implementation that works under normal circumstances, but cannot handle all error conditions.	Project has an acceptable implementation that works under normal circumstances, but cannot handle most error conditions.	Project has a shaky implementation that hardly works correctly.
Use of modern implementation tools	State of the art engineering tools and techniques have been used in the design and implementation of the project (languages, frameworks, hardware etc.)	Current, widely used engineering tools and techniques have been used in the design and implementation of the project.	Engineering tools and techniques that are still used, but have been superseded by more up-to-date ones and are about to be retired have been used in the design and implementation of the project.	Outdated, no longer current engineering tools and techniques have been used in the design and implementation of the project.
Project solves a realistic problem	Project solves a real/significant problem and can be used without modification.	Project solves a simplified version of a real/significant problem, but can easily be extended to solve the real-life problem.	Project solves a vastly simplified version of a real/significant problem, and requires major modification before it can be used to solve the real-life problem.	Project solves a toy problem, without any real-life application.

IV. PRESENTATION

Score	4	3	2	1
Organization	The topic was introduced clearly and creatively. Focus was maintained on the topic and the contribution was highlighted. The conclusion was logical, effective and relevant.	The topic was introduced clearly. Focus was maintained and the contribution was highlighted. The conclusion was satisfactory.	The topic was not clearly introduced. The contribution was not obvious. Focus on the topic was not steady. There was a conclusion.	The topic was not clearly introduced. Focus was not maintained on the topic. The contribution was not clear. There was no conclusion.
Time usage	All parts of the presentation were finished, where each part received enough time relative to its importance.	All parts of the presentation were finished, but time allocated to each part was somewhat disproportionate to its significance.	All parts of the presentation were finished, but time allocated to each part was largely disproportionate to its significance.	Major part of the presentation was not finished.
Quality and relevance of the slides	Slides were high quality, informative, attractive and contained useful graphics.	Slides were of standard quality, and sufficiently informative.	Slides were of low quality, and less than fully informative.	Slides were totally unattractive and not informative at all.
Communication Skills	The project was presented in an enthusiastic, clearly understandable manner; listener interest in the topic was aroused and maintained.	The project was presented in a reasonable way, with some attention being paid to how it was received.	The project was presented in a dull and boring way, without any enthusiasm.	Presentation was almost incomprehensible and/or uninteresting.
Questions and Answers	The student demonstrated extensive knowledge of the topic by responding confidently, precisely and appropriately to all audience questions and feedback.	The student demonstrated knowledge of the topic by responding accurately and appropriately to questions and feedback.	The student demonstrated some knowledge of the topic by responding accurately and appropriately to questions and feedback.	The student demonstrated incomplete knowledge of the topic by responding inaccurately and inappropriately to questions and feedback.