MENGM0056 - Product and Production Systems Scenario 3: FMCG - Bottled Beverage (500 ml)

Hand-out for Group Coursework (2025/26)

UUID seed: 123e4567-e89b-12d3-a456-426614174000 Checksum: e4c6b76d6222

Purpose

This scenario considers a high-throughput beverage line with volatile demand and despatch congestion. Your task is to propose operational policies that stabilise service level and improve utilisation while controlling changeover losses and inventory.

Narrative

A 500 ml carbonated soft drink is produced in PET bottles. The line comprises blow-moulding, filling, labelling, case-packing and palletising, with despatch to outbound trucks via limited loading bays. Demand varies with weather and promotions. CIP and changeovers consume valuable capacity. Capital spend is constrained; improvements should focus on scheduling, policies, and parameter changes.

Entities and flow (fixed structure)

 $Preforms \rightarrow Blow-mould \rightarrow Fill \rightarrow Cap \rightarrow Label \rightarrow Case-pack \rightarrow Palletise \rightarrow Despatch.$

Baseline parameters (seeded)

Global

Shifts per day	2
Shift length	7.5 h
Base daily demand	1584 cases/day (12 bottles/case)
Daily demand CV	0.245
Number of SKUs	6
On-time despatch target	95%

Line capacities and availability

Resource	Count	Nominal rate	Availability
Blow-moulder	1	24455 bph	0.856
Filler	1	21237 bph	0.825
Labeller	1	24713 bph	0.858
Case-packer	1	1678 cph	0.851

1 anetisei 1 1400 cpii 0.30	Palletiser	1	$1463 \mathrm{cph}$	0.951
-----------------------------	------------	---	---------------------	-------

Changeovers and CIP

CIP duration (flavour)	44 min
Additional flavour change operations	$34 \min$
Label-only change duration	$10 \min$
Minimum batch size	435 cases

Despatch and yard logistics

Loading bays	2
Despatch window	7:00-20:00
Mean truck inter-arrival	$38 \min$
Truck service time	$52 \min$
Cases per pallet	96
Pallets per truck	30

Reliability (downtime parameters)

Resource	MTBF (min)	MTTR (min)
BlowMoulder	595.3	19.9
Filler	470.7	30.6
Labeller	509.6	10.9
Packer	657.7	10.2
Palletiser	1109.0	14.9

Costs

Holding cost	£2.29 /pallet/day
Changeover cost (all-in)	£191.16 /event
Lateness penalty	£383.24 /late truck
Scrap cost (changeover/CIP)	£ 1.98 /case

Required KPIs

- Line utilisation by unit (blow-moulder, filler, labeller, packer, palletiser).
- Changeover time and product loss per week; percentage of capacity lost to changeovers/CIP.
- Order lead time distribution and on-time despatch rate (service level).
- Loading-bay utilisation and maximum truck queue length; truck lateness count.
- Finished-goods days-of-cover and average pallets in buffer.

Techniques to apply

- Modelling & KPIs: capacity model, bottleneck identification, changeover loss accounting.
- Mathematical programming: shift patterns, SKU sequencing and batch sizing subject to CIP and bay constraints.
- Uncertainty modelling: daily demand and truck arrivals; downtime distributions.

- **Simulation**: discrete-event model of the line and despatch yard; evaluate congestion and schedules.
- Metaheuristic optimisation: lot-sizing and sequence optimisation with changeover penalties and service-level targets.

Improvement levers (examples)

- SKU sequencing to group labels and reduce full CIP events; threshold policies for label-only changes.
- Time-of-day despatch smoothing: reserve windows for large orders; dynamic bay assignment.
- Buffer targets before palletiser and before despatch to prevent starvation/blocking.
- Preventive maintenance windows aligned with expected demand troughs.

Deliverables

- 1. A report (max 20 sides of A4 including figures and references; appendices unmarked but admissible as evidence).
- 2. A production and despatch plan for one representative week, showing SKU sequence, batch sizes, and expected service level.
- 3. Model files (e.g., simulation, optimisation) as appendices/evidence.

Assessment emphasis

Clarity and correctness of the capacity and KPI model; appropriate choice and justification of techniques; quality of experimental design; robustness to demand variability; and persuasiveness of recommendations under operational constraints.

Data ethics and reproducibility

Report your UUID seed and any random seeds used within tools. Provide enough detail for independent regeneration of your parameter tables.