# MENGM0056 - Product and Production Systems Scenario 3: FMCG - Bottled Beverage (500 ml)

Hand-out for Group Coursework (2025/26)

UUID seed: 77bebee5-6efb-482d-8daf-4a173b9fb1a0 Checksum: 13d717676adf

# Purpose

This scenario considers a high-throughput beverage line with volatile demand and despatch congestion. Your task is to propose operational policies that stabilise service level and improve utilisation while controlling changeover losses and inventory.

## Narrative

A 500 ml carbonated soft drink is produced in PET bottles. The line comprises blow-moulding, filling, labelling, case-packing and palletising, with despatch to outbound trucks via limited loading bays. Demand varies with weather and promotions. CIP and changeovers consume valuable capacity. Capital spend is constrained; improvements should focus on scheduling, policies, and parameter changes.

# Entities and flow (fixed structure)

 $Preforms \rightarrow Blow-mould \rightarrow Fill \rightarrow Cap \rightarrow Label \rightarrow Case-pack \rightarrow Palletise \rightarrow Despatch.$ 

# Baseline parameters (seeded)

## Global

Shifts per day	2
Shift length	7.5 h
Base daily demand	1621 cases/day (12 bottles/case)
Daily demand CV	0.145
Number of SKUs	4
On-time despatch target	95%

## Line capacities and availability

Resource	Count	Nominal rate	Availability
Blow-moulder	1	22429 bph	0.89
Filler	1	20606  bph	0.85
Labeller	1	24057  bph	0.864
Case-packer	1	2016  cph	0.87

Palletiser	1	1445  cph	0.914
------------	---	-----------	-------

## Changeovers and CIP

CIP duration (flavour)	47 min
Additional flavour change operations	$27 \min$
Label-only change duration	$15 \min$
Minimum batch size	436 cases

### Despatch and yard logistics

Loading bays	2
Despatch window	7:00-18:00
Mean truck inter-arrival	$71 \min$
Truck service time	38 min
Cases per pallet	84
Pallets per truck	27

## Reliability (downtime parameters)

MTBF (min)	MTTR (min)
498.5	29.7
376.9	23.4
821.4	19.9
927.4	11.8
1157.1	19.9
	498.5 376.9 821.4 927.4

### Costs

Holding cost	£2.05 /pallet/day
Changeover cost (all-in)	£199.87 /event
Lateness penalty	£298.32 /late truck
Scrap cost (changeover/CIP)	£ $1.39$ /case

# Required KPIs

- Line utilisation by unit (blow-moulder, filler, labeller, packer, palletiser).
- Changeover time and product loss per week; percentage of capacity lost to changeovers/CIP.
- Order lead time distribution and on-time despatch rate (service level).
- Loading-bay utilisation and maximum truck queue length; truck lateness count.
- Finished-goods days-of-cover and average pallets in buffer.

# Techniques to apply

- Modelling & KPIs: capacity model, bottleneck identification, changeover loss accounting.
- Mathematical programming: shift patterns, SKU sequencing and batch sizing subject to CIP and bay constraints.
- Uncertainty modelling: daily demand and truck arrivals; downtime distributions.

- **Simulation**: discrete-event model of the line and despatch yard; evaluate congestion and schedules.
- Metaheuristic optimisation: lot-sizing and sequence optimisation with changeover penalties and service-level targets.

# Improvement levers (examples)

- SKU sequencing to group labels and reduce full CIP events; threshold policies for label-only changes.
- Time-of-day despatch smoothing: reserve windows for large orders; dynamic bay assignment
- Buffer targets before palletiser and before despatch to prevent starvation/blocking.
- Preventive maintenance windows aligned with expected demand troughs.

### **Deliverables**

- 1. A report (max 20 sides of A4 including figures and references; appendices unmarked but admissible as evidence).
- 2. The report should contain a production and despatch plan for one representative week, showing SKU sequence, batch sizes, and expected service level.
- 3. Model files (e.g., simulation, optimisation) as appendices/evidence.

# Assessment emphasis

Clarity and correctness of the capacity and KPI model; appropriate choice and justification of techniques; quality of experimental design; robustness to demand variability; and persuasiveness of recommendations under operational constraints.

# Data ethics and reproducibility

Report your UUID seed and any random seeds used within tools. Provide enough detail for independent regeneration of your parameter tables.