

QUANTUM CHROMODYNAMICS

& COLLIDER PHENOMENOLOGY

Alexander Huss

alexander.huss @ cern.ch

Quantum Chromodynamics - QCD

* What? theory of strong interactions: quarks & gluons

↳ non-Abelian gauge theory $SU(N_c)$
 $(N_c = 3$ j colour d.o.f.)


* Why? unavoidable in hadron collisions
 (LHC collides protons = QCD bound states)

① measure properties

& scrutinize the **KNOWN**

② enhance the discovery

reach of the **UNKNOWN**



“ Quantum chromodynamics is conceptually simple.

”

Frank Wilczek

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \sum_q \bar{\psi}_q (\not{D}_\mu - m_q) \psi_q - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a,\mu\nu}$$

with

$$D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i g_s A_\mu^a t^a \quad \text{and} \quad F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g_s f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

that's it !

“ Quantum chromodynamics is conceptually simple. Its realization in nature, however, is usually very complex.


Frank Wilczek

- * no free quarks & gluons
→ spray of hadrons ($\pi^\pm, K^\pm, K^0, p^\pm, n, \dots$)
- * colliding objects (P @ LHC) not elementary

strong interaction \leftrightarrow




Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)




higher energy

larger distance



“Quantum chromodynamics is conceptually simple. Its realization in nature, however, is usually very complex. But not always.”

Frank Wilczek



① factorization

↳ relevant physics at disparate scales
(isolates description of proton from rest)


② asymptotic freedom

↳ short distance \leftrightarrow perturbation theory



$$\sigma = \sigma_{\text{lo}} (1 + \alpha_s c^{(1)} + \alpha_s^2 c^{(2)} + \dots)$$

Goal of these lectures

- * understand how this picture comes about
- * get a feeling of how calculations are done within each part



Some Literature



* Quantum Chromodynamics


- ⇒ Ellis, Stirling, Webber aka "The pink book"
"QCD and Collider Physics"

- ⇒ Campbell, Huston, Krauss
"The black book of Quantum Chromodynamics"

available as free download thanks to SCOAP3 foundation

* Quantum Field Theory

- ⇒ Peskin, Schroeder
"An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory"



Conventions & Sources

* Conventions natural units: $[Eh] = [c] = 1$

↳ $[length] = [time] = eV^{-1}$

$[mass] = [energy] = [momentum] = eV$

↳ four vectors $x^\mu = (t, x, y, z)^T$, $\partial_\mu = (\partial_t, \vec{\nabla})^T$

⇒ energy-momentum conservation: ($a+b \rightarrow 1+2$)

$$\delta^{(4)}(P_1 + P_2 - (P_a + P_b)) = \delta(E_1 + E_2 - (E_a + E_b)) \delta^{(3)}(\vec{P}_1 + \vec{P}_2 - (\vec{P}_a + \vec{P}_b))$$

↳ scalar product $a \cdot b = a^\mu b_\mu = a^\mu g_{\mu\nu} b^\nu = a^\alpha b^\alpha - \vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}$

$$g^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+1, -1, -1, -1)$$

* Notebooks <https://github.com/aykhuss/Lectures-CERN-QCD/>

Outline

Ø The Beginnings

- the eightfold way • the quark model
- colour quantum number • confinement

I Basics of QCD

II QCD in e^+e^- Collisions



III Hadron Colliders

IV Jet Physics

The Eightfold Way

[Gell-Mann '61]

* the hadron spectrum exhibits a striking pattern
(s = "strangeness")



→ What is the reason for this pattern?

predicted
& found!

The Quark Model [Gell-Mann, Zweig '64]

* proposal: spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ constituents

(quarks: $[u, d, s]$)

SU(3) flavour symmetry

with fractal charges

u

$m_u \sim 4 \text{ MeV}$ ($Q = +\frac{2}{3}$)

proton stable

d


$m_d \sim 7 \text{ MeV}$ ($Q = -\frac{1}{3}$)

s

$m_s \sim 135 \text{ MeV}$ ($Q = -\frac{1}{3}$)

\Rightarrow "Explains" the pattern

but no free quarks can be seen!



The Spin-Statistics Issue

* Δ^{++} is a state with

- spin $\frac{3}{2}$ $| \uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$

- $3 \times$ up $| uuu\rangle$ ($Q = +2$)

$\cancel{\text{#}}$ Pauli's exclusion principle

\Rightarrow Way out: A new quantum number

u^1

u^2

u^3


?

} COLOUR

$$\Delta^{++} \sim e^{ijk} u^i u^j u^k$$

\hookrightarrow fully anti-symmetric

$$N_c \geq 3$$




Evidence for Colour

* The R-ratio

$$R \equiv \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} \propto N_c \sum_q Q_q^2$$

lowest-order prediction

$$R = N_c \frac{11}{9}$$



* pion decay $\Gamma(\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma) \propto N_c^2$

* ABJ anomaly cancellation

* much much more (BR of W, T decays...)

Nc = 3

QCD & Colour Confinement

* QCD has an exact $SU(N_c)$ symmetry ← why not $SO(N_c)$?

$$UU^+ = U^+U = \mathbb{1}, \det(U) = 1$$


* no isolated colour charges ($V_{q\bar{q}}(r) \simeq C_F \left[\frac{\alpha_S(r)}{r} + \dots + \sigma r \right]$)

⇒ only colour singlet particles ↳ hadrons have integer electric charge

① Mesons (bosons: π, δ, \dots)


$$\bar{q}^i q^i \rightarrow \underbrace{U_{ij}^* \bar{q}^j}_{(U^+)_ji} \underbrace{U_{ik} q^k}_{U_{ik}} = \bar{q}^i q^i$$

$$(U^+)_ji U_{ik} = \delta_{jk}$$




② Baryons (fermions: p, n, \dots)

$$\epsilon_{ijk} q^i q^j q^k \rightarrow \underbrace{\epsilon_{ijk} U_{ii} U_{jj} U_{kk}}_{\det(U) \epsilon_{ijk}} q^i q^j q^k = \epsilon_{ijk} q^i q^j q^k$$




The Rest of the Family

The eight fold way



[postulated '78
GIM mechanism]

discovery '74



$J/4 \equiv (c\bar{c})$
"charmonium"

Computer reconstruction of a ψ' decay in the Mark I detector at SLAC, making a near-perfect image of the Greek letter ψ

+2/3 electric charge

-1/3 electric charge

'87

$m_t > 50 \text{ GeV}$ (B- oscillation)

'94

$m_t \in [145, 185] \text{ GeV}$ (EW precision)

discovery

'95 $m_t = 173 \text{ GeV}$

[postulated '73
CP violation
Kobayashi &
Maskawa]

→ discovery '77 (γ)

Outline

Ø The Beginnings

I Basics of QCD

- the QCD Lagrangian • pQCD & Feynman rules
- the $SU(N_c)$ colour algebra • renormalization & running coupling

II QCD in e^+e^- Collisions

III Hadron Colliders

IV Jet Physics

The QCD Lagrangian

- * idea: use information gathered from observations (Part ϕ)
& use gauge invariance as the construction principle
- * follow QED from U(1)
 - ① free theory of electrons $\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermion}}(4, \partial_\mu 4)$ → has a global U(1) symmetry
 - ② promote to a local (gauge) symmetry
⇒ minimal substitution $\partial_\mu \mapsto D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ieQ_f A_\mu^a$
 - ③ add dynamics for photons: $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Maxwell}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$

(field strength tensor)
 $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A^\mu$
- * Let us follow the same steps to arrive at \mathcal{L}_{QCD}

The QCD Lagrangian

① * quarks are spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ fermions & exist in 6 "flavours" ($q = u, d, s, c, b, t$) $\Rightarrow \psi_q$

* quarks have an additional colour d.o.f $\Rightarrow \psi_q = (\psi_q^r, \psi_q^g, \psi_q^b)^T$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Dirac Lagrangian} \quad \mathcal{L}_q(\psi_q, \partial_\mu \psi_q) = \sum_q \psi_q^i (i \not{D} - m_q) \gamma^i \psi_q^i = \sum_q \bar{\psi}_q (i \not{D} - m_q) \psi_q$$

* This Lagrangian has a global $SU(3)$ symmetry $\psi_q^i \mapsto U_{ij} \psi_q^i$

$$U = \exp[-i g_s \theta^a t^a], \quad U \in SU(3) \quad (N_c^2 - 1) = 8 \text{ parameters } (\theta^a) / \text{generators } (t^a)$$

* one explicit representation: $t^a = \lambda^a / 2$ (λ^a : Gell-Mann matrices)

$$\lambda^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

normalization

$$\text{tr}[t^a t^b] = T_R \delta^{ab}$$

$$\lambda^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^6 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^7 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda^8 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_R = \frac{1}{2}$$

The QCD Lagrangian

- ② * $SU(N_c)$ is an exact symmetry \Rightarrow "gauge" it ($\theta^a \rightarrow \theta^a(x)$)

\Rightarrow For L_g to remain invariant, need to substitute

$$\partial_\mu \rightarrow D_\mu = \partial_\mu + i g_s \underbrace{A_\mu^a t^a}_{\text{"covariant derivative"}}$$

A_μ transforms as $A_\mu \rightarrow U A_\mu U^\dagger + \frac{i}{g_s} (\partial_\mu U) U^\dagger$

- * forced us to introduce 8 new spin-1 fields, the gluons: A_μ^a ($a=1,\dots,8$)

- ③ * add dynamics for the gluon fields:

$$\mathcal{L}_A = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a,\mu\nu}$$

with

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g_s f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$$

structure constant
 $[t^a, t^b] = i f^{abc} t^c$

net present in QED

- * gluon self-interaction

- * no mass term allowed (not gauge invariant)

The QCD Lagrangian

- * Putting it together ($D_\mu = \partial_\mu + ig_s A_\mu^a t^a$, $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a - g_s f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$)

$$\mathcal{L}_{QCD} = \sum_q \bar{\Psi}_q (\not{D} - m_q) \Psi_q - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a,\mu\nu} \quad \text{fully gauge invariant by construction}$$

- * gauge symmetry introduces redundancy $\rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{fix}$ for reduction to physical d.o.f.

\hookrightarrow covariant gauge $\mathcal{L}_{fix} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} (\partial^\mu A_\mu^a)^2$

\Rightarrow introduces new ghost fields to cancel unphysical d.o.f.

$A_{\mu=0,1,2,3}$ (4) v.s.
massless spin-1 (2)

* $\xi = 1$: Feynman gauge

\hookrightarrow axial gauges $\mathcal{L}_{fix} = -\frac{1}{2\xi} (n^\mu A_\mu^a)^2$ (n^μ arbitrary \leftrightarrow not covariant)

* $n^2 = \phi$: "light-cone" gauge

* $\xi \rightarrow \phi$: "axial" gauge is ghost free \Rightarrow physical modes only

Quantization & Perturbation Theory

* Quantization in the path-integral formalism:

$$\mathcal{M}_{\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_n} \longleftrightarrow \int \mathcal{D}[\Phi] \Phi_1(x_1) \dots \Phi_n(x_n) e^{i \int d^4x \mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}}(\Phi, \partial_\mu \Phi)}$$

$\hookrightarrow \Phi \in \{\psi_a, A_\mu^a\}$

↑
all information contained

↳ In principle, could compute anything from it
but extremely difficult to solve (starting point for lattice QCD)

* solve approximately using perturbation theory $\alpha_s^n \leftrightarrow$ systematically improvable

⇒ diagrammatic representation in form of Feynman diagrams

- ① terms in \mathcal{L}_{QCD} with $\leq 2 \Phi$: free theory \Rightarrow external states & propagators
- ② terms in \mathcal{L}_{QCD} with $> 2 \Phi$: interactions \Rightarrow vertices

Feynman Rules of QCD

* external legs

incoming

$$q_q^i \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bullet \quad u^i(p)$$

$$\bar{q}_q^i \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bullet \quad \bar{v}^i(p)$$

$$A_\mu^a \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \quad \epsilon_\mu^a(p)$$

out going

$$\bullet \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bar{q}_q^i \quad \bar{u}^i(p)$$

$$\bullet \xleftarrow{\rightarrow P} q_q^i \quad v^i(p)$$

$$\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} A_\mu^a \quad \epsilon_\mu^a(p)^*$$

* propagators

$$\bar{q}_q^i \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bullet \quad q_q^j$$

$$\frac{i\delta^{ij}(P+m_q)}{p^2-m^2}$$

$$A_\mu^a \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \quad A_\nu^b$$

$$\frac{-i\delta^{ab}}{p^2} \left[g_{\mu\nu} - (1-\xi) \frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{p^2} \right]$$

$$\bar{u}^a \xrightarrow{\rightarrow P} \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet \quad u^b$$


$$\frac{i\delta^{ab}}{p^2}$$

(the Faddeev-Popov ghost)


covariant
gauge

Feynman Rules of QCD


* Vertices




$$-i g_s (t^a)_{ij} \gamma_\mu$$



$$g_s f^{abc} p_\mu$$



$$\begin{aligned} -g_s f^{abc} & [g_{\mu\nu} (p_1 - p_2)_\rho \\ & + g_{\nu\rho} (p_2 - p_3)_\mu \\ & + g_{\rho\mu} (p_3 - p_1)_\nu] \end{aligned}$$




$$\begin{aligned} -ig_s^2 & [f^{a_1 a_2 b} f^{a_3 a_4 b} (g^{\mu_1 \mu_3} g^{\mu_2 \mu_4} - g^{\mu_1 \mu_4} g^{\mu_2 \mu_3}) \\ & + \text{perms}] \end{aligned}$$


Colour Algebra

* main distinction from QED: colour \leftrightarrow non-Abelian group ($U_1 U_2 \neq U_2 U_1$)

* pictorial representation of quark-gluon interaction




$$(1, 0, 0) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\bar{q}^r \quad t^1 \quad q^s$$





↪ "repaint" the quarks

Graphical Representation of $SU(N_c)$

* propagators \leftrightarrow identity matrix * loops \leftrightarrow traces



* Vertices \leftrightarrow generators



Graphical Representation of SU(N_c)


* normalization & quadratic Casimirs




$$= \sum_a t^a_i t^a_j = C_F \delta^{ij} = C_F \longleftrightarrow$$

$$C_F = T_R \frac{N_c^2 - 1}{N_c} = \frac{4}{3}$$

↑ show this as
an exercise




$$= \text{Tr}[t^a t^b] = T_R \delta^{ab} = T_R \longleftrightarrow$$



$$= \sum_c T_{(\text{adj})}^c T_{(\text{adj})}^c = C_A \delta^{ab} = C_A \longleftrightarrow$$

$$C_A = 2 T_R N_c = 3$$

* Fierz identity



$$= T_R \left[\begin{array}{c} i \quad k \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ j \quad l \end{array} \right] - \frac{1}{N_c} \left[\begin{array}{c} i \quad k \\ \diagup \quad \diagdown \\ j \quad l \end{array} \right]$$


Renormalization & the Running Coupling

- * all parameters, i.e. also α_s , must be determined experimentally
 \hookrightarrow renormalization: measurable quantities expressed in terms of measurable quantities

- * QED \rightarrow the fine structure constant $\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi}$


EM interaction \leftrightarrow exchange of photon

Let's imagine, we measure it from the scattering of 2 charged particles



exchange affected by
vacuum polarization
(higher order corr.)

$$\mathcal{M}^{(0)} \sim \alpha$$



$$\mathcal{M}^{(1)} \sim \alpha^2$$

$$\frac{\int d^4 q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\text{Tr} [\gamma^\mu (q+m) \gamma^\nu (q+k+m)]}{(q^2-m^2) [(q+k)^2-m^2]}$$

$$\stackrel{q}{\sim} \int d q \ q^3 \frac{\{1, q, q^2\}}{q^4}$$

at least $\int \frac{dq}{q}$ in the UV

logarithmic divergent ?!

Intermezzo: Dimensional Regularization

- * modify theory (e.g. $\int_0^\infty d^4q \rightarrow \int_{|q|<1} d^4q$) to render expression finite w/ parameter (Λ)
 - ↳ original (divergent) expression by taking limit of parameter ($\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$)
- * de facto standard: dimensional regularization using $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$ as dimension
 - ↳ preserves Lorentz- & gauge-invariance; works also for the infrared
 - ↳ divergence as poles in $\frac{1}{\epsilon^n}$ ($\epsilon \rightarrow 0$)
 - ↳ momentum integrals: $\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \rightarrow \int \frac{d^D q}{(2\pi)^D}$ (impose integral axioms)
 - ↳ retain dimensionless coupling $g \rightarrow \mu^{\frac{4-D}{2}} g = \mu^\epsilon g$ why this power?
 - ↑ need to introduce a scale

Renormalization & the Running Coupling

- * with DimReg, we obtain the following result

$$\text{Diagram} = [-\pi^{\gamma\gamma}(k^2)] \cdot \text{Diagram}$$

with $\pi^{\gamma\gamma}(k^2) = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi} \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \ln(4\pi) + \ln\left(\frac{m^2}{k^2}\right) + \frac{5}{3} \right] + O(\epsilon)$

- * we can anticipate even more bubble insertions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} + \text{Diagram} + \dots &= M^{(0)} [1 + [-\pi^{\gamma\gamma}] + [-\pi^{\gamma\gamma}]^2 + \dots] \\ &= M^{(0)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [-\pi^{\gamma\gamma}]^n = M^{(0)} \frac{1}{1 + \pi^{\gamma\gamma}} \end{aligned}$$

↑
Dyson sum

Renormalization & the Running Coupling

- * Let's make the coupling dependence explicit and label it the bare coupling α^{bare} in anticipation of the renormalization step.

$$M^{(0)} = \alpha^{\text{bare}} \bar{M}^{(0)}, \quad \pi^{\gamma\gamma}(k^2) = \alpha^{\text{bare}} \bar{\pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(k^2)$$

→ we can introduce an effective coupling $\alpha^{\text{eff}}(k^2)$ that incorporates all bubble insertions

$$\begin{aligned} M^\Sigma &= \bar{M}^{(0)} \frac{\alpha^{\text{bare}}}{1 + \alpha^{\text{bare}} \bar{\pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(k^2)} = M^{(0)} \Big|_{\alpha^{\text{bare}} \rightarrow \alpha^{\text{eff}}(k^2)} \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \underbrace{\phantom{\frac{\alpha^{\text{bare}}}{1 + \alpha^{\text{bare}} \bar{\pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(k^2)}}}_{\equiv \alpha^{\text{eff}}(k^2)} \end{aligned}$$

Renormalization & the Running Coupling

- * Now, in the final step, we will **renormalize** the coupling.
 - ↳ we perform a measurement of $\alpha \rightsquigarrow$ defines the renormalization scheme
- * e.g. let's define α as measured at the Z pole
 - $\alpha^{\text{ren}} \equiv \alpha^{\text{eff}}(Q^2 = M_Z^2) = \frac{\alpha^{\text{bare}}}{1 + \alpha^{\text{bare}} \overline{\Pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(M_Z^2)} \simeq \frac{1}{129}$
 - ↑ this is a finite measured value!
 - ↑ this has divergences!
 - what if we had picked the Thompson limit?
 $(Q^2 \rightarrow 0)$
- * We can rewrite all $\alpha_s^{\text{eff}}(Q^2)$ in terms of the measured value α^{ren}
$$\frac{1}{\alpha^{\text{eff}}(Q^2)} = \frac{1}{\alpha^{\text{ren}}} [\overline{\Pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(Q^2) - \overline{\Pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(M_Z^2)]$$
 - something magical has happened!

Renormalization & the Running Coupling



- * by expressing measurable quantities $\alpha^{\text{eff}}(\mu^2)$ in terms of measured quantities α^{ren}
- ↳ all divergences have disappeared **Renormalization**

$$[\bar{\Pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(Q^2) - \bar{\Pi}^{\gamma\gamma}(M_Z^2)] = \frac{1}{3\pi} [\ln(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}) - \ln(\frac{\mu^2}{M_Z^2})] = -\frac{1}{3\pi} \ln(\frac{Q^2}{M_Z^2})$$

e e
e e
e e

- * what we get is the running QED coupling

$$\alpha(\mu^2) = \frac{\alpha(\mu_0^2)}{1 - \frac{\alpha(\mu_0^2)}{3\pi} \ln(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2})}$$



Q^2 small \leftrightarrow large λ : screening
 Q^2 large \leftrightarrow small λ : "see" more of Q

Renormalization & the Running Coupling

* QCD: more subtle because gluons carry colour & gauge cancellations

→ exploit gauge freedom: light-cone (axial) gauge → only ~~see~~ see

* now we have two diagrams


$$\text{tree} + \text{loop} = \Gamma^{gg}(k^2) = -\frac{\alpha_s^{\text{bare}}}{2\pi} \left[\beta_0 \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \gamma_E + \ln(4\pi) + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{k^2}\right) \right) + h + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right]$$

constant
(no k^2 -dep)

$$\text{with } \beta_0 = \frac{11}{6} C_A - \frac{N_f}{3} \quad \# \text{ flavours}$$

* following same steps as in QED: running of $\alpha_s(\mu^2)$ (at lowest order)

$$\alpha_s(\mu^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0^2)}{1 + \beta_0 \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0^2)}{2\pi} \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2}\right)}$$



Renormalization & the Running Coupling

* asymptotic freedom: $\alpha_s(\mu^2) \rightarrow 0$ for $\mu^2 \rightarrow \infty$

↳ feature of non-Abelian gauge theories

⇒ at high scales: hadrons \cong collection of free partons & pQCD applicable

* scale choice μ is arbitrary \mapsto mass scale of QCD Λ_{QCD}

$$\Lambda_{\text{QCD}} \sim \mu_0 \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\beta_0 \frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi}} \right\} \simeq 200 \text{ MeV}$$

↳ signals breakdown of perturbative treatment

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_s(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{\frac{\beta_0}{2\pi} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2} \right)}$$

* Why does no one talk about Λ_{QED} ?

Renormalization & the Running Coupling

* cross section for scale choice μ_0

$$\sigma(\alpha_s(\mu_0), \mu_0) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \right)^n \sigma^{(0)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu_0)}{2\pi} \right)^{n+1} \sigma^{(n)} + \dots$$

LO NLO

⇒ prediction for scale dependence


$$\sigma(\alpha_s(\mu), \mu) = \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \right)^n \sigma^{(0)} + \left(\frac{\alpha_s(\mu)}{2\pi} \right)^{n+1} \left[\sigma^{(n)} + n \beta_0 \ln \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\mu_0^2} \right) \sigma^{(0)} \right] + \dots$$

⇒ handle on missing terms
from truncation of the
perturbative series

"scale uncertainties"

(no statistical meaning!)


scale variation
@ lower orders
generates higher-order
terms




Renormalization & the Running Coupling

Physical picture:

① screening




② anti-screening



↳ the closer I look ($\text{high } \alpha^2 \rightarrow \text{small } \lambda$)

→ chance of finding $(\text{R}) \rightarrow \emptyset$

(this effect dominates over the screening)



Renormalization & the Running Coupling

* more formally:

$$\alpha_s^{\text{bare}} = \mu^{2\epsilon} \cancel{\alpha_s} \alpha_s^{\text{ren}} \quad \& \quad \frac{d \alpha_s^{\text{bare}}}{d \mu} \stackrel{!}{=} \phi$$

\Rightarrow beta function

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = \frac{1}{\alpha_s} \frac{d \alpha_s}{d \ln \mu^2}$$

* known to 5 loops

$$\beta_0 = 11 - \frac{2}{3} n_f , \quad \beta_1 = 102 - \frac{38}{3} n_f ,$$

$$\beta_2 = \frac{2857}{2} - \frac{5033}{18} n_f + \frac{325}{54} n_f^2 ,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_3 = & \frac{149753}{6} + 3564 \zeta_3 + n_f \left(-\frac{1078361}{162} - \frac{6508}{27} \zeta_3 \right) \\ & + n_f^2 \left(\frac{50065}{162} + \frac{6472}{81} \zeta_3 \right) + \frac{1093}{729} n_f^3 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_4 = & \frac{8157455}{16} + \frac{621885}{2} \zeta_3 - \frac{88209}{2} \zeta_4 - 288090 \zeta_5 \\ & + n_f \left(-\frac{336460813}{1944} - \frac{4811164}{81} \zeta_3 + \frac{33935}{6} \zeta_4 + \frac{1358995}{27} \zeta_5 \right) \\ & + n_f^2 \left(\frac{25960913}{1944} + \frac{698531}{81} \zeta_3 - \frac{10526}{9} \zeta_4 - \frac{381760}{81} \zeta_5 \right) \\ & + n_f^3 \left(-\frac{630559}{5832} - \frac{48722}{243} \zeta_3 + \frac{1618}{27} \zeta_4 + \frac{460}{9} \zeta_5 \right) + n_f^4 \left(\frac{1205}{2916} - \frac{152}{81} \zeta_3 \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_{n-1} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} \right)^n$$

* running

$\hookrightarrow \tau$ decay

$$M_\tau \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$$


\hookrightarrow LEP

$$M_Z \sim 100 \text{ GeV}$$

\hookrightarrow LHC

$$Q \sim 1 \text{ TeV}$$

$$\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1179 \pm 0.0010 .$$



Determinations of α_s

* τ decays & low $Q^2 \rightarrow$ running helps!

$$\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1173(17)$$

$$(\alpha_s(m_\tau^2) = 0.314(14))$$

$$\delta' = [\alpha_s(M_Z^2)/\alpha_s(Q^2)]^2 \delta$$

* quarkonia decays $\rightarrow \alpha_s$ sensitivity $\Gamma(\Upsilon \rightarrow \text{had}) \sim \alpha_s^3$

$$\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1181(37)$$


* hadronic final states in e^+e^-

$\hookrightarrow e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons} \rightarrow$ weak α_s sensitivity but $NP \sim (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\alpha)^4$

\hookrightarrow event shapes \rightarrow LO α_s sensitivity but $NP \sim (\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}/\alpha)$

$$\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1189(37)$$

* World average $\alpha_s(M_Z^2) = 0.1180(9)$




Recap

- * QCD: non-Abelian gauge theory with spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ matter quark fields
 - ↳ describes the pattern of hadrons we observe (mesons: $q\bar{q}$, baryons qqq)
 - ↳ analogous construction as QED
- * non-Abelian group $SU(N_c) \rightarrow$ gluons also carry colour (self interactions)
 - ↳ much more complex algebra
 - ↳ diagrammatic representation, e.g.  = $C_F \rightarrow$
- * renormalization & asymptotic freedom
 - ↳ UV divergences inside loops \rightarrow requires regularization: DimReg $D = 4 - 2\epsilon$
 - ↳ renormalization \rightarrow measurable quantities in terms of measured parameters
 \Rightarrow all UV divergences cancel!
 - ↳ the running coupling $\alpha_s(\mu^2)$

Extra

From Lagrangian Densities to Event Rates



Event Rates

$$N = L \underbrace{\sigma}_{\text{Cross Sections}}$$


↳ Cross Sections

$$d\sigma_{2 \rightarrow n} = \frac{1}{F} \underbrace{\langle |M|^2 \rangle}_{\text{Scattering Amplitudes}} d\Omega_n$$

↳ Scattering Amplitudes



Feynman diagrams
& rules




* another important case Decay Rates ($\tau = 1/\Gamma$)

$$d\Gamma_{1 \rightarrow n} = \frac{1}{2M} \langle |M|^2 \rangle d\Omega_n$$

Event Rates

We ultimately measure # Events

for a specific process: $a+b \rightarrow 1+2+\dots+n$



Luminosity
 $\sim \# \text{ collisions}$

cross section

$$dN = L d\sigma$$

$$* \sigma_H (13 \text{ TeV}) \approx 50 \text{ pb}$$

$\int dt \mathcal{L}_{\text{Run2}} \approx 150 \text{ fb}^{-1}$

$\} \sim 7 \text{ million Higgs bosons produced!}$

$$* \sigma_Z (13 \text{ TeV}) \approx 50 \text{ nb}$$

$$\sigma_{W^\pm} (13 \text{ TeV}) \approx 200 \text{ nb}$$

$\} \sim 1000 Z's$
 $\sim 4000 W^+'s$

$$\mathcal{L} (\text{instantaneous}) \approx 0.02 \text{ pb}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$$

every second!

Calculating Cross Sections

Fermi's Golden Rule $a+b \rightarrow 1+2+\dots+n$

$$d\sigma = \underbrace{\frac{1}{F}}_{\text{flux}} \underbrace{\langle |M|^2 \rangle}_{\text{amplitude}^2} \underbrace{d\Phi}_{\text{phase space (LIPS)}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4(P_a \cdot P_b)} = \frac{1}{2E_{cm}^2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n_a^{\text{d.o.f.}} n_b^{\text{d.o.f.}}} \sum_{\text{d.o.f.}} |M|^2$$

(degrees of freedom)
spin, colour

$$d\Phi_n(p_1, \dots, p_n; P_a, P_b)$$


$$= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{d^4 p_i}{(2\pi)^4} (2\pi) \delta(p_i^2 - m_i^2) \Theta(R^a)$$

$$(2\pi)^4 \delta^{(4)}(p_1 + \dots + p_n - (P_a + P_b))$$

energy-momentum
conservation

Special case $a+b \rightarrow 1+2$

$$d\Phi_2 = \frac{d\cos\theta}{16\pi} \quad (\text{massless})$$



Exercise: Lepton Collider

Consider the process $e^+ e^- \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$

at lowest order (tree level). There are two diagrams

What are they?


[demo: FeynGame]

Exercise: Lepton Collider

[demo: $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$]

Consider the process $e^+e^- \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$

at lowest order (tree level). There are two diagrams






\Rightarrow Inserting into Fermi's golden rule $[S = E_{\text{cm}}^2; p_a \cdot p_b = p_a^\mu p_{b,\mu} = E_{\text{cm}}^2 (1 - \cos\theta)]$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{\alpha^2 \pi}{2S} \left[(1 + \cos^2\theta) G_1(s) + 2 \cos\theta G_2(s) \right]$$

$$G_1(s) = 1 + 2V_L^2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{s}{s - M_Z^2 + iM_Z T_Z} \right\} + (V_L^2 + a_L^2) \left| \frac{s}{s - M_Z^2 + iM_Z T_Z} \right|^2$$

$$G_2(s) = 0 + 2a_L^2 \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{s}{s - M_Z^2 + iM_Z T_Z} \right\} + 4V_L^2 \cdot a_L^2 \left| \frac{s}{s - M_Z^2 + iM_Z T_Z} \right|^2$$

"Comparison" to Data



- * In principle, you now can use the predictions to fit M_Z & $\sin^2 \theta_W$ from the data (at leading order)
- * σ_{had} is the hadronic cross section: @ LO: $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}$
→ what changes compared to $\mu^+\mu^-$?