

Transverse Momentum Resummation

Alexander Huss

September 8, 2023

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	q_T resummation	1
3	Implementation	2
3.1	Python	2
3.2	Mathematica	3
4	Playground	3
4.1	Low- q_T^2 behaviour	3
4.2	Transverse Momentum Distributioins	5

1 Introduction

In the lectures we have seen a brief overview of the q_T resummation formalism for the Drell-Yan process. We will have a closer look at the main results here and highlight some features.

2 q_T resummation

In the leading double-logarithmic approximation, we have found the following result in impact parameter space

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2} = \int_0^\infty db \frac{b}{2} J_0(q_T b) \exp \left[-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \ln^2(Q^2 b^2) \right], \quad (1)$$

where we have completely ignored effects from sub-leading logarithms, the running of the strong coupling, and parton distributions functions. Nonetheless, this simple formula already allows us to inspect some important features of q_T resummation, which we will inspect in the following.

3 Implementation

We start with a simple implementation of the above formula.

3.1 Python

The integral is a bit nasty because of the oscillating behaviour of the Bessel function J_0 so we need to adjust the `scipy.integrate` settings a little bit to reach a desired accuracy. Despite that, the implementation is straightforward:

```
#!/usr/bin/env python
import sys
from math import pi, exp, log, log10, ceil, floor
from scipy.special import jv # Bessel function of the 1st kind
from scipy.integrate import quad
import numpy as np

alpha_s: float = 0.118

def res_integrand(b: float, QT: float, Q: float, CX: float) -> float:
    # b0: float = 2. * exp(-0.57721566490153286061)
    # blim: float = 5. # should be > 1/Lambda_QCD ~ 5
    # bs2: float = b**2 * blim**2 / (b**2 + blim**2)
    # return (b / 2.) * jv(0, b * QT) * exp(
    #     -alpha_s / (2. * pi) * CX * log(Q**2 * bs2 / b0**2 + 1.)**2)
    return (b / 2.) * jv(0, b * QT) * exp(
        -alpha_s / (2. * pi) * CX * log(Q**2 * b**2)**2)

if __name__ == "__main__":
    if len(sys.argv) < 3:
        raise RuntimeError("I expect at least two arguments: Q [g|q]")
    Q = float(sys.argv[1]) # the hard scale
    pow_low = -3
    pow_upp = ceil(log10(Q/2.)) # floor(log10(Q))
    if sys.argv[2].lower() == "q":
        CX = 4. / 3.
    elif sys.argv[2].lower() == "g":
        CX = 3.
    else:
        raise RuntimeError("unrecognised parton: {}".format(sys.argv[2]))

    if len(sys.argv) >= 4:
        alpha_s = float(sys.argv[3])

    if len(sys.argv) >= 5:
        nsteps = int(sys.argv[4])
    else:
        nsteps = 51

    # print("# qt dSigQT2_val dSigQT2_err")
    for qt in np.logspace(pow_low, pow_upp, nsteps):
        val, err = quad(res_integrand,
            0.,
            np.inf,
            args=(qt, Q, CX),
            epsabs=0.,
```

```

        epsrel=1e-4,
        limit=100000)
print("{} {} {}".format(qt, val, err))

```

And we can generate some data files for Drell-Yan and Higgs production where in the latter we simply swap out the colour charge $C_F \rightarrow C_A$ for the gluon-fusion process.

```

python main.py 91 q > data_dy.dat
python main.py 125 g > data_h.dat

```

3.2 Mathematica

To cross-check the numerics, we can use a simple Mathematica implementation

```

dSigQT2[qt_] := Module[{cf = 4/3, as = 0.118, q = 91},
  NIntegrate[b/2 BesselJ[0, b*qt] Exp[-(as/(2 Pi)) cf Log[q^2 b^2]^2], {b, 0, Infinity}]
]
datQT2 = Table[{qt, dSigQT2[qt]}, {qt, 10^Range[-4, 2, 0.1]}]
Export["mma_dy.dat", datQT2, "Table", "FieldSeparators" -> " "]

```

4 Playground


4.1 Low- q_T^2 behaviour

Let us have a look at the analytic expression for $d\sigma/dq_T^2$ in the leading double-logarithmic approximation in momentum space.

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F \frac{\ln(Q^2 q_T^2)}{q_T^2} \exp \left[-\frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \ln^2(Q^2 q_T^2) \right]. \quad (2)$$

This expression can be obtained from Eq. (1) by systematically expanding the Fourier transform or alternatively by naively resumming the emissions *without* the transverse momentum conservation constraint.

We can compare this expression with the numerically evaluated b-space formula from above:



We notice that the leading expression shows a strikingly different behaviour in the small q_T^2 limit compared to the b-space formula. The physical interpretation is quite clear: the leading term corresponds to restricting *all* gluon emissions to have k_T below the gauge-boson transverse momentum q_T . This gives a suppression at low q_T that is stronger than any power and as a consequence, the sub-leading effect suddenly becomes the leading one. In this situation, the small- q_T region is not restricted to only soft gluon emissions but instead by multiple gluon emissions that can individually have $k_T > q_T$ but they *balance out* in the azimuthal plane. By formulating the resummation in impact parameter space, this feature is automatically incorporated in the prediction.

This non-vanishing intercept in $d\sigma/dq_T^2$ for $q_T \rightarrow 0$ is a very important feature of transverse momentum resummation. In fact, we can compute what this intercept is

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \left. \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2} \right|_{q_T=0} = \frac{\pi}{2Q^2} \frac{e^{\frac{\pi}{2\alpha_s C_F}}}{\sqrt{2\alpha_s C_F}}. \quad (3)$$

We have also superimposed a dotted line obtained from the Mathematica implementation, which is in good agreement so numerics appear to be under good control in the relevant regions. At high q_T , the oscillations become very severe rendering the pPython predictions less reliable (with larger integration errors).

4.2 Transverse Momentum Distributions


We now look at the transverse momentum distribution, which we simply get by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} = 2q_T \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2}, \quad (4)$$

from the data we generated. We can contrast it to the divergent behaviour

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T^2} = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} C_F \frac{\ln(Q^2 q_T^2)}{q_T^2} \quad (5)$$

of an NLO fixed-order prediction (dashed lines).



Note that $d\sigma/dq_T$ vanishes for $q_T \rightarrow 0$, however, the q_T^2 behaviour we discussed in the previous section makes it a power-like suppression rather than an exponential one we would get from the naive leading behaviour. The resummation tames the divergent fixed-order behaviour and the turn-around point is also often called the “Sudakov peak”. We see that due to the larger colour charge in the gluon-induced Higgs process ($C_A = 3 > \frac{4}{3} = C_F$), the location of the Sudakov peak is further to the right.