


COMP547

DEEP UNSUPERVISED LEARNING

Lecture #5 – Autoregressive Models



KOÇ
UNIVERSITY

Aykut Erdem // Koç University // Spring 2024

Previously on COMP547

- memory
- tokens
- attention
- positional encoding
- transformers vs. attention in RNNs



Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
- other things to be aware of

Disclaimer: Much of the material and slides for this lecture were borrowed from
—Pieter Abbeel, Wilson Yan, Kevin Frans, Philipp Wu's Berkeley CS294-158 class

Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
- other things to be aware of

Likelihood-based models

- **Problems we'd like to solve:**
 - Generate data: synthesize images, videos, speech, text
 - Compress data: construct efficient codes
 - Detect anomalies: i.e. data that is out of distribution
- Likelihood-based models
 - Estimate p_{data} from samples $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$
- Learns a distribution p that allows:
 - Computing $p(x)$ for arbitrary x
 - Sampling $x \sim p(x)$
- Today: **discrete** data

Desiderata


- We want to estimate distributions of **complex, high-dimensional data**
 - A $128 \times 128 \times 3$ image lies in a $\sim 50,000$ -dimensional space
- We also want computational and statistical efficiency
 - Efficient training and model representation
 - Expressiveness and generalization
 - Sampling quality and speed
 - Compression rate and speed

Lecture overview

- Motivation
- 1-Dimensional Distributions
 - Simplest generative model: histogram
 - Parameterized distributions and maximum likelihood
- High-Dimensional Distributions
- Deeper Dive into Causal Masked Neural Models
- Other things to be aware of

Learning: Estimate frequencies by counting


- Recall: the goal is to estimate p_{data} from samples $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(n)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(x)$
- **Suppose** the samples take on values in a finite set $\{1, \dots, k\}$
- The model: a **histogram**
 - (Redundantly) described by k nonnegative numbers: p_1, \dots, p_k
 - To train this model: count frequencies
$$p_i = (\# \text{ times } i \text{ appears in the dataset}) / (\# \text{ points in the dataset})$$



Inference and Sampling


- **Inference** (querying p_i for arbitrary i): simply a lookup into the array p_1, \dots, p_k
- **Sampling** (lookup into the inverse cumulative distribution function)
 1. From the model probabilities p_1, \dots, p_k , compute the cumulative distribution
$$F_i = p_1 + \dots + p_i \quad \text{for all } i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$$
 2. Draw a uniform random number $u \sim [0, 1]$
 3. Return the smallest i such that $u \leq F_i$
- **Are we done?**

Problematic even for single variable





learned histogram = training data distribution

→ often poor generalization



Parameterized distributions



Fitting a parameterized distribution
often generalizes better

Likelihood-based generative models

- Recall: the goal is to **estimate p_{data}** from $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)} \sim p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})$
- We introduce a **parameterized** model $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ with the goal to learn θ so that $p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}) \approx p_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x})$
- To learn θ , we pose a search problem over parameters
$$\arg \min_{\theta} \text{loss}(\theta, \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)})$$
- Want the loss function + search procedure to:
 - Work with large datasets (n is large, say millions of training examples)
 - Yield θ such that p_{θ} matches p_{data} — i.e. the training algorithm works. Think of the loss as a distance between distributions.
 - Note that the training procedure can only see the empirical data distribution, not the true data distribution: we want the model to generalize.

Maximum likelihood

- Maximum likelihood: given a dataset $\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}$, find θ by solving the optimization problem

$$\arg \min_{\theta} \text{loss}(\theta, \mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(n)}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n -\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})$$

- Statistics tells us that if the model family is expressive enough and if enough data is given, then solving the maximum likelihood problem will yield parameters that generate the data
- Equivalent to minimizing KL divergence between the empirical data distribution and the model

$$\hat{p}_{\text{data}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}[\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^{(i)}]$$

$$\text{KL}(\hat{p}_{\text{data}} \| p_{\theta}) = \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}}[-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})] - H(\hat{p}_{\text{data}})$$

Stochastic gradient descent

- Maximum likelihood is an optimization problem. How do we solve it?
- **Stochastic gradient descent** (SGD).
 - SGD minimizes expectations: for f a differentiable function of θ , it solves



$$\arg \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}[f(\theta)]$$

- With maximum likelihood, the optimization problem is

$$\arg \min_{\theta} \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{x} \sim \hat{p}_{\text{data}}} [-\log p_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})]$$

- **Why maximum likelihood + SGD?** It works with large datasets and is compatible with neural networks.

Our example earlier was the result of fitting parameterized distribution with maximum likelihood




Fitting a parameterized distribution
often generalizes better

How to represent the distribution?

Data discrete, (many) smooth parameterized distributions continuous

→ Want easy access to cumulative distribution to allow for exact computation of probability mass in each interval + exact backprop → a good choice: logistic distribution

PS: alternatively take peace with just using the probability density at center of interval times width, but inexactness can often make debugging harder and could lead to learning (poorly performing) densities with extreme peaks









Mixture of Logistics for Multi-modal

$$\nu \sim \sum_{i=1}^K \pi_i \text{logistic}(\mu_i, s_i)$$

$$P(x|\pi, \mu, s) = \sum_{i=1}^K \pi_i [\sigma((x + 0.5 - \mu_i)/s_i) - \sigma((x - 0.5 - \mu_i)/s_i)] ,$$

Ex. Training Mixture of Logistics



Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- **high-dimensional distributions**
 - chain rule
 - “practical” incarnations: Bayes’ Nets, MADE, Causal Masked Neural Models, RNNs
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
- other things to be aware of

Challenge of high dimensions

- Simply flattening into 1-D distribution doesn't work there are too many bins, even for simple cases:
 - (Binary) MNIST: 28x28 images, each pixel in {0, 1}
 - There are $2^{784} \approx 10^{236}$ probabilities to estimate
 - Any reasonable training set covers only a tiny fraction of this
 - Each image influences only one parameter. No generalization whatsoever!

Chain Rule

- Any multi-variable distribution can be written as a product of conditionals
-

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i \mid x_{1:i-1})$$

- This is called an **autoregressive model**.
- Are we done? No!
 - What we need to resolve still: how to efficiently represent and learn each conditional

Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | x_{1:i-1})$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning

$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | Parents(x_i))$$

Bayes nets and neural nets


$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i \mid \text{Parents}(x_i))$$

$$p_{\theta}(B, E, A, J, M) = p_{\theta}(B)p_{\theta}(E)p_{\theta}(A \mid B, E)p_{\theta}(J \mid A)p_{\theta}(M \mid A)$$

- + If the data has such underlying (causal?) structure, can be a great inductive bias
- But generally, sparsification introduces strong assumptions, limits expressivity
- In standard form has limited parameter sharing between conditionals (though in principle could introduce some sharing)

B	P(B)
+b	0.001
-b	0.999

A	J	P(J A)
+a	+j	0.9
+a	-j	0.1
-a	+j	0.05
-a	-j	0.95



E	P(E)
+e	0.002
-e	0.998

A	M	P(M A)
+a	+m	0.7
+a	-m	0.3
-a	+m	0.01
-a	-m	0.99


Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i \mid x_{1:i-1})$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits


Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i \mid x_{1:i-1})$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits
 - **Solution 2: MADE** – parameterize conditionals with neural net

Masked Autoencoder for Distribution Estimation (MADE)




Masked Autoencoder for Distribution Estimation (MADE)



Masked Autoencoder for Distribution Estimation (MADE)

In more modern notation/diagram



Every hidden layer node
can be vector valued

Every edge can be its own
MLP connection


MNIST

- Handwritten digits
- 28x28
- 60,000 train
- 10,000 test
- Original: greyscale
- “Binarized MNIST” – 0/1 (black/white)




MADE on MNIST


Initialization




Epoch 0




Epoch 1




Epoch 2



Epoch 8



Epoch 19



MADE results

Table 6. Negative log-likelihood test results of different models on the binarized MNIST dataset.

Model	$-\log p$	
RBM (500 h, 25 CD steps)	≈ 86.34	Intractable
DBM 2hl	≈ 84.62	
DBN 2hl	≈ 84.55	
DARN $n_h=500$	≈ 84.71	
DARN $n_h=500$, adaNoise	≈ 84.13	
MoBernoullis K=10	168.95	Tractable
MoBernoullis K=500	137.64	
NADE 1hl (fixed order)	88.33	
EoNADE 1hl (128 orderings)	87.71	
EoNADE 2hl (128 orderings)	85.10	
MADE 1hl (1 mask)	88.40	
MADE 2hl (1 mask)	89.59	
MADE 1hl (32 masks)	88.04	
MADE 2hl (32 masks)	86.64	

MADE results




Figure 3. Left: Samples from a 2 hidden layer MADE. Right: Nearest neighbour in binarized MNIST.

MADE – Different Orderings

- All orderings achieve roughly the same negative log likelihood loss, but samples are different

Random
Permutation

Samples
3 8 0 8 4 3 3 7
2 5 4 6 0 0 4 8
4 3 4 0 3 7 1 1
8 2 4 6 0 4 5 5
7 2 3 5 3 6 2 8
2 6 3 0 1 0 3 9
2 3 3 2 9 4 8 5
7 8 2 0 7 4 5 9

Even then Odd
Indices

Samples
0 8 3 6 0 6 4 9
5 8 5 3 0 5 2 6
5 9 5 2 8 6 4 0
3 3 6 9 8 7 3 6
8 2 8 2 6 3 9 5
1 4 4 5 6 8 0 7
4 9 4 3 4 9 3 6
9 6 9 6 4 9 0 2

Rows
(Raster Scan)

Samples
3 0 4 6 4 5 4 5
3 4 3 9 7 4 9 5
4 3 8 9 0 4 6 1
6 2 6 9 9 3 6 3
3 4 4 2 3 6 9 6
4 0 3 6 4 6 4 7
1 2 4 8 2 6 0 4
2 3 4 3 6 6 5 7


Columns

Samples
6 0 9 0 0 0 2 0
2 5 0 2 9 0 2 0
6 0 7 3 0 5 0 0
6 0 3 0 6 8 5 2
3 3 9 9 2 6 0 4
0 4 5 9 0 6 6 9
5 6 9 5 1 3 9 2
2 4 1 3 2 9 9 2

Top to Middle,
Bottom to Middle

Samples
8 1 4 6 3 7 8 2
2 4 8 0 7 0 6 3
9 9 5 3 4 9 6 1
6 2 4 6 3 8 3 2
0 9 0 6 4 6 0 6
0 4 6 9 5 2 8 5
4 4 1 4 3 3 0 1
4 3 9 6 4 9 6 1

MADE: Multiple Orderings



Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | x_{1:i-1})$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits
 - **Solution 2: MADE** – parameterize conditionals with neural net
 - Expressive, but: not enough parameter sharing for efficient learning

Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | x_{1:i-1})$$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits
 - **Solution 2: MADE** – parameterize conditionals with neural net
 - Expressive, but: not enough parameter sharing for efficient learning
 - **Solution 3: Causal Masked Neural Models**
 - Parameterize conditionals with neural net (aka MADE)
 - parameter sharing across conditionals
 - add coordinate coding to still be able to individualize conditionals

Causal Masked Neural Models


MADE:

- Every hidden layer node can be vector valued
- Every edge can be its own MLP connection




Modern Causal Masked Neural Models:


- Every hidden layer node can be vector valued
- Same parameters when shifting from column i to $i+1$
- To retain ability to know where we are in sequence: add coordinate coding
- Uniformize fully with padding



Causal Masked Neural Models



Masked Temporal (1D) Convolution



- Easy to implement, masking part of the conv kernel
- Constant parameter count for variable-length distribution!
- Efficient to compute, convolution has hyper-optimized implementations on all hardware

However


- Limited receptive field, linear in number of layers

WaveNet – Causal Dilated Convolution


Input




WaveNet – Causal Dilated Convolution




WaveNet – Causal Dilated Convolution




WaveNet – Causal Dilated Convolution




WaveNet – Causal Dilated Convolution




WaveNet – Causal Dilated Convolution




WaveNet



- Improved receptive field: dilated convolution, with exponential dilation
- Better expressivity: Gated Residual blocks, Skip connections



WaveNet on MNIST



WaveNet with Pixel Location Appended on MNIST

- Append (x,y) coordinates of pixel in the image as input to WaveNet



Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | x_{1:i-1})$$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits
 - **Solution 2: MADE** – parameterize conditionals with neural net
 - Expressive, but: not enough parameter sharing for efficient learning
 - **Solution 3: Causal Masked Neural Models**
 - Parameterize conditionals with neural net (aka MADE)
 - parameter sharing across conditionals
 - add coordinate coding to still be able to individualize conditionals
 - Expressive and efficient!
Any buts? possible concern is finite context window, but in practice quite large + retrieval can help

Autoregressive models


- Recall, AutoRegressive Model
$$p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | x_{1:i-1})$$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits
 - **Solution 2: MADE** – parameterize conditionals with neural net
 - Expressive, but: not enough parameter sharing for efficient learning
 - **Solution 3: Causal Masked Neural Models**
 - Parameterize conditionals with neural net (aka MADE)
 - parameter sharing across conditionals
 - add coordinate coding to still be able to individualize conditionals
 - Expressive and efficient!
Any buts? possible concern is finite context window, but in practice quite large + retrieval can help
 - **Solution 4: Recurrent Neural Net** – parameter sharing + “infinite look-back”

RNN autoregressive models - char-rnn


$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^d \log p(x_i | \mathbf{x}_{1:i-1})$$

Sequence of characters

Character at i^{th} position



RNN on MNIST



RNN with Pixel Location Appended on MNIST


- Append (x,y) coordinates of pixel in the image as input to RNN




Autoregressive models

- Recall, AutoRegressive Model $p_{\theta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d p_{\theta}(x_i | x_{1:i-1})$
- How to achieve efficiently representable and learnable parameterizations of the conditionals?
 - **Solution 1: Bayes' Nets** – sparsify conditioning
 - Efficient, but: too strong an assumption in most cases, leads to poor fits
 - **Solution 2: MADE** – parameterize conditionals with neural net
 - Expressive, but: not enough parameter sharing for efficient learning
 - **Solution 3: Causal Masked Neural Models**
 - Parameterize conditionals with neural net (aka MADE)
 - parameter sharing across conditionals
 - add coordinate coding to still be able to individualize conditionals
 - Expressive and efficient!
Any buts? possible concern is finite context window, but in practice quite large + retrieval can help
 - **Solution 4: Recurrent Neural Net** – parameter sharing + “infinite look-back”
 - Expressive, but: in practice doesn’t tend to work as well as next proposal
 - not as amenable to parallelization
 - backprop through time can have exploding / vanishing gradients (there are tricks)
 - hard to truly have signal propagate from long history (i.e. benefit less than “advertised”)
 - expressive but maybe not sufficiently expressive / not the right inductive biases

Causal Masked Neural Models



Causal Masked Neural Models



RNN ~ very deep causal
masked model with
very sparse
connectivity:

- Encoder (skip)
- Main diagonal
- Decoder (skip)
- Parameter sharing for each of these


Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
 - convolutional
 - attention
 - tokenization
 - caching
- other things to be aware of

Lecture overview


- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
 - convolutional
 - attention
 - tokenization
 - caching
- other things to be aware of

Earlier: Masked Temporal (1D) Convolution



Masked Spatial (2D) Convolution - PixelCNN

- Images can be flatten into 1D vectors, but they are fundamentally 2D
- We can use a masked variant of ConvNet to exploit this knowledge
- First, we impose an autoregressive ordering on 2D images:




This is called raster scan ordering.
(Different orderings are possible,
more on this later)

PixelCNN


- Design question: how to design a masking method to obey that ordering?
- One possibility: PixelCNN (2016)

1	1	1
1	0	0
0	0	0




PixelCNN


Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling



Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling



Softmax Sampling



Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling





0


255





Softmax Sampling





Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




0



Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling





0


255




Softmax Sampling



Softmax Sampling




0





255

Softmax Sampling




Softmax Sampling




PixelCNN

- Design question: how to design a masking method to obey that ordering?
- One possibility: PixelCNN (2016)

1	1	1
1	0	0
0	0	0




PixelCNN




PixelCNN

- PixelCNN-style masking has one problem: blind spot in receptive field



Gated PixelCNN

- Gated PixelCNN (2016) introduced a fix by combining two streams of convolutions



This is easy, we know how to do 1D masked conv


Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
 - convolutional
 - **attention**
 - tokenization
 - caching
- other things to be aware of

Masked Attention

- A recurring problem for convolution: limited receptive field
→ hard to capture long-range dependencies
- (Self-)Attention: an alternative that has
 - unlimited receptive field!!
 - also $O(1)$ parameter scaling w.r.t. data dimension
 - parallelized computation (versus RNN)

Scaled Dot-Product Attention




$$A(q, K, V) = \sum_i \frac{e^{s(q, k_i)}}{\sum_j e^{s(q, k_j)}} v_i$$

$$s(q, k) = \frac{q \cdot k}{\sqrt{d}}$$


Matrix Form: QKV are $L \times D$

$$A(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}(QK^T)V$$

Self-Attention




Convolution



Self-attention


Self-Attention



$$A(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}(QK^T)V$$

$$Q = XW_Q, K = XW_K, V = XW_V$$

Masked Attention



$$A(q, K, V) = \sum_i \frac{e^{s(q, k_i)}}{\sum_j e^{s(q, k_j)}} v_i$$


$$s(q, k) = \frac{q \cdot k}{\sqrt{d}} - (1 - \text{mask}(q, k)) * 10^{10}$$

Matrix Form

$$A(Q, K, V) = \text{softmax}(QK^T - (1 - M) * 10^{10})$$

Masked Attention

- Much more flexible than masked convolution. We can design any autoregressive ordering we want
- An example:



Zigzag ordering


- How to implement with masked conv?
- Trivial to do with masked attention!

Transformers

- Transformer Block
 - Multi-head Self-Attention (MHSA)
 - MLP



$$h = h + \text{MHSA}(\text{LayerNorm}(h))$$

$$h = h + \text{MLP}(\text{LayerNorm}(h))$$



Autoregressive Transformers

- Standard transformer with a causal mask applied



Computational Scaling

Assuming sequence length L tokens and dimension D , FLOPs are:

- Multi-Head Attention: $8D^2L + 4DL^2 = O(D^2L + DL^2)$
 - Quadratic in both D and L !
- MLP: $16D^2L = O(D^2L)$

Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
 - convolutional
 - attention
 - **tokenization**
 - caching
- other things to be aware of

Tokens

- Autoregressive transformers are general-purpose, modality-agnostic models
- Can model any complex distribution / modality as long as you can tokenize (discretize) the data

Tokens for Text

- **Characters:** a=0, b=1, c=2, ...
 - Small vocabulary
 - Large number of tokens (recall quadratic scaling for attention...)
- **Words:** car=0, apple=1, dog=2, ...
 - Large vocabulary
 - Small number of tokens
 - What happens if we introduce a new word?

Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE)

Can we consider something in-between?

- Tokenize based on groupings of characters, prioritizing by frequency

```
function BYTE-PAIR ENCODING(strings C, number of merges  $k$ ) returns vocab  $V$ 
     $V \leftarrow$  all unique characters in  $C$           # initial set of tokens is characters
    for  $i = 1$  to  $k$  do                      # merge tokens til k times
         $t_L, t_R \leftarrow$  Most frequent pair of adjacent tokens in  $C$ 
         $t_{NEW} \leftarrow t_L + t_R$                   # make new token by concatenating
         $V \leftarrow V + t_{NEW}$                       # update the vocabulary
        Replace each occurrence of  $t_L, t_R$  in  $C$  with  $t_{NEW}$       # and update the corpus
    return  $V$ 
```

Byte-Pair Encoding (BPE)

Sub-word tokenizers: (a=0, umbr=1, ella=2, ...)

- Middle-ground between number of tokens, and codebook size
- 1-2 tokens per word
- Generalizes to novel combinations of characters


GPT-1

- Unsupervised pre-training followed by supervised fine-tuning
- 100M parameter transformer model
- Finetuning a model pretrained on general language outperforms models designed for each task

Method	Story Cloze	RACE-m	RACE-h	RACE
val-LS-skip [55]	76.5	-	-	-
Hidden Coherence Model [7]	<u>77.6</u>	-	-	-
Dynamic Fusion Net [67] (9x)	-	55.6	49.4	51.2
BiAttention MRU [59] (9x)	-	<u>60.2</u>	<u>50.3</u>	<u>53.3</u>
Finetuned Transformer LM (ours)	86.5	62.9	57.4	59.0


GPT-2

- Scaled data and model size to 1.5B parameters
- Improvements with scale even without supervised finetuning (zero-shot evaluation on downstream tasks)



GPT-3


- In-context learning abilities emerge as number of parameters grow



Tokens for Images

Consider encoding RGB ($H \times W \times 3$) images as tokens

- Raw Pixels: each pixel for each color channel is generally stored as a single byte (0-255)



157	153	174	168	150	152	129	151	172	161	165	156
155	182	163	74	75	62	93	17	110	210	180	154
180	180	50	14	34	6	10	33	45	105	159	181
206	109	5	124	131	111	120	204	166	15	56	180
194	68	137	251	237	239	239	228	227	87	71	201
172	105	207	233	233	214	220	239	228	99	74	206
188	88	179	209	185	215	211	158	139	75	20	169
189	97	165	84	10	168	134	11	31	62	22	148
199	168	191	193	158	227	178	143	182	105	36	190
205	174	155	252	236	231	149	178	228	43	95	234
190	216	116	149	236	187	86	150	79	38	218	241
190	224	147	108	227	210	127	102	36	103	255	224
190	214	173	66	103	143	96	50	2	109	249	215
187	156	235	75	1	81	47	0	6	217	255	211
183	202	237	145	0	0	12	108	200	138	243	236
195	206	123	207	177	121	123	209	175	13	96	218

157	153	174	168	150	152	129	151	172	161	156	156
155	182	163	74	75	62	93	17	110	210	180	154
180	180	50	14	34	6	10	33	45	105	159	181
206	109	5	124	131	111	120	204	166	15	56	180
194	68	137	251	237	239	239	228	227	87	71	201
172	105	207	233	233	214	220	239	228	99	74	206
188	88	179	209	185	215	211	158	139	75	20	169
189	97	165	84	10	168	134	11	31	62	22	148
199	168	191	193	158	227	178	143	182	105	36	190
205	174	155	252	236	231	149	178	228	43	95	234
190	216	116	149	236	187	86	150	79	38	218	241
190	224	147	108	227	210	127	102	36	103	255	224
190	214	173	66	103	143	96	50	2	109	249	215
187	196	235	75	1	81	47	0	6	217	255	211
183	202	237	145	0	0	12	108	200	138	243	236
195	206	123	207	177	121	123	209	175	13	96	218










Raw Pixels as Tokens

- $64 \times 64 \times 3 = 12\text{k}$ tokens
- $256 \times 256 \times 3 = 196\text{k}$ tokens!

Quadratic scaling of attention makes this prohibitively expensive

Sparse Transformer

- Train a causal transformer, but with special hard-coded masking




(a) Transformer

(b) Sparse Transformer (strided)

(c) Sparse Transformer (fixed)


Sparse Transformer

- SOTA results on perplexity, but still lacking in sample quality




iGPT

- Created a custom 9-bit color palette by clustering RGB pixel values with k-means clustering
 - 3x reduction in sequence length from the original 24-bit color palette



iGPT

Showed strong scaling results on representation learning with generation models compared to contemporary models




Model	Acc	Unsup Transfer	Sup Transfer
CIFAR-10			
ResNet-152	94		✓
SimCLR	95.3	✓	
iGPT-L	96.3	✓	
CIFAR-100			
ResNet-152	78.0		✓
SimCLR	80.2	✓	
iGPT-L	82.8	✓	
STL-10			
AMDIM-L	94.2	✓	
iGPT-L	95.5	✓	

Tokens for Images

- But iGPT still needed to train on image as small as 32×32
- Can we get better tokens for images?

Discrete Autoencoders

- Learn a discrete autoencoder!



Discrete Autoencoders

Discretization methods:

- Gumbel-Softmax / Concrete Distribution: [GS](#), [CD](#)
- Vector-Quantization (VQ):
- Finite Scalar Quantization (FSQ) / Lookup-Free Quantization (LFO):
[FSQ](#), [LFO](#)

Discrete Autoencoders

This method of tokenization is lossy

- $256 \times 256 \times 3 \rightarrow 16 \times 16$
 - 384x compression in bytes from raw pixels
 - 768x reduction in sequence length



VQGAN Transformer


Train an autoregressive transformer to model discrete encodings

- Much more tractable than from pixels. Samples below


Open Images




coco



Discrete Encodings for Video



VideoPoet




The orient express
driving through a fantasy
landscape, animated oil
on canvas



A golden retriever
wearing VR goggles and
eating pizza in Paris.




A chicken lifting weights



A shark with a laser
beam coming out of its
mouth

In-Context Learning for Vision

- Train a large autoregressive transformer on sequential visual data



In-Context Learning for Vision






Figure 9. **Task Composability**. Examples of prompts that combine two different tasks – object rotation and keypoint tracking.

In-Context Learning for Vision




AudioCraft



Multi-Modal Models

- The general nature of transformers allows you to easily combine different modalities



Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
 - convolutional
 - attention
 - tokenization
 - **caching**
- other things to be aware of

Caching / Efficient Sampling


Naive Sampling

x_1

x_2


Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling



Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling



Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling


x_1

x_2

x_3


Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling



Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling



Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling

x_1


x_2

x_3

x_4


Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Naive Sampling



Caching / Efficient Sampling

K / V for all timesteps need to be recomputed for every sampling step



Caching / Efficient Sampling


Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step

x_1

x_2


Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step



Caching / Efficient Sampling

Key Idea: Cache the K / V for all attention layers each sampling step




Per-Step

- Naive Sampling: $O(L^2)$
- Caching: $O(L)$


Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
- other things to be aware of
 - decoder-only vs. Encoder-Decoder models
 - new incarnations of recurrent models
 - alternative / complementary ideas to tokenization

Encoder-Decoder Models




Encoder-Decoder Models



Encoder-Decoder Models

Good architecture choice for cases where you have a clear conditional distribution to model

- Machine Translation
- Text to image generation
- Image captioning
- Video captioning
- Summarization



Encoder-Decoder Models

- Examples:
 - T5 - text model
 - Parti - text to image generation
 - PaLI - image to text generation
- Both model types generally scale similarly, but encoder-decoder model seem to learn more useful (or easier to extract) representations
- Many existing text-image and video generation model condition on features from a T5 encoder. Have not seen as much success from decoder-only models

Parti

Parti-350M



Parti-750M



Parti-3B



Parti-20B



A portrait photo of a kangaroo wearing an orange hoodie and blue sunglasses standing on the grass in front of the Sydney Opera House holding a sign on the chest that says Welcome Friends!

Lecture overview

- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
- other things to be aware of
 - decoder-only vs. Encoder-Decoder models
 - **new incarnations of recurrent models**
 - alternative / complementary ideas to tokenization

Modern Recurrent Models

- Transformers can efficiently model complex distributions
- But scaling to longer sequences can be expensive to do quadratic scaling
- RNNs are $O(L)$ but sequentially unrolling the sequence is slow

How can we compute recurrences in parallel?

Linear State-Space Models

Consider a simple linear state-space model:

$$x_k = \overline{A}x_{k-1} + \overline{B}u_k$$

$$y_k = \overline{C}x_k$$

- A, B, C are discretized version of learnable matrices
- Consider a single SSM layer as a replacement for an attention layer in a transformer

Linear State-Space Models

Parallelization:

$$\begin{aligned}x_k &= \overline{\mathbf{A}}x_{k-1} + \overline{\mathbf{B}}u_k \\y_k &= \overline{\mathbf{C}}x_k\end{aligned}$$

- Unroll the recurrence

$$\begin{array}{lll}x_0 = \overline{\mathbf{B}}u_0 & x_1 = \overline{\mathbf{AB}}u_0 + \overline{\mathbf{B}}u_1 & x_2 = \overline{\mathbf{A}}^2\overline{\mathbf{B}}u_0 + \overline{\mathbf{AB}}u_1 + \overline{\mathbf{B}}u_2 \\y_0 = \overline{\mathbf{CB}}u_0 & y_1 = \overline{\mathbf{CAB}}u_0 + \overline{\mathbf{CB}}u_1 & y_2 = \overline{\mathbf{CA}}^2\overline{\mathbf{B}}u_0 + \overline{\mathbf{CAB}}u_1 + \overline{\mathbf{CB}}u_2\end{array}$$

- Reorder terms and rewrite as a convolution with kernel size L

$$\begin{aligned}y_k &= \overline{\mathbf{CA}}^k\overline{\mathbf{B}}u_0 + \overline{\mathbf{CA}}^{k-1}\overline{\mathbf{B}}u_1 + \cdots + \overline{\mathbf{CAB}}u_{k-1} + \overline{\mathbf{CB}}u_k \\y &= \overline{\mathbf{K}} * u\end{aligned}$$

$$\overline{\mathbf{K}} \in \mathbb{R}^L = (\overline{\mathbf{CB}}, \overline{\mathbf{CAB}}, \dots, \overline{\mathbf{CA}}^{L-1}\overline{\mathbf{B}})$$

Linear State-Space Models

Parallelization:

- Convolution method
- Parallel (associative) scan

Both parallelization methods are $O(L * \log(L))$ complexity

Linear Attention

- Consider the standard attention operation

$$V'_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \text{sim}(Q_i, K_j) V_j}{\sum_{j=1}^N \text{sim}(Q_i, K_j)}.$$

$$\text{sim}(q, k) = \exp\left(\frac{q^T k}{\sqrt{D}}\right)$$

- Can we try different similarity functions? We only need a non-negative kernel function (e.g. polynomial, or RBF kernel)

Linear Attention

- Given a kernel with feature representation $\phi(x)$, write the attention as:

$$V'_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \phi(Q_i)^T \phi(K_j) V_j}{\sum_{j=1}^N \phi(Q_i)^T \phi(K_j)}, \quad \longrightarrow \quad V'_i = \frac{\phi(Q_i)^T \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(K_j) V_j^T}{\phi(Q_i)^T \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(K_j)}.$$

$$(\phi(Q) \phi(K)^T) V = \phi(Q) (\phi(K)^T V)$$

Causal Linear Attention

- Given a kernel with feature representation $\phi(x)$, write the attention as:

$$V'_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^N \phi(Q_i)^T \phi(K_j) V_j}{\sum_{j=1}^N \phi(Q_i)^T \phi(K_j)},$$


$$V'_i = \frac{\phi(Q_i)^T \sum_{j=1}^N \phi(K_j) V_j^T}{\phi(Q_i)^T \sum_{j=1}^{N-i} \phi(K_j)}.$$

Recurrent!

Lecture overview


- motivation
- 1-dimensional distributions
- high-dimensional distributions
- deeper dive into causal masked neural models
- **other things to be aware of**
 - decoder-only vs. Encoder-Decoder models
 - new incarnations of recurrent models
 - alternative / complementary ideas to tokenization

Super-Resolution with PixelCNN




- A PixelCNN is conditioned on 7×7 subsampled MNIST images to generate the corresponding 28×28 image

Super-Resolution with PixelCNN



Hierarchical Autoregressive Models with Auxiliary Decoders




De Fauw, Jeffrey, Sander Dieleman, and Karen Simonyan. "Hierarchical autoregressive image models with auxiliary decoders." arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.04933 (2019).

Hierarchy: Grayscale PixelCNN



- Design an autoregressive model architecture that takes advantage of the structure of data
- Learn a PixelCNN on binary images, and a PixelCNN conditioned on binary images to generate colored images

Grayscale PixelCNN



**Next lecture:
Flow-Based Models**