

Logic in Computer Science (ECS666U/7018P/U)

Nikos Tzevelekos

Lecture 10

Part A: Hoare Logic, Syntax and Semantics

Hoare Logic

Also known as [Floyd-Hoare Logic](#) and [Program Logic](#). It is:

- ▶ a method of reasoning mathematically about imperative programs
 - ▶ can be used directly to verify programs, as originally proposed
 - ▶ tedious and error prone
 - ▶ impractical for large programs
- ▶ the basis of semi- and fully-automated verification systems
 - ▶ construct [verification conditions](#) from specification in Hoare Logic
 - ▶ run programs “symbolically” from Hoare logic specifications
- ▶ under active development
 - ▶ for example [Separation Logic](#) for reasoning about pointers

Outline

- ▶ Program specification using Hoare Triples
- ▶ Inference rules of Hoare Logic
- ▶ Soundness and completeness
- ▶ Verification conditions

- ▶ Further reading (optional):
 - ▶ Mike Gordon: [Hoare Logic](#)
 - ▶ Jean Pichon: [Hoare Logic and Model Checking](#)

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	x	0	1	2	3	4	...	100	...
	y	0	1	4					

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	x	0	1	2	3	4	...	100	...
	y	0	1	4					

- **Hypothesis 1:** For any integer input x , the program $c0$ has output y that satisfies the following condition:

$$y = x^2$$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	x	0	1	2	3	4	...	100	...
	y	0	1	4					

- **Hypothesis 1:** For any integer input x , the program $c0$ has output y that satisfies the following condition:

$$y = x^2$$

- What happens in the case of $x = -1$?

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

► **Hypothesis 2:** For any integer input x which satisfies the condition

$$x \geq 0$$

the program c0 output y satisfies the following condition:

$$y = x^2$$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- Formally, we write **Hoare triple** in the form of

$$\{Pre\} \text{ c0 } \{Post\}$$

- The condition *Pre* on the input is called **precondition**
- The condition *Post* on the output is called **postcondition**
- In this example:

$$\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

► $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

► $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid

► $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is not valid (Why?)

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is not valid (Why?)
 - ▶ a counterexample $[x \mapsto 6]$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is not valid (Why?)
 - ▶ a counterexample $[x \mapsto 6]$
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y \geq 25\}$

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ c0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y = 25\}$ is not valid (Why?)
 - ▶ a counterexample $[x \mapsto 6]$
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ c0 } \{y \geq 25\}$ is valid. (Why?)

Example: Hoare Triples

Program c0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ C0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ C0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ C0 } \{y = 25\}$ is not valid (Why?)
 - ▶ a counterexample $[x \mapsto 6]$
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ C0 } \{y \geq 25\}$ is valid. (Why?)
 - ▶ the output y of C0 is monotone in the input x

Example: Hoare Triples

Program C0	Inputs and corresponding outputs														
<pre>n := 0; y := 0; while (n < x) { y := y + (2 * n + 1); n := n + 1; }</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>-1</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>4</td><td>9</td><td>16</td><td>?</td></tr></table>	x	0	1	2	3	4	-1	y	0	1	4	9	16	?
x	0	1	2	3	4	-1									
y	0	1	4	9	16	?									

- ▶ $\{x \geq 0\} \text{ C0 } \{y = x^2\}$ is valid
- ▶ $\{x = 5\} \text{ C0 } \{y = 25\}$ is valid (Why?)
 - ▶ execution of this code with concrete input 5 outputs 25
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ C0 } \{y = 25\}$ is not valid (Why?)
 - ▶ a counterexample $[x \mapsto 6]$
- ▶ $\{x \geq 5\} \text{ C0 } \{y \geq 25\}$ is valid. (Why?)
 - ▶ the output y of C0 is monotone in the input x
- ▶ How to prove and disprove validity of Hoare triples?

Example: Hoare triples

Program c1	Inputs and corresponding outputs																
<pre>while (x != 1) { if (x % 2 = 0) { x := x/2; } else { x := 3*x + 1; } }; y := x;</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>...</td><td>1001</td><td>...</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>...</td><td>1</td><td>...</td></tr></table>	x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...	y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...
x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...										
y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...										

- **Hypothesis:** For any positive integer input x the program $c1$ terminates and the output y is 1.

Example: Hoare triples

Program c1	Inputs and corresponding outputs																
<pre>while (x != 1) { if (x % 2 = 0) { x := x/2; } else { x := 3*x + 1; } }; y := x;</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>...</td><td>1001</td><td>...</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>...</td><td>1</td><td>...</td></tr></table>	x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...	y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...
x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...										
y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...										

- ▶ **Hypothesis:** For any positive integer input x the program $c1$ terminates and the output y is 1.
- ▶ How to check such statements?
- ▶ What is the issue - to check that $c1$ **terminates** or that it **outputs 1**?

Syntax and Semantics of Hoare Triples

Syntax of Hoare Triples

- ▶ Triple $\{P\} c \{Q\}$
- ▶ P and Q are predicate logic formulas
- ▶ c is a code fragment in a simple programming language
- ▶ Example: $\{x \geq 0\} y := x + x \{y = 2 * x\}$

Programming Language - Syntax

- ▶ Assignment: `x:=E`
 - ▶ Sequential composition: `C1;C2`
 - ▶ Conditional: `if B C1 else C2`
 - ▶ Loop: `while B C`
- ▶ where `E` is an integer expression and `B` is a boolean expression

Partial Correctness Specification

- ▶ $\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$ is valid if
 - ▶ if C is executed from any state satisfying P
and the execution of C terminates
 - ▶ then the state in which the execution of C terminates satisfies Q

Partial Correctness Specification

- ▶ $\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$ is valid if
 - ▶ if C is executed from any state satisfying P and the execution of C terminates
 - ▶ then the state in which the execution of C terminates satisfies Q
- ▶ These specifications are “partial” because for $\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$ to be true we do not care what happens when C runs forever.

For example, this is a valid triple:

$$\{x = 1\} \text{ while True do } x := x \{x = 42\}$$

Total Correctness Specification

- ▶ $[P] \text{ c } [Q]$ is valid if
 - ▶ if C is executed from any state satisfying P
 - ▶ then the execution of C terminates and the state in which the execution terminates satisfies Q
- ▶ Total correctness is the ultimate goal

Partial vs Total Correctness

- ▶ Total correctness is stronger than partial correctness:

- ▶ $\{x = 1\}$ while True do $x := x$ $\{x = 42\}$ is valid

- ▶ $[x = 1]$ while True do $x := x$ $[x = 42]$ is not valid

Partial vs Total Correctness

- ▶ Total correctness is stronger than partial correctness:
 - ▶ $\{x = 1\} \text{ while True do } x := x \{x = 42\}$ is valid
 - ▶ $[x = 1] \text{ while True do } x := x [x = 42]$ is not valid
- ▶ Informally: total correctness = termination + partial correctness

Partial vs Total Correctness

- ▶ Total correctness is stronger than partial correctness:
 - ▶ $\{x = 1\} \text{ while True do } x := x \{x = 42\}$ is valid
 - ▶ $[x = 1] \text{ while True do } x := x [x = 42]$ is not valid
- ▶ Informally: total correctness = termination + partial correctness
- ▶ Usually easier to show separately partial correctness and termination

Partial vs Total Correctness

- ▶ Total correctness is stronger than partial correctness:
 - ▶ $\{x = 1\} \text{ while True do } x := x \{x = 42\}$ is valid
 - ▶ $[x = 1] \text{ while True do } x := x [x = 42]$ is not valid
- ▶ Informally: total correctness = termination + partial correctness
- ▶ Usually easier to show separately partial correctness and termination
- ▶ In real software, termination is often straightforward to show
- ▶ In general, termination is undecidable

Example: Termination is Hard

Program c1	Inputs and corresponding outputs							
<pre>while (x != 1) { if (x % 2 = 0) { x := x/2; } else { x := 3*x + 1; } }; y := x;</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...
	y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...

► Formally, is $\{x > 0\} \text{ c1 } \{y = 1\}$ valid?

Example: Termination is Hard

Program c1	Inputs and corresponding outputs																
<pre>while (x != 1) { if (x % 2 = 0) { x := x/2; } else { x := 3*x + 1; } }; y := x;</pre>	<table><tr><td>x</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>4</td><td>...</td><td>1001</td><td>...</td></tr><tr><td>y</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>...</td><td>1</td><td>...</td></tr></table>	x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...	y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...
x	1	2	3	4	...	1001	...										
y	1	1	1	1	...	1	...										

- Formally, is $\{x > 0\} \text{ c1 } \{y = 1\}$ valid?

Yes!

- However, no one knows whether c1 terminates for all values of x
- Collatz conjecture: it terminates with $y=1$
- Formally, is $[x > 0] \text{ c1 } [y = 1]$ valid?

Connection to Predicate Logic and its Semantics

Connection to Predicate Logic

$$\{x \geq 0\} \ y := x + x \ \{y = 2 * x\}$$

- ▶ The precondition and postcondition are formulas of predicate logic
- ▶ To every **program variable** we assign a corresponding **logical variable**
- ▶ So, pre- and post-conditions talk about the values of the program variables

Connection to Predicate Logic

$$\{x \geq 0\} \ y := x + x \ \{y = 2 * x\}$$

- ▶ The precondition and postcondition are formulas of predicate logic
- ▶ To every **program variable** we assign a corresponding **logical variable**
- ▶ So, pre- and post-conditions talk about the values of the program variables

The model M we consider will be an integer arithmetic model.

We will focus on **program states**.

Connection to Predicate Logic

$$\{x \geq 0\} \ y := x+x \ \{y = 2 * x\}$$

- ▶ The precondition and postcondition are formulas of predicate logic
- ▶ To every **program variable** we assign a corresponding **logical variable**
- ▶ So, pre- and post-conditions talk about the values of the program variables

The model M we consider will be an integer arithmetic model.

We will focus on **program states**.

- ▶ Program state is a mapping from variables to values, i.e. the program state tells us what value is stored in each variable
- ▶ This is exactly the same as evaluation maps:
 - ▶ e.g. $s = [x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 10]$ is a program state
and $E = [x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 10]$ is a corresponding evaluation of logical variables
- ▶ What does it mean for a program state to satisfy a precondition?

Another Example

$$\{x = 0 \wedge y = 1\} \ x := x + y \ \{\exists z. x > z\}$$

- ▶ Initial program state $s = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Final program state $s' = [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Does state s satisfy the precondition $x = 0 \wedge y = 1$?

Another Example

$$\{x = 0 \wedge y = 1\} \ x := x + y \ \{\exists z. x > z\}$$

- ▶ Initial program state $s = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Final program state $s' = [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Does state s satisfy the precondition $x = 0 \wedge y = 1$?
 - ▶ we let $E = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$, and check that $M, E \models x = 0 \wedge y = 1$
 - ▶ less formally, check whether replacing x for 0 and y for 1 makes the precondition true
 - ▶ to simplify notation, we simply write $s \models x = 0 \wedge y = 1$ (i.e. avoid mentioning M, E)

Another Example

$$\{x = 0 \wedge y = 1\} \ x := x + y \ \{\exists z. x > z\}$$

- ▶ Initial program state $s = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Final program state $s' = [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Does state s satisfy the precondition $x = 0 \wedge y = 1$?
 - ▶ we let $E = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$, and check that $M, E \models x = 0 \wedge y = 1$
 - ▶ less formally, check whether replacing x for 0 and y for 1 makes the precondition true
 - ▶ to simplify notation, we simply write $s \models x = 0 \wedge y = 1$ (i.e. avoid mentioning M, E)
- ▶ Does state s' satisfy the postcondition $\exists z. x > z$?

Another Example

$$\{x = 0 \wedge y = 1\} \ x := x + y \ \{\exists z. x > z\}$$

- ▶ Initial program state $s = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Final program state $s' = [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 1]$
- ▶ Does state s satisfy the precondition $x = 0 \wedge y = 1$?
 - ▶ we let $E = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 1]$, and check that $M, E \models x = 0 \wedge y = 1$
 - ▶ less formally, check whether replacing x for 0 and y for 1 makes the precondition true
 - ▶ to simplify notation, we simply write $s \models x = 0 \wedge y = 1$ (i.e. avoid mentioning M, E)
- ▶ Does state s' satisfy the postcondition $\exists z. x > z$?
 - ▶ we check whether $s' \models \exists z. x > z$.

This holds indeed, e.g. by choosing z to be 0.

General idea

$$\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$$

The triple is valid if:

- ▶ for any program state s with corresponding evaluation E
- ▶ if $M, E \models P$ holds and execution of c from state s terminates
- ▶ then the execution terminates in a state s' , with corresponding evaluation E' , such that $M, E' \models Q$ holds

Or, **more simply**, the triple is valid if:

- ▶ for any program state s
- ▶ if $s \models P$ holds and execution of c from state s terminates
- ▶ then it terminates in a state s' such that $s' \models Q$ holds

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \subset \{Q\}$

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$

- ▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$

► this says that if C terminates then Q holds

2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$
 - ▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds
2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$
 - ▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$
 - ▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds
2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$
 - ▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C
3. $[P] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$

▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds

2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$

▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C

3. $[P] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$

▶ this says that C terminates whenever the initial state satisfies P

▶ it does not say anything about the final state

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$

▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds

2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$

▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C

3. $[P] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$

▶ this says that C terminates whenever the initial state satisfies P

▶ it does not say anything about the final state

4. $[\text{true}] \text{ c } [Q]$

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$

▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds

2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$

▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C

3. $[P] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$

▶ this says that C terminates whenever the initial state satisfies P

▶ it does not say anything about the final state

4. $[\text{true}] \text{ c } [Q]$

▶ this says that C always terminates and ends in a final state that satisfies Q

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$
 - ▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds
2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$
 - ▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C
3. $[P] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$
 - ▶ this says that C terminates whenever the initial state satisfies P
 - ▶ it does not say anything about the final state
4. $[\text{true}] \text{ c } [Q]$
 - ▶ this says that C always terminates and ends in a final state that satisfies Q
5. $[\text{true}] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$

Simple Examples

1. $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$
 - ▶ this says that if C terminates then Q holds
2. $\{P\} \text{ c } \{\text{true}\}$
 - ▶ valid for any precondition P and any code C
3. $[P] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$
 - ▶ this says that C terminates whenever the initial state satisfies P
 - ▶ it does not say anything about the final state
4. $[\text{true}] \text{ c } [Q]$
 - ▶ this says that C always terminates and ends in a final state that satisfies Q
5. $[\text{true}] \text{ c } [\text{true}]$
 - ▶ this says that the code C terminates for all inputs

Example: Loop

Program c2	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>r := x; q := 0; while (y <= r) { r := r - y; q := q + 1; }</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	0	5	-5	5
	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	-2
	q	1	2	1	2	0	?	?	?
	r	0	0	1	0	0	?	?	?

Example: Loop

Program c2	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>r := x; q := 0; while (y <= r) { r := r - y; q := q + 1; }</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	0	5	-5	5
	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	-2
	q	1	2	1	2	0	?	?	?
	r	0	0	1	0	0	?	?	?

- Specification: $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c2 } \{r < y \wedge x = r + y * q\}$
- The triple is valid if whenever the execution of c2 terminates, then q is the quotient and r is the remainder resulting from dividing x by y

Example: Loop

Program c2	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>r := x; q := 0; while (y <= r) { r := r - y; q := q + 1; }</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	0	5	-5	5
	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	-2
	q	1	2	1	2	0	?	?	?
	r	0	0	1	0	0	?	?	?

- Specification: $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c2 } \{r < y \wedge x = r + y * q\}$
- The triple is valid if whenever the execution of c2 terminates, then q is the quotient and r is the remainder resulting from dividing x by y
- Is it valid if y is 0?

Example: Loop

Program c2	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>r := x; q := 0; while (y <= r) { r := r - y; q := q + 1; }</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	0	5	-5	5
	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	-2
	q	1	2	1	2	0	?	?	?
	r	0	0	1	0	0	?	?	?

- Specification: $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c2 } \{r < y \wedge x = r + y * q\}$
- The triple is valid if whenever the execution of c2 terminates, then q is the quotient and r is the remainder resulting from dividing x by y
- Is it valid if y is 0?
- Is it valid if x is initially negative?

Example: Loop

Program c2	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>r := x; q := 0; while (y <= r) { r := r - y; q := q + 1; }</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	0	5	-5	5
	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	-2
	q	1	2	1	2	0	?	?	?
	r	0	0	1	0	0	?	?	?

- Specification: $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c2 } \{r < y \wedge x = r + y * q\}$
- The triple is valid if whenever the execution of c2 terminates, then q is the quotient and r is the remainder resulting from dividing x by y
- Is it valid if y is 0?
- Is it valid if x is initially negative?
- Is it valid if y is initially negative?

Example: Loop

Program c2	Inputs and corresponding outputs								
<pre>r := x; q := 0; while (y <= r) { r := r - y; q := q + 1; }</pre>	x	1	2	3	4	0	5	-5	5
	y	1	1	2	2	1	0	2	-2
	q	1	2	1	2	0	?	?	?
	r	0	0	1	0	0	?	?	?

- Specification: $\{\text{true}\} \text{ c2 } \{r < y \wedge x = r + y * q\}$
- The triple is valid if whenever the execution of c2 terminates, then q is the quotient and r is the remainder resulting from dividing x by y
- Is it valid if y is 0?
- Is it valid if x is initially negative?
- Is it valid if y is initially negative?
- How to specify total correctness of this program?

Exercise

- ▶ Write a specification which is valid if and only if the execution of c always terminates when the execution is started in a state satisfying P
- ▶ Write a specification which is valid if and only if the code c has the effect of multiplying the values of x and y and storing the result in z (and terminate)

Auxiliary Variables

Tricky Example

- ▶ The program c should multiply the values of x and y and store the result in x (and terminate)

$$[\text{true}] \ c \ [x = x * y]$$

- ▶ Are the following programs suitable?

- ▶ $x := x * y;$

- ▶ $y := 1; \ x := 1$

Tricky Example

- ▶ The program c should multiply the values of x and y and store the result in x (and terminate)

$$[\text{true}] \ c \ [x = x * y]$$

- ▶ Are the following programs suitable?

- ▶ $x := x * y;$

- ▶ $y := 1; \ x := 1$

- ▶ There is a problem: the postcondition $x = x * y$ requires that x is x times y in the final state
- ▶ but what we really wanted is to relate the final and the initial values of x

Auxiliary Variables

- ▶ $[x_0 = x \wedge y_0 = y] \ x := x * y \ [x = x_0 * y_0]$
- ▶ The variables x_0 and y_0 are used in this example in order to remember the initial values of program variables x and y
- ▶ **Auxiliary variables** are free variables introduced in preconditions and do not occur in the code
- ▶ As such, auxiliary variables do not change value between the pre- and post-condition
- ▶ Auxiliary variables are sometimes called **ghost** variables

Another Example

- ▶ $\{x_0 = x \wedge y_0 = y\} \text{ r:=x; x:=y; y:=r } \{x_0 = y \wedge y_0 = x\}$
- ▶ If the execution of r:=x; x:=y; y:=r terminates (which it does), then the values of x and y are exchanged
- ▶ Formally, the above triple is valid if:
 - ▶ if we pick any values for the auxiliary variables x_0, y_0
 - ▶ and we execute the code from any state satisfying $x_0 = x \wedge y_0 = y$ and the execution terminates
 - ▶ then the execution terminates in a state satisfying $x_0 = y \wedge y_0 = x$

Predicate Logic view

In terms of predicate logic, $\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}$ is valid if:

- ▶ for every program state s , and corresponding evaluation E , and any evaluation E_0 of all auxiliary variables in P ,
- ▶ if $M, E \cup E_0 \models P$ holds and the execution of c from s terminates
- ▶ then the execution terminates at a program state s' , with corresponding evaluation E' , such that $M, E' \cup E_0 \models Q$ holds.

Summary: Syntax and Semantics of Hoare Triples

- ▶ Syntax of Hoare Triples: $\{P\} C \{Q\}$

- ▶ P and Q are formulas in predicate logic
- ▶ C is a code fragment in a simple programming language
- ▶ Auxiliary variables are variables in P and Q that do not appear in C

- ▶ Semantics of Hoare Triples

- ▶ Partial correctness: $\{P\} C \{Q\}$ is valid if and only if

For all initial states that satisfy the precondition P , if the execution of C terminates then the final state satisfies Q

- ▶ Total correctness: $[P] C [Q]$ is valid if and only if

For all initial states that satisfy the precondition P , the execution of C terminates and the final state satisfies Q

- ▶ For partial correctness, the execution might not terminate even for input states that satisfy the precondition
- ▶ For total correctness, termination is guaranteed for all input states that satisfy the precondition

Extensions

Extensions of Hoare Logic

- ▶ Hoare Logic has been extended to support other features of programming languages
- ▶ Blocks and local variables
- ▶ Procedures
- ▶ Arrays
- ▶ Different loop constructs: `for (i = 1; i < n; i++) do C`
- ▶ Concurrency and parallel composition: `C1 || C2`
- ▶ ...
- ▶ Low-level imperative programs that manipulate pointers and shared mutable data structures

Pointers - Example

- ▶ $\{Q\} \text{ *y := 4; *z := 5 } \{*y \neq *z\}$
 - ▶ contents of y and z are different
 - ▶ what precondition Q makes this triple valid?

Pointers - Example

- ▶ $\{Q\} \ *y := 4; \ *z := 5 \ \{ *y \neq *z \}$
 - ▶ contents of y and z are different
 - ▶ what precondition Q makes this triple valid?
- ▶ $\{y \neq z\} \ *y := 4; \ *z := 5 \ \{ *y \neq *z \}$

Pointers - Example

- ▶ $\{Q\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \}$
 - ▶ contents of y and z are different
 - ▶ what precondition Q makes this triple valid?
- ▶ $\{y \neq z\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \}$
- ▶ $\{y \neq z \wedge Q\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \wedge \text{*x} = 3 \}$
 - ▶ contents of x stay the same
 - ▶ what is the precondition Q to make this triple valid?

Pointers - Example

- ▶ $\{Q\} *y := 4; *z := 5 \{ *y \neq *z \}$
 - ▶ contents of y and z are different
 - ▶ what precondition Q makes this triple valid?
- ▶ $\{y \neq z\} *y := 4; *z := 5 \{ *y \neq *z \}$
- ▶ $\{y \neq z \wedge Q\} *y := 4; *z := 5 \{ *y \neq *z \wedge *x = 3 \}$
 - ▶ contents of x stay the same
 - ▶ what is the precondition Q to make this triple valid?
- ▶ $\{y \neq z \wedge *x = 3\} *y := 4; *z := 5 \{ *y \neq *z \wedge *x = 3 \}$
 - ▶ is this triple valid?

Pointers - Example

- ▶ $\{Q\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \}$
 - ▶ contents of y and z are different
 - ▶ what precondition Q makes this triple valid?
- ▶ $\{y \neq z\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \}$
- ▶ $\{y \neq z \wedge Q\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \wedge \text{*x} = 3 \}$
 - ▶ contents of x stay the same
 - ▶ what is the precondition Q to make this triple valid?
- ▶ $\{y \neq z \wedge \text{*x} = 3\} \text{ *y} := 4; \text{ *z} := 5 \{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \wedge \text{*x} = 3 \}$
 - ▶ is this triple valid?
- ▶ Precondition on aliasing:

$$\{y \neq z \wedge \text{*x} = 3 \wedge x \neq y \wedge x \neq z\}$$

$$\text{*y} := 4; \text{*z} := 5$$

$$\{ \text{*y} \neq \text{*z} \wedge \text{*x} = 3 \}$$

Framing Problem

- Example of the framing problem:

$$\frac{\{y \neq z\} \text{ c } \{ *y \neq *z \}}{\{ *x = 3 \wedge y \neq z \} \text{ c } \{ *y \neq *z \wedge *x = 3 \}}$$

What are the conditions on aliasing between x , y , z ?

- Framing problem:

$$\frac{\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}}{\{R \wedge P\} \text{ c } \{Q \wedge R\}}$$

What are the conditions on c and R in presence of aliasing and heap?

Framing Problem

- ▶ Example of the **framing problem**:

$$\frac{\{y \neq z\} \text{ c } \{ *y \neq *z \}}{\{ *x = 3 \wedge y \neq z \} \text{ c } \{ *y \neq *z \wedge *x = 3 \}}$$

What are the conditions on aliasing between x , y , z ?

- ▶ Framing problem:

$$\frac{\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}}{\{R \wedge P\} \text{ c } \{Q \wedge R\}}$$

What are the conditions on c and R in presence of aliasing and heap?

- ▶ Solution: **Separation logic** introduces a new connective $*$

$$\frac{\{P\} \text{ c } \{Q\}}{\{R * P\} \text{ c } \{Q * R\}}$$