# MAPPING OF GEOLOGICAL FRACTURES: A CNN APPROACH

AYOUB FATIHI AND ANINDITA SAMSU - INSTITUTE OF EARTH SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF LAUSANNE, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

ayoub.fatihi@unil.ch

Interactive results ▼

UNIL | Université de Lausanne

## INTRODUCTION

- Natural fractures can create permeable fluid pathways that are important for geo-energy applications such as $CO_2$ sequestration and geothermal projects [1].
- Drone and LiDAR-based acquisition methods produce high-resolution 2D data, suitable for detailed fracture mapping [2].
- Manual and semi-automated fracture mapping is time-consuming and susceptible to interpreter bias [3].

**Can we use deep learning (Convolutional Neural Networks) to automate 2D fracture mapping for quick and accurate results?**

**Automatic**: No manual intervention required;
**Accurate**: Results closely match real fractures and unaffected by interpreter bias.




Orthophoto of a section of Orrengrund Island in Southwest Finland [3]

## METHODS




**MODELS:**

All based on convolutions

- **U-Net** [4]
- DeepLabV3+ [5]
- PAN [6]

**Post-processing**

**a.Thin**
**b.Smooth**
**c.Vectorize**

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Results**

- **The model generates more segments, with some being very short (noise)**
- **The model's trace length distribution has a lower cut-off value**
- **Rose diagrams have similar directional pattern**

✓ **Automatic** ✓ **Quick** ⚠ **Accurate**







(a) Portion of the test dataset (OG1) [3]
(b) **Manual mapping** (geologists' interpretations): Ground truth [7] and its respective fracture trace length distribution and rose diagram created using *fractopo* [8]
(c) **Automated mapping**: Predictions of the PAN model and its respective fracture trace length distribution and rose diagram [8]






[1] Matthaï S., 2004, Geophysical Research Letters, Volume 31 - [2] Bemis S., 2014, Journal of Structural Geology - [3] Nordbäck N., 2022, zenodo.7077519 - [4] Ronneberger O., 2015, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 9351 - [5] Chen L., 2018, arXiv: 1802.02611 - [6] Li H., 2018, arXiv: 1805.10180 - [7] Ovaskainen N., 2022, zenodo.7077846 - [8] Ovaskainen N., 2023, joss.05300