

# Stat 221 Problem Set 1

Albert Young and Marco Gentili

16 September 2014

## 1 Question 1

### 1.1

We have the density of  $\vec{x}$  is given by

$$f_{\vec{X}}(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{|2\pi\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \vec{\mu})'\Sigma^{-1}(x - \vec{\mu})\right)$$

As in the derivation, we can represent the density of  $\vec{u}$  as

$$f_{\vec{U}}(\vec{u}) = f_{\vec{X}}(g^{-1}(\vec{u}))||J||$$

The element in position  $(i, j)$  of the Jacobian is  $\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial u_j}$  For diagonal elements  $(i = j)$ ,

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} (\log(u_i) - \log(u_{d+1})) = \frac{1}{u_i} + \frac{1}{u_{d+1}}$$

For non-diagonal elements, we have

$$\frac{\partial x_i}{\partial u_j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_j} (\log(u_i) - \log(u_{d+1})) = \frac{1}{u_{d+1}}$$

Subtracting the each column from the first column (which preserves the determinant, we get the following.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} \\ \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \frac{1}{u_2} + \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{1}{u_d} + \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & \cdots & \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} \\ \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & -\frac{1}{u_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{u_d} \end{pmatrix}$$

The bottom right  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix is diagonal. Multiplying each column  $i$ , where  $i > 0$  by  $u_i/u_d$  and adding it to the first column, we get that the above determinant is equal to

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u_1} + \frac{1}{u_{d+1}} + \frac{1}{u_1 u_{d+1}}(u_2 + u_3 + \cdots + u_d) & \frac{1}{u_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{u_1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{u_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{u_d} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{u_1 u_{d+1}} & \frac{1}{u_1} & \cdots & \frac{1}{u_1} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{u_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{u_d} \end{pmatrix}$$

This is an upper triangular matrix, so the determinant is just the product of the diagonal elements, which is equal to

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d+1} \frac{1}{u_i}$$

So then  $f_{\vec{U}}(\vec{u}) = \frac{1}{|2\pi\Sigma|^{1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} u_j} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\log\left(\frac{\vec{u}}{u_{d+1}}\right) - \vec{\mu}\right)' \Sigma^{-1} \left(\log\left(\frac{\vec{u}}{u_{d+1}}\right) - \vec{\mu}\right)\right)$ . Let us define  $\frac{1}{|2\pi\Sigma|^{1/2} \prod_{j=1}^{d+1} u_j}$  to be  $C$ .

## 1.2

Say we have  $n$  data vectors  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ . Let  $y_{i_{d+1}}$  be  $1 - \sum_{j=1}^d y_j$ . Then the likelihood is

$$p(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \mu, \Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n C \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\log\left(\frac{y_i}{y_{i_{d+1}}}\right) - \vec{\mu}\right)' \Sigma^{-1} \left(\log\left(\frac{y_i}{y_{i_{d+1}}}\right) - \vec{\mu}\right)\right)$$

meaning that the log likelihood is

$$\log p(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n | \mu, \Sigma) = nC + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\log\left(\frac{y_i}{y_{i_{d+1}}}\right) - \vec{\mu}\right)' \Sigma^{-1} \left(\log\left(\frac{y_i}{y_{i_{d+1}}}\right) - \vec{\mu}\right)\right)$$

Taking the derivative of this with respect to  $\mu$  and setting it equal to 0, we have

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^n \Sigma^{-1} \left(\log\left(\frac{y_i}{y_{i_{d+1}}}\right) - \mu_{MLE}\right)$$

Rearranging, we have that

$$\mu_{MLE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log\left(\frac{y_i}{y_{i_{d+1}}}\right)$$

We can rewrite  $\Sigma$  as

$$\Sigma = (\alpha + \beta)\mathbb{I} - \beta\mathbb{U}$$

in which  $\mathbb{I}$  is the identity matrix and  $\mathbb{U}$  has ones everywhere. It holds  $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{1}\mathbb{1}'$  and then we can use Sylvester's theorem:

$$\det \Sigma = (\alpha + \beta)^d \det\left(\mathbb{I} - \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta} \mathbb{U}\right) = (\alpha + \beta)^d \det\left(1 - \frac{\beta d}{\alpha + \beta}\right) = (\alpha + \beta)^{d-1} \gamma$$

where  $\gamma = \alpha - (d-1)\beta$ . Using the Woodbury formula, we can write

$$\Sigma^{-1} = c_1 \mathbb{I} + c_2 \mathbb{U}$$

for some constants  $c_1, c_2$ . Noting that  $\Sigma \Sigma^{-1} = \mathbb{I}$ , we solve

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{\alpha + \beta}, c_2 = \frac{\beta}{(\alpha + \beta)\gamma}$$

We can then rewrite the log likelihood (looking only at terms that have  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  in them, as any others will go to 0 once we take the derivative)

$$-\frac{1}{2} \log(|\Sigma|) + (c_1 + c_2) \sum_{i=1}^d \text{Var}(u_i) + c_2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} \text{Cov}(u_i, u_j)$$

where  $u_i$  denotes the set of all  $i$ th components of the input vectors.

Taking the derivative of the log-likelihood and setting it to zero for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , we get two equations and two unknowns, which we can use to solve for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The algebra's kind of nasty after this point, but plugging in  $\alpha = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^d Var(x_i)$  and  $\beta = \frac{2}{n(n-1)/2} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq d} Cov(x_i, x_j)$ , we find that these do indeed satisfy the given equations.

## 2

### 2.1

Let  $X$  denote the observed data.  $X_{i,j}$  then denotes the category index of the  $j$ th feature for the  $i$ th data point. Let  $\theta_{H,p,k}$  denote the probability of the  $k$ th category for feature  $p$  in the high-risk group (analogously for the low risk group).  $N$  is the number of data points and  $P$  is the number of features. Then the likelihood is

$$\prod_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^P (g_{L,i} \theta_{L,j,X_{i,j}} + g_{H,i} \theta_{H,j,X_{i,j}})$$

So the log likelihood is

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^P \log(g_{L,i} \theta_{L,j,X_{i,j}} + g_{H,i} \theta_{H,j,X_{i,j}})$$