

HWS

Q1. $\forall n, P(n)$ if $P(0)$ and $P(n-1) \rightarrow P(n)$

$P(n)$ is power n $x = x^n$

Case $P(0)$: Show that power 0 $x = x^0 = 1.0$

power 0 $x = 1.0$, by def. of power

Case $P(n)$: Show power n $x = x^n$, Given power $n-1$ $x = x^{n-1}$

power n $x = x * \text{power } n-1 x$, by def. of power

$$= x * x^{n-1} \quad , \text{ by inductive hypothesis}$$

$$= x^n \quad , \text{ by rules of exponent arithmetic.}$$

Q2

Principle: $\forall n, P(n)$ if $P(\text{zero})$ and $P(\text{succ } n)$ if $P(n)$

$P(n)$ is power n $x = x^{\text{twInt}(n)}$

Case $P(\text{Zero})$: Show power Zero $x = x^{\text{twInt}(\text{Zero})}$

power Zero $x = 1.0$, by def of power

$$= x^0 \quad , \text{ by exponent arithmetic}$$

$$= x^{\text{twInt}(\text{Zero})} \quad , \text{ by def of twInt.}$$

Case $P(\text{succ } n)$: Show power $(\text{succ } n) x = x^{\text{twInt}(\text{succ } n)}$, Given power $n x = x^{\text{twInt}(n)}$

power $(\text{succ } n) x = x * \text{power } n x$, by def of power

$$= x * x^{\text{twInt}(n)} \quad , \text{ by inductive hypothesis}$$

$$= x^{\text{twInt}(n)+1} \quad , \text{ by exponent arithmetic}$$

$$= x^{\text{twInt}(\text{succ } n)} \quad , \text{ by def of twInt}$$

Q3. Principle: $\text{P}(l)$, $\text{P}(r)$ if $\text{P}([l])$ and $\text{P}(x::xs)$ if $\text{P}(xs) \#$
 $\text{P}(l, r)$ is length $(l @ r) = \text{length } l + \text{length } r$

Case $\text{P}([l], r)$: Show $\text{length}([l] @ r) = \text{length } l + \text{length } r$

$$\text{length}([l] @ r) = \text{length } r, \text{ by property of lists}$$

$$= 0 + \text{length } r, \text{ by arithmetics}$$

$$= \text{length } l + \text{length } r, \text{ by def of length}$$

Case $\text{P}(x::xs, r)$: Show $\text{length}(x::xs @ r) = \text{length}(x::xs) + \text{length } r \#$

$$\text{Given } \text{length}(xs @ r) = \text{length } xs + \text{length } r$$

$$\text{length}(x::xs @ r) = \text{length}(x :: (xs @ r)), \text{ by principles of commutivity}$$

$$= 1 + \text{length}(xs @ r), \text{ by def of length}$$

$$= 1 + \text{length } xs + \text{length } r, \text{ by inductive hypothesis}$$

$$= \text{length}(x :: xs) + \text{length } r, \text{ by def of length} \#$$

Q4. $\text{P}(l)$ is length (reverse l) = length l .

Case $\text{P}([l])$: Show $\text{length}(\text{reverse } [l]) = \text{length } [l]$

$$\text{length}(\text{reverse } [l]) = \text{length } [l], \text{ by def of reverse} \#$$

Case $\text{P}(x::xs)$: Show $\text{length}(\text{reverse } x :: xs) = \text{length}(x :: xs)$,

$$\text{Given } \text{length}(\text{reverse } xs) = \text{length } xs$$

$$\text{length}(\text{reverse } x :: xs) = \text{length}(\text{reverse } xs @ [x]), \text{ by def of reverse}$$

$$= \text{length}(\text{reverse } xs) + \text{length } [x], \text{ by proof in Q3}$$

$$= \text{length } xs + \text{length } [x], \text{ by inductive hypothesis}$$

$$= \text{length } [x] + \text{length } xs, \text{ by commutativity of addition}$$

$$= \text{length}([x] @ xs), \text{ by proof in Q3}$$

$$= \text{length}(x :: xs), \text{ by property of lists} \#$$

Q5. $P(l_1, l_2)$ is reverse $(l_1 @ l_2) = \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } l_1$

Case $P([], l_2)$: Show $\text{reverse } ([] @ l_2) = \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } []$

$$\text{reverse } ([] @ l_2) = \text{reverse } l_2, \text{ by property of lists}$$

$$= (\text{reverse } l_2) @ [], \text{ by property of lists}$$

$$= \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } [], \text{ by def of reverse.} \#$$

Case $P(x :: x_s, l_2)$: Show $\text{reverse } (x :: x_s @ l_2) = \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } (x :: x_s)$

$$\text{Given } \text{reverse } (x :: x_s @ l_2) = \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } x_s$$

$$\text{reverse } (x :: x_s @ l_2) = \text{reverse } (x :: (x_s @ l_2)), \text{ by principles of commutativity}$$

$$= \text{reverse } (x_s @ l_2) @ [x], \text{ by def. of reverse}$$

$$= \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } x_s @ [x], \text{ by inductive hypothesis}$$

$$= \text{reverse } l_2 @ \text{reverse } (x :: x_s), \text{ by def of reverse} \#$$

Q6. $P(l)$ is sorted $(l) \Rightarrow \text{sorted}(\text{place } e \ l)$

Case $P([], e)$: Show sorted $[] \Rightarrow \text{sorted}(\text{place } e [])$

$$\text{sorted}(\text{place } e []) = \text{sorted } [], \text{ by def of place}$$

$$= \text{true}, \text{ by def of sorted} \#$$

Case $P(x :: x_s, e)$: Given sorted $x_s \Rightarrow \text{sorted}(\text{place } e x_s)$,

Show sorted $(x :: x_s) \Rightarrow \text{sorted place } e (x :: x_s)$

Case $e < x$:

$$\text{sorted}(\text{place } e (x :: x_s)) = \text{sorted } (e :: x :: x_s), \text{ by def of place}$$

$$= e < x \ \& \ \text{sorted } (x :: x_s), \text{ by def of sorted}$$

$$= \text{true} \ \& \ \text{true},$$

by case assumption by inductive hypothesis

Case ex x.

A. if place e $\times_5 = [e]$

$\text{sorted}(\text{place } e \text{ }(x::x_s)) = \text{sorted}(x :: [e])$, by def of place

= sorted ($x := e := []$), by property of lists

$\exists x \in e \ \& \ sorted(e::\{x\})$, by def of sorted.
 by case assumption by def of sorted.
 $= \text{true} \ \& \ \text{true}$ #

b. if place e xs = e :: xs

$\text{sorted}(\text{place } e(x := x_5)) = \text{sorted}(e :: x_5)$, by def of place

= sorted (place $e \times s$), by case assumption)

= true, by inductive hypothesis. #

c. if place $e \times s = x_1 :: (\text{place } e \times')$ where $x_5 = x_1 :: x'$,

~~$\text{sorted}(\text{place } e (x :: x_3)) = \text{sorted}(x :: x_1 :: (\text{place } e x'))$, by def of place~~

$\vdash X \subseteq X_1 \wedge \text{sorted}(X_1 ::= (\cancel{\text{place } e \in X'}))$, by def of sorted

= true && sorted (place e xs)

by inductive hypothesis:

sorted(x::xs)

$$= \text{sortad}(x := x_1 := x')$$

$$= \text{srtod}(x_1 := x') \text{ holds}$$

by [↑] case assumption

Q1

① Whether or not l is a sorted list, `is_element` will linearly scan through the whole list until it finds the specified element e , so it doesn't matter if l is sorted or not, `is_element` will work as long as it is given a list.

② Yes, ∵ In the proof of Φ_b we used the assumption $\text{sorted}(x_1 \dots x_s) = \text{sorted}(b)$,
 \therefore we need it to hold in order for the proof work.

Q8. loop invariant: $z = y^i$

① Before the loop, Preconditions $x \geq 0$, $z = 1$, $i = 0$ implies $z = 1 = y^0 = y^i$

So loop invariant holds before the loop $\#$ \Rightarrow implies loop invariant.

② After the nth iteration:

If loop invariant holds before the loop body,

i.e., $z = y^n$ holds,

Then after the loop body, $z = y^n \times y = y^{n+1}$, $i = n+1$

$\Rightarrow z = y^i$ loop invariant holds for the $n+1$ th

By induction, loop invariant holds for every iteration cycle of the loop $\#$

③ When the loop terminates, $i = x$ to break the while loop.

\because loop invariant holds, $z = y^i = y^x$ implies post condition $\#$

Q9: lower = 1.0

upper = n

while (upper - lower > accuracy) {

 guess = (lower + upper) / 2.0.

 if (guess * guess > n)

 upper = guess

 else

 lower = guess

}

loop invariant: $\text{lower} \leq \sqrt{n} \leq \text{upper}$

① Before the loop: Preconditions $n \geq 1.0$

$\text{lower} = 1.0$ implies $n = \text{upper} \geq \sqrt{n} \geq \text{lower} = 1.0$

#

② After the kth iteration.

If loop invariant holds before the loop body, i.e., $\text{lower} \leq \sqrt{n} \leq \text{upper}$ holds
then after the loop body,

Case 1 $\text{guess} * \text{guess} > n \Rightarrow \text{guess} \geq \sqrt{n}$

\therefore after the assignment, $\text{upper} = \text{guess} \geq \sqrt{n} \geq \text{lower}$, loop invariant holds.

Case 2 $\text{guess} * \text{guess} \leq n \Rightarrow \text{guess} \leq \sqrt{n}$

\therefore after the assignment, $\text{lower} = \text{guess} \leq \sqrt{n} \leq \text{upper}$, loop invariant holds.

\therefore loop invariant holds for the $k+1$ th iteration.

By induction, loop invariant holds for every cycle of the loop. $\#$

- ② When the loop terminates, upper - lower \leq accuracy to break while loop
∴ loop invariant holds, lower \leq in upper hold.
∴ implies post conditions upper - lower \leq accuracy \wedge lower \leq in upper *