Word Embeddings

CS 6956: Deep Learning for NLP



Overview

- Representing meaning
- Word embeddings: Early work
- Word embeddings via language models
- Word2vec and Glove
- Evaluating embeddings
- Design choices and open questions

Overview

- Representing meaning
- Word embeddings: Early work
- Word embeddings via language models
- Word2vec and Glove
- Evaluating embeddings
- Design choices and open questions

Learning word embeddings

 Bengio et al 2003: Define a neural language model that embedded words along the way

 Collobert & Weston 2008: Showed that word embeddings can actually help many NLP tasks

- Mikolov 2013: word2vec
 - Two families of widely used models

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg: w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} =Once upon a ...

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

```
- Eg: w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1} =Once upon a ... P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)
```

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg:
$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$$
 =Once upon a ... $P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)$

Before neural networks, this involved counting

$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \cdots w_{n-1}) = \frac{count(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n-1}, w_n)}{count(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n-1})}$$

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg:
$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$$
 =Once upon a ... $P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)$

Before neural networks, this involved counting

$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \cdots w_{n-1}) = \frac{count(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n-1}, w_n)}{count(w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_{n-1})}$$

Typically there are many ways to smooth this distribution

Eg, five-gram models (n=5), with Kneser Ney smoothing

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg:
$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$$
 =Once upon a ...
$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)$$

Bengio et al 2003: What if this probability is defined by a neural network?

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg:
$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$$
 =Once upon a ...
$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)$$

With neural networks, we can define this probability as

$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_{n-1}) = \operatorname{softmax}(f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n))$$

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg:
$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$$
 =Once upon a ... $P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)$

With neural networks, we can define this probability as

$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_{n-1}) = \operatorname{softmax}(f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n))$$

 w_1, \cdots, w_n are vectors for each word that are learned by backpropagation

Given a sequence of words so far $(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1})$, what is the probability of the next word w_n ?

- Eg:
$$w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n-1}$$
 =Once upon a ...
$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_n)$$

With neural networks, we can define this probability as

$$P(w_n \mid w_1, w_2, \dots w_{n-1}) = \operatorname{softmax}(f(\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_n))$$

 w_1, \cdots, w_n are vectors for each word that are learned by backpropagation

Many variants on this theme – e.g., left and right context could be involved

Given a sentence w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T , we can write its probability as

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) = \prod_{t=0}^{T} P(w_t \mid w_{t-1}, \dots w_{t-n+1})$$

12

Given a sentence w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T , we can write its probability as

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) = \prod_{t=0}^{T} P(w_t \mid w_{t-1}, \dots w_{t-n+1})$$

The language model, in this case, a neural network

Given a sentence w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T , we can write its probability as

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) = \prod_{t=0}^{T} P(w_t \mid w_{t-1}, \dots w_{t-n+1})$$

This gives us a natural definition for log loss

$$J(params) = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \log P(w_t \mid w_{t-1}, \dots w_{t-n+1})$$

Given a sentence w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T , we can write its probability as

$$P(w_1, w_2, \dots, w_T) = \prod P(w_t \mid w_{t-1}, \dots w_{t-n+1})$$

This gives us a natural definition for log loss

$$J(params) = \sum_{t=0}^{n} \log P(w_t \mid w_{t-1}, \dots w_{t-n+1})$$

One question left: What is a good neural network architecture for this problem?

- A multi-layer neural network [Bengio et al 2003]
 - Words → embedding layer → hidden layers → softmax
 - Cross-entropy loss

- A multi-layer neural network [Bengio et al 2003]
 - Words → embedding layer → hidden layers → softmax
 - Cross-entropy loss
- Instead of producing probability, just produce a score for the next word (no softmax) [Collobert and Weston, 2008]
 - Ranking loss
 - Intuition: Valid word sequences should get a higher score than invalid ones

- A multi-layer neural network [Bengio et al 2003]
 - Words → embedding layer → hidden layers → softmax
 - Cross-entropy loss
- Instead of producing probability, just produce a score for the next word (no softmax) [Collobert and Weston, 2008]
 - Ranking loss
 - Intuition: Valid word sequences should get a higher score than invalid ones
- No need for a multi-layer network, a shallow network is good enough [Mikolov, 2013, word2vec]
 - Simpler model, fewer parameters
 - Faster to train

- A multi-layer neural network [Bengio et al 2003]
 - Words → embedding layer → hidden layers → softmax
 - Cross-entropy loss

- Context = previous words in sentence
- Instead of producing probability, just produce a score for the next word (no softmax) [Collobert and Weston, 2008]
 - Ranking loss
 - Intuition: Valid word sequences should get a higher score than invalid ones
- No need for a multi-layer network, a shallow network is good enough [Mikolov, 2013, word2vec]
 - Simpler model, fewer parameters
 - Faster to train

- A multi-layer neural network [Bengio et al 2003]
 - Words → embedding layer → hidden layers → softmax
 - Cross-entropy loss

Context = previous words in sentence

- Instead of producing probability, just produce a score for the next word (no softmax) [Collobert and Weston, 2008]
 - Ranking loss
 - Intuition: Valid word sequences should get a higher score than invalid ones
- No need for a multi-layer network, a shallow network is good enough [Mikolov, 2013, word2vec]
 - Simpler model, fewer parameters
 - Faster to train

Context = previous and next words in sentence

Coming up...

The word2vec models: Skipgram and CBOW

Connection between word2vec and matrix factorization

Glove

Evaluating word embeddings