

Problem 4

Collaborators: List students that you have discussed problem 4 with: Vishnu Teja Narapareddy, Tulika Sureka

- (a) Given : T_1 and T_2 are two spanning trees of graph G .

As T_1 is a spanning tree, it has n vertices and $n-1$ edges.

It is given in the question that edge e belongs to T_1 but not T_2 . So, if we remove the edge e from T_1 , we will get two disconnected components, let say M and N .

We know that T_2 is also a spanning tree of graph G , so it must have an edge which connects the components M and N . (Property of spanning tree)

Let say that edge is d .

So, we can say that $T_1 - e + d$ is a tree, is connected and has $n-1$ edges.

$\implies T_1 - e + d$ is spanning tree of graph G .

Similarly, we can show this for T_2 .

As T_2 is a spanning tree, it has n vertices and $n-1$ edges.

It is given in the question that edge d belongs to T_2 but not T_1 . So, if we remove the edge d from T_2 , we will get two disconnected components, let say M and N .

We know that T_1 is also a spanning tree of graph G , so it must have an edge which connects the components M and N . (Property of spanning tree)

From above, we know that edge was e .

So, we can say that $T_2 - d + e$ is a tree, is connected and has $n-1$ edges.

$\implies T_2 - d + e$ is spanning tree of graph G .

Hence, proved.

- (b) Let E_1 be the number of edges in T_1 and E_2 be the number of edges in T_2 . We can say that $|E_1| = |E_2| = |V|-1$ because they are spanning trees.

Now assume that there exists an edge $e = \{a,b\} \in E_2 \setminus E_1$ but there does not exist a mapping for e , i.e edge $e' \in E_1 \setminus E_2$ such that $T_1 - e' + e$ is a spanning tree. So, this means that for all $e' \in E_1 \setminus E_2$, $T_1 - e' + e$ is disconnected.

Now consider edge set $E_{1'} = E_1 \cup e$.

T_1 was a spanning tree and adding an edge e to a spanning tree creates a cycle, so this means that $E_{1'}$ has a cycle. We also know that E_2 does not have a cycle (by definition), this means there exists an edge $p \in E_{1'}$ such that $p \neq e$ and $p \notin E_2$. Let us denote the set of all edges in the cycle which are not in E_2 by P .

For every edge p in P , we can say that $T_1 + e - p$ has $|V| - 1$ edges and is connected because e and p are part of same cycle. Hence $T_1 + e - p$ is a spanning tree for each $p \in P$.

Now consider $E_{2'} = E_2 \setminus e$.

This creates two disconnected components, let say A and B . Now let us consider the cases for all edges $p = \{u,v\} \in P$:

- (i) u and v have no path between them in $E_{2'}$:

This means that u belongs to one of the disconnected components in $E_{2'}$ and v belongs to the other. So adding an edge p again connects the components A and B and makes $T_2 + p - e$ a spanning tree. However, this is contradiction to our assumption that e' does not exist.

(ii) u and v have path between them in $E_{2'}$:

If case (i) holds for any edge in P , then we have proved that there exists $e' = p$. So, this case considers the possibility that none of the edge in P satisfy case(i).

Consider the vertices a and b which were connected by edge e . They are also connected in $E_{2'}$. For all edges in cycle in $E_{1'}$ that also belongs to $E_{2'}$, we take these edges to the path and for all edges in P , we know that there is some path between them in $E_{2'}$. Hence, this forms a path from a to b without using edge e . This path also exists in E_2 which implies that this path and edge e are two possible paths for a and b in E_2 . This is a contradiction as E_2 should have unique path between each pair of vertices. This means that there exists an edge in set P which satisfies case (i) and hence can be used as e' .

Hence, this shows that there exists an e' for each e .