

DSA practice Questions

Set - 1

1. Asymptotic notation is a tool used to describe limiting behaviour of function's runtime or space usage as input size grows to infinity. It ignores constant factors and focus on dominant terms.

Types -

- (i) Big-O - represents upper bound (worse case)
- (ii) Big-omega - lower bound (best case)
- (iii) Big-theta - tight bound (average case)

It provides hardware-independent way to compare efficiency and scalability of algo.

2. Head recursion - recursive call is made before main operation. This builds up stack consuming $O(n)$ space.

Example

```
void head(int n) {  
    if (n == 0) return;  
    head(n-1);  
    SOUT(n); }
```

OUTPUT \rightarrow 1 2 3

Tail recursion - Recursive call is very last operation in function. No computation after call returns. It can be optimised by compilers to run $O(1)$ space converting them into iterative loop.

Example

```
void tail(int n) {  
    if (n == 0) return;  
    SOUT(n);  
    tail(n-1); }
```

OUTPUT \rightarrow 3 2 1

3. For Array $A[i][j]$ where base add. is $A[0][0]$ with M rows & N columns

- (i) Get to correct row - To reach i^{th} row, we need to skip ~~row~~ row 0 to row $i-1$.
- (ii) Count skipped elements - row \times element in each row (column no.) $i \times N$
- (iii) Get to correct column - move to j^{th} element of row i , skip j elements
- (iv) Total skipped elements - $(i \times N) + j$
- (v) Byte offset - Multiply skipped elements with element size
offset - $((i \times N) + j) \times w$

(vi) Final address
 $A[i][j] = ((i \times N) + j) \times w + \text{Base}$

4.

Linear Search

- (i) Can be unsorted or sorted
- (ii) ~~Check~~ Check each element from start until target is found or ~~end~~ end of array is reached.

- (iii) Best case - $O(1)$ Target is first element
- (iv) Average case - $O(n)$

Binary Search

- (i) Must be sorted
- (ii) Checks middle element. If target is smaller, ~~it~~ repeats process on left half otherwise on right half
- (iii) Best case - $O(1)$ Target is middle element
- (iv) Average case - $O(\log n)$

5. Algorithm

- (i) Start from second element (index 1)
For i from 1 to $\text{length}(A)-1$
- (ii) Store element to inserted
 $\text{key} = A[i]$
- (iii) Initialise j to index before key
 $j = i - 1$
- (iv) Move elements of $A[0 \dots i-1]$ that are greater than key to one position ahead of current position
while $j \geq 0$ & $A[j] > \text{key}$
 $A[j+1] = A[j]$
 $j = j - 1$
- (v) Place key in corrected sorted position
 $A[j+1] = \text{key}$

6. Sparse Matrix is matrix in which most elements are zero & storing them in standard 2-D array is highly inefficient.
To save space we represent them in only non-zero elements

Triplet (Coordinate list)

It uses 2-D array with 3 columns to store all information about non-zero elements

Column 0 - Stores row index

Column 1 - Stores column index

Column 2 - Stores value of non-zero elements

7. Algorithm

(i) Initialise

$prev = NULL$, $curr = head$

(ii) while

$curr \neq NULL$: $next = curr \rightarrow next$;

$curr \rightarrow next = prev$; $prev = curr$; $curr = next$

(iii) At end $prev$ is new head

Example $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow NULL$ becomes

$3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow NULL$

8. a) Algorithm

TowerofHanoi(n , source, desti, temp)

// Base Case

If $n == 1$ then

Move disk 1 from source to desti

Return

END IF

// Recursive Step 1

// Move $n-1$ disks from source to temp,
using desti as helper

TowerofHanoi($n-1$, source, temp, desti)

// Recursive ~~and~~ step 2

// Move $n-1$ disk from temp to desti, using source
as helper

TowerofHanoi($n-1$, ~~temp~~ temp, desti, source)

For recursive steps

- (i) First, recursively move top $n-1$ from source rod to temp rod
- (ii) Second, move n^{th} disk from source to desti
- (iii) recursively move $n-1$ disks from temp rod to desti rod

b) Trade-offs

Recursion

- (i) Results in shorter, simpler & readable code
- (ii) Uses call stack. Can lead to stack overflow
- (iii) Slower due to overhead of function calls

Iteration

- (i) Can be longer & more complex to write especially for nested structures
- (ii) Uses heap memory. Memory usage is generally $O(1)$ constant or explicitly managed.
- (iii) Faster because it avoids function calls

9. Merge sort

Divide \rightarrow Sort \rightarrow Merge

↓
Every array divides into two halves

↓
Each element gets merged with other element after sorting

Example $[8, 3, 1, 7, 0, 10, 2]$

1. Divide

$[8, 3, 1, 7]$ & $[0, 10, 2]$

$[8, 3]$ & $[1, 7]$ & $[0, 10]$ & $[2]$

$[8]$ & $[3]$ & $[1]$ & $[7]$ & $[0]$ & $[10]$ & $[2]$

2. Merge

$[3, 8]$ & $[1, 7]$ & $[0, 10]$ & $[2]$

$[1, 3, 7, 8]$ & $[0, 2, 10]$

$[0, 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 10]$

Time complexity for merge sort in all best, average, worst cases is $O(n \log n)$

- (i) $\log n$ - divide step, $\log n$ level of recursion
- (ii) n - Every element in conquer stage must be processed during merge phase. This takes $O(n)$ time per level.
- (iii) Total time complexity - $O(n \log n)$

Benefits over bubble sort

- (i) Efficiency - Merge's sort $O(n \log n)$ ~~is~~ is superior than bubble's sort average & worst case $O(n^2)$
- (ii) ~~Stability~~ Stability - Merge sort is stable, it preserves original relative order of elements of equal values.

Set-2