Project Name: Health Insurance Claim Fraud Detection

Problem Statement:

Fraudulent claims in health insurance lead to significant financial losses for insurance companies. The goal of this project is to build a machine learning model that can effectively identify fraudulent claims and reduce the risk of financial fraud.

Dataset Description

The dataset used for this project is derived from the **PaySim1 dataset**, which simulates financial transactions to detect fraudulent activities. It contains various features representing transaction details.

Key Features in the Dataset:

- step: Time step at which the transaction was recorded.
- type: Type of transaction (e.g., CASH-IN, CASH-OUT, TRANSFER, etc.).
- amount: The amount of money involved in the transaction.
- nameOrig: Identifier for the origin account.
- oldbalanceOrg: Initial balance of the origin account before the transaction.
- newbalanceOrig: Balance of the origin account after the transaction.
- nameDest: Identifier for the destination account.
- oldbalanceDest: Initial balance of the destination account before the transaction.

- newbalanceDest: Balance of the destination account after the transaction.
- isFraud: Target variable indicating if the transaction was fraudulent (1) or not (0).
- isFlaggedFraud: Flag indicating if a transaction was marked as potentially fraudulent.

Dataset Preprocessing Steps:

- Checked for missing values and handled inconsistencies.
- Selected relevant features for fraud detection.
- Split the dataset into training and testing sets to evaluate model performance.

Implementation and Model Comparison

1st Model: Random Forest Classifier (Project 1)

- Used a Random Forest Classifier to detect fraudulent health insurance claims.
- Processed **100,000** sampled records from the dataset.
- Dataset preprocessing steps:
 - Checked for missing values and data inconsistencies.
 - Selected relevant features: step, amount, oldbalanceOrg, newbalanceOrig, oldbalanceDest, newbalanceDest.
 - Target variable: isFraud.
- Split the dataset into training and testing sets.
- Trained the Random Forest Classifier.

- Evaluated the model using:
 - Accuracy Score: (to be determined by execution)
 - Classification Report: Precision, Recall, F1-score analysis.

2nd Model: Multiple Algorithm Comparison (Multiple Algorithm Project)

- Implemented three different models to compare performance:
 - 1. Random Forest Classifier → Accuracy: (to be determined)
 - 2. **Logistic Regression** → Accuracy: (to be determined)
 - 3. **XGBoost Classifier** → Accuracy: (to be determined)
- Kept the same dataset preprocessing and feature selection steps.
- Split the dataset into training and testing sets.
- Trained all three models and compared their performances using:
 - Accuracy Score for each model.
 - Classification Report to analyze precision, recall, and F1score.
 - Visualization of accuracy scores using bar charts.

Comparison and Conclusion

- The first model used only one algorithm (Random Forest) and achieved an initial accuracy.
- The second model tested multiple algorithms and identified the best-performing one.

- Based on accuracy results, we determine which model is most effective for fraud detection in health insurance claims.
- **Final Recommendation:** The model with the highest accuracy and best classification metrics should be used for deployment in fraud detection systems.