

Part-A

1. OS acts as an intermediary between hardware and software.

- It manages resources (CPU, memory, I/O) efficiently.
- Provides essential services like process scheduling, file systems, and security.
- Provides essential services like process scheduling, file systems, and security.

2. - Real-Time Operating System (RTOS) is best.
- RTOS ensures deterministic and timely response, which is crucial for health monitoring.
- Light weight, power-efficient, and can handle sensor inputs reliably.

3. - Avoids Monolithic kernel.
- Because it has all services in one large module, leading to:

- large codebase and poor modularity.
- Higher chance of bugs affecting the whole system.
- Difficult debugging and slower context switching.

4. - Refute
- OS structure affects stability, performance, maintainability, and security.
- Poor structure can cause bottlenecks, crashes, or vulnerability exploits even if processes run.
- Structured design ensures modularity and scalability.

5. i) PCB stores process state (registers, program counter, flags).

- Analyzing PCB shows if registers or states are ~~to~~ misinitialized during switch.

ii) Context switching involves:

- Saving the current process state in its PCB.
- Loading the next process's state from its PCB.
- Updating CPU registers, program counter, and memory mappings.

iii) Use a blocking synchronous system call.

- Blocking ensures the process waits for I/O allocation to complete.
- Synchronous ensures tasks proceed only after I/O ~~also~~ allocation succeeds (avoids race conditions).

Part-B

6. • Save state time = 2ms
• Load state time = 3ms
• Scheduler Overhead = 1ms

a) Total context switching time (T_{cs}):

$$T_{cs} = (\text{Save state}) + (\text{Load state}) + (\text{Scheduler overhead})$$

$$= 2\text{ms} + 3\text{ms} + 1\text{ms}$$

$$T_{cs} = 6\text{ms} \underline{\underline{A}}$$

b) - This overhead adds to every switch, reducing effective CPU time for actual processes.

- Frequent context switches \rightarrow lower multitasking efficiency

7. Given - Total execution time = 40 sec
 If the task is perfectly parallelizable and there are N threads ^{Total scale}
 8.1. $T_{\text{parallel}} = T_{\text{single}} / N$

- For $N = 2$ threads $\rightarrow T = 40/2 = 20$ sec
- For $N = 4$ threads $\rightarrow T = 40/4 = 10$ sec
- For $N = 8$ threads $\rightarrow T = 40/8 = 5$ sec.

2. Realistic: Amdahl's law

If a function p of the program is parallelizable and $(1-p)$ is serial, max speedup $S(N)$ using N processors/threads is:

$$S(N) = \frac{1}{(1-p) + p/N}$$

$$T_{\text{parallel}} = \frac{T_{\text{single}}}{S(N)}$$

80% parallelizable $\rightarrow p = 0.8$, serial = 0.2

Compute speedup for $N = 4$

$$S(4) = \frac{1}{(0.2 + 0.8/4)} = \frac{1}{0.2 + 0.2} = \frac{1}{0.4} = 2.5$$

$$T_{\text{parallel}} = 40/2.5 = 16 \text{ sec.}$$

Compare

- Ideal 4-thread time = 10 sec
- Realistic ($p = 0.8$) 4-thread time = 16 sec

Explanation for benefits

- Threads reduces total time when substantial parts are parallelizable.
- Overheads (synchronization, context switching, cache coherence) reduce ideal gains - use concurrency where it truly benefits.

<u>8.</u>	Process	Burst time
	P ₁	5
	P ₂	3
	P ₃	8
	P ₄	6

all arrive at time 0.

a) FCFS (First come, first served)

Order: P₁ → P₂ → P₃ → P₄

- P₁: start 0, burst 5 → completes $0 + 5 = 5$
- P₂: start 5, burst 3 → completes at $5 + 3 = 8$
- P₃: start 8, burst 8 → completes at $8 + 8 = 16$
- P₄: start 16, burst 6 → completes at $16 + 6 = 22$

Graph (Timeline showing [start - end] for each:

P₁ [0-5] | P₂ [5-8] | P₃ [8-16] | P₄ [16-22]

2. Non-preemptive SJF (Shortest Job first)

Order by burst ascending: P₂(3), P₁(5), P₄(6), P₃(8)

- P₂: start 0 → completes $0 + 3 = 3$
- P₁: start 3 → completes at $3 + 5 = 8$

- P₄: start 8 → completes at $8+6=14$
- P₃: start 14 → completes $14+8=22$.

Gantt:

| P₂ [0-3] | P₁ [3-8] | P₄ [8-14] | P₃ [14-22] |

2 Round Robin (Quantum Q = 4ms)

~~Steps~~ Simulate cycles (all arrive at 0). Keep remaining times.

Initial remaining = (P₁: 5, P₂: 3, P₃: 8, P₄: 6)

• Cycle 1.

- Time 0-4: P₁ runs for 4 (remaining P₁ = $5-4=1$). Time now = 4.
 - Time 4-7: P₂ needs 3 (4), runs 3, completes at 7. (P₂ remaining = 0).
 - Time 7-11: P₃ runs 4 (remaining = $8-4=4$), Time = 11.
 - Time 11-15: P₄ runs 4 (remaining = $6-4=2$), Time = 15.
- Remaining after cycle 1: P₁: 1, P₂: 0 (done), P₃: 4, P₄: 2.

• Cycle 2

- Time 15-16: P₁ runs 1, completes at 16.
- Time 16-20: P₃ runs 4, completes at 20.
- Time 20-22: P₄ runs 2, completes at 22.

Gantt (with time slices)

| P₁ [0-4] | P₂ [4-7] | P₃ [7-11] | P₄ [11-15] | P₁ [15-16] |
P₃ [16-20] | P₄ [20-22] |

- b) • ~~Turn~~ Turn around time (TAT) = Completion time - Arrival time. (Arrival = 0 for all)
- Waiting Time (WT) = Turnaround Time - Burst time.

FCFS

- WT: $(0+5+8+16) = 29 \rightarrow 29/4 = 7.25$
- TAT: $(5+8+16+22) = 51 \rightarrow 51/4 = 12.75$

SJF

- WT: $(3+0+14+8) = 25 \rightarrow 25/4 = 6.25$
- TAT: $(8+3+22+14) = 47 \rightarrow 47/4 = 11.75$

RR

- Completion: $P1 = 16, P2 = 7, P3 = 20, P4 = 22$
- $WT = TAT - \text{Burst}$
- TAT: $(16+7+20+22) = 65 \Rightarrow 65/4 = 16.25$
- WT: $(11+4+12+16) = 43 \rightarrow 43/4 = 10.75$

- c) - SJF gives lowest average waiting time and turnaround time.
- Hence it balances throughput and turnaround best among the three.

9) Cloud migration OS architecture

Architecture:

- Microkernel - because:
- Minimal core increases security.
 - Services run in user mode, improving fault isolation.

- Easy scalability by adding services as modules.

Virtual Machines Role:

- Provide isolated environments for each service.
- Enable resource pooling and dynamic allocation.
- Simplify system management and migration without affecting others.

(ii) Smart home system scheduling

Process scheduling + IPC:

- OS assigns higher priority to critical tasks (Intrusion alerts).
- Lower priority for less critical tasks (light, thermostat).
- IPC (signals, message queues) ensures quick communication between processes.

Suitable algo:

- Priority Scheduling (Preemptive) - ensure ~~critical tasks~~ ^{finish} run immediately.
- Earliest Deadline First (EDF) - good for real-time constraints.
- Round Robin (for non-critical tasks) to ensure fairness.