# Report of CS728 Assigment 1 ComplEx

Dataset:

Wn18:

MRR: 0.610 Hits@1: 0.494 Hits@10: 0.796 MAP: 0.505 fb15k:

MRR: 0.693 Hits@1: 0.610 Hits@10 : 0.667 MAP: 0.608

# Vanilla transformer

Score based training:

Dataset:

## 1. Wn18:

**MRR**: 0.02

Hits@1: 0.00413 Hits@10: 0.0314 MAP: 0.0183

# 2. fb15k:

MRR: 0.0421 Hits@1: 0.01301 Hits@10: 0.08763 MAP: 0.03758

MLM training:

Dataset:

## 3. Wn18:

MRR: 0.015

Hits@1: 0.0042847725774555045 Hits@10: 0.036585365853658534 MAP: 0.012137703948710101

#### 4. fb15k:

MRR: 0.03688187152147293 Hits@1: 0.01609927036955528 Hits@10: 0.07589172351915492 MAP: 0.031700062411801254

Why vanilla transformer performs worse in knowledge graph completion task as compared to statistical models like ComplEx:

- 1. Transformers excel at processing sequential data with strong dependencies, as seen in language modelling tasks. Knowledge graphs, however, are inherently non-sequential and graph-based.
- 2. Transformers, treating entities and relations as opaque string IDs without inherent semantic understanding, might struggle to effectively represent and differentiate between different entities and relations in a knowledge graph.