Stage 3, Group 89: Ayush, Riya, Sritha, and Yue

Connection to GCP

```
ayushbas0717@cloudshell:~ (spherical-entry-379722) $ gcloud sql connect cs411-pt1-group89 --user=root Allowlisting your IP for incoming connection for 5 minutes...done.

Connecting to database with SQL user [root].Enter password:

Welcome to the MySQL monitor. Commands end with; or \g.

Your MySQL connection id is 39778

Server version: 8.0.26-google (Google)

Copyright (c) 2000, 2023, Oracle and/or its affiliates.

Oracle is a registered trademark of Oracle Corporation and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Type 'help;' or '\h' for help. Type '\c' to clear the current input statement.

mysql>
```

DDL COMMANDS

CREATE DATABASE YoutubeTrending

```
CREATE TABLE AllData(
      video id VARCHAR(50),
      title VARCHAR(100),
      publishedAt TEXT,
      channelld VARCHAR(50),
      channelTitle VARCHAR(100),
      categoryld INT,
      trending date TEXT,
      tags TEXT,
      view count INT,
      likes INT,
      dislikes INT,
      comment count INT,
      thumbnail link VARCHAR(200),
      comments disabled BOOLEAN,
      ratings disabled BOOLEAN,
      description TEXT
);
```

This is a temporary table created to import all the data from the downloaded csv file from here which has been cleaned in Python.

```
import pandas as pd
#load the downloaded dataset into a Pandas dataframe
df = pd.read_csv('US_youtube_trending_data.csv')
#drop any duplicate videos keeping the entry with the highest view_count
#this should be the most recent entry which is most useful to our project
dropped = df.sort_values('view_count', ascending=False).drop_duplicates('video_id')
#covert to cleaned dataset to a csv file which we can import into GCP
dropped.to_csv('fixed_data.csv', index=False)
✓ 6.9s
```

```
VideoInfo(video_id:VARCHAR(50) [PK], title:VARCHAR(100), publishedAt: TEXT, tags:TEXT, description:TEXT, channelId:VARCHAR(50), categoryId:INT, view_count: INT, likes: INT, dislikes: INT, comment_count: INT)
```

```
CREATE TABLE VideoInfo(
video_id VARCHAR(50),
title VARCHAR(100),
publishedAt TEXT,
tags TEXT,
description TEXT,
channelId VARCHAR(50),
categoryId INT,
view_count INT,
likes INT,
dislikes INT,
comment_count INT,
PRIMARY KEY(video_id)
);
```

INSERT INTO VideoInfo

SELECT video_id, title, publishedAt, tags, description, channelId, categoryId, view_count, likes, dislikes, comment_count FROM AllData;

```
mysql> select count(*) from VideoInfo;
+----+
| count(*) |
+-----+
| 4613 |
+-----+
```

Creators(channelId:VARCHAR(50) [PK], channelTitle:VARCHAR(100), categoryld:INT, video id:VARCHAR(50) [FK to VideoInfo.video id])

INSERT IGNORE INTO Creators
SELECT DISTINCT channelld, channelTitle, categoryld, video_id
FROM AllData;

```
mysql> select count(*) from Creators;
+-----+
| count(*) |
+-----+
| 2434 |
+-----+
```

```
CategoryInfo(categoryId:INT [PK] [FK to TrendingKeywords.categoryId], totalPublished:INT,
totalLiked:INT, totalChannels:INT, totalViews:INT)
CREATE INDEX category index ON VideoInfo(categoryId);
CREATE TABLE CategoryInfo (
      categoryld INT,
      totalPublished INT,
      totalLiked BIGINT,
      totalChannels INT,
      totalViews BIGINT,
      PRIMARY KEY(categoryld),
       FOREIGN KEY(categoryld) REFERENCES VideoInfo(categoryld)
      ON DELETE CASCADE
);
INSERT INTO CategoryInfo(categoryId, totalChannels, totalViews, totalLiked, totalPublished)
SELECT t1.categoryld, t1.totalChannels, t2.totalViews, t2.totalLiked, t2.totalPublished
FROM
  (SELECT categoryld, COUNT(channelld) AS totalChannels
  FROM AllData
  GROUP BY categoryld) AS t1
JOIN
  (SELECT categoryld, SUM(view count) AS totalViews, SUM(likes) AS totalLiked,
COUNT(video id) AS totalPublished
  FROM AllData
   GROUP BY categoryld) AS t2
ON t1.categoryld = t2.categoryld;
```

```
mysql> select count(*) from CategoryInfo;
+-----+
| count(*) |
+-----+
| 16 |
+-----+
```

```
TrendingKeywords(keywords: VARCHAR(50) [PK], categoryld: INT, use count: INT)
CREATE TABLE TrendingKeywords (
      keywords VARCHAR(50),
      use count INT,
      categoryld INT,
      PRIMARY KEY(keywords)
);
This code is a temporary implementation to generate keywords using SQL. We will change this
to be generated through Python packages in later stages.
CREATE TEMPORARY TABLE temp words AS
SELECT SUBSTRING INDEX(SUBSTRING INDEX(t.title, '', n.n), '', -1) AS word
FROM VideoInfo t
CROSS JOIN (
 SELECT a.N + b.N * 10 + 1 AS n
 FROM (SELECT 0 AS N UNION SELECT 1 UNION SELECT 2 UNION SELECT 3 UNION
SELECT 4 UNION SELECT 5 UNION SELECT 6 UNION SELECT 7 UNION SELECT 8 UNION
SELECT 9) a
 CROSS JOIN (SELECT 0 AS N UNION SELECT 1 UNION SELECT 2 UNION SELECT 3
UNION SELECT 4 UNION SELECT 5 UNION SELECT 6 UNION SELECT 7 UNION SELECT 8
UNION SELECT 9) b
 ORDER BY n
) n
WHERE n.n <= 1 + (CHAR LENGTH(t.title) - CHAR LENGTH(REPLACE(t.title, '', ")))
AND t.title IS NOT NULL:
DELETE FROM temp words WHERE word IN ('the', 'and', 'or');
INSERT IGNORE INTO TrendingKeywords (keywords, use count)
SELECT word, COUNT(*) AS count
FROM temp words
GROUP BY word
ORDER BY count DESC;
DROP TEMPORARY TABLE temp words;
 mysql> select count(*) from TrendingKeywords;
 | count(*)
        11676 |
```

WebsiteUsers(user_id: INT [PK], username: VARCHAR(30), password: VARCHAR(30), email: VARCHAR(50), channelld: VARCHAR(50) [FK to Creators.channelld])

```
CREATE TABLE WebsiteUsers (
    user_id INT,
    username VARCHAR(30),
    password VARCHAR(30),
    email VARCHAR(50),
    channelld VARCHAR(50),
    PRIMARY KEY(user_id),
    FOREIGN KEY(channelld) REFERENCES Creators(channelld) ON DELETE CASCADE
);
```

```
CREATE INDEX category_index ON TrendingKeywords(categoryld);

CREATE TABLE KeywordToVideo (
   video_id VARCHAR(50),
   categoryld INT,
   FOREIGN KEY (video_id) REFERENCES VideoInfo(video_id),
   FOREIGN KEY (categoryld) REFERENCES TrendingKeywords(categoryld)
);

Deleting Temporary Table used to import data

DROP TABLE AllData;
```

ADVANCED SQL QUERIES

SQL Query #1: retrieves the video titles and the view counts for the top 10 most viewed videos that were uploaded by creators who have one other video with over 1 million views

```
SELECT v.title, v.view count
FROM VideoInfo v
JOIN
 SELECT v1.video id
 FROM VideoInfo v1
 WHERE v1.view count >= 1000000
ON v.video id = v1.video id
JOIN
 SELECT DISTINCT c.channelld
 FROM Creators c
 JOIN VideoInfo v2 ON c.channelld = v2.channelld
WHERE v2.view count >= 1000000
 GROUP BY c.channelld
 HAVING COUNT(DISTINCT v2.video id) > 1
ON v.channelld = c.channelld
ORDER BY v.view count DESC
LIMIT 15;
```

Screenshot showing top 15 rows with advanced query results:

```
| title
                                                                                           | view count
| BLACKPINK - 'Ice Cream (with Selena Gomez)' M/V
                                                                                             184778248
Dice Stacks from $1 to $100
                                                                                             103564168
BTS (방탄소년단) 'Yet To Come (The Most Beautiful Moment)' Official MV
Bella Poarch - Build a B*tch (Official Music Video)
                                                                                              84063330
 so long nerds
| Last To Take Hand Off Jet, Keeps It!
                                                                                              52206793
DJ Snake, Ozuna, Megan Thee Stallion, LISA of BLACKPINK - SG (Official Music Video) |
                                                                                              44849154
.
| Coldplay X BTS - My Universe (Official Video)
| BTS (방탄소년단) 'Butter (Hotter Remix)' Official MV
                                                                                              43856062
                                                                                              43163081
ITZY "Cheshire" M/V @ITZY
Christian Nodal, Ángela Aguilar - Dime Cómo Quieres (Video Oficial)
                                                                                              39713484
 The biggest news from the Apple Event | Apple
                                                                                               39700876
| Nicky Jam || BZRP Music Sessions #41
                                                                                              39571352
 SOMI (전소미) — 'DUMB DUMB' M/V
                                                                                              39414712 I
                                                                                               39252484
 hi, I'm Dream.
  rows in set (5.27 sec)
```

Indexing

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
| -> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=12006.20 rows=0) (actual time=22.368..22.442 rows=15 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=4180.6 rows=1428) (actual time=8.983..90 rows=10 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=4180.6 rows=1428) (actual time=8.983..90 rows=20 loops=1)
-> Sort: video info.view count DESC (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.9848..8.994 rows=20 loops=1)
-> Filter: (video info. channelId is not null) (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.127..4.606 rows=4613 loops=1)
-> Filter: (viview count >= 10000000) (cost=0.98 rows=0.0404.0004 rows=1 loops=20)
-> Filter: (viview count >= 10000000) (cost=0.98 rows=0.0404.0004 rows=1 loops=20)
-> Single-row index lookup on v1 using FRIMARY (video id=video info.video id) (cost=0.85 rows=1) (actual time=0.004..0.004 rows=1 loops=20)
-> Index lookup on c using <auto key0 (channelId=video info.channelId) (actual time=0.001..0.002 rows=1 loops=20)
-> Materialize (cost=0.00..0.00 rows=0) (actual time=0.175..12.555 rows=475 loops=1)
-> Filter: (count(distinct Videoinfo.video id) > 1) (actual time=0.0791..12.555 rows=475 loops=1)
-> Sort: c.channelId (actual time=0.10.83..11.006 rows=2487 loops=1)
-> Sort: c.channelId (actual time=0.10.83..11.006 rows=2487 loops=1)
-> Nested loop inner join (cost=4335.08 rows=1428) (actual time=0.121..9,630 rows=2487 loops=1)
-> Filter: ((vo.view_count >= 1000000) and (vi.annelId im=0.117..8.684 rows=2487 loops=1)
-> Table scan on v3 (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.197..3.215 rows=4613 loops=1)
-> Table scan on v3 (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.093..3.215 rows=4613 loops=1)
-> Single-row index lookup on c using RRIMARY (channelIdd=v3.channelIdd) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=2487)
```

COST = 12006.20

CREATE INDEX channelld index on VideoInfo(channelld);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
-> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=122.706..122.709 rows=15 loops=1)
    -> Sort: v.view_count DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=122.706..122.707 rows=15 loops=1)
        -> Stream results (cost=9424.02 rows=838) (actual time=111.984..122.439 rows=1668 loops=1)
            -> Nested loop inner join (cost=9424.02 rows=838) (actual time=111.982..121.934 rows=1668 loops=1)
                -> Nested loop inner join (cost=7029.73 rows=2513) (actual time=111.974..119.022 rows=1985 loops=1
                    -> Table scan on c (cost=0.01..20.34 rows=1428) (actual time=0.002..0.102 rows=475 loops=1)
                        -> Materialize (cost=4615.11..4635.44 rows=1428) (actual time=111.957..112.089 rows=475 lo
ops=1)
                            -> Filter: (count(distinct v2.video id) > 1) (cost=4472.31 rows=1428) (actual time=0.1
72..111.710 rows=475 loops=1)
                                -> Group aggregate: count(distinct v2.video id) (cost=4472.31 rows=1428) (actual t
ime=0.171..111.457 rows=1294 loops=1)
                                    -> Nested loop inner join (cost=4329.53 rows=1428) (actual time=0.153..109.613
 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                        -> Index scan on c using PRIMARY (cost=245.90 rows=2434) (actual time=0.04
0..0.868 rows=2434 loops=1)
                                        \rightarrow Filter: (v2.view count >= 1000000) (cost=1.50 rows=1) (actual time=0.04
3..0.044 rows=1 loops=2434)
                                           -> Index lookup on v2 using channelId index (channelId=c.channelId) (c
ost=1.50 rows=2) (actual time=0.042..0.044 rows=2 loops=2434)
                    -> Index lookup on v using channelId index (channelId=c.channelId) (cost=1.50 rows=2) (actualt
ime=0.009..0.014 rows=4 loops=475)
                -> Filter: (v1.view count >= 1000000) (cost=0.85 rows=0) (actual time=0.001..0.001 rows=1 loops=19
```

Cost = 9424.02

Justification:

As seen in the above images, indexing on channelld reduced the cost from 12006.20 to 9424.02, which is a marked improvement in optimization. We chose to index on channelld as our query performs a join operation of the VideoInfo and Creators on channelld - when we are performing a join operation, the database needs to match the values in the join columns of the tables being joined. Indexing these columns reduces the amount of data that needs to be scanned which allows the data to be retrieved more efficiently. In terms of our overall project, several of our future queries will be using this same join command, so indexing on channelld will also help in optimizing any future queries we write with these same two columns joined on channelld.

DROP INDEX channelld index on VideoInfo;

CREATE INDEX view count index on VideoInfo(view count);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
-> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=9892.30 rows=0) (actual time=17.278..17.433 rows=15 loops=1)
    > Nested loop inner join (cost=9892.30 rows=0) (actual time=17.278..17.430 rows=15 loops=1)
        -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3657.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.054..0.202 rows=20 loops=1)
            -> Filter: (v.channelId is not null) (cost=1.82 rows=2) (actual time=0.046..0.138 rows=20 loops=1)
                -> Index scan on v using view count index (reverse) (cost=1.82 rows=2) (actual time=0.045..0.135 r
ows=20 loops=1)
            -> Filter: (v1.view count >= 1000000) (cost=0.85 rows=1) (actual time=0.003..0.003 rows=1 loops=20)
                -> Single-row index lookup on v1 using PRIMARY (video id=v.video id) (cost=0.85 rows=1) (actual ti
me=0.003..0.003 rows=1 loops=20)
        -> Index lookup on c using <auto key0> (channelId=v.channelId)
                                                                       (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=20)
           -> Materialize (cost=0.00..0.00 rows=0) (actual time=17.217..17.221 rows=475 loops=1)
                -> Filter: (count(distinct VideoInfo.video_id) > 1) (actual time=14.566..16.504 rows=475 loops=1)
                   -> Group aggregate: count(distinct VideoInfo.video id) (actual time=14.564..16.377 rows=1294 l
oops=1)
                       -> Sort: c.channelId (actual time=14.551..14.919 rows=2487 loops=1)
                            -> Stream results (cost=3490.69 rows=2487) (actual time=0.378..13.424 rows=2487 loops=
                                -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3490.69 rows=2487) (actual time=0.376..12.572 rows
=2487 loops=1)
                                   -> Filter: (v2.channelId is not null) (cost=2620.24 rows=2487) (actual time=0.
367..8.253 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                       -> Index range scan on v2 using view_count_index, with index condition: (v2
.view_count >= 1000000) (cost=2620.24 rows=2487) (actual time=0.366..8.032 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                    -> Single-row index lookup on c using PRIMARY (channelId=v2.channelId) (cost=0
.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=2487)
```

Cost = 9892.30

Justification:

As seen in the above images, indexing on VideoInfo reduced the cost from 12006.20 to 9892.30, which is a marked improvement in optimization, but is a smaller decrease than what we saw when we indexed on channelld. We chose to index on VideoInfo as our query performs a join operation of the VideoInfo and Creators - when we are performing a join operation, the database needs to match the values in the join columns of the tables being joined. Indexing these columns reduces the amount of data that needs to be scanned which allows the data to be retrieved more efficiently. In terms of our overall project, several of our future queries will be using this same join command, so indexing on VideoInfo will also help in optimizing any future queries we write with these same two columns joined.

DROP INDEX view count index on VideoInfo;

CREATE INDEX title index on VideoInfo(title);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
-> Limit: 15 row(s) (cost=12006.20 rows=0) (actual time=25.157..25.246 rows=15 loops=1)
     -> Nested loop inner join (cost=12006.20 rows=0) (actual time=25.156..25.243 rows=15 loops=1)
         -> Nested loop inner join (cost=8418.96 rows=1428) (actual time=10.731..10.814 rows=20 loops=1)
-> Sort: v.view_count_DESC (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=10.689..10.695 rows=20 loops=1)
                  -> Filter: (v.channelId is not null) (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.122..5.721 rows=4613
loops=1)
             -> Table scan on v (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.120..5.111 rows=4613 loops=1)
-> Filter: (v1.view_count >= 1000000) (cost=0.85 rows=0) (actual time=0.006..0.006 rows=1 loops=20)
                  -> Single-row index lookup on v1 using PRIMARY (video id=v.video id) (cost=0.85 rows=1) (actual ti
me=0.005..0.005 rows=1 loops=20)
         -> Index lookup on c using <auto_key0> (channelId=v.channelId)
                                                                               (actual time=0.002..0.003 rows=1 loops=20)
              -> Materialize (cost=0.00..0.00 rows=0) (actual time=14.417..14.421 rows=475 loops=1)
                  -> Filter: (count(distinct VideoInfo.video_id) > 1) (actual time=11.990..13.683 rows=475 loops=1)
                      -> Group aggregate: count(distinct VideoInfo.video id) (actual time=11.987..13.570 rows=1294 l
oops=1)
                          -> Sort: c.channelId (actual time=11.893..12.139 rows=2487 loops=1)
                               -> Stream results (cost=4835.08 rows=1428) (actual time=0.190..10.521 rows=2487 loops=
                                   -> Nested loop inner join (cost=4835.08 rows=1428) (actual time=0.187..9.564 rows=
2487 loops=1)
                                       -> Filter: ((v2.view count >= 1000000) and (v2.channelId is not null)) (cost=4
335.33 rows=1428) (actual time=0.172..4.511 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                            -> Table scan on v2 (cost=4335.33 rows=4284) (actual time=0.166..3.938 row
s=4613 loops=1)
                                        -> Single-row index lookup on c using PRIMARY (channelId=v2.channelId) (cost=0
.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=2487)
```

Cost = 12006.20

Justification:

As seen in the above images, indexing on title did not reduce the cost from 12006.20. We chose to index on VideoInfo as our query selects the title, and because it was the only other attribute in our query. This did not make much of a difference in our optimization as this attribute is only used in our select command. Although we may be selecting title in future queries, indexing on it as an attribute did not show a marked improvement in cost. Indexing on channelld and VideoInfo as shown above are better options for indexing.

DROP INDEX view_count_index on VideoInfo;

CREATE INDEX view_count_index on VideoInfo(view_count); CREATE INDEX channelld_index on VideoInfo(channelld);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
-> Limit: 15 row(s) (actual time=40.840..40.842 rows=15 loops=1)
     -> Sort: v.view_count DESC, limit input to 15 row(s) per chunk (actual time=40.839..40.840 rows=15 loops=1)
         -> Stream results (cost=7472.14 rows=0) (actual time=16.665..40.303 rows=1668 loops=1)
             -> Nested loop inner join (cost=7472.14 rows=0) (actual time=16.661..39.691 rows=1668 loops=1)
                 -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3737.32 rows=0) (actual time=16.650..36.019 rows=1985 loops=1)
-> Table scan on c (cost=2.50..2.50 rows=0) (actual time=0.003..0.421 rows=475 loops=1)
-> Materialize (cost=2.50..2.50 rows=0) (actual time=16.596..17.054 rows=475 loops=1)
                              -> Filter: (count(distinct VideoInfo.video_id) > 1) (actual time=14.499..16.461 rows=4
75 loops=1)
                                  -> Group aggregate: count(distinct VideoInfo.video_id) (actual time=14.497..16.343
 rows=1294 loops=1)
                                       -> Sort: c.channelId (actual time=14.485..14.969 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                           -> Stream results (cost=3490.69 rows=2487) (actual time=0.369..13.332 rows
=2487 loops=1)
                                               -> Nested loop inner join (cost=3490.69 rows=2487) (actual time=0.367.
.12.446 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                                    -> Filter: (v2.channelId is not null) (cost=2620.24 rows=2487) (ac
tual time=0.357..8.032 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                                         -> Index range scan on v2 using view_count_index, with index co
ndition: (v2_view count >= 1000000) (cost=2620.24 rows=2487) (actual time=0.355..7.824 rows=2487 loops=1)
                                                    -> Single-row index lookup on c using PRIMARY (channelId=v2.channel
Id) (cost=0.25 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=2487)
                      -> Index lookup on v using channelId_index (channelId=c.channelId) (cost=1.50 rows=2) (actual
time=0.033..0.039 rows=4 loops=475)
                 -> Filter: (v1.view count >= 1000000) (cost=0.85 rows=1) (actual time=0.002..0.002 rows=1 loops=19
85)
                      -> Single-row index lookup on v1 using PRIMARY (video id=v.video id) (cost=0.85 rows=1) (actua
1 time=0.001..0.001 rows=1 loops=1985)
```

Cost = 7472.14

As we noted previously, indexing on channelld and view_count together significantly improves the performance of this query. Furthermore, this should not degrade the performance of other queries overall since we will be using the relationships between VideoInfo and Creators tables through channelld often as well as frequently using VideoInfo.view count to sort our results.

SQL Query #2: counts the number of videos for each category which has a title that contains the most commonly used keyword

```
SELECT categoryld, COUNT(*) FROM VideoInfo
WHERE title LIKE
CONCAT('%',
(
    SELECT keywords
    FROM TrendingKeywords
    ORDER BY use_count DESC
    LIMIT 1
),
    '%')
GROUP BY categoryld;
```

Screenshot showing top 15 rows with advanced query results:

1 0	catego	oryI	 d		COUNT (*)	1
+			+	-			+
1			1		4	2	1
1			2		1	8	1
1		1	0		3	5	1
1		1.	5 J			4	1
1		1	7		36	4	1
1		1	9			5	1
1		2	0		11	5	1
1		2	2		5	9	1
1		2	3		2	1	1
1		2	4		22	8	L
1		2	5 J		3	5	1
1		2	6 I		2	2	1
T		2	7			5	T
1		2	8 I		1	2	Ī
+				+-			+
14	rows	in	set	-	(0.02 s	ec	:)

There are only 14 categorylds so the output only has 14 rows.

INDEXING

DROP INDEX 'PRIMARY' on TrendingKeywords Result of EXPLAIN ANALYZE with no indexes:

```
ex scan on VideoInfo using Category_Index (cost solution) expect #2 (subquery in condition; run only once)

ect #2 (subquery in condition; run only once)

Limit: 1 row(s) (cost=1205.15 rows=1) (actual time=4.198.4.198 rows=1 loops=1)

-> Sort: TrendingKeywords.use_count DESC, limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (cost=1205.15 rows=11809) (actual time=4.197..4.197 rows=1 loops=1)

-> Table scan on TrendingKeywords (cost=1205.15 rows=11809) (actual time=0.088..2.994 rows=11676 loops=1)
```

Cost = 4002.12

CREATE INDEX keywords index ON TrendingKeywords (keywords); CREATE INDEX use count index ON TrendingKeywords(use count);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
oup aggregate: count(0) (cost=4002.12 rows=476) (actual time=1.325..22.260 rows=14 loops=1)
Filter: (VideoInfo.title like <cache>(concat('b', (select #2),'b')) (cost=3954.53 rows=476) (actual time=0.603..22.128 rows=965 loops=1)
-> Index scan on VideoInfo using category index (cost=3954.53 rows=4284) (actual time=0.594..17.622 rows=4613 loops=1)
-> Select #2 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Limit: 1 row(e) (cost=0.00 rows=1) (actual time=0.034..0.034 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Index scan on TrendingKeywords using use_count_index (reverse) (cost=0.00 rows=1) (actual time=0.033..0.033rows=1 loops=1)
```

Cost = 4002.12

DROP INDEX keywords index ON TrendingKeywords; DROP INDEX use count index ON TrendingKeywords;

CREATE INDEX keywords hash index USING HASH ON TrendingKeywords (keywords); CREATE INDEX use count hash index USING HASH ON TrendingKeywords (use count);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
regate: count(0) (cost=4002.12 rows 10);
(VideoInfo.title like <cache>(concat('%', (select #2), '%'))) (cost=300.00);
(VideoInfo.title like <cache>(concat('%', (select #2), '%'))) (cost=300.00);
(actual time=0.394.10.6/1 rows-10.6/1 r
```

Cost = 4002.12

DROP INDEX keywords_hash_index ON TrendingKeywords; DROP INDEX use_count_hash_index ON TrendingKeywords;

CREATE UNIQUE INDEX keywords_unique_index on TrendingKeywords(keywords); CREATE UNIQUE INDEX use count unique index on TrendingKeywords(use count);

EXPLAIN ANALYZE:

```
| -> Group aggregate: count(0) (cost=4002.12 rows=476) (actual time=0.866..15.748 rows=14 loops=1)
-> Filter: (VideoInfo.title like <cache>(concat('%', (select $2), '%'))) (cost=3954.53 rows=476) (actual time=0.460..15.630 rows=965 loops=1)
-> Index scan on VideoInfo using category_index (cost=3954.53 rows=4284) (actual time=0.453..13.178 rows=4613 loops=1)
-> Select $2 (subquery in condition; run only once)
-> Limit: 1 row(s) (cost=1205.15 rows=1) (actual time=5.158..5.158 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Sort: TrendingKeywords.use_count DESC, limit input to 1 row(s) per chunk (cost=1205.15 rows=1809) (actual time=5.157..5.157 rows=1 loops=1)
-> Table scan on TrendingKeywords (cost=1205.15 rows=11809) (actual time=0.048..3.618 rows=11676 loops=1)
```

Cost = 4002.12

Justification:

As seen in the above images, indexing elements of this query did not affect or improve the query cost. We attempted to index the key elements in the query, "keywords" and "use_count". By using the SHOW INDEX command, we found that "keywords" had already been indexed because it was the primary key for the TrendingKeywords tables. We dropped this to see what the default cost of the query would be, and it was 4002.12. After adding indexes on "keywords" and "use_count" the cost did not change. We dropped these indexes and added hash indexes. We found that we could not add a hash index of "keywords" because it has type VARCHAR. Adding a hash index on use_count did not change anything. Adding unique indexes also did not affect the cost because the column "keywords" already contained unique values.

We theorized that this query's cost does not improve because of one main reason. The query mainly utilizes the "keywords" column. Indexing this column does not help too much because it cannot truly be organized in an ascending or descending order so it must be traversed in its entirety. Other table columns do not have as significant of a role in this query because they are only being used in the ORDER BY. Hence, this query's cost cannot be optimized.